

## TWIN POSITIVE PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF SECOND ORDER SINGULAR DIFFERENTIAL SYSTEMS

XIAONING LIN — DAQING JIANG — DONAL O'REGAN — RAVI P. AGARWAL

---

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study positive periodic solutions to singular second order differential systems. It is proved that such a problem has at least two positive periodic solutions. The proof relies on a nonlinear alternative of Leray–Schauder type and on Krasnosel'skiĭ fixed point theorem on compression and expansion of cones.

### 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the second order system

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} x'' + a_1(t)x = f_1(x, y), \\ y'' + a_2(t)y = f_2(x, y). \end{cases}$$

The type of nonlinearity  $f_i(x, y)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  we are mainly interested in is when  $f_i(x, y)$  has a singularity near  $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ , although the main results of this paper apply also to a more general type of nonlinearity. We discuss the existence and multiplicity of positive periodic solutions of (1.1), i.e. positive solutions of (1.1) satisfying the periodic boundary condition

$$(1.2) \quad x(0) = x(1), \quad x'(0) = x'(1), \quad y(0) = y(1), \quad y'(0) = y'(1).$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34B16, 34B15.

*Key words and phrases.* Singular problem, positive periodic solution, fixed point theorem in cones, Leray–Schauder alternative.

The work was Supported by the NNSF of China.

Recently, the singular periodic problems have been studied extensively; see [1]–[5], [7]–[9], [11]–[13] and the references therein. Motivated by [13], [14] we study (1.1) and establish the existence of two different positive periodic solutions to (1.1); see Theorems 3.1 and 3.3. The existence of the first solution is obtained using a nonlinear alternative of Leray–Schauder, and the second one is found using a fixed point theorem in cones.

## 2. Preliminaries and notation

Let us consider the linear periodic problem

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} x'' + a(t)x = 0, \\ x(0) = x(1), \quad x'(0) = x'(1). \end{cases}$$

In this section, we assume conditions under which the only solution of problem (2.1) is the trivial one. As a consequence of Fredholm's alternative, the nonhomogeneous problem

$$\begin{cases} x'' + a(t)x = h(t), \\ x(0) = x(1), \quad x'(0) = x'(1), \end{cases}$$

admits a unique solution that can be written as

$$x(t) = \int_0^T G(t, s)h(s) ds,$$

where  $G(t, s)$  is the Green's function of problem (2.1). The following two results follow from [13] directly (We write  $a \succ 0$  if  $a \geq 0$  almost everywhere on  $[0, 1]$  and is positive on a set of positive measure).

LEMMA 2.1. *If  $a(t) \prec 0$ , then  $G(t, s) < 0$  for all  $(t, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ .*

If on the contrary  $a(t) \succ 0$ , the following best Sobolev constants will be used

$$K(q) = \begin{cases} \frac{2\pi}{q} \left( \frac{2}{2+q} \right)^{1-2/q} \left( \frac{\Gamma(1/q)}{\Gamma(1/2 + 1/q)} \right)^2 & \text{if } 1 \leq q < \infty, \\ 4 & \text{if } q = \infty, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the Gamma function. For a given  $p$ , let us define

$$p^* = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{p-1} & \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ 1 & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 2.2. *Assume that  $a(t) \succ 0$  and  $a \in L^p(0, 1)$  for some  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . If*

$$(2.2) \quad \|a\|_p < K(2p^*),$$

*then  $G(t, s) > 0$  for all  $(t, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ .*

REMARK 2.3. If  $p = \infty$  then hypothesis (2.2) is equivalent to  $\|a\|_\infty < (\pi)^2$ , which is a well-known criterion for the maximum principle used in the literature.

Let us define the sets of functions

$$\Lambda^- = \{a \in L^1(0, 1) : a \prec 0\},$$

$$\Lambda^+ = \{a \in L^1(0, 1) : a \succ 0, \|a\|_p < K(2p^*) \text{ for some } 1 \leq p \leq \infty\}.$$

From the above, it is known that if  $a \in \Lambda^+ \cup \Lambda^-$ , then problem (2.1) has a Green's function  $G(t, s)$  with a definite sign.

REMARK 2.4. As in [9], we can compute the maximum ( $M$ ) and the minimum ( $m$ ) of the Green's function when  $a(t) = k^2 < (\pi)^2$ , and we obtain

$$M = \frac{1}{2k \sin(\frac{k}{2})}, \quad m = \frac{1}{2k} \cot(\frac{k}{2}).$$

Throughout this paper, we assume that  $G_i(t, s)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are the Green functions for the problems

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} x'' + a_1(t)x &= h_1(t), & x(0) &= x(1), & x'(0) &= x'(1), \\ y'' + a_2(t)y &= h_2(t), & y(0) &= y(1), & y'(0) &= y'(1), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= (Lh_1)(t) = \int_0^1 G_1(t, s)h_1(s) ds, \\ y(t) &= (Lh_2)(t) = \int_0^1 G_2(t, s)h_2(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

We also assume that

$$(A) \quad a_i \in \Lambda^+ \cup \Lambda^-.$$

Under hypothesis (A), we always denote

$$(2.4) \quad A_i = \min_{0 \leq s, t \leq 1} |G_i(t, s)|, \quad B_i = \max_{0 \leq s, t \leq 1} |G_i(t, s)|, \quad \sigma_i = A_i/B_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Thus  $B_i > A_i > 0$  and  $0 < \sigma_i < 1$ . We also use  $w_i(t)$  to denote the unique periodic solution of (2.3) with  $h_i(t) = 1$ . In particular,  $A_i \leq \|w_i\|_\infty \leq B_i$ .

Here and henceforth, we denote the norm of  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  by  $\|(x, y)\| = \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\}$ , and write  $(x_1, y_1) > (x_2, y_2)$  ( $(x_1, y_1) \geq (x_2, y_2)$ ), if  $(x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2) \in \bar{R}_+^2$  ( $(x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2) \in R_+^2$ ),  $\bar{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$ .

Further, we say that a vector  $(x, y)$  is positive (nonnegative) if  $(x, y) > (0, 0)$  ( $(x, y) \geq (0, 0)$ ).

In order to get the first periodic solution, we need the following nonlinear alternative of Laray-Schauder (see [11]).

THEOREM 2.5. Assume  $\Omega$  is a relatively open subset of a convex set  $K$  in a normed space  $X$ . Let  $A: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow K$  be a continuous and compact map with  $0 \in \Omega$ . Then either

(A<sub>1</sub>)  $A$  has a fixed point in  $\bar{\Omega}$ , or

(A<sub>2</sub>) there is a  $x \in \partial\Omega$  and a  $\lambda < 1$  such that  $x = \lambda A(x)$ .

To obtain a second periodic solution of (1.1), we need the following well known fixed point theorem of compression and expansion of cones [10].

**THEOREM 2.6** ([10]). *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $K (\subset X)$  be a cone. Assume that  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  are open subsets of  $X$  with  $0 \in \Omega_1, \bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$ , and let*

$$T: K \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow K$$

be a continuous and compact operator such that either

- (a)  $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|, u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$  and  $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|, u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$ , or
- (b)  $\|Tu\| \leq \|u\|, u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$  and  $\|Tu\| \geq \|u\|, u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$ .

Then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $K \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ .

In the applications below, we take  $X_1 = C[0, 1]$  with the supremum norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and define

$$K_i = \{x \in X : x(t) \geq 0 \text{ for all } t \in [0, 1] \text{ and } \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} x(t) \geq \sigma_i \|x\|\}, \quad i = 1, 2$$

where  $\sigma_i$  is as in (2.4). Let  $X = X_1 \times X_1, K = K_1 \times K_2$ , then  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space, and  $K$  is a cone in  $X$ .

Suppose now that  $F_i: R \times R \rightarrow R$  is a continuous function and

$$G_i(t, s)F_i(x, y) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } (t, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1], (x, y) \in R^2.$$

Define an operator  $T: X \rightarrow X$  by

$$(2.5) \quad T(x, y) = \left( \int_0^1 G_1(t, s)F_1(x(s), y(s)) ds, \int_0^1 G_2(t, s)F_2(x(s), y(s)) ds \right)$$

for  $(x, y) \in X$ .

**LEMMA 2.7.**  *$T$  is well defined and maps  $X$  into  $K$ . Moreover,  $T$  is continuous and completely continuous.*

**PROOF.** From [11], it is easy to see that  $T$  is continuous and completely continuous. Next, we show  $T: X \rightarrow K$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 G_1(t, s)F_1(x(s), y(s)) ds &= \int_0^1 |G_1(t, s)F_1(x(s), y(s))| ds \\ &\geq A_1 \int_0^1 |F_1(x(s), y(s))| ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_0^1 |G_1(t, s)F_1(x(s), y(s))| ds \leq B_1 \int_0^1 |F_1(x(s), y(s))| ds,$$

we have

$$\left\| \int_0^1 |G_1(t, s)F_1(x(s), y(s))| ds \right\| \leq B_1 \int_0^1 |F_1(x(s), y(s))| ds,$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |G_1(t, s)F_1(x(s), y(s))| ds &\geq A_1 \int_0^1 |F_1(x(s), y(s))| ds \\ &\geq \sigma_1 \left\| \int_0^1 |G_1(t, s)F_1(x(s), y(s))| ds \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\int_0^1 G_1(t, s)F_1(x(s), y(s)) ds \geq \sigma_1 \left\| \int_0^1 G_1(t, s)F_1(x(s), y(s)) ds \right\|.$$

Similarly

$$\int_0^1 G_2(t, s)F_2(x(s), y(s)) ds \geq \sigma_2 \left\| \int_0^1 G_2(t, s)F_2(x(s), y(s)) ds \right\|,$$

so,  $T(x, y) \in K_1 \times K_2$ . □

Throughout this paper, we make the following hypotheses:

- (H<sub>1</sub>)  $G_i(t, s)f_i(x, y) > 0$  for all  $(t, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ ,  $(x, y) \in [0, \infty)^2 \setminus (0, 0)$ .  
 (H<sub>2</sub>)  $|f_i(x, y)| \in C([0, \infty)^2 \setminus (0, 0), (-\infty, \infty))$  and there exist continuous, positive functions  $g_i(x, y)$  and  $h_i(x, y)$  on  $[0, \infty)^2 \setminus (0, 0)$  such that

$$|f_i(x, y)| = g_i(x, y) + h_i(x, y) \quad \text{for all } (x, y) \in [0, \infty)^2 \setminus (0, 0), \quad i = 1, 2$$

with  $g_i > 0$  continuous and nonincreasing on  $[0, \infty)^2 \setminus (0, 0)$ ,  $h_i \geq 0$  continuous on  $[0, \infty)^2$  and  $h_i/g_i$  nondecreasing on  $[0, \infty)^2 \setminus (0, 0)$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ .

- (H<sub>3</sub>) There exists a positive  $r$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r}{g_1(\sigma_1 r, 0)(1 + h_1(r, r)/g_1(r, r))} &\geq \|\omega_1\|, \\ \frac{r}{g_2(0, \sigma_2 r)(1 + h_2(r, r)/g_2(r, r))} &\geq \|\omega_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

- (H<sub>4</sub>) There exists a positive  $R > r$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{R}{\sigma_1 g_1(R, R)(1 + h_1(\sigma_1 R, 0)/g_1(\sigma_1 R, 0))} &\leq \|\omega_1\|, \\ \frac{R}{\sigma_2 g_2(R, R)(1 + h_2(0, \sigma_2 R)/g_2(0, \sigma_2 R))} &\leq \|\omega_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Main result and proof

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Suppose that  $a_i$  satisfies (A) and let (H<sub>1</sub>)–(H<sub>3</sub>) hold. Then the problem (1.1) has at least one positive periodic solution.*

**PROOF.** The existence is proved by using the Leray–Schauder alternative principle, together with a truncation technique.

Let  $N_0 = \{n_0, n_0 + 1, \dots\}$ , where  $n_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$  is chosen such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_1\|g_1(\sigma_1r, 0)\left(1 + \frac{h_1(r, r)}{g_1(r, r)}\right) + \frac{1}{n_0} &< r, \\ \|\omega_2\|g_2(0, \sigma_2r)\left(1 + \frac{h_2(r, r)}{g_2(r, r)}\right) + \frac{1}{n_0} &< r; \end{aligned}$$

see (H<sub>3</sub>). Fix  $n \in N_0$ . Consider the systems

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} x'' + a_1(t)x = \lambda f_1^n(x, y) + a_1(t)/n, \\ y'' + a_2(t)y = \lambda f_2^n(x, y) + a_2(t)/n, \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $|f_i^n(x, y)| = g_i^*(x, y) + h_i(x, y)$ . Here

$$g_1^*(x, y) = \begin{cases} g_1(x, y) & \text{for } x > 1/n, \\ g_1(1/n, y) & \text{for } x \leq 1/n, \end{cases}$$

and

$$g_2^*(x, y) = \begin{cases} g_2(x, y) & \text{for } y > 1/n, \\ g_2(x, 1/n) & \text{for } y \leq 1/n. \end{cases}$$

Problem (3.1)–(1.2) is equivalent to the following fixed point problem in  $C[0, 1] \times C[0, 1]$

$$(3.2) \quad (x, y) = \lambda T_n(x, y) + \left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right),$$

where  $T_n$  denotes the operator defined by (2.5), with  $F_i(x, y)$  replaced by  $f_i^n(x, y)$ .

We claim that any fixed point  $x$  of (3.2) for any  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  must satisfy  $\|(x, y)\| \neq r$ . If not, assume that  $(x, y)$  is a solution of (3.2) for some  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\|(x, y)\| = r$ . Since

$$\|(x, y)\| = \max(\|x\|, \|y\|),$$

without loss of generality, we assume that  $\|x\| = r$ . Note that  $f_i^n(x, y) \geq 0$ . By Lemma 2.7, for all  $t$ ,

$$x(t) \geq \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad r \geq x(t) \geq \frac{1}{n} + \sigma_1 \left\|x - \frac{1}{n}\right\|.$$

By the choice of  $n_0$ ,  $1/n \leq 1/n_0 < r$ .

Hence, for all  $t$ ,  $x(t) \geq 1/n$ ,  $y(t) \geq 1/n$  and

$$(3.3) \quad r \geq x(t) \geq \frac{1}{n} + \sigma_1 \left\|x - \frac{1}{n}\right\| \geq \frac{1}{n} + \sigma_1 \left(r - \frac{1}{n}\right) > \sigma_1 r.$$

Note that

$$\int_0^1 |G_1(t, s)| ds = \left| \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) ds \right| = |\omega_1(t)|.$$

Using (3.3), we have from condition  $(H_2)$ , for all  $t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \quad x(t) &= \lambda \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) f_1^n(x(s), y(s)) ds + \frac{1}{n} \\
 &\leq \int_0^1 |G_1(t, s)| |f_1(x(s), y(s))| ds + \frac{1}{n} \\
 &= \int_0^1 |G_1(t, s)| g_1(x(s), y(s)) \left(1 + \frac{h_1(x(s), y(s))}{g_1(x(s), y(s))}\right) ds + \frac{1}{n} \\
 &\leq g_1(\sigma_1 r, 0) \left(1 + \frac{h_1(r, r)}{g_1(r, r)}\right) \int_0^1 |G_1(t, s)| ds + \frac{1}{n_0} \\
 &\leq \|\omega_1\| g_1(\sigma_1 r, 0) \left(1 + \frac{h_1(r, r)}{g_1(r, r)}\right) + \frac{1}{n_0}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$r = \|x\| \leq \|\omega_1\| g_1(\sigma_1 r, 0) \left(1 + \frac{h_1(r, r)}{g_1(r, r)}\right) + \frac{1}{n_0}.$$

This is a contradiction to the choice of  $n_0$  and the claim is proved.

From this claim, the nonlinear alternative of Leray–Schauder guarantees that (3.2) (with  $\lambda = 1$ ) has a fixed point, denoted by  $(x_n, y_n)$ , in  $B_r = \{(x, y) : \|(x, y)\| < r\}$ , i.e. (3.1) (with  $\lambda = 1$ ) has a periodic solution  $(x_n, y_n)$  with  $\|(x_n, y_n)\| < r$ . Since  $(x_n, y_n)$  satisfies (3.2),  $(x_n, y_n) \geq (1/n, 1/n)$  for all  $t$ . Thus  $(x_n, y_n)$  is a positive periodic solution of (3.1) (with  $\lambda = 1$ ).

Next we claim that these solutions  $(x_n, y_n)$  have a uniform positive lower bound, i.e. there exists a constant vector  $\delta = (\delta_1, \delta_2)$ ,  $\delta > (0, 0)$ , independent of  $n \in N_0$ , such that

$$(3.5) \quad \min_t (x_n(t), y_n(t)) \geq \delta$$

for all  $n \in N_0$ . To see this, we know from  $(H_1)$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_n(t) &= \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) f_1^n(x_n(s), y_n(s)) ds + \frac{1}{n} \\
 &= \int_0^1 |G_1(t, s)| f_1(x_n(s), y_n(s)) ds + \frac{1}{n} \\
 &\geq \int_0^1 |G_1(t, s)| g_1(x_n(s), y_n(s)) ds + \frac{1}{n} > A g_1(r, r) =: \delta_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly  $y_n(t) > A_2 g_2(r, r) = \delta_2$ , so we have  $\min_t (x_n(t), y_n(t)) \geq \delta$ .

To establish the existence to the original system (1.1), we need the following fact

$$(3.6) \quad \|(x'_n, y'_n)\| \leq H$$

for some constant  $H > 0$  and for all  $n \geq n_0$ . First, we claim there is  $H_1$ , such that  $\|x'_n\| \leq H_1$ . First from the boundary condition,  $x'_n(t_0) = 0$  for some  $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ .

Integrating the first equation of (3.1) (with  $\lambda = 1$ ) from 0 to 1, we obtain

$$\int_0^1 a_1(t) \left( x_n(t) - \frac{1}{n} \right) dt = \int_0^1 f_1^n(x_n(s), y_n(s)) ds.$$

Since  $x_n(t) \geq 1/n$  and  $a_1(t)f_1(x_n(s), y_n(s)) > 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x'_n\| &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |x'_n(t)| = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \int_{t_0}^t x''_n(s) ds \right| \\ &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \int_{t_0}^t \left[ f_1^n(x_n(s), y_n(s)) + a_1(s) \left( \frac{1}{n} - x_n(s) \right) \right] ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |f_1^n(x_n(s), y_n(s))| + \left| a_1(s) \left( x_n(s) - \frac{1}{n} \right) \right| ds \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 |a_1(s)x_n(s)| ds < 2r \|a_1\|_1 =: H_1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have  $\|y'_n\| \leq H_2$ .

Let  $H = \max\{H_1, H_2\}$ , so  $\|(x'_n, y'_n)\| \leq H$ .

Now  $\|(x_n, y_n)\| < r$  and (3.6) show that  $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n \in N_0}$  is a bounded and equi-continuous family on  $[0, 1]$ . The Arzela–Ascoli Theorem guarantees that  $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n \in N_0}$  has a subsequence,  $\{(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k})\}_{k \in N}$ , converging uniformly on  $[0, 1]$  to a  $(x, y) \in C[0, 1] \times C[0, 1]$ . From  $\|(x_n, y_n)\| < r$  and (3.5),  $(x, y)$  satisfies  $\delta \leq (x(t), y(t)) \leq (r, r)$  for all  $t$ . Moreover,  $(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k})$  satisfies the integral equation

$$\begin{cases} x_{n_k}(t) = \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) f_1(x_{n_k}(s), y_{n_k}(s)) ds + \frac{1}{n_k}, \\ y_{n_k}(t) = \int_0^1 G_2(t, s) f_2(x_{n_k}(s), y_{n_k}(s)) ds + \frac{1}{n_k}. \end{cases}$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we arrive at

$$(x(t), y(t)) = \left( \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) f_1(x(s), y(s)) ds, \int_0^1 G_2(t, s) f_2(x(s), y(s)) ds \right)$$

where the uniform continuity of  $f_i(x, y)$  on  $[\delta_1, r] \times [\delta_2, r]$  is used. Therefore,  $(x, y)$  is a positive periodic solution of (1.1).

Finally it is easy to see that  $\|(x, y)\| < r$ , by noting that if  $\|(x, y)\| = r$  an argument similar to the proof of the first claim will yield a contradiction.  $\square$

EXAMPLE 3.2. Consider the singular problem

$$(3.7) \begin{cases} x''(t) + a_1(t)x(t) = \sqrt{(x^2 + y^2)^{-\alpha}} + \mu\sqrt{(x^2 + y^2)^\beta}, \\ y''(t) + a_2(t)y(t) = -\sqrt{(x^2 + y^2)^{-\alpha}} - \mu\sqrt{(x^2 + y^2)^\beta}, & 0 < t < 1, \\ x(0) = x(1), x'(0) = x'(1), y(0) = y(1), y'(0) = y'(1), & \alpha > 0, \beta \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $a_1 \in \Lambda^+$ ,  $a_2 \in \Lambda^-$ . Then (3.7) has at least one positive periodic solution for each  $0 < \mu < \mu_*$ , where  $\mu_*$  is some positive constant.

We will apply Theorem 3.1 with  $g_i = \sqrt{(x^2 + y^2)^{-\alpha}}$ ,  $h_i = \mu\sqrt{(x^2 + y^2)^\beta}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Clearly, (H<sub>1</sub>) and (H<sub>2</sub>) hold. Now the condition (H<sub>3</sub>) becomes

$$\mu < \frac{\sigma_1^\alpha \sqrt{2}^{-\alpha-\beta} r^{\alpha+1} / \|\omega_1\| - \sqrt{2}^{-\alpha-\beta}}{r^{\alpha+\beta}}$$

and

$$\mu < \frac{\sigma_2^\alpha \sqrt{2}^{-\alpha-\beta} r^{\alpha+1} / \|\omega_2\| - \sqrt{2}^{-\alpha-\beta}}{r^{\alpha+\beta}}$$

for some  $r > 0$ , so (3.7) has at least one positive period solution  $(x_1, y_1)$  for  $0 < \mu < \mu^*$ , if

$$\mu^* = \max \left\{ \sup_{r>0} \frac{\sigma_1^\alpha \sqrt{2}^{-\beta} r^{\alpha+1} / \|\omega_1\| - \sqrt{2}^{-\alpha-\beta}}{r^{\alpha+\beta}}, \sup_{r>0} \frac{\sigma_2^\alpha \sqrt{2}^{-\beta} r^{\alpha+1} / \|\omega_2\| - \sqrt{2}^{-\alpha-\beta}}{r^{\alpha+\beta}} \right\}.$$

We remark here that  $\mu^* = \infty$  if  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ , and  $\mu^* < \infty$  if  $\beta > 1$ .

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Suppose that  $a_i$  satisfies (A) and let (H<sub>1</sub>)–(H<sub>4</sub>) hold. Then, besides the periodic solution  $x$  constructed in Theorem 3.1, the problem (1.1) has another positive periodic solution.*

**PROOF.** First we have  $\|T(x, y)\| < \|(x, y)\|$  for  $(x, y) \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ ,  $\Omega_1 = B_r$ . In fact, if  $x \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ , then  $\|(x, y)\| = r$ . Now the estimate  $\|T(x, y)\| < r$  can be obtained following the ideas used to prove (3.4).

Next we show that  $\|T(x, y)\| \geq \|(x, y)\|$  for  $(x, y) \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$ , where  $\Omega_2 = B_R = \{(x, y) \mid \|(x, y)\| < R\}$ , and  $R$  is as in (H<sub>4</sub>). To see this, let  $(x, y) \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$ . Then  $\|(x, y)\| = R$  and without loss of generality we assume  $\|x\| = R$ , so  $x(t) \geq \sigma_1 R$ . As a result, it follows from (H<sub>2</sub>) and (H<sub>4</sub>) that, for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) f_1(x(s), y(s)) ds &= \int_0^1 |G_1(t, s)| g_1(x(s), y(s)) \frac{1 + h_1(x(s), y(s))}{g_1(x(s), y(s))} ds \\ &\geq \int_0^1 |G_1(t, s)| g_1(R, R) \frac{1 + h_1(\sigma_1 R, 0)}{g_1(\sigma_1 R, 0)} ds \\ &= g_1(R, R) \frac{1 + h_1(\sigma_1 R, 0)}{g_1(\sigma_1 R, 0)} \omega_1(t) \\ &\geq \sigma_1 \|\omega_1\| g_1(R, R) \frac{1 + h_1(\sigma_1 R, 0)}{g_1(\sigma_1 R, 0)} \geq R. \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $\|T(x, y)\| \geq \|(x, y)\|$ .

Now Theorem 2.6 guarantees that  $T$  has a fixed point  $(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}) \in K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ . Thus  $r \leq \|\widetilde{(x, y)}\| \leq R$ .

By the same argument as in Theorem 3.1 we see that there exist  $(\delta_3, \delta_4) > (0, 0)$  such that  $\widetilde{(x, y)} > (\delta_3, \delta_4)$ . □

Let us consider (3.7) again with  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta > 1$ . Now the condition  $(H_4)$  becomes

$$(3.8) \quad \mu \geq \frac{\sigma_1^{-\alpha-\beta-1} \sqrt{2}^\alpha R^{\alpha+1} / \|\omega_1\| - \sigma_1^{-\alpha-\beta} \sqrt{2}^{-\beta}}{R^{\alpha+\beta}}$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad \mu \geq \frac{\sigma_2^{-\alpha-\beta-1} \sqrt{2}^\alpha R^{\alpha+1} / \|\omega_2\| - \sigma_2^{-\alpha-\beta} \sqrt{2}^{-\beta}}{R^{\alpha+\beta}}.$$

Since  $\beta > 1$ , the right-hand side goes to 0 as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, for any given  $0 < \mu < \mu^*$ , it is always possible to find a  $R > r$  such that (3.8) and (3.9) are satisfied. Thus, (3.7) has an additional periodic solution  $(x_2, y_2)$  such that  $r < \|(x_2, y_2)\| \leq R$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. BONHEURE AND C. DE COSTER, *Forced singular oscillators and the method of lower and upper solutions*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. (to appear).
- [2] C. DE COSTER AND P. HABETS, *Upper and lower solutions in the theory of ODE boundary value problems: Classical and recent results*, Nonlinear Analysis and Boundary Value Problems for Ordinary Differential Equations, (F. Zanolin, ed.), CISM-ICMS, vol. 371, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996, pp. 1–78.
- [3] M. A. DEL PINO, R. F. MANÁSEVICH AND A. MONTERO, *T-periodic solutions for some second order differential equations with singularities*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh **120A** (1992), 231–243.
- [4] Y. DONG, *Invariance of homotopy and an extension of a theorem by Habets–Metzen on periodic solutions of Duffing equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **46** (2001), 1123–1132.
- [5] L. H. ERBE AND R. M. MATHSEN, *Positive solutions for singular nonlinear boundary value problems*, Nonlinear Anal. **46** (2001), 979–986.
- [6] L. H. ERBE AND H. WANG, *On the existence of positive solutions of ordinary differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1994), 743–748.
- [7] A. FONDA, *Periodic solutions of scalar second order differential equations with a singularity*, Mém. Classe Sci. Acad. Roy. Belgique **8-IV** (1993), 68–98.
- [8] P. HABETS AND L. SANCHEZ, *Periodic solution of some Liénard equations with singularities*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **109** (1990), 1135–1144.
- [9] D. Q. JIANG, *On the existence of positive solutions to second order periodic BVPs*, Acta Math. Sinica New Ser. **18** (1998), 31–35.
- [10] M. A. KRASNOSEL'SKIĬ, *Positive Solutions of Operator Equations*, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1964.
- [11] D. O'REGAN, *Existence Theory for Nonlinear Ordinary Differential Equations*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1997.
- [12] I. RACHUNKOVÁ, M. TVRDÝ, AND I. VRKOČ, *Existence of nonnegative and nonpositive solutions for second order periodic boundary value problems*, J. Differential Equations **176** (2001), 445–469.
- [13] P. J. TORRES, *Existence of one-signed periodic solutions of some second-order differential equations via a Krasnosel'skiĭ fixed point theorem*, J. Differential Equations **190** (2003), 643–662.

- [14] P. J. TORRES AND M. ZHANG, *A monotone iterative scheme for a nonlinear second order equation based on a generalized anti-maximum principle*, Math. Nachr. **251** (2003), 101–107.

*Manuscript received November 15, 2004*

XIAONING LIN  
Department of Mathematics  
Northeast Normal University  
Business School of Northeast Normal University  
Changchun 130024, Jilin, CHINA

DAQING JIANG  
Department of Mathematics  
Northeast Normal University  
Changchun 130024, Jilin, CHINA

*E-mail address:* daqingjiang@vip.163.com

DONAL O'REGAN  
Department of Mathematics  
National University of Ireland  
Galway, IRELAND

*E-mail address:* donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie

RAVI P. AGARWAL  
Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Florida Institute of Technology  
Melbourne, Florida 32901, USA

*E-mail address:* agarwal@fit.edu