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#### Abstract

We prove new results on the existence of positive solutions of one-dimensional $p$-Laplacian equations under sublinear conditions involving the first eigenvalues of the corresponding homogeneous Dirichlet boundary value problems. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first paper to use fixed point index theory of compact maps to give criteria involving the first eigenvalue for one-dimensional $p$-Laplacian equations with $p \neq 2$. Our results generalize some previous results where either $p$ is required to be greater than 2 or the nonlinearities satisfy stronger conditions. We shall apply our results to tackle a logistic population model arising in mathematical biology.


## 1. Introduction

We study the existence of positive (classical) solutions of one-dimensional $p$-Laplacian equations of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} z(x)=f(x, z(x)) \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in(0,1)  \tag{1.1}\\
z(0)=z(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

[^0]where $p \in(1, \infty), \Delta_{p} z(x)=\left(\left|z^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p-2} z^{\prime}(x)\right)^{\prime}:=\left(\phi_{p}\left(z^{\prime}(x)\right)\right)^{\prime}, z^{\prime}(x)$ denotes the usual derivative of the function $z$ at $x$, and $\phi_{p}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by
$$
\phi_{p}(s)=|s|^{p-2} s
$$

One or higher-dimensional $p$-Laplacian equations arise in the study of Newtonian fluids $(p=2)$ and non-Newtonian fluids $(p \neq 2)$ such as dilatant fluids $(p>2)$ and pseudoplastic fluids $(1<p<2)$, for example see Guo and Webb [11] or [27].

Existence of nonzero nonnegative positive solutions of (1.1) has been studied by many authors, for example, by Wang [33], where the nonlinearity is of the form $g(x) f(u)$, under the following condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u^{p-1}}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{u \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(u)}{u^{p-1}}=\infty \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by Webb and Lan [36], where $p=2$, under the following sublinear condition:

$$
0 \leq \lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u}<\pi^{2}<\lim _{u \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(u)}{u} \leq \infty
$$

When $p \geq 2$, Ćwiszewski and Maciejewski [5] use the Granas fixed point index (see $[9],[10]$ ) to study the existence of positive weak solutions of $p$-Laplacian equations under the following sublinear condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u^{p-1}}<\mu_{p}<\lim _{u \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(u)}{u^{p-1}} \leq \infty \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{p}$ is the first eigenvalue of the corresponding homogeneous Dirichlet boundary value problem and $\mu_{2}=\pi^{2}$. Actually [5] also covers the superlinear case and PDE cases, where $f$ is not required to be nonnegative, but [5] only studies weak solutions and requires both a global growth condition on $f$ and $p \geq 2$. Hence, [5] obtained less restrictive solution under stronger assumptions. Rynne [31] studies (1.1) with suitable boundary conditions using bifurcation theory, and Kajikiya, Lee and Sim [15]-[17] study the bifurcation of sign-changing solutions for one-dimensional $p$-Laplacian with a strong singular weight. We refer to [1], [7], [12]-[14], [18], [20]-[25], [29], [32], [37], [38], [41] for the study of the existence and uniqueness of systems of $p$-Laplacian equations under suitable sublinear or superlinear conditions.

In this paper, we obtain new results on the existence of (classical) positive solutions of (1.1) under the sublinear condition (1.3). As mentioned above, there have been many papers studying the existence of solutions of the one-dimensional $p$-Laplacian equation (1.1), but, to the best of our knowledge, when $p \neq 2$, our paper is the first one to use the fixed point index theory of compact maps [2] to give criteria involving the first eigenvalue, which is well known for the case $p=2$, see Webb and Lan [36]. Our results allow $p \in(1, \infty)$ and we obtain positive (classical) solutions in $C_{0}^{1}[0,1]$. Our results generalize Webb and Lan's result in [36] from $p=2$ to $p \neq 2$, Wang's result in [33] from (1.2) to (1.3),
where the fixed point index theory of compact maps is employed. Our results also improve Ćwiszewski and Maciejewski's result from $p \geq 2$ to $p \in(1, \infty)$ and from weak solutions to classical solutions under the sublinear condition (1.3), where the Granas fixed point index (see [9], [10]) is used to prove the criteria involving the first eigenvalue of $p$-laplacian with $p \geq 2$. We prove our results by applying the theory of fixed point index for compact maps defined on cones in Banach spaces [2]. We overcome the difficulty of lacking linearity of the operator arising from the corresponding homogeneous Dirichlet boundary value problem. Our method is different from those used in [5], where a different index theory is applied, and in [33], [36], where linearity of the corresponding operators are applied in an essential way.

We remark that it remains open whether (1.1) has (classical) positive solutions under the superlinear condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \lim _{u \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(u)}{u^{p-1}}<\mu_{p}<\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u^{p-1}} \leq \infty \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As applications of our results, we study the persistence of population models of one species governed by the $p$-Laplacian equations of the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} z(x)=\mu z^{\sigma-1}(x)(1-z(x)) \quad \text { for } x \in(0,1)  \tag{1.5}\\
z(0)=z(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $z(x)$ denotes the population density of one species at location $x, \mu>0$ is a parameter related to the patch size of the population, the term $z^{\sigma-1}(x)(1-z(x))$ represents the logistic growth rate of order $\sigma$. When $p=\sigma=2$, (1.5) was studied in [4], [6], [26], [30]. Our result allows $p \in(1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in(1, p]$.

## 2. Positive solutions of one-dimensional $p$-Laplacian equations

In this section we prove a new result on the existence of nonzero positive solutions of (1.1) and apply it to study the persistence of population models (1.5).

We always assume the following conditions hold:
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right) f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$satisfies Carathéodory conditions, that is, $f(\cdot, u)$ is measurable for each fixed $u \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $f(t, \cdot)$ is continuous for almost every $t \in[0,1]$.
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$ For each $r>0$ there exists $g_{r} \in L_{+}^{1}(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, u) \leq g_{r}(x) \text { for a.e. } x \in[0,1] \text { and all } u \in[0, r] . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$ is a standard condition which has been widely used, for example in [5], [23]. The upper bound function $g_{r}$ in $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$ is independent of $u$ and belongs to $L_{+}^{1}(0,1)$, which is more general than those used previously in [5] and [23]. The condition: $f(x, u) \leq C\left(1+u^{p-1}\right)$ for almost every $x \in[0,1]$ and all $u \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$was used in [5] while [23] required $g_{r}$ in $L_{+}^{\infty}(0,1)$.

We denote by $A C[0,1]$ the space of all the absolutely continuous functions defined on $[0,1]$.

Definition 2.1 ([8]). A function $z:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a (classical) solution of (1.1) if $z \in C^{1}[0,1], \phi_{p}\left(z^{\prime}\right) \in A C[0,1]$ and $z$ satisfies (1.1).

A solution $z$ of (1.1) is said to be nonnegative if $z(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in[0,1]$ and to be positive if $z(x)>0$ for $x \in(0,1)$.

We denote by $W_{0}^{1, p}(0,1)$ the standard Sobolev space with norm

$$
\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}}=\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}:=\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{p}}
$$

and by $P$ the positive cone in $W_{0}^{1, p}(0,1)$, that is,

$$
P=\left\{u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(0,1): u(x) \geq 0 \text { for } x \in[0,1]\right\} .
$$

The following result can be found in [19, Lemma A.9(ii), p. 56].
Lemma 2.2. $W_{0}^{1, p}(0,1) \subset C[0,1]$ and there exists $c_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\|u\|_{C[0,1]} \leq c_{0}\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}} \quad \text { for } u \in W_{0}^{1, p} .
$$

We need the following maximum principle, see [28, Lemma 3.1], and the weak comparison principle which is a special case of Lemma 2.4 with $\lambda=0$ in [3].

Lemma 2.3. Assume that a function $u \in C[0,1]$ satisfies the following conditions:
(a) $u^{\prime}(x)$ exists for $x \in(0,1)$ and $\phi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right) \in A C(0,1)$.
(b) $-\Delta_{p} u(x) \geq 0$ for a.e. $x \in(0,1)$, and $u(0)=u(1)=0$.

Then $u(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in[0,1]$. If $u \not \equiv 0$ on $(0,1)$, then $u(x)>0$ for $x \in(0,1)$.
Lemma 2.4. Assume that $u, w \in W_{0}^{1, p}(0,1)$ satisfy

$$
\left(-\Delta_{p} u(x), v(x)\right) \leq\left(-\Delta_{p} w(x), v(x)\right) \quad \text { for } v \in P
$$

where

$$
\left(-\Delta_{p} u(x), v(x)\right)=\int_{0}^{1}\left(-\Delta_{p} u(x)\right) v(x) d x
$$

Then $u(x) \leq w(x)$ almost everywhere on $(0,1)$.
The following result can be found in [39, p. 44].
Lemma 2.5. For every $w \in L^{1}(0,1)$, the quasilinear boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} u(x)=w(x) \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in(0,1)  \tag{2.2}\\
u(0)=u(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a unique solution $u$ in $D\left(\Delta_{p}\right)$, where $D\left(\Delta_{p}\right)=\left\{u \in C_{0}^{1}[0,1]: \phi_{p}\left(u^{\prime}\right) \in\right.$ $A C[0,1]\}$ and $C_{0}^{1}[0,1]=\left\{u \in C^{1}[0,1]: u(0)=u(1)=0\right\}$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{C^{1}[0,1]}=\|u\|_{C[0,1]}+\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{C[0,1]} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $T$ the inverse of $-\Delta_{p}$. Then $T: L^{1}(0,1) \rightarrow D\left(\Delta_{p}\right)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T w=u \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ is the unique solution of $(2.2)$ in $D\left(\Delta_{p}\right)$.
The following result is a special case of [39, Lemma 1].
Lemma 2.6. The map $T$ defined in (2.4) has the following properties.
(a) $T: L^{1}(0,1) \rightarrow C_{0}^{1}[0,1]$ is continuous and bounded.
(b) $T(B)$ is relatively compact in $C_{0}^{1}[0,1]$ for each subset $B \subset L^{1}(0,1)$ satisfying the following condition:
(C) There exists $h_{B} \in L^{1}(0,1)$ such that

$$
|z(x)| \leq h_{B}(x) \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in(0,1) \text { and each } z \in B .
$$

It is easy to see that the $p$-Laplacian operator $-\Delta_{p}$ has the following property:

$$
\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)(\lambda u(x))=\lambda^{p-1}\left(-\Delta_{p}\right) u(x) \quad \text { for } u \in D\left(\Delta_{p}\right) \text { and } \lambda \geq 0
$$

This, together with Lemma 2.5, implies the following property of the inverse operator $T$.

Lemma 2.7. The map $T$ defined in (2.4) has the following property.

$$
T(\lambda w)=\lambda^{1 /(p-1)} T(w) \quad \text { for } w \in L^{1}(0,1) \text { and } \lambda \geq 0
$$

Define a map $A$ from $P$ to $D\left(\Delta_{p}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A z(x)=(T F z)(x), \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is given in (2.4) and the Nemytskii operator $F: C_{+}[0,1] \rightarrow L_{+}^{1}(0,1)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F z(x)=f(x, z(x)) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $X$ be a Banach space. Recall that a map $A: \Omega \subset X \rightarrow X$ is said to be compact if it is continuous and $\overline{A(D)}$ is compact for each bounded subset $D \subset \Omega$. It is shown in [8, p. 3] that the map $T$ maps $L^{q}(0,1)$ into $C^{1}[0,1]$ and is compact for $q>1$. Although Lemma $2.6(i)$ shows that $T: L^{1}(0,1) \rightarrow C^{1}[0,1]$ is continuous and bounded, it is not clear whether $T: L^{1}(0,1) \rightarrow C^{1}[0,1]$ is compact.

The following result shows that under the assumptions $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, the map $A$ defined in (2.5) maps $P$ into $P$ and is compact.

Theorem 2.8. Under $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, the following assertions hold.
(a) The map $A$ defined in (2.5) maps $P$ into $P$ and is compact.
(b) $z \in P$ is a fixed point of $A$ if and only if $z$ is a nonnegative solution of (1.1).

Proof. (a) By Lemma 2.2, the embedding map $i_{1}: W_{0}^{1}(0,1) \rightarrow C[0,1]$ defined by $i_{1}(u)=u$ is continuous and hence, $i_{1}: P \rightarrow C_{+}[0,1]$ is continuous. By $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right), F$ maps $C_{+}[0,1]$ to $L_{+}^{1}(0,1)$ and is continuous. Hence, $F(z)=F\left(i_{1}(z)\right) \in L_{+}^{1}(0,1)$ for $z \in P$, and $F$ maps $P$ into $L_{+}^{1}(0,1)$ and is continuous. Let $w \in L_{+}^{1}(0,1)$ and $u(x)=T w(x)$ for $x \in[0,1]$. By Lemma 2.5 and (2.4), $u \in D\left(\Delta_{p}\right)$ and $u$ satisfies (2.2). Hence, $-\Delta_{p} u(x)=w(x) \geq 0$ for almost every $x \in(0,1)$ and $u(0)=u(1)=0$. By Lemma 2.3, $u(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in(0,1)$ and $u \in P$. Since

$$
\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}} \leq\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{C[0,1]} \leq\|u\|_{C^{1}[0,1]} \text { for } u \in C^{1}[0,1]
$$

the embedding map $i_{2}: C_{0}^{1}[0,1] \rightarrow W_{0}^{1, p}(0,1)$ defined by $i_{2}(u)=u$ is continuous. By Lemma $2.6(i), T: L_{+}^{1}(0,1) \rightarrow P$ is continuous and by $(2.5), A: P \rightarrow P$ is continuous. Let $D \subset P$ be bounded. Then there exists $\rho>0$ such that $\|z\|_{W_{0}^{1}} \leq \rho$ for $z \in D$. By Lemma 2.2, $z(x) \leq c_{0} \rho:=r$ for $x \in[0,1]$ and by $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, there exists $g_{r} \in L_{+}^{1}(0,1)$ such that (2.1) holds. By Lemma 2.6(b) with $B=F(D)$ and $h_{B}=g_{r}, A(D)=T(F(D))=T(B)$ is relatively compact in $C_{0}^{1}[0,1]$. Since $i_{2}: C_{0}^{1}[0,1] \rightarrow W_{0}^{1, p}(0,1)$ is continuous, $A(D)=T(B)=i_{2} T(B)$ is relatively compact in $W_{0}^{1}(0,1)$. Hence, $A: P \rightarrow P$ is compact.
(b) Under the assumptions $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, the results follows from (2.4).

The following result can be found in [40, Theorem 2.1] or [15, Theorem 2.1].
Lemma 2.9. For each $g \in L_{+}^{1}(0,1)$ with $\int_{0}^{1} g(x) d s>0$, there exist $\mu_{g}>0$ and $\varphi_{g} \in C_{0}^{1}[0,1] \cap(P \backslash\{0\})$ satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} \varphi_{g}(x)=\mu_{g} g(x) \varphi_{g}^{p-1}(x) \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in(0,1)  \tag{2.7}\\
\varphi_{g}(0)=\varphi_{g}(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The positive value $\mu_{g}$ is called the first eigenvalue of (2.7) and $\varphi_{g}$ is called the eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue $\mu_{g}$. By [40, (3.5), p. 42], we see that for each $g \in L_{+}^{1}(0,1) \backslash\{0\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{g}=\inf \left\{\int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x / \int_{0}^{1} g(x)|u(x)|^{p} d x: u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(0,1) \backslash\{0\}\right\} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p} d x / \int_{0}^{1} g(x)|u(x)|^{p} d x=\infty$ if $\int_{0}^{1} g(x)|u(x)|^{p} d x=0$. It is given in $[8,(3.8)]$ that the first eigenvalue $\mu_{g}$ with $g \equiv 1$ equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}(p):=\left\{2 \int_{0}^{(p-1)^{1 / p}}\left[1-s^{p}(p-1)^{-1}\right]^{-1 / p} d s\right\}^{p} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $r>0$ and let $P_{r}=\{x \in P:\|x\|<r\}, \partial P_{r}=\{x \in P:\|x\|=r\}$ and $\bar{P}_{r}=\{x \in P:\|x\| \leq r\}$.

Lemma 2.10 ([2]).
(a) If $A: \bar{P}_{r} \rightarrow P$ is compact and satisfies $z \neq t A z$ for $x \in \partial P_{r}$ and $t \in(0,1]$, then $i_{P}\left(A, P_{r}\right)=1$.
(b) If $A: \bar{P}_{r} \rightarrow P$ is compact and $z \neq A z$ for $z \in \bar{P}_{r}$, then $i_{P}\left(A, P_{r}\right)=0$.
(c) Assume that $h:[0,1] \times \bar{P}_{r} \rightarrow P$ is compact and satisfies $z \neq h(t, z)$ for $(t, z) \in[0,1] \times \partial P_{r}$. Then $i_{P}\left(h(0, \cdot), P_{r}\right)=i_{P}\left(h(1, \cdot), P_{r}\right)$.
(d) If $i_{P}\left(A, P_{r}\right)=1$ and $i_{P}\left(A, P_{\rho}\right)=0$ for some $\rho \in(0, r)$, then $A$ has a fixed point in $P_{r} \backslash \bar{P}_{\rho}$.

Now, we state and prove our main result.
Theorem 2.11. Assume that $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$ and the following conditions hold:
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ There exist $r_{0}>0, \varepsilon>0$ and $\phi_{r_{0}} \in L_{+}^{1}(0,1) \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
f(x, u) \leq\left(\mu_{\phi_{r_{0}}}-\varepsilon\right) \phi_{r_{0}}(x) u^{p-1} \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in[0,1] \text { and all } u \in\left[r_{0}, \infty\right)
$$

$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ There exist $\rho_{0}>0, \varepsilon>0$ and $\psi_{\rho_{0}} \in L_{+}^{1}(0,1) \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
f(x, u) \geq\left(\mu_{\psi_{\rho_{0}}}+\varepsilon\right) \psi_{\rho_{0}}(x) u^{p-1} \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in[0,1] \text { and all } u \in\left[0, \rho_{0}\right] .
$$

Then (1.1) has a positive solution $z$ in $C_{0}^{1}[0,1]$, that is, $z \in C_{0}^{1}[0,1]$ satisfies $z(x)>0$ for $x \in(0,1)$.

Proof. By $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, there exists $g_{r_{0}} \in L_{+}^{1}(0,1)$ such that

$$
f(x, u) \leq g_{r_{0}}(x) \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in[0,1] \text { and all } u \in\left[0, r_{0}\right]
$$

This, together with $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$, implies, for almost every $x \in[0,1]$ and all $u \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, u) \leq g_{r_{0}}(x)+\left(\mu_{\phi_{r_{0}}}-\varepsilon\right) \phi_{r_{0}}(x) u^{p-1} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $r_{1}=\left(\varepsilon^{-1} c_{0} \mu_{\phi_{r_{0}}}\left\|g_{r_{0}}\right\|_{L^{1}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}$ and $r>\max \left\{r_{1}, c_{0}^{-1} \rho_{0}\right\}$. We prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \neq t A z \quad \text { for } z \in \partial P_{r} \text { and } t \in[0,1] \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, if not, there exist $z \in \partial P_{r}$ and $t \in(0,1]$ such that $z=t A z$. By (2.5) and Lemma 2.7, $z(x)=T\left(t^{p-1} F z\right)(x)$ for $x \in[0,1]$. It follows from (2.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} z(x)=t^{p-1} f(x, z(x)) \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in[0,1] \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.12), (2.10), (2.8) with $g=\phi_{r_{0}}$ and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|z\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}}^{p} & =\left(-\Delta_{p} z, z\right)=t^{p-1} \int_{0}^{1} f(x, z(x)) z(x) d x \leq \int_{0}^{1} f(x, z(x)) z(x) d x \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left[g_{r_{0}}(x)+\left(\mu_{\phi_{r_{0}}}-\varepsilon\right) \phi_{r_{0}}(x) z^{p-1}(x)\right] z(x) d x \\
& \leq\|z\|_{C[0,1]}\left\|g_{r_{0}}\right\|_{L^{1}}+\left(\mu_{\phi_{r_{0}}}-\varepsilon\right) \mu_{\phi_{r_{0}}}^{-1}\|z\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}}^{p} \\
& \leq c_{0}\|z\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}}\left\|g_{r_{0}}\right\|_{L^{1}}+\left(1-\varepsilon \mu_{\phi_{r_{0}}}^{-1}\right)\|z\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}}^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\|z\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}}^{p-1} \leq \varepsilon^{-1} c_{0} \mu_{\phi_{r_{0}}}\left\|g_{r_{0}}\right\|_{L^{1}}$. Hence, we have

$$
r_{1}<r=\|z\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}} \leq\left(\varepsilon^{-1} c_{0} \mu_{\phi_{r_{0}}}\left\|g_{r_{0}}\right\|_{L^{1}}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}=r_{1},
$$

a contradiction. By (2.11) and Lemma 2.10(a), $i_{P}\left(A, P_{r}\right)=1$
Let $\rho=c_{0}^{-1} \rho_{0}$. Then $\rho<r$. By Lemma 2.2, we have for $z \in \partial P_{\rho}$,

$$
z(x) \leq\|z\|_{C[0,1]} \leq c_{0}\|z\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}}=c_{0} \rho=\rho_{0} \quad \text { for } x \in[0,1] .
$$

It follows from $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ that for almost every $x \in[0,1]$ and all $z \in \partial P_{\rho}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, z(x)) \geq\left(\mu_{\psi_{\rho_{0}}}+\varepsilon\right) \psi_{\rho_{0}}(x) z^{p-1}(x) . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there exists $z \in \partial P_{\rho}$ such that $z=T(F z)$, then the result of Theorem 2.11 holds. Hence, we assume that $z \neq T(F z)$ for $z \in \partial P_{\rho}$ and prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \neq T\left(F z+\nu\left(-\Delta_{p} e\right)\right) \quad \text { for } z \in \partial P_{\rho} \text { and } \nu>0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e$ is the eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue $\mu_{\psi_{\rho_{0}}}$, that is,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} e(x)=\mu_{\psi_{\rho_{0}}} \psi_{\rho_{0}}(x) e^{p-1}(x) \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in(0,1)  \tag{2.15}\\
e(0)=e(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

In fact, if not, there exist $z \in \partial P_{\rho}$ and $\nu>0$ such that $z=T\left(F z+\nu\left(-\Delta_{p} e\right)\right)$.
Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} z(x)=F z(x)+\nu\left(-\Delta_{p} e\right)(x) \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in[0,1] \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have for $v \in P$,

$$
\left(-\Delta_{p} z, v\right)=(F z, v)+\nu\left(\left(-\Delta_{p} e\right), v\right) \geq \nu\left(\left(-\Delta_{p} e\right), v\right)=\left(-\Delta_{p}\left(\nu^{1 /(p-1)} e\right), v\right) .
$$

By Lemma 2.4 and continuity of $z$ and $e, z(x) \geq \nu^{\frac{1}{p-1}} e(x)$ for $x \in(0,1)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\sup \left\{\zeta>0: z(x) \geq \zeta^{1 /(p-1)} e(x) \quad \text { for } x \in(0,1)\right\} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $0<\nu \leq \tau<\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(x) \geq \tau^{1 /(p-1)} e(x) \quad \text { for } x \in(0,1) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.15), we see that $\left(\left(-\Delta_{p} e\right), v\right) \geq 0$ for $v \in P$. By (2.16), (2.13), (2.18) and (2.15), we have for $v \in P$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(-\Delta_{p} z, v\right) & =\int_{0}^{1} f(x, z(x)) v(x) d x+\nu\left(\left(-\Delta_{p} e\right), v\right) \geq \int_{0}^{1} f(x, z(x)) v(x) d x \\
& \geq\left(\mu_{\psi_{\rho_{0}}}+\varepsilon\right) \int_{0}^{1} \psi_{\rho_{0}}(x) z^{p-1}(x) v(x) d x \\
& \geq\left(\mu_{\psi_{\rho_{0}}}+\varepsilon\right) \tau \int_{0}^{1} \psi_{\rho_{0}}(x) e^{p-1}(x) v(x) d x \\
& =\xi \int_{0}^{1} \mu_{\psi_{\rho_{0}}} \psi_{\rho_{0}}(x) e^{p-1}(x) v(x) d x \\
& =\xi\left(-\Delta_{p} e, v\right)=\left(-\Delta_{p}\left(\xi^{1 /(p-1)} e\right), v\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\xi=\left(\mu_{\psi_{\rho_{0}}}+\varepsilon\right) \tau \mu_{\psi_{\rho_{0}}}^{-1}$. Using Lemma 2.4 and continuity of $z$ and $e$, we obtain

$$
z(x) \geq \xi^{1 /(p-1)} e(x) \quad \text { for } x \in(0,1)
$$

By (2.17), we have $\tau \geq \xi=\left(\mu_{\psi_{\rho_{0}}}+\varepsilon\right) \tau \mu_{\psi_{\rho_{0}}}^{-1}>\tau$, a contradiction.
Now, we prove that there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \neq T\left(F z+n_{0}\left(-\Delta_{p} e\right)\right) \quad \text { for } z \in \bar{P}_{\rho} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, if not, there exists $z_{n} \in \bar{P}_{\rho}$ such that $z_{n}=T\left(F z_{n}+n\left(-\Delta_{p} e\right)\right)$. It follows from Lemma 2.7 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{n}}{n^{1 /(p-1)}}=T\left(\frac{F z_{n}}{n}+\left(-\Delta_{p} e\right)\right) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.2,

$$
z_{n}(x) \leq\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{C[0,1]} \leq c_{0}\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, p}}=c_{0} \rho \quad \text { for } x \in[0,1] .
$$

By $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, there exists $g_{c_{0} \rho} \in L_{+}^{1}(0,1)$ such that

$$
f\left(x, z_{n}(x)\right) \leq g_{c_{0} \rho}(x) \quad \text { for a.e. } x \in[0,1] .
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\left\|\frac{F z_{n}}{n}\right\|_{L^{1}}=\frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{1} f\left(x, z_{n}(x)\right) d x \leq \frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{1} g_{c_{0} \rho}(x) d x=\frac{\left\|g_{c_{0} \rho}\right\|_{L^{1}}}{n} \rightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
\frac{F z_{n}}{n}+\left(-\Delta_{p} e\right) \rightarrow-\Delta_{p} e \quad \text { in } L^{1}(0,1)
$$

By (2.15),$-\Delta_{p} e \in L_{+}^{1}(0,1)$ and $F z_{n} / n+\left(-\Delta_{p} e\right) \in L_{+}^{1}(0,1)$. By Lemma 2.6(a),

$$
T\left(\frac{F z_{n}}{n}+\left(-\Delta_{p} e\right)\right) \rightarrow T\left(-\Delta_{p} e\right) \quad \text { in } C_{0}^{1}[0,1]
$$

Since the identity $i_{2}: C_{0}^{1}[0,1] \rightarrow W_{0}^{1, p}(0,1)$ defined by $i_{2}(u)=u$ is continuous,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(\frac{F z_{n}}{n}+\left(-\Delta_{p} e\right)\right) \rightarrow T\left(-\Delta_{p} e\right) \quad \text { in } W_{0}^{1, p}(0,1) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z_{n} \in \bar{P}_{\rho}$, we have $z_{n} / n^{1 /(p-1)} \rightarrow 0$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(0,1)$. By (2.20) and (2.21), we have $0=T\left(-\Delta_{p} e\right)=e$, which contradicts the fact that the eigenfunction $e$ is nonzero.

Using (2.19) and Lemma 2.10(a), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{P}\left(T\left(F z+n_{0}\left(-\Delta_{p} e\right)\right), P_{\rho}\right)=0 . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define a map $h:[0,1] \times \bar{P}_{\rho} \rightarrow P$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(t, z)=T\left(F z+n_{0} t\left(-\Delta_{p} e\right)\right) . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $h:[0,1] \times \bar{P}_{\rho} \rightarrow P$ is compact and by (2.14), $z \neq h(t, z)$ for $(t, z) \in$ $[0,1] \times \partial P_{\rho}$. By Lemma 2.10(c), we obtain

$$
i_{P}\left(h(0, \cdot), P_{\rho}\right)=i_{P}\left(h(1, \cdot), P_{\rho}\right)
$$

It follows from (2.23) and (2.22) that
$i_{P}\left(A, P_{\rho}\right)=i_{P}\left(h(0, \cdot), P_{\rho}\right)=i_{P}\left(h(1, \cdot), P_{\rho}\right)=i_{P}\left(T\left(F z+n_{0}\left(-\Delta_{p} e\right)\right), P_{\rho}\right)=0$.
By Lemma 2.10 (iv), there exists $z \in P_{r} \backslash \bar{P}_{\rho}$ such that $z=A z$ and by Theorem $2.8, z$ is a nonnegative solution of (1.1). By Lemma $2.6(i), T$ maps $L^{1}(0,1)$ into $C_{0}^{1}[0,1]$ and thus, $z \in C_{0}^{1}[0,1] \backslash\{0\}$. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that $z(x)>0$ for $x \in(0,1)$.

Remark 2.12. Theorem 2.11 allows $f$ to have explicit dependence on $x$. We refer to Webb [34], [35] for work on semi-linear problems, which includes the case $p=2$ here, when $f$ depends explicitly on $x$.

Let $E$ be a fixed subset of $[0,1]$ of measure zero. Let

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\underline{f}(z)=\inf _{x \in[0,1] \backslash E} f(x, z), & \left(f_{p}\right)_{0}=\liminf _{z \rightarrow 0+} \underline{f}(z) / z^{p-1}, \\
\bar{f}(z)=\sup _{x \in[0,1] \backslash E} f(x, z), & f_{p}^{\infty}=\limsup _{z \rightarrow \infty} \bar{f}(z) / z^{p-1} .
\end{array}
$$

As a special case of Theorem 2.11, we give the following result which depends on the behavior of $f(z) / z^{p-1}$ at 0 and $\infty$.

Corollary 2.13. Assume that $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$ and the following condition holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq f_{p}^{\infty}<\mu_{1}(p)<\left(f_{p}\right)_{0} \leq \infty \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{1}(p)$ is the same as in (2.9). Then (1.1) has a positive solution $z$ in $C_{0}^{1}[0,1]$.

Proof. By (2.24), $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ with $\phi_{r_{0}} \equiv 1$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ with $\psi_{\rho_{0}} \equiv 1$ hold for some $\varepsilon>0$ and $\rho_{0}, r_{0}$ with $0<\rho_{0}<r_{0}<\infty$. The result follows from Theorem 2.11.

Remark 2.14. Corollary 2.13 improves [5, Theorem 1.1] with $N=1$ and $\rho_{\infty}(x)<\lambda_{1, p}<\rho_{\infty}(x)$ in the following ways:
(a) The condition (b) in [5, Theorem 1.1] is stronger than the condition $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$ in this paper.
(b) Corollary 2.13 allows $p \in(1, \infty)$ while [ 5 , Theorem 1.1] requires $p \geq 2$.
(c) The nonzero negative solution $z$ in Corollary 2.13 is a classical solution (see Definition 2.1) while the solution $z$ in [5, Theorem 1.1] is a weak solution, that is, $z$ satisfies

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \phi_{p}\left(z^{\prime}(x)\right) z^{\prime}(x) d x=\int_{0}^{1} f(x, z(x)) z(z) d x \quad \text { for } z \in W_{0}^{1}(0,1) .
$$

It is known that if $f(\cdot, z(\cdot))$ is in $L^{r}$, where $r>1$, then the weak solution $z$ is a classical solution. (see [8, p. 3]). However, under the condition $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, we see that $f(\cdot, z(\cdot))$ is in $L^{1}(0,1)$. Hence, under the condition $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$, it is not clear whether a weak solution of (1.1) is a classical solution.
(d) Corollary 2.13 does not require the two limits $\lim _{|z| \rightarrow 0+} f(x, z) / z^{p-1}$ and $\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} f(x, z) / z^{p-1}$ converge uniformly on $[0,1]$.
(e) Our method is different from that used in [5].

The function $f$ in Corollary 2.13 depends on $x$, but if $f$ is independent of $x$, then we have the following result.

Corollary 2.15. Assume that $f: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is continuous and satisfies

$$
0 \leq \limsup _{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u^{p-1}}<\mu_{1}(p)<\liminf _{u \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(u)}{u^{p-1}} \leq \infty
$$

Then the following p-Laplacian equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} z(x)=f(z(x)) \quad \text { for } x \in(0,1)  \tag{2.25}\\
z(0)=z(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a positive solution $z$ in $C_{0}^{1}[0,1]$ satisfying $z(x)>0$ for $x \in(0,1)$.
As another special case of Theorem 2.11, we obtain the following result.
Corollary 2.16. Assume that $g \in L_{+}^{1}(0,1)$ with $\int_{0}^{1} g(s) d s>0$ and $f:[0,1]$ $\times \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$satisfies $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$, $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$ with $g_{r} \in L_{+}^{\infty}(0,1)$, and $(2.24)$. Then the following $p$-Laplacian equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} z(x)=g(x) f(x, z(x)) \quad \text { for } x \in(0,1)  \tag{2.26}\\
z(0)=z(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a positive solution $z$ in $C_{0}^{1}[0,1]$ satisfying $z(x)>0$ for $x \in(0,1)$.
Proof. Since $g \in L^{1}(0,1)$ and $g_{r} \in L_{+}^{\infty}(0,1)$, the product $g f$ of $g$ and $f$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of Corollary 2.13.

Remark 2.17. Corollary 2.16 with $p=2$ was essentially obtained by Webb and Lan [36], see Theorem 4.1 $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and Theorem 5.1(a) in [36]. Corollary 2.16 with $p \neq 2$ improves Theorem 3(b) with the Dirichlet boundary condition in [33], where $f$ is independent of $x, \lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} f(u) / u^{p-1}=0$ and $\lim _{u \rightarrow 0+} f(u) / u^{p-1}=\infty, g$ satisfies a stronger condition, see $\left(1.6_{a}\right)$ in [33].

## 3. Applications to persistence of population models of one species

In this section, we apply the results in Section 2 to study the persistence of population models of one species governed by (1.5), that is,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} z(x)=\mu z^{\sigma-1}(x)(1-z(x)) \quad \text { for } x \in(0,1)  \tag{3.1}\\
z(0)=z(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $z(x)$ denotes the population density of one species at location $x, \mu>0$ is a parameter related to the patch size of the population, the term $z^{\sigma-1}(x)(1-$ $z(x))$ represents the logistic growth rate of order $\sigma$. We refer to [4], [6], [26], [30] for the study of (3.1) with $p=\sigma=2$. Here we allow $p \in(1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in(1, p]$. To make the population persist on every location $x \in(0,1)$, one needs to find a positive solution $z$.

Let $p \in(1, \infty)$ and let

$$
\mu(\sigma)= \begin{cases}\mu_{p} & \text { if } \sigma=p  \tag{3.2}\\ 0 & \text { for } \sigma \in(1, p)\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $p \in(1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in(1, p]$. Then for $\mu \in(\mu(\sigma), \infty)$, (3.1) has a positive solution $z$ in $C_{0}^{1}[0,1]$.

Proof. Let $\mu \in(\mu(\sigma), \infty)$. We define a function $\mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$by

$$
f(u)= \begin{cases}\mu u^{\sigma-1}(1-u) & \text { for } u \in[0,1]  \tag{3.3}\\ 0 & \text { for } u \in(1, \infty)\end{cases}
$$

Then $\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} f(u) / u^{p-1}=0<\mu_{p}$ and

$$
\lim _{u \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f(u)}{u^{p-1}}=\mu \lim _{u \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{u^{p-\sigma}} \lim _{u \rightarrow 0^{+}}(1-u)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\mu & \text { if } \sigma=p, \\
\infty & \text { for } \sigma \in(1, p),
\end{array}>\mu_{p}\right.
$$

By Corollary 2.15, (2.25) with the function $f$ defined in (3.3) has a positive solution $z$ in $C_{0}^{1}[0,1]$ satisfying $z(x)>0$ for $x \in(0,1)$. We prove that $z$ is a solution of (3.1). It suffices to prove that $\|z\|_{C[0,1]} \leq 1$. The proof is by contradiction. In fact, if $\|z\|_{C[0,1]}>1$, then there exists $x_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that
$z\left(x_{0}\right)=\|z\|_{C[0,1]}>1$. It follows that there exists $\delta \in\left(0, \min \left\{x_{0}, 1-x_{0}\right\}\right)$ such that $z(x)>1$ for $x \in\left(x_{0}-\delta, x_{0}+\delta\right)$. Let

$$
x_{1}=\inf \left\{x \in[0,1]: z(s)>1 \text { for } s \in\left[x, x_{0}\right]\right\}
$$

and

$$
x_{2}=\sup \left\{x \in[0,1]: z(s)>1 \text { for } s \in\left[x_{0}, x\right]\right\} .
$$

Then the following properties hold: (i) $0<x_{1}<x_{0}<x_{2}<1$, (ii) $z(x)>1$ for $x \in\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, (iii) $z\left(x_{1}\right)=z\left(x_{2}\right)=1$ and (iv) $z^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$. By (3.3), $f(z(x))=0$ for $x \in\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$. Hence,

$$
-\Delta_{p} z(x)=f(z(x))=0 \quad \text { for } x \in\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] .
$$

This implies that there exists a constant $\eta>0$ such that

$$
\phi_{p}\left(z^{\prime}(x)\right)=\left|z^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p-2} z^{\prime}(x)=\eta \quad \text { for } x \in\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] .
$$

Since $\phi_{p}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is strictly increasing on $\mathbb{R}, z^{\prime}(x)=\phi_{p}^{-1}(\eta)=\phi_{q}(\eta)$ for $x \in$ $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$, where $1 / p+1 / q=1$. Since $z^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ and $x_{0} \in\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$, we have $z^{\prime}(x)=0$ for $x \in\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$ Hence, we have

$$
z(x)=z\left(x_{0}\right)=\|z\|_{C[0,1]}>1 \quad \text { for } x \in\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] .
$$

which contradicts the above property (iii).
Theorem 3.1 extends [6, Lemma 1(i)] or [26, Lemma 1.1(ii)] from $p=\sigma=2$ to $p \in(1, \infty)$ and $\sigma \in(1, p]$.
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