

**ABSOLUTE RETRACTIVITY  
OF THE COMMON FIXED POINTS SET  
OF TWO MULTIFUNCTIONS**

HOJAT AFSHARI — SHAHRAM REZAPOUR — NASEER SHAHZAD

---

ABSTRACT. In 1970, Schirmer discussed about topological properties of the fixed point set of multifunctions ([4]). Later, some authors continued this study by providing different conditions ([1] and [3]). Recently, Sintamarian proved results on absolute reactivity of the common fixed points set of two multivalued operators ([5] and [6]). We shall present some results on absolute reactivity of the common fixed points set of two multifunctions by using different conditions.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a nonempty set,  $P(X)$  the set of all nonempty subsets of  $X$ ,  $F_1, F_2: X \rightarrow P(X)$  two multifunctions,  $\mathcal{F}_{F_1}$  the fixed point set of  $F_1$ ,  $(\mathcal{CF})_{F_1, F_2}$  the common fixed point set of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , that is  $(\mathcal{CF})_{F_1, F_2} = \{x \in X : x \in F_1x \cap F_2x\}$ . Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be nonempty sets and  $F: X \rightarrow P(Y)$  a multifunctions. A mapping  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  is called a selection of  $F$  whenever  $\varphi(x) \in Fx$  for all  $x \in X$ . Throughout the paper, for a topological space  $X$  we denote the set of all nonempty closed subsets of  $X$  by  $P_{cl}(X)$ , the set of all nonempty convex subsets of  $X$  by  $P_{cv}(X)$  when  $X$  is a vector space, the set of all nonempty closed and bounded subsets of  $X$  by  $P_{b,cl}(X)$  when  $X$  is a metric space and  $P_{cl,cv}(X) = P_{cl}(X) \cap P_{cv}(X)$  when  $X$  is a normed space.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47H04, 47H10 54H25.

*Key words and phrases.* Absolute retract, common fixed point, multifunction.

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. For  $x \in X$  and  $A, B \subseteq X$ , set

$$D(x, A) = \inf_{y \in A} d(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} D(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} D(y, A) \right\}.$$

It is known that,  $H$  is a metric on closed bounded subsets of  $X$  which is called the Hausdorff metric.

We say that a topological space  $X$  is an absolute retract for metric spaces whenever for each metric space  $Y$ ,  $A \in P_{\text{cl}}(Y)$  and continuous function  $\psi: A \rightarrow X$ , there exists a continuous function  $\varphi: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $\varphi|_A = \psi$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the set of all metric spaces,  $X \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{D} \in P(\mathcal{M})$  and  $F: X \rightarrow P_{\text{b,cl}}(X)$  a lower semi-continuous multifunction. We say that  $F$  has the selection property with respect to  $\mathcal{D}$  if for each  $Y \in \mathcal{D}$ , continuous function  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  and continuous functional  $g: Y \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that  $G(y) := \overline{F(f(y)) \cap N_{g(y)}(f(y))} \neq \emptyset$  for all  $y \in Y$ ,  $A \in P_{\text{cl}}(Y)$ , every continuous selection  $\psi: A \rightarrow X$  of  $G|_A$  admits a continuous extension  $\varphi: Y \rightarrow X$ , which is a selection of  $G$ . If  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{M}$ , then we say that  $F$  has the selection property and we denote this by  $F \in \text{SP}(X)$  ([5]).

An interesting problem in fixed point theory of multivalued operators is to investigate under what conditions some properties of the values of a multifunction are inherited by its fixed point set. For some multifunctions, this problem was studied by Schirmer in 1970 ([4]), by Alicu and Mark in 1980 ([1]) and by Ricceri in 1987 ([3]). For example, Schirmer proved that if the values of a contractive multifunction  $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow P(\mathbb{R})$  are closed, bounded and convex, then the fixed point set of  $F$  is compact and closed. Recently, Sintamarian proved some results on absolute reactivity of the common fixed points set of two multivalued operators under some conditions ([5] and [6]). In 2008, Lazar, O'Regan and Petrusel obtained fixed points of Ciric-type multifunctions on a set with two metrics ([2]). In this paper, we shall present some results on absolute reactivity of the common fixed points set of two multifunctions by using different conditions.

## 2. Main results

The following result improves [5; Theorem 2.1] which use arguments similar to those in [5].

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and absolute retract for metric spaces,  $F_1, F_2 \in \text{SP}(X)$  and  $f: X \rightarrow X$  a continuous function such that*

$$\alpha d(x, y) \leq d(f(x), f(y))$$

*for some  $\alpha > 0$  and all  $x, y \in X$ , and  $f(F_1x) \subseteq F_1f(x)$  and  $f(F_2x) \subseteq F_2f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Suppose that there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_5 \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2 \max\{a_4, a_5\} < 1$  and*

$$H(F_1x, F_2y) \leq a_1d(x, y) + a_2D(x, F_1x) + a_3D(y, F_2y) + a_4D(x, F_2y) + a_5D(y, F_1x)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then the set  $B = \{x \in X : x \in F_1f(x) \cap F_2f(x)\}$  is an absolute retract for metric spaces.

PROOF. Let

$$q \in \left(1, \frac{1}{a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2 \max\{a_4, a_5\}}\right)$$

and set

$$l := \max \left\{ \frac{a_1 + a_2 + a_4}{1 - (a_3 + a_4)}, \frac{a_1 + a_3 + a_5}{1 - (a_2 + a_5)} \right\} < 1.$$

Then we have  $ql < 1$ . Let  $Y \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $A \in P_{cl}(Y)$  and  $\psi: A \rightarrow B$  a continuous function. Since  $X$  is an absolute retract for metric spaces, there exists a continuous function  $\varphi_0: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $\varphi_0|_A = \psi$ . Define the functional  $g_0: Y \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  by

$$g_0(y) = \sup\{d(f(\varphi_0(y)), z) : z \in F_1f(\varphi_0(y))\} + 1$$

for all  $y \in Y$ . Note that,  $g_0$  is continuous and

$$F_1f(\varphi_0(y)) \cap N_{g_0(y)}(f(\varphi_0(y))) = F_1f(\varphi_0(y))$$

for all  $y \in Y$ . Also, we observe that the function  $\psi: A \rightarrow B$  is a continuous selection of the multifunction  $A \ni y \vdash F_1f(\varphi_0(y))$ . Since  $F_1 \in SP(X)$ , there exists a continuous function  $\varphi_1: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $\varphi_1|_A = \psi$  and  $\varphi_1(y) \in F_1f(\varphi_0(y))$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Thus,  $f(\varphi_1(y)) \in f(F_1f(\varphi_0(y))) \subseteq F_1f(\varphi_0(y))$  and

$$\begin{aligned} D(f(\varphi_1(y)), F_2f(\varphi_1(y))) &\leq H(F_1f(\varphi_0(y)), F_2f(\varphi_1(y))) \\ &\leq a_1d(f(\varphi_0(y)), f(\varphi_1(y))) + a_2D(f(\varphi_0(y)), F_1f(\varphi_0(y))) \\ &\quad + a_3D(f(\varphi_1(y)), F_2f(\varphi_1(y))) + a_4D(f(\varphi_0(y)), F_2f(\varphi_1(y))) \\ &\quad + a_5D(f(\varphi_1(y)), F_1f(\varphi_0(y))) \\ &\leq a_1d(f(\varphi_0(y)), f(\varphi_1(y))) + a_2d(f(\varphi_0(y)), f(\varphi_1(y))) \\ &\quad + a_3D(f(\varphi_1(y)), F_2f(\varphi_1(y))) + a_4d(f(\varphi_0(y)), f(\varphi_1(y))) \\ &\quad + a_4D(f(\varphi_1(y)), F_2f(\varphi_1(y))). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} D(f(\varphi_1(y)), F_2f(\varphi_1(y))) &\leq \frac{(a_1 + a_2 + a_4)}{(1 - a_3 - a_4)} d(f(\varphi_0(y)), f(\varphi_1(y))) \leq ld(f(\varphi_0(y)), f(\varphi_1(y))) \\ &< ld(f(\varphi_0(y)), f(\varphi_1(y))) + l < ld(f(\varphi_0(y)), f(\varphi_1(y))) + q^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $G_2(y) := F_2f(\varphi_1(y)) \cap N_{ld(f(\varphi_0(y)), f(\varphi_1(y))) + q^{-1}}(f(\varphi_1(y))) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $F_2 \in SP(X)$ , there exists a continuous function  $\varphi_2: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $\varphi_2|_A = \psi$  and  $\varphi_2(y) \in \overline{G_2(y)}$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Thus,  $\varphi_2|_A = \psi$ ,  $\varphi_2(y) \in F_2f(\varphi_1(y))$  for all

$y \in Y$ . Hence,  $f(\varphi_2(y)) \in f(F_2f(\varphi_1(y))) \subseteq F_2f(\varphi_1(y))$  for all  $y \in Y$ . It is easy to see that  $F_2f(\varphi_1(y)) \subseteq N_{ld(f(\varphi_0(y)), f(\varphi_1(y))) + q^{-1}}(f(\varphi_1(y)))$ . Thus,

$$d(f(\varphi_2(y)), f(\varphi_1(y))) \leq ld(f(\varphi_0(y)), f(\varphi_1(y))) + q^{-1}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} D(f(\varphi_2(y)), F_1f(\varphi_2(y))) &\leq H(F_1f(\varphi_2(y)), F_2f(\varphi_1(y))) \\ &\leq a_1d(f(\varphi_1(y)), f(\varphi_2(y))) + a_2D(f(\varphi_2(y)), F_1f(\varphi_2(y))) \\ &\quad + a_3D(f(\varphi_1(y)), F_2f(\varphi_1(y))) + a_4D(f(\varphi_2(y)), F_2f(\varphi_1(y))) \\ &\quad + a_5D(f(\varphi_1(y)), F_1f(\varphi_2(y))) \\ &\leq a_1d(f(\varphi_1(y)), f(\varphi_2(y))) + a_2D(f(\varphi_2(y)), F_1f(\varphi_2(y))) \\ &\quad + a_3d(f(\varphi_1(y)), f(\varphi_2(y))) + a_3D(f(\varphi_2(y)), F_2f(\varphi_1(y))) \\ &\quad + a_4D(f(\varphi_2(y)), F_2f(\varphi_1(y))) + a_5d(f(\varphi_1(y)), f(\varphi_2(y))) \\ &\quad + a_5D(f(\varphi_2(y)), F_1f(\varphi_2(y))). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D(f(\varphi_2(y)), F_1f(\varphi_2(y))) &\leq \frac{(a_1 + a_3 + a_5)}{(1 - a_2 - a_5)}d(f(\varphi_1(y)), f(\varphi_2(y))) \\ &\leq ld(f(\varphi_1(y)), f(\varphi_2(y))) < ld(f(\varphi_1(y)), f(\varphi_2(y))) + l \\ &< ld(f(\varphi_1(y)), f(\varphi_2(y))) + q^{-1} < l^2d(f(\varphi_0(y)), f(\varphi_1(y))) + q^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $G_3(y) := F_1f(\varphi_2(y)) \cap N_{l^2d(f(\varphi_0(y)), f(\varphi_1(y))) + q^{-2}}(f(\varphi_2(y))) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $F_1 \in \text{SP}(X)$ , there exists a continuous function  $\varphi_3: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $\varphi_3|_A = \psi$  and  $\varphi_3(y) \in F_1f(\varphi_2(y))$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Therefore,  $\varphi_3|_A = \psi$ ,  $f(\varphi_3(y)) \in F_1f(\varphi_2(y))$  and  $d(f(\varphi_2(y)), f(\varphi_3(y))) \leq l^2d(f(\varphi_0(y)), f(\varphi_1(y))) + q^{-2}$  for all  $y \in Y$ . By continuing this process, we obtain a sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ , where  $\varphi_n: Y \rightarrow X$  is a continuous function for all  $n \geq 0$ , such that  $\varphi_n|_A = \psi$ ,  $\varphi_{2n-1}(y), f(\varphi_{2n-1}(y)) \in F_1f(\varphi_{2n-2}(y))$  and  $\varphi_{2n}(y), f(\varphi_{2n}(y)) \in F_2f(\varphi_{2n-1}(y))$ , and

$$d(f(\varphi_{n-1}(y)), f(\varphi_n(y))) \leq l^{n-1}d(f(\varphi_0(y)), f(\varphi_1(y))) + q^{-(n-1)}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $y \in Y$ . Now, for each  $\lambda > 0$ , we put

$$Y_\lambda := \{y \in Y : d(f(\varphi_0(y)), f(\varphi_1(y))) < \lambda\}.$$

Since  $f(\varphi_1(y)) \in F_1f(\varphi_0(y))$  and

$$F_1f(\varphi_0(y)) \cap N_{g_0(y)}(f(\varphi_0(y))) = F_1f(\varphi_0(y)),$$

$f(\varphi_1(y)) \in N_{g_0(y)}(f(\varphi_0(y)))$ . Hence,  $d(f(\varphi_0(y)), f(\varphi_1(y))) < \lambda_y := g_0(y)$ . Thus,  $y \in Y_{\lambda_y}$ . Since  $Y_\lambda$  is open for each  $\lambda > 0$ , the family of sets  $\{Y_\lambda | \lambda > 0\}$  is

an open covering of  $Y$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha d(\varphi_{n-1}(y), \varphi_n(y)) &\leq d(f(\varphi_{n-1}(y)), f(\varphi_n(y))) \\ &\leq l^{n-1}d(f(\varphi_0(y)), f(\varphi_1(y))) + q^{-(n-1)} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $y \in Y$ . Since  $l < 1$ ,  $q > 1$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and  $X$  is complete, the sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  converges uniformly on  $Y_\lambda$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ . Let  $\varphi: Y \rightarrow X$  be the pointwise limit of  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  and note that  $\varphi$  is continuous and  $\varphi|_A = \psi$  because  $\varphi_n|_A = \psi$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Since  $f$  is continuous,  $\varphi_{2n-1}(y) \in F_1f(\varphi_{2n-2}(y))$  and  $\varphi_{2n}(y) \in F_2f(\varphi_{2n-1}(y))$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $y \in Y$ , we get  $\varphi(y) \in F_1f(\varphi(y)) \cap F_2f(\varphi(y))$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Therefore,  $\varphi: Y \rightarrow B$  is a continuous extension of  $\psi$ , that is,  $B = \{x \in X : x \in F_1f(x) \cap F_2f(x)\}$  is an absolute retract for metric spaces.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and absolute retract for metric spaces,  $F_1, F_2 \in \text{SP}(X)$  and  $f: X \rightarrow X$  a continuous function such that*

$$\alpha d(x, y) \leq d(f(x), f(y))$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$  and all  $x, y \in X$ , and  $f(F_1x) \subseteq F_1f(x)$  and  $f(F_2fx) \subseteq F_2f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Suppose that there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_5 \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2 \max\{a_4, a_5\} < 1$  and

$$H(F_1x, F_2y) \leq a_1d(x, y) + a_2D(x, F_1x) + a_3D(y, F_2y) + a_4D(x, F_2y) + a_5D(y, F_1x)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then the set  $B_m = \{x \in X : x \in F_1f^m(x) \cap F_2f^m(x)\}$  is an absolute retract for metric spaces for all  $m \geq 1$ .

**PROOF.** We note that  $\alpha^m d(x, y) \leq d(f^m(x), f^m(y))$ ,  $f^m(F_1x) \subseteq F_1f^m(x)$  and  $f^m(F_2x) \subseteq F_2f^m(x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $m \geq 1$ . Now, as before, we can obtain the result.  $\square$

If  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $f(x) = 2x$  for  $x > 0$  and  $f(x) = 3x$  for  $x \leq 0$ , then  $\alpha d(x, y) \leq d(f(x), f(y))$  for some  $\alpha = 2$ . Note that,  $f$  is not linear. Also, define  $F_1x = [0, x]$  if  $x > 0$ ,  $F_1x = [x, -x]$  if  $x \leq 0$ ,  $F_2x = [x, 2x]$  if  $x > 0$  and  $F_2x = [x, 0]$  if  $x \leq 0$ . Then,  $fF_1x = F_1f(x)$  and  $fF_2x = F_2f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and absolute retract for metric spaces,  $F \in \text{SP}(X)$  and  $f: X \rightarrow X$  a continuous function such that  $f(Fx) \subseteq Ff(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Suppose that there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_5 \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2a_4 < 1$  and*

$$H(Fx, Fy) \leq a_1d(x, y) + a_2D(x, Fx) + a_3D(y, Fy) + a_4D(x, Fy) + a_5D(y, Fx)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then the set  $B = \{f(x) : f(x) \in Ff(x)\}$  is an absolute retract for metric spaces.

PROOF. Let  $q \in (1, 1/(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2a_4))$  and set  $l := (a_1 + a_2 + a_4)/(1 - a_3 - a_4)$ . Then we have  $ql < 1$ . Let  $Y \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $A \in P_{cl}(Y)$  and  $\psi: A \rightarrow B$  a continuous function. Since  $X$  is an absolute retract for metric spaces, there exists a continuous function  $\varphi_0: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $\varphi_0|_A = \psi$ .

Define the functional  $g_0: Y \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  by

$$g_0(y) = \sup\{d(\varphi_0(y), z) : z \in F\varphi_0(y)\} + 1$$

for all  $y \in Y$ . Note that,  $g_0$  is continuous and

$$F\varphi_0(y) \cap N_{g_0(y)}(\varphi_0(y)) = F\varphi_0(y)$$

for all  $y \in Y$ . Also, we observe that the function  $\psi: A \rightarrow B$  is a continuous selection of the multifunction  $A \ni y \mapsto F\varphi_0(y)$ . Since  $F \in SP(X)$ , there exists a continuous function  $\varphi_1: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $\varphi_1|_A = \psi$  and  $\varphi_1(y) \in F\varphi_0(y)$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Thus,  $f(\varphi_1(y)) \in f(F\varphi_0(y)) \subseteq Ff(\varphi_0(y))$  and

$$\begin{aligned} D(\varphi_1(y), F\varphi_1(y)) &\leq H(F\varphi_0(y), F\varphi_1(y)) \\ &\leq a_1 d(\varphi_0(y), \varphi_1(y)) + a_2 D(\varphi_0(y), F\varphi_0(y)) \\ &\quad + a_3 D(\varphi_1(y), F\varphi_1(y)) + a_4 D(\varphi_0(y), F\varphi_1(y)) + a_5 D(\varphi_1(y), F\varphi_0(y)) \\ &\leq a_1 d(\varphi_0(y), \varphi_1(y)) + a_2 d(\varphi_0(y), \varphi_1(y)) \\ &\quad + a_3 D(\varphi_1(y), F\varphi_1(y)) + a_4 d(\varphi_0(y), \varphi_1(y)) + a_4 D(\varphi_1(y), F\varphi_1(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D(\varphi_1(y), F\varphi_1(y)) &\leq \frac{(a_1 + a_2 + a_4)}{(1 - a_3 - a_4)} d(\varphi_0(y), \varphi_1(y)) \leq ld(\varphi_0(y), \varphi_1(y)) \\ &< ld(\varphi_0(y), \varphi_1(y)) + l < ld(\varphi_0(y), \varphi_1(y)) + q^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $G_2(y) := F\varphi_1(y) \cap N_{ld(\varphi_0(y), \varphi_1(y)) + q^{-1}}(\varphi_1(y)) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $F \in SP(X)$ , there exists a continuous function  $\varphi_2: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $\varphi_2|_A = \psi$  and  $\varphi_2(y) \in \overline{G_2(y)}$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Thus,  $\varphi_2|_A = \psi$ ,  $\varphi_2(y) \in F\varphi_1(y)$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Hence,  $f(\varphi_2(y)) \in f(F\varphi_1(y)) \subseteq Ff(\varphi_1(y))$  for all  $y \in Y$ . It is easy to see that  $F\varphi_1(y) \subseteq N_{ld(\varphi_0(y), \varphi_1(y)) + q^{-1}}(\varphi_1(y))$ . Thus,  $d(\varphi_2(y), \varphi_1(y)) \leq ld(\varphi_0(y), \varphi_1(y)) + q^{-1}$  and so by using an argument similar to that in the proof Theorem 2.1, we obtain

$$D(\varphi_2(y), F\varphi_2(y)) \leq l^2 d(\varphi_0(y), \varphi_1(y)) + q^{-2}.$$

Again, by continuing this process, we obtain a sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ , where  $\varphi_n: Y \rightarrow X$  is a continuous function for all  $n \geq 0$ , such that  $\varphi_n|_A = \psi$ ,  $\varphi_n(y) \in F\varphi_{n-1}(y)$ ,  $f(\varphi_n(y)) \in Ff(\varphi_{n-1}(y))$  and

$$d(\varphi_{n-1}(y), \varphi_n(y)) \leq l^{n-1} d(\varphi_0(y), \varphi_1(y)) + q^{-(n-1)}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $y \in Y$ . Now, for each  $\lambda > 0$  we put

$$Y_\lambda := \{y \in Y : d(f(\varphi_0(y)), f(\varphi_1(y))) < \lambda\}.$$

The family of sets  $\{Y_\lambda \mid \lambda > 0\}$  is an open covering of  $Y$ . Since  $l < 1$ ,  $q > 1$  and  $X$  is complete, the sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  converges uniformly on  $Y_\lambda$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ . Let  $\varphi: Y \rightarrow X$  be the pointwise limit of  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ . Note that  $\varphi$  is continuous and  $\varphi|_A = \psi$  because  $\varphi_n|_A = \psi$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Since  $f$  is continuous and  $f(\varphi_n(y)) \in Ff(\varphi_{n-1}(y))$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $y \in Y$ , we get  $f(\varphi(y)) \in Ff(\varphi(y))$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Therefore,  $\varphi: Y \rightarrow B$  is a continuous extension of  $\psi$ , that is,  $B = \{f(x) : f(x) \in Ff(x)\}$  is an absolute retract for metric spaces.  $\square$

By using similar proofs we can conclude the following results.

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and absolute retract for metric spaces,  $F \in \text{SP}(X)$  and  $f: X \rightarrow X$  a continuous function such that  $f(Fx) \subseteq Ff(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Suppose that there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_5 \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2a_4 < 1$  and*

$$H(Fx, Fy) \leq a_1d(x, y) + a_2D(x, Fx) + a_3D(y, Fy) + a_4D(x, Fy) + a_5D(y, Fx)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then the set  $B_m = \{f^m(x) : f^m(x) \in Ff^m(x)\}$  is an absolute retract for metric spaces for all  $m \geq 1$ .

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and absolute retract for metric spaces,  $F_1, F_2 \in \text{SP}(X)$  and  $f: X \rightarrow X$  a continuous function such that  $f(F_1x) \subseteq F_1f(x)$  and  $f(F_2x) \subseteq F_2f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Suppose that there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_5 \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2 \max\{a_4, a_5\} < 1$  and*

$$H(F_1x, F_2y) \leq a_1d(x, y) + a_2D(x, F_1x) + a_3D(y, F_2y) + a_4D(x, F_2y) + a_5D(y, F_1x)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then the set  $B_m = \{f^m(x) \in X : f^m(x) \in F_1f^m(x) \cap F_2f^m(x)\}$  is an absolute retract for metric spaces for all  $m \geq 1$ .

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and absolute retract for metric spaces,  $F \in \text{SP}(X)$  and  $f: X \rightarrow X$  a continuous function such that  $\alpha d(x, y) \leq d(f(x), f(y))$  for some  $\alpha > 0$  and all  $x, y \in X$ , and  $f(Fx) \subseteq Ff(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Suppose that there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_5 \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2a_4 < 1$  and*

$$H(Fx, Fy) \leq a_1d(x, y) + a_2D(x, Fx) + a_3D(y, Fy) + a_4D(x, Fy) + a_5D(y, Fx)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then the set  $B_m = \{x \in X : x \in Ff^m(x)\}$  is an absolute retract for metric spaces for all  $m \geq 1$ .

**REMARK 2.7.** Let  $(X, d)$  be metric space and  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  two multifunctions on  $X$ . We say that  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are Sintamarian-type multifunctions if there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_5 \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2 \max\{a_4, a_5\} < 1$  and

$$H(F_1x, F_2y) \leq a_1d(x, y) + a_2D(x, F_1x) + a_3D(y, F_2y) + a_4D(x, F_2y) + a_5D(y, F_1x)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Also, we say that  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are Ciric-type multifunctions if there exists  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$H(F_1x, F_2y) \leq \alpha \max \left\{ d(x, y), D(x, F_1x), D(y, F_2y), \frac{1}{2}[D(x, F_2y) + D(y, F_1x)] \right\}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Note that,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are Sintamarian-type multifunctions if and only if  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are Ciric-type multifunctions. Thus, the results of Sintamarian (and our results) hold for Ciric-type multifunctions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M.C. ALICU AND O. MARK, *Some properties of the fixed points set for multifunctions*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math. **25** (1980), 77–79.
- [2] T. LAZAR, D. O'REGAN AND A. PETRUSEL, *Fixed points and homotopy results for Ciric-type multivalued operators on a set with two metrics*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **45** (2008), 67–73.
- [3] B. RICCERI, *Une propriete topologique de l'ensemble des points fixes d'une contraction multivoque a valeurs convexes*, Atti. Acc. Lincei Rend. **81** (1987), 283–286.
- [4] H. SCHIRMER, *Properties of the fixed point set of contractive multifunctions*, Canad. Math. Bull. **13** (1970), 169–173.
- [5] A. SINTAMARIAN, *A topological property of the common fixed points set of two multivalued operators*, Nonlinear Anal. **70** (2009), 452–456.
- [6] ———, *A topological property of the common fixed points set of two multivalued operators satisfying a Latif-Beg type condition*, Fixed Point Theory **9** (2008), 561–573.

*Manuscript received November 3, 2011*

HOJAT AFSHARI AND SHAHRAM REZAPOUR  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University  
 Azarshahr, Tabriz, IRAN

NASEER SHAHZAD  
 Department of Mathematics  
 King AbdulAziz University  
 P.O. Box 80203  
 Jeddah 21859, SAUDI ARABIA