ADDENDUM AND CORRIGENDUM TO
"ON THE CHAOS GAME
OF ITERATED FUNCTION SYSTEMS"

Pablo G. Barrientos — Maxwell Fitzsimmons
Fatemeh H. Ghane — Dominique Malicet — Aliasghar Sarizadeh

ABSTRACT. We provide a counter-example to Theorem 1.4 (a) in Topol.
Methods Nonlinear Anal. **49** (2017), no. 1, 105–132, by showing that the
closure of the Γ-orbit of a point x in the pointwise basin of Ls-attraction
of a quasi-attractor A is not compact. In order to fix this gap, we modified
the definition of Ls-basin of attraction. In addiction, we propose a better
place to play the chaos game and as a consequence we get some additional
results on strongly-fibred quasi-attractors and Conley attractors.

1. On the concept of the basin of attraction

We retain the notation of [3]. Proposition 2.3 claims that for a compact
subset A of X if $x \in \mathcal{B}_p^*(A)$ then $\overline{\Gamma(x)}$ is a forward invariant compact set. This
proposition, and in particular, this claim, is one of the tools used to prove the
main result Theorem 1.4 (a). However, as the second author of this note notified,
there is an unsolvable bug as the following counterexample demonstrates: