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#### Abstract

We study the effect of the coefficient of the critical nonlinearity for the Neumann problem on existence of symmetric least energy solutions. As a by-product we obtain two inequalities in symmetric Sobolev spaces involving a weighted critical Lebesgue norm and the $H^{1}$ norm.


## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this work is to investigate the existence and nonexistence of least energy solutions, having some symmetry properties, of the nonlinear Neumann problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u+\lambda u=Q u^{2^{*}-1}, & u>0 \\ \frac{\text { in } \Omega}{\partial u}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

under symmetric assumptions on the coefficient function $Q$ and the domain $\Omega$. The problem ( $\mathrm{I}_{\lambda}$ ) originates in the study of mathematical models in biological pattern formation theory governed by diffusion and cross-diffusion systems such as the Gierer and Meinhardt and the Keller and Segel models. In this respect the reader is referred to the survey article [16] by W. M. Ni.

[^0]The number $2^{*}$ is the critical Sobolev exponent, $2^{*}=2 N /(N-2)$, and $\lambda>0$. The domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 3$, is bounded, with smooth boundary, and $G$-invariant, where $G$ is a finite subgroup of $O(\mathbb{N})$; that is, if $x \in \Omega$ and $g \in G$, then $g x \in \Omega$. The vector $\nu$ denotes the outer normal to $\partial \Omega$. The coefficient $Q$ is Hölder continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$, nonconstant, nonnegative, and also $G$-invariant, that is

$$
Q(x)=Q(g x) \quad \text { for } x \in \bar{\Omega} \text { and } g \in G
$$

Solutions will be obtained as minimizers of the constrained variational problem

$$
m_{\lambda, G}:=\inf \left\{\left.E_{\lambda}(u)\left|u \in H_{G}^{1}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} Q\right| u\right|^{2^{*}}=1\right\}
$$

where

$$
E_{\lambda}(u):=\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\lambda u^{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
H_{G}^{1}(\Omega):=\left\{u \in H^{1}(\Omega) \mid u(\cdot)=u(g \cdot) \text { for every } g \in G\right\}
$$

If $Q \equiv 1$ on $\bar{\Omega}$, and with no symmetry assumptions, the problem $\left(I_{\lambda}\right)$ has an extensive literature.

In the subcritical case, this problem has been studied by C. S. Lin, W. M. Ni, L. Takagi ([14]) and W. M. Ni, L. Takagi ([17], [18]). They obtained existence of a least energy solution for $\lambda$ sufficiently large. This solution has exactly one maximum point $P_{\lambda}$ in $\bar{\Omega} . P_{\lambda} \in \partial \Omega$ and $H\left(P_{\lambda}\right) \rightarrow \max _{\partial \Omega} H$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, where $H$ is the mean curvature of $\partial \Omega$ with respect to the outward normal.

In the critical case, existence of a least energy solution was proved by Adimurthi and G. Mancini ([1]) and X. J. Wang ([21]). Denoting by $m_{\lambda}$ the corresponding least energy in this case,

$$
m_{\lambda}:=\inf \left\{\left.E_{\lambda}(u)\left|\int_{\Omega}\right| u\right|^{2^{*}}=1\right\}
$$

and by $S$ the best Sobolev constant,

$$
S=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2^{*}}\right)^{2 / 2^{*}}},
$$

they proved that

$$
m_{\lambda}<\frac{S}{2^{2 / N}} \quad \text { for } \lambda>0
$$

and proved that this condition yields the existence of a minimizer. The least energy solutions are single-peaked in the sense that they attain their maximum at exactly one point $P_{\lambda} \in \partial \Omega$, for large $\lambda$. Moreover, $H\left(P_{\lambda}\right) \rightarrow \max _{\partial \Omega} H$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

Besides the study of least energy solutions, higher energy solutions have also been constructed. Adimurthi, Pacela and Yadava ([3]) proved existence of a solution concentrating at a strict local maximum of the mean curvature $H$, with
$H>0$, for $n \geq 7$. They also proved that solutions blow up at critical critical points of $H$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ (see also [4]). These results were extended to dimensions $n=5,6$ by Z. Q. Wang ([23]). With the aid of the Lyapunov-Schmidt type reduction method, Adimurthi, Mancini and Yadava ([2]) proved existence of a solution concentrating at a nondegenerate critical point of the mean curvature, with positive mean curvature, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, for $n \geq 6$.

More recently, Gui and Ghoussoub ([13]) gave a general construction of a multi-peaked solution having a finite number of peaks on the boundary, provided the mean curvature of the boundary has the same number of strict local maximums, with positive mean curvature, for $n \geq 5$. O. Rey ([19]) constructed solutions having a finite number of peaks on the boundary, provided the mean curvature of the boundary has the same number of nondegenerate critical points, with positive mean curvature, for $n \geq 6$.

In the case of symmetric domains, Z. Q. Wang ([22], [24]-[26]) proved existence of multi-peaked solutions belonging to symmetric Sobolev spaces.
J. Chabrowski and M. Willem ([8]) investigated the effect of the coefficient $Q$ on the existence of least energy solutions.

In this work we study the effect of symmetries of the coefficient $Q$ on the existence of multi-peaked solutions of $\left(\mathrm{I}_{\lambda}\right)$ in symmetric Sobolev spaces. This is done by combining the techniques used in [8] and [26]. As a by-product we obtain inequalities involving weighted Lebesgue norms with the critical Sobolev exponent and the $H^{1}$ norm.

The organization of this work is as follows. In Section 2 we give the minimum level $\widehat{S}$ at which compactness of $E_{\lambda}$ fails, and we give the asymptotic behavior of the infimum $m_{\lambda, G}$, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. In Section 3 we examine the situation where concentration occurs at the boundary of $\Omega$, as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, and prove there exist least energy solutions for all positive $\lambda$. In Section 4 we examine the situations where there exists a $\Lambda>0$ such that least energy solutions exist for $0<\lambda<\Lambda$ and least energy solutions do not exist for $\lambda>\Lambda$. The argument is by contradiction. If least energy solutions were to exist for all positive $\lambda$, then concentration would occur in the interior of $\Omega$. Using an estimate due to H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg, this leads to a contradiction. In Section 5 we derive two Sobolev inequalities as corollaries of the results of Section 4. Finally, for the reader's convenience, in the Appendix we give the proof of Struwe's compactness lemma for this Neumann problem.

## 2. Preliminaries

First recall the case that $Q \equiv 1$ and $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{N}$. The Talenti instanton

$$
U(x):=\left(\frac{N(N-2)}{N(N-2)+|x|^{2}}\right)^{(N-2) / 2}
$$

minimizes the ratio

$$
\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} u^{2^{*}}\right)^{2 / 2^{*}}}
$$

among nonzero functions in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. The minimum is the Sobolev constant $S$. The instanton $U$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta U=U^{2^{*}-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{2^{*}}=S^{N / 2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$. For later use, we define the rescaled function

$$
U_{\varepsilon, P}:=\varepsilon^{-(N-2) / 2} U\left(\frac{\cdot-P}{\varepsilon}\right),
$$

which also satisfies equalities (1) and (2).
Now let $\Omega, Q$ and $G$ be as in the introduction. Let

$$
Q_{M}:=\max _{\bar{\Omega}} Q, \quad Q_{m}:=\max _{\partial \Omega} Q
$$

and

$$
k:=\min \{\# G(x) \mid x \in \bar{\Omega} \backslash\{0\}\},
$$

where $G(x)$ denotes the orbit of $x$ and $\# G(x)$ is the cardinal number of $G(x)$. Define

$$
E_{\lambda}(u):=\int_{\Omega}\left[|\nabla u|^{2}+\lambda u^{2}\right]
$$

on $H^{1}(\Omega)$, and

$$
V_{G}(\Omega):=\left\{\left.u \in H_{G}^{1}(\Omega)\left|\int_{\Omega} Q\right| u\right|^{2^{*}}=1\right\} .
$$

Let $m_{\lambda, G}:=\inf _{u \in V_{G}(\Omega)} E_{\lambda}(u)$.
As stated in the introduction, our main objective is to inquire about the existence of minimum for $m_{\lambda, G}$. Suppose that $0 \in \Omega$ and $Q(0)>0$. Our first lemma will concern the comparison of $m_{\lambda, G}$ with the quantity $\widehat{S}$, which we now define. Let

$$
\widehat{S}:=\min \left(\frac{S k^{2 / N}}{2^{2 / N} Q_{m}^{(N-2) / N}}, \frac{S}{Q(0)^{(N-2) / N}}, \frac{S k^{2 / N}}{Q_{M}^{(N-2) / N}}\right) .
$$

Note that if the minimum is equal to the first term, then

$$
\text { Case 1: }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{m} \geq Q_{M} / 2^{2 /(N-2)} \\
Q_{m} \geq k^{2 /(N-2)} Q(0) / 2^{2 /(N-2)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Otherwise, either the minimum is equal to the second term,
Case 2: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}Q(0)>2^{2 /(N-2)} Q_{m} / k^{2 /(N-2)}, \\ Q(0) \geq Q_{M} / k^{2 /(N-2)},\end{array}\right.$
or the minimum is equal to the third term,

$$
\text { Case 3: }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{M}>2^{2 /(N-2)} Q_{m}, \\
Q_{M} \geq k^{2 /(N-2)} Q(0),
\end{array}\right.
$$

and, of course, these two last cases occur simultaneously if the second and third terms are equal and smaller than the first one.

Lemma 2.1. For all $\lambda>0, m_{\lambda, G} \leq \widehat{S}$.
Proof. The proof is standard. In the Case 2, concentrate an instanton at the origin, cut it off far away from the origin using a positive symmetric test function and multiply the product by a constant, so that the result belongs to the space $V_{G}(\Omega)$. The proof in Cases 1 and 3 is similar, but instead of one instanton use an invariant function obtained using $k$ instantons, concentrated at points of maximum of $Q_{m}$ and $Q_{M}$, respectively.

The function $\lambda \mapsto m_{\lambda, G}$ is increasing and continuous. So, there exists a $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \cup\{\infty\}$ such that $m_{\lambda, G}<\widehat{S}$ for $\lambda<\Lambda$ and $m_{\lambda, G}=\widehat{S}$ for $\lambda \geq \Lambda$. The value $\Lambda$ cannot be zero because $m_{\lambda, G}<\widehat{S}$ for small $\lambda$, as can be seen by evaluating $E_{\lambda}(u)$ for $u$ constant in $V_{G}(\Omega)$. So we give the following

Definition 2.2. $\Lambda:=\inf \left\{\lambda: m_{\lambda, G}=\widehat{S}\right\}$.
We now recall the concentration-compactness principle ([15]). Let $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^{1}(\Omega), u_{n} \rightarrow u$ a.e. on $\Omega$ and $\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}} \rightharpoonup \mu,\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \rightharpoonup \widetilde{\mu}$ in the sense of measures on $\bar{\Omega}$. Then, there exists at most a countable set $J$, numbers $\mu_{j}>0$ and $\widetilde{\mu}_{j}>0$ and points $x_{j} \in \bar{\Omega}, j \in J$, such that

$$
\mu=|u|^{2^{*}}+\sum_{j \in J} \mu_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}, \quad \widetilde{\mu} \geq|\nabla u|^{2}+\sum_{j \in J} \widetilde{\mu}_{j} \delta_{x_{j}}
$$

Moreover,

- if $x_{j} \in \Omega$, then $S\left(\mu_{j}\right)^{(N-2) / N} \leq \widetilde{\mu}_{j}$,
- and if $x_{j} \in \partial \Omega$, then $S / 2^{2 / N}\left(\mu_{j}\right)^{(N-2) / N} \leq \widetilde{\mu}_{j}$.

The first inequality is a consequence of the Sobolev inequality and the second of the Cherrier inequality ([9]).

The next lemma gives a criterion for the existence of minimum for $m_{\lambda, G}$.
Lemma 2.3. If $m_{\lambda, G}<\widehat{S}$, then $m_{\lambda, G}$ is achieved.
Remark. The proof we now give relies on the concentration-compactness principle. An alternative proof follows from Corollary A. 7 of Struwe's Compactness Lemma in the Appendix.

Proof. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset H_{G}^{1}(\Omega)$ be such that $\left.\int_{\Omega} Q\left|u_{n}\right|\right|^{2^{*}}=1$, for each $n$, and $E_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow m_{\lambda, G}$. We may assume that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $H_{G}^{1}(\Omega), u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ and
$u_{n} \rightarrow u$ a.e. on $\Omega$. Applying the concentration-compactness principle we can write

$$
\int_{\Omega} Q|u|^{2^{*}}+\sum_{j \in J} Q\left(x_{j}\right) \mu_{j}=1
$$

and

$$
m_{\lambda, G}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \geq \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\lambda u^{2}\right)+\sum_{j \in J} \widetilde{\mu}_{j} .
$$

Let $j_{0}$ be the index $j$ such that $x_{j_{0}}=0$, if such an index exists.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} Q|u|^{2^{*}}+Q(0) \mu_{j_{0}}+\sum_{\left[x_{j}\right] \in(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\{0\}) / G} \# G\left(x_{j}\right) Q\left(x_{j}\right) \mu_{j}=1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{\lambda, G} \geq & \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\lambda u^{2}\right)+\sum_{j \in J} \widetilde{\mu}_{j} \\
\geq & m_{\lambda, G}\left(\int_{\Omega} Q|u|^{2^{*}}\right)^{2 / 2^{*}}+\sum_{x_{j} \in \Omega \backslash\{0\}} \widetilde{\mu}_{j}+\widetilde{\mu}_{j_{0}}+\sum_{x_{j} \in \partial \Omega} \widetilde{\mu}_{j} \\
\geq & m_{\lambda, G}\left(\int_{\Omega} Q|u|^{2^{*}}\right)^{2 / 2^{*}}+\sum_{x_{j} \in \Omega \backslash\{0\}} \frac{Q\left(x_{j}\right)^{(N-2) / N}}{Q\left(x_{j}\right)^{(N-2) / N}} S \mu_{j}^{(N-2) / N} \\
& +\frac{Q(0)^{(N-2) / N}}{Q(0)^{(N-2) / N}} S \mu_{j_{0}}^{(N-2) / N}+\sum_{x_{j} \in \partial \Omega} \frac{Q\left(x_{j}\right)^{(N-2) / N}}{Q\left(x_{j}\right)^{(N-2) / N}} \frac{S}{2^{2 / N}} \mu_{j}^{(N-2) / N} \\
\geq & m_{\lambda, G}\left(\int_{\Omega} Q|u|^{2^{*}}\right)^{2 / 2^{*}} \\
& +\sum_{\left[x_{j}\right] \in(\Omega \backslash\{0\}) / G} \frac{S k^{2 / N}}{Q_{M}^{(N-2) / N}}\left(\# G\left(x_{j}\right) Q\left(x_{j}\right) \mu_{j}\right)^{(N-2) / N} \\
& +\frac{S}{Q(0)^{(N-2) / N}}\left(Q(0) \mu_{j_{0}}\right)^{(N-2) / N} \\
& +\sum_{\left[x_{j}\right] \in \partial \Omega / G} \frac{S k^{2 / N}}{2^{2 / N} Q_{m}^{(N-2) / N}}\left(\# G\left(x_{j}\right) Q\left(x_{j}\right) \mu_{j}\right)^{(N-2) / N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recalling that $m_{\lambda, G}<\widehat{S}$ and, using (3), it follows that all the $\mu_{j}$ 's are zero. So $\int_{\Omega} Q|u|^{2^{*}}=1$. Since $m_{\lambda, G} \geq \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\lambda u^{2}\right) \geq m_{\lambda, G}$, we conclude that $u$ is a minimizer for $m_{\lambda, G}$.

So $\widehat{S}$ is the minimum level at which compactness of $E_{\lambda}$ fails.
Remark 2.4. Obviously, $\lambda \mapsto m_{\lambda, G}$ is strictly increasing for $\lambda<\Lambda$ and $m_{\lambda, G}$ is not achieved for $\lambda>\Lambda$.

The next lemma gives the asymptotic limit of $m_{\lambda, G}$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

LEMMA 2.5. $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} m_{\lambda, G}=\widehat{S}$.
Proof. Once again, the proof is by contradiction and relies on the con-centration-compactness principle. Suppose that the $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} m_{\lambda, G}=S_{\infty}<\widehat{S}$. Let $\left(\lambda_{j}\right)$ be a sequence, $\lambda_{j} \rightarrow \infty$, and $u_{j} \in V_{G}(\Omega)$ be a minimizer for $m_{\lambda_{j}, G}$. The sequence $\left(u_{j}\right)$ is bounded (say by $S_{\infty}$ ) in $H^{1}(\Omega)$. From the inequality $\lambda_{j} \int_{\Omega} u_{j}^{2} \leq S_{\infty}$, we deduce that $u_{j} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. We may assume that $u_{j} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^{1}(\Omega), u_{j} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. on $\Omega$. By the concentration-compactness principle, there exists at most a countable set $J$, numbers $\mu_{j}>0$ and $\widetilde{\mu}_{j}>0$ and points $x_{j} \in \bar{\Omega}$, $j \in J$, such that

$$
\mu=\sum_{j \in J} \mu_{j} \delta_{x_{j}} \quad \text { and } \quad \widetilde{\mu} \geq \sum_{j \in J} \widetilde{\mu}_{j} \delta_{x_{j}} .
$$

Let $j_{0}$ be the index $j$ such that $x_{j_{0}}=0$, if such an index exists. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(0) \mu_{j_{0}}+\sum_{\left[x_{j}\right] \in(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\{0\}) / G} \# G\left(x_{j}\right) Q\left(x_{j}\right) \mu_{j}=1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\infty} \geq \sum_{j \in J} \widetilde{\mu}_{j} \geq & \sum_{\left[x_{j}\right] \in(\Omega \backslash\{0\}) / G} \frac{S k^{2 / N}}{Q_{M}^{(N-2) / N}}\left(\# G\left(x_{j}\right) Q\left(x_{j}\right) \mu_{j}\right)^{(N-2) / N} \\
& +\frac{S}{Q(0)^{(N-2) / N}}\left(Q(0) \mu_{j_{0}}\right)^{(N-2) / N} \\
& +\sum_{\left[x_{j}\right] \in \partial \Omega / G} \frac{S k^{2 / N}}{2^{2 / N} Q_{m}^{(N-2) / N}}\left(\# G\left(x_{j}\right) Q\left(x_{j}\right) \mu_{j}\right)^{(N-2) / N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recalling that we are supposing $S_{\infty}<\widehat{S}$ and using (4), it follows that all the $\mu_{j}$ 's are zero, which is impossible. Therefore, $S_{\infty}=\widehat{S}$.

## 3. Existence of least energy solutions for all positive $\lambda$

It follows from the results of the previous section that there exists a least energy solution of $\left(\mathrm{I}_{\lambda}\right)$ for $\lambda<\Lambda$, and there does not exist a least energy solution of $\left(\mathrm{I}_{\lambda}\right)$ for $\lambda>\Lambda$. Therefore it is important to determine if $\Lambda$ is finite or not. We start by proving that $\Lambda=\infty$ in Case 1 .

Theorem 3.1. Assume $N \geq 3$, and $\Omega$ has a smooth boundary. Suppose $Q_{m} \geq Q_{M} / 2^{2 /(N-2)}$ and $Q_{m} \geq k^{2 /(N-2)} Q(0) / 2^{2 /(N-2)}$. Suppose also that $Q_{m}=$ $Q(y)$ for some $y \in \partial \Omega$, with $\# G(y)=k, H(y)>0$ and $|Q(x)-Q(y)|=o(|x-y|)$ as $x \rightarrow y$. Then $\Lambda=\infty$, i.e. $m_{\lambda, G}<\widehat{S}$ for all $\lambda>0$.

Proof. Let $R>0$ be small and $\varphi$ be a radial $C^{\infty}$-function such that

$$
\varphi(x)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if }|x| \leq R / 2 \\ 0 & \text { if }|x| \geq R\end{cases}
$$

Fixing $y$ as in the statement of the theorem, Adimurthi and Mancini ([1]) proved that, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{E_{\lambda}\left(U_{\varepsilon, y} \varphi(\cdot-y)\right)}{\left\|U_{\varepsilon, y} \varphi(\cdot-y)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}(\Omega)} \\
& <\frac{S}{2^{2 / N}}- \begin{cases}A_{N} H(y) \varepsilon \log (1 / \varepsilon)-a_{N} \lambda \varepsilon+O(\varepsilon)+o(\lambda \varepsilon) & \text { for } N=3 \\
A_{N} H(y) \varepsilon-a_{N} \lambda \varepsilon^{2} \log (1 / \varepsilon) \\
+O\left(\varepsilon^{2} \log (1 / \varepsilon)\right)+o\left(\lambda \varepsilon^{2} \log (1 / \varepsilon)\right) & \text { for } N=4 \\
A_{N} H(y) \varepsilon-a_{N} \lambda \varepsilon^{2}+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)+o\left(\lambda \varepsilon^{2}\right) & \text { for } N \geq 5\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{N}$ and $a_{N}$ are positive constants depending on $N$.
We now want to estimate $J_{\lambda}\left(U_{\varepsilon, y} \varphi(\cdot-y)\right)$, where

$$
J_{\lambda}(u)=\frac{\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\lambda u^{2}\right)}{\left(\int_{\Omega} Q|u|^{2^{*}}\right)^{2 / 2^{*}}}
$$

To do that, we first show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} Q(x) U_{\varepsilon, y}^{2^{*}}(x) d x=\int_{\Omega} Q(y) U_{\varepsilon, y}^{2^{*}}(x) d x+o(\varepsilon) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, let $\delta>0$. Fix $\rho$ such that $|Q(x)-Q(y)|<\delta|x-y|$ for $|x-y|<\rho$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}|Q(x)-Q(y)| U_{\varepsilon, y}^{2^{*}}(x) d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega \cap B_{\rho}(y)} \delta|x-y| U_{\varepsilon, y}^{2^{*}}(x) d x+\int_{\Omega \backslash B_{\rho}(y)}|Q(x)-Q(y)| U_{\varepsilon, y}^{2^{*}}(x) d x \\
& \leq \delta \varepsilon \int_{B_{\rho / \varepsilon}(0)}|z| U^{2^{*}}(z) d z+O\left(\varepsilon^{N}\right) \leq C \delta \varepsilon+O\left(\varepsilon^{N}\right) \leq C \delta \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small. This proves (5). Hence it follows that
$J_{\lambda}\left(U_{\varepsilon, y} \varphi(\cdot-y)\right)<\frac{S}{2^{2 / N} Q_{m}^{(N-2) / N}}- \begin{cases}\widetilde{A}_{N} H(y) \varepsilon \log (1 / \varepsilon)+O(\varepsilon) & \text { for } N=3, \\ \widetilde{A}_{N} H(y) \varepsilon+o(\varepsilon) & \text { for } N \geq 4 .\end{cases}$
Choosing $R$ small enough so as to obtain functions with disjoint supports, we get

$$
J_{\lambda}\left(\sum_{g} U_{\varepsilon, g y} \varphi(\cdot-g y)\right)=k^{2 / N} J_{\lambda}\left(U_{\varepsilon, y} \varphi(\cdot-y)\right),
$$

which obviously implies the desired result.

## 4. Nonexistence of least energy solutions for $\lambda$ sufficiently large

In contrast to the result of the previous section, where $\Lambda=\infty$, we now prove that $\Lambda<\infty$ in Cases 2 and 3. In the next lemma we suppose, by contradiction, that $\Lambda=\infty$ and examine the behavior of a sequence of minima of $m_{\lambda_{n}, G}$ as $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ in the situation of Case 3 . To simplify, we first consider the case that $0 \notin \Omega$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $\Omega$ be a smooth bounded $G$-invariant domain such that $0 \notin \Omega$. Let $Q$ be nonnegative and Hölder continuous in $\bar{\Omega}$ and suppose $Q_{M}>2^{2 /(N-2)} Q_{m}$. Let $\mathcal{M}:=Q^{-1}\left(Q_{M}\right)$. Suppose that $m_{\lambda_{n}, G}$ is achieved by a nonnegative $u_{n}$ where the $\lambda_{n}$ form a sequence converging to $\infty$. Let $P_{n}$ be a point where $u_{n}$ achieves its maximum. Then, up to a subsequence, $\left(P_{n}\right)$ converges to a point in $\mathcal{M}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and there exists a sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$, with $\varepsilon_{n}>0$ and $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$, such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla u_{n}-\nabla \sum_{g}\left[\varepsilon_{n}^{-(N-2) / 2} U\left(S^{1 / 2} k^{1 / N} Q_{M}^{1 / N} \frac{-g P_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}\right)\right]\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=0 .
$$

Note that $\varepsilon_{n}^{-(N-2) / 2}=\max _{\bar{\Omega}} u_{n}$.
Proof. As we saw in Lemma 2.1,

$$
m_{\lambda, G} \leq \widehat{S}:=\frac{S k^{2 / N}}{Q_{M}^{(N-2) / N}}
$$

for all $\lambda>0$. Since we are assuming that $m_{\lambda_{n}, G}(\Omega)$ is achieved for a sequence $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow \infty$, by Remark $2.4 \Lambda=\infty$ and $m_{\lambda, G}$ is strictly increasing in $\lambda$. Hence, $m_{\lambda, G}<\widehat{S}$ for all $\lambda>0$. Recall that by Lemma $2.5 m_{\lambda, G} \rightarrow \widehat{S}$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. We can assume the functions $u_{n}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u_{n}+\lambda_{n} u_{n}=m_{\lambda_{n}, G} Q u_{n}^{2^{*}-1} & \text { in } \Omega \\ u_{n}>0 & \text { in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial \nu}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

The maxima $M_{n}:=\max _{\bar{\Omega}} u_{n}=u_{n}\left(P_{n}\right)$ satisfy

$$
\lambda_{n} M_{n} \leq m_{\lambda_{n}, G} Q\left(P_{n}\right) M_{n}^{2^{*}-1} \quad \text { or } \quad M_{n}^{2^{*}-2} \geq \frac{\lambda_{n}}{m_{\lambda_{n}, G} Q\left(P_{n}\right)}
$$

since $Q\left(P_{n}\right)=0$ implies $u_{n} \equiv 0$, which is impossible. So $M_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Up to a subsequence we can assume $P_{n} \rightarrow P_{0} \in \bar{\Omega}$. We note that there exist $g_{0}=\mathrm{id}, g_{1}$, $\ldots, g_{k-1} \in G$ and $\sigma>0$ such that $\sigma \leq\left|g_{i} P_{0}-g_{j} P_{0}\right|$, for $i \neq j, i, j=0, \ldots, k-1$. So, for $n$ sufficiently large, $\left|g_{i} P_{n}-g_{j} P_{n}\right| \geq \sigma / 2$. Let $A_{n}:=\Omega \cap B_{\sigma / 4}\left(P_{n}\right)$ and $\varepsilon_{n}$ be such that $\varepsilon_{n}^{(N-2) / 2}=1 / M_{n}$, so that $\lambda_{n} \varepsilon_{n}^{2}=\lambda_{n} M_{n}^{-\left(2^{*}-2\right)} \leq m_{\lambda_{n}, G} Q\left(P_{n}\right) \leq$ $\widehat{S} Q_{M}$. We also define $v_{n}(x):=\varepsilon_{n}^{(N-2) / 2} u_{n}\left(\varepsilon_{n} x+P_{n}\right)$ and $B_{n}:=\left(A_{n}-P_{n}\right) / \varepsilon_{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta v_{n}+\lambda_{n} \varepsilon_{n}^{2} v_{n}=m_{\lambda_{n}, G} Q\left(\varepsilon_{n} x+P_{n}\right) v_{n}^{2^{*}-1} & \text { in } B_{n} \\ 0<v_{n} \leq 1 & \text { in } B_{n} \\ \frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial \nu}=0 & \text { on } \partial\left(\left(\Omega-P_{n}\right) / \varepsilon_{n}\right) \cap \partial B_{n} \\ v_{n}(0)=1 . & \end{cases}
$$

Again up to a subsequence, we can assume that $\lambda_{n} \varepsilon_{n}^{2} \rightarrow a \geq 0$ and that $\operatorname{dist}\left(P_{n}, \partial \Omega\right) / \varepsilon_{n}$ converges in the extended nonnegative real line. Let $B$ be such that $\chi_{B}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{B_{n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{\left(A_{n}-P_{n}\right) / \varepsilon_{n}}$.

By elliptic regularity theory $v_{n} \in C_{\text {loc }}^{2, \alpha}\left(\bar{B}_{n}\right)$, for some $\alpha>0$ independent of $n$. Furthermore, by Theorems 15.3 (which deals with $L^{p}$ estimates) and 7.3 (which deals with Schauder estimates) of [5], in each compact set we can find a bound for the $C^{2, \alpha}$-norm of $v_{n}$ which is independent of $n$. We can also apply Lemma 6.37 of [11] to extend, if necessary, the functions $v_{n}$ up to $\partial B$, to obtain functions $w_{n}$ whose $C^{2, \alpha}$ norm is uniformly bounded. The functions $w_{n}$ have a subsequence which is convergent in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}(\bar{B})$ to a function $v$ satisfying

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta v+a v=\widehat{S} Q\left(P_{0}\right) v^{2^{*}-1} & \text { in } B \\ 0 \leq v \leq 1 & \text { in } B \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}=0 & \text { on } \partial B \\ v(0)=1 . & \end{cases}
$$

Suppose that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{dist}\left(P_{n}, \partial \Omega\right) / \varepsilon_{n}=d \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$. Then $B$ is a half space and $P_{0} \in \partial \Omega$. We extend $v$ by reflection to $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and call the extension $v$. We recall that $u_{n}$ is bounded in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ and that the rescaling does not change the $L^{2^{*}}$ norm or the $L^{2}$ norm of the gradient. By lower semicontinuity, the function $v$ is in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. From the equation we conclude that $v \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. By Corollary B. 4 of [27] (Pohozaev's identity in an unbounded domain), $a=0$. Hence $v(x)=U(\beta x)$ where $\beta^{2}=\widehat{S} Q\left(P_{0}\right)$, or $\beta=S^{1 / 2} k^{1 / N} Q\left(P_{0}\right)^{1 / 2} / Q_{M}^{1 / 2^{*}}$. Now

$$
\int_{B}|\nabla v|^{2}=\beta^{2-N} \int_{B}|\nabla U|^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2-N} S^{N / 2}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{k^{(N-2) / N}} \frac{Q_{M}^{(N-2) / 2^{*}}}{Q\left(P_{0}\right)^{(N-2) / 2}} S .
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B}|\nabla v|^{2} & \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{n}}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A_{n}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{k} \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{k} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{k} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} m_{\lambda_{n}, G}=\frac{1}{k} \frac{k^{2 / N}}{Q_{M}^{(N-2) / N}} S .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $Q_{M}^{(N-2) / 2^{*}+(N-2) / N} \leq 2 Q\left(P_{0}\right)^{(N-2) / 2} \leq 2 Q_{m}^{(N-2) / 2}$, which implies $Q_{M} \leq$ $2^{2 /(N-2)} Q_{m}$ and contradicts our initial assumption. Therefore

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{dist}\left(P_{n}, \partial \Omega\right) / \varepsilon_{n}=\infty
$$

and $B=\mathbb{R}^{N}$. As before, we get $v(x)=U(\beta x)$. Now

$$
\int_{B}|\nabla v|^{2}=\beta^{2-N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{2}=\frac{1}{k^{(N-2) / N}} \frac{Q_{M}^{(N-2) / 2^{*}}}{Q\left(P_{0}\right)^{(N-2) / 2}} S .
$$

Again,

$$
\int_{B}|\nabla v|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{k} \frac{k^{2 / N}}{Q_{M}^{(N-2) / N}} S
$$

So $Q_{M}^{(N-2) / 2^{*}+(N-2) / N} \leq Q\left(P_{0}\right)^{(N-2) / 2}$, which is equivalent to $Q_{M} \leq Q\left(P_{0}\right)$.
Therefore $P_{0} \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} \rightarrow \widehat{S}$.
Now we note that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla v_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)}^{2}=\|\nabla[U(\beta \cdot)]\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}=\widehat{S} / k
$$

for $\beta=S^{1 / 2} k^{1 / N} Q_{M}^{1 / N}$. This implies
(6)

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla v_{n}-\nabla[U(\beta \cdot)]\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B_{n}\right)}=0
$$

as is shown below. Hence,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla u_{n}-\nabla\left[\varepsilon_{n}^{-(N-2) / 2} U\left(\beta \frac{\cdot-P_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}\right)\right]\right\|_{L^{2}\left(A_{n}\right)}=0
$$

Similarly, since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}=\widehat{S}$, we finally conclude that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla u_{n}-\nabla \sum_{g}\left[\varepsilon_{n}^{-(N-2) / 2} U\left(\beta \frac{\cdot-g P_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}\right)\right]\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=0
$$

Proof of (6). Let $0<\varepsilon<1$.
Step 1. There exists a $R>0$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}(0)}|\nabla[U(\beta \cdot)]|^{2}<\varepsilon
$$

Obviously,

$$
\int_{B_{R}(0)}|\nabla[U(\beta \cdot)]|^{2}>\widehat{S} / k-\varepsilon
$$

Step 2. Since $v_{n}$ converges to $U(\beta \cdot)$ in $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,

$$
\int_{B_{R}(0)}\left|\nabla v_{n}-\nabla[U(\beta \cdot)]\right|^{2}<\varepsilon^{2}
$$

for $n$ sufficiently big.
Step 3. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\widehat{S}}{k}-\varepsilon< & \int_{B_{R}(0)}|\nabla[U(\beta \cdot)]|^{2} \leq \int_{B_{R}(0)}\left|\nabla[U(\beta \cdot)]-\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}+\int_{B_{R}(0)}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} \\
& +2 \int_{B_{R}(0)}\left(\nabla[U(\beta \cdot)]-\nabla v_{n}\right) \cdot \nabla v_{n} \leq \varepsilon^{2}+\int_{B_{R}(0)}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}+2 \varepsilon \sqrt{\widehat{S} / k}
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\int_{B_{R}(0)}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} \geq \widehat{S} / k-c \varepsilon
$$

for some constant $c$. Since $\int_{B_{n}}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} \leq \widehat{S} / k$,

$$
\int_{B_{n} \backslash B_{R}(0)}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} \leq c \varepsilon .
$$

Step 4. Combining the previous inequalities,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{n}}\left|\nabla v_{n}-\nabla[U(\beta \cdot)]\right|^{2} \\
&= \int_{B_{n} \cap B_{R}(0)}\left|\nabla v_{n}-\nabla[U(\beta \cdot)]\right|^{2}+\int_{B_{n} \backslash B_{R}(0)}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2} \\
&+\int_{B_{n} \backslash B_{R}(0)}|\nabla[U(\beta \cdot)]|^{2} \\
&+2\left(\int_{B_{n} \backslash B_{R}(0)}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{B_{n} \backslash B_{R}(0)}|\nabla[U(\beta \cdot)]|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \varepsilon^{2}+(c+1) \varepsilon+2 \sqrt{c} \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n$ big, which is what we wanted to prove.
Now we suppose that $0 \in \Omega$. Combining the arguments of Lemma 4.1 with the ones of Lemma 3.1 of [26] one easily gets the following three results, corresponding to Cases 2 and 3 above. The first result tells us that in Case 2, and when $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow \infty$, the sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$, of minima of $m_{\lambda_{n}, G}$, is close to a sequence of instantons concentrating at the origin.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\Omega$ be a smooth bounded $G$-invariant domain such that $0 \in \Omega$. Let $Q$ be nonnegative and Hölder continuous in $\bar{\Omega}$ and suppose

$$
Q(0)>2^{2 /(N-2)} Q_{m} / k^{2 /(N-2)} \quad \text { and } \quad Q(0)>Q_{M} / k^{2 /(N-2)}
$$

Suppose that $m_{\lambda_{n}, G}$ is achieved by a nonnegative $u_{n}$ where the $\lambda_{n}$ form a sequence converging to $\infty$. Let $P_{n}$ be a point where $u_{n}$ achieves its maximum. Then, for $n$ large, $P_{n}=0$ and there exists a sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$, with $\varepsilon_{n}>0$ and $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla u_{n}-\nabla\left[\varepsilon_{n}^{-(N-2) / 2} U\left(S^{1 / 2} Q(0)^{1 / N} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)\right]\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next lemma shows how concentration occurs in Case 3.
Lemma 4.3. Let $\Omega$ be a smooth bounded $G$-invariant domain such that $0 \in \Omega$. Let $Q$ be nonnegative and Hölder continuous in $\bar{\Omega}$ and suppose

$$
Q_{M}>2^{2 /(N-2)} Q_{m} \quad \text { and } \quad Q_{M}>k^{2 /(N-2)} Q(0)
$$

Let $\mathcal{M}:=Q^{-1}\left(Q_{M}\right)$. Suppose that $m_{\lambda_{n}, G}$ is achieved by a nonnegative $u_{n}$ where the $\lambda_{n}$ form a sequence converging to $\infty$. Let $P_{n}$ be a point where $u_{n}$ achieves
its maximum. Then, up to a subsequence, $\left(P_{n}\right)$ converges to a point in $\mathcal{M}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and there exists a sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$, with $\varepsilon_{n}>0$ and $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla u_{n}-\nabla \sum_{g}\left[\varepsilon_{n}^{-(N-2) / 2} U\left(S^{1 / 2} k^{1 / N} Q_{M}^{1 / N} \frac{-g P_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}\right)\right]\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.4. Under the hypothesis of Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 but assuming $Q_{M}=k^{2 /(N-2)} Q(0)>2^{2 /(N-2)} Q_{m}$, either (7) or (8) hold.

Next we state Lemma 4.7 of [24]. It allows us to compare the energy of the functions $u_{n}$ with the energy of instantons.

Lemma 4.5. Assume $N \geq 5$. Let $\lambda_{n}>0, \lambda_{n} \rightarrow \infty, \sigma_{n}>0, \sigma_{n} \rightarrow 0$, $P_{n} \in \Omega, P_{n} \rightarrow P_{0}$ with $P_{0} \in \Omega$, and $v_{n} \in H^{1}(\Omega), v_{n} \geq 0, v_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ be such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla v_{n}-\nabla\left(\frac{U_{\sigma_{n}, P_{n}}}{\left\|U_{\sigma_{n}, P_{n}}\right\|_{L^{2^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=0 .
$$

If $E_{\lambda_{n}}\left(v_{n}\right)<S$, then there exist sequences $\left(\delta_{n}\right)$ and $\left(y_{n}\right), \delta_{n}>0, y_{n} \in \Omega$, such that, modulo a subsequence, $\delta_{n} / \sigma_{n} \rightarrow 1, y_{n} \rightarrow P_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\lambda_{n}}\left(v_{n}\right) \geq E_{\lambda_{n}}\left(\frac{U_{\delta_{n}, y_{n}}}{\left\|U_{\delta_{n}, y_{n}}\right\|_{L^{2^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}}\right)+O\left(\delta_{n}^{2}\right)+o\left(\lambda_{n} \delta_{n}^{2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\lambda_{n} \delta_{n}^{2}=O\left(\delta_{n}\right)$.
The next well-known lemma, due to Brezis and Nirenberg [7], gives an estimate for the energy of an instanton.

Lemma 4.6. Suppose $N \geq 5$. Let $y$ be an interior point of $\Omega$. There exists a constant $b_{N}>0$, depending only on $N$, such that

$$
E_{\lambda}\left(\frac{U_{\delta, y}}{\left\|U_{\delta, y}\right\|_{L^{2^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}}\right)=S+b_{N} \lambda \delta^{2}+O\left(\delta^{2}\right)+o\left(\lambda \delta^{2}\right) ;
$$

$O(\cdot)$ and $o(\cdot)$ are uniform in $\lambda$ and $y$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ for $\lambda \geq 1$ and for $y$ in a compact subset of $\Omega$.

The main result in Case 2 is
Theorem 4.7. Assume $N \geq 5$. Suppose $Q(0)>2^{2 /(N-2)} Q_{m} / k^{2 /(N-2)}$ and $Q(0)>Q_{M} / k^{2 /(N-2)}$. Then $\Lambda<\infty$.

Proof. The proof is by contradiction. Suppose $\Lambda=\infty$. Choose a sequence $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. For each $n$, since $m_{\lambda_{n}, G}<\widehat{S}$, we can take $u_{n} \in V_{G}(\Omega)$ that minimizes $E_{\lambda_{n}}$. By Lemma 4.2, there exists a sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$, with $\varepsilon_{n}>0$ and $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$, such that equation (7) holds. We define $v_{n}:=Q(0)^{1 / 2^{*}} u_{n}$. Note that

$$
E_{\lambda_{n}}\left(v_{n}\right)=Q(0)^{2 / 2^{*}} E_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)<S
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla v_{n}-\nabla\left[\sigma_{n}^{-(N-2) / 2} S^{-(N-2) / 4} U\left(\frac{\cdot}{\sigma_{n}}\right)\right]\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=0
$$

with $\sigma_{n}=\varepsilon_{n} /\left(S^{1 / 2} Q(0)^{1 / N}\right)$. Using Lemma 4.5, with $P_{n}=0$, we get sequences $\left(\delta_{n}\right)$ and $\left(y_{n}\right), \delta_{n}>0, y_{n} \in \Omega$, such that, modulo a subsequence, $\delta_{n} / \sigma_{n} \rightarrow 1$, $y_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and equation (9) holds. Lemma 4.6 implies that $E_{\lambda_{n}}\left(v_{n}\right)>S$, for $n$ large. We have reached a contradiction. Therefore $\Lambda<\infty$.

On the other hand, the main result in Case 3 is
Theorem 4.8. Assume $N \geq$ 5. Suppose $Q_{M}>2^{2 /(N-2)} Q_{m}$ and $Q_{M}>$ $k^{2 /(N-2)} Q(0)$. Then $\Lambda<\infty$.

Proof. Suppose $\Lambda=\infty$. Choose a sequence $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. For each $n$, since $m_{\lambda_{n}, G}<\widehat{S}$, we can take $u_{n} \in V_{G}(\Omega)$ that minimizes $E_{\lambda_{n}}$. By Lemma 4.3, there exists a sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$, with $\varepsilon_{n}>0$ and $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$, such that equation (8) holds. We define $v_{n}:=k^{1 / 2^{*}} Q_{M}^{1 / 2^{*}} u_{n}$. Let $\sigma$ and $P_{0}$ be as in Lemma 4.1. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega \cap B_{\sigma / 4}\left(P_{0}\right)}\left[\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}+\lambda_{n} v_{n}^{2}\right] \\
&=k^{2 / 2^{*}} Q_{M}^{2 / 2^{*}} \int_{\Omega \cap B_{\sigma / 4}\left(P_{0}\right)}\left[\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\lambda_{n} u_{n}^{2}\right]<k^{2 / 2^{*}} Q_{M}^{2 / 2^{*}} \frac{\widehat{S}}{k}=S
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\nabla v_{n}-\nabla\left[\sigma_{n}^{-(N-2) / 2} S^{-(N-2) / 4} U\left(\frac{-P_{n}}{\sigma_{n}}\right)\right]\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega \cap B_{\sigma / 4}\left(P_{0}\right)\right)}=0
$$

with $\sigma_{n}=\varepsilon_{n} /\left(S^{1 / 2} k^{1 / N} Q_{M}^{1 / N}\right)$. Using Lemma 4.5 , with $\Omega$ replaced by $\Omega \cap$ $B_{\sigma / 4}\left(P_{0}\right)$, we get sequences $\left(\delta_{n}\right)$ and $\left(y_{n}\right), \delta_{n}>0, y_{n} \in \Omega$, such that, modulo a subsequence, $\delta_{n} / \sigma_{n} \rightarrow 1, y_{n} \rightarrow P_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega \cap B_{\sigma / 4}\left(P_{0}\right)}\left[\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}+\lambda_{n} v_{n}^{2}\right] \geq O\left(\delta_{n}^{2}\right)+o\left(\lambda_{n} \delta_{n}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+\int_{\Omega \cap B_{\sigma / 4}\left(P_{0}\right)}\left[\left|\nabla\left(\frac{U_{\delta_{n}, y_{n}}}{\left\|U_{\delta_{n}, y_{n}}\right\|_{L^{2^{2^{*}}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}}\right)\right|^{2}+\lambda_{n}\left(\frac{U_{\delta_{n}, y_{n}}}{\left\|U_{\delta_{n}, y_{n}}\right\|_{L^{2^{2^{*}}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4.6 implies that $\int_{\Omega \cap B_{\sigma / 4}\left(P_{0}\right)}\left[\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}+\lambda_{n} v_{n}^{2}\right]>S$, for $n$ large. Hence $E_{\lambda_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right)>k\left(1 / k^{2 / 2^{*}}\right)\left(1 / Q_{M}^{2 / 2^{*}}\right) S=\widehat{S}$. We have reached a contradiction. Therefore $\Lambda<\infty$.

In the case that the equality $Q_{M}=k^{2 /(N-2)} Q(0)$ holds, by Lemma 4.4 we get

Theorem 4.9. Assume $N \geq 5$. Suppose $Q_{M}=k^{2 /(N-2)} Q(0)>2^{2 /(N-2)} Q_{m}$. Then $\Lambda<\infty$.

## 5. Sobolev inequalities

From the results of Section 4, we derive two Sobolev inequalities. From Theorem 4.7 we get

Corollary 5.1. Assume $N \geq 5$. Let $\Omega$ be a $G$-invariant domain with $0 \in \Omega$ and $Q$ be Hölder continuous on $\bar{\Omega}, G$-invariant, nonnegative and satisfy $Q(0)>$ $2^{2 /(N-2)} Q_{m} / k^{2 /(N-2)}, Q(0) \geq Q_{M} / k^{2 /(N-2)}$. Then there exists a $\Lambda(\Omega, Q)$ such that, for all $u \in H_{G}^{1}(\Omega)$,

$$
\left(\int_{\Omega} Q|u|^{2^{*}}\right)^{2 / 2^{*}} \leq \frac{Q(0)^{(N-2) / N}}{S}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}+\Lambda(\Omega, Q) \int_{\Omega} u^{2}\right)
$$

From Theorem 4.8 we get
Corollary 5.2. Assume $N \geq 5$. Let $\Omega$ be a $G$-invariant domain with $0 \in \Omega$ and $Q$ be Hölder continuous on $\bar{\Omega}, G$-invariant, nonnegative and satisfy $Q_{M}>2^{2 /(N-2)} Q_{m}, Q_{M} \geq k^{2 /(N-2)} Q(0)$. Then there exists a $\Lambda(\Omega, Q)$ such that, for all $u \in H_{G}^{1}(\Omega)$,

$$
\left(\int_{\Omega} Q|u|^{2^{*}}\right)^{2 / 2^{*}} \leq \frac{Q_{M}^{(N-2) / N}}{k^{2 / N} S}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}+\Lambda(\Omega, Q) \int_{\Omega} u^{2}\right) .
$$

REmark. If $0 \notin \Omega$ the same result holds, provided one ignores the condition relating $Q_{M}$ and $Q(0)$.

## Appendix

For the reader's convenience, in this Appendix we give a proof of Struwe's Compactness Lemma ([20]) for our Neumann problem. We follow the argument of Theorem 8.13 in Willem's book [27]. See also A. Bahri and P. L. Lions ([6]) and M. Clapp ([10]).

Throughout this appendix we denote by $N$ a fixed integer, $N \geq 3$. Let $\Omega$ be a smooth bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Let $a, Q: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Hölder continuous, with $a$ positive and $Q$ nonnegative and not identically equal to zero. We denote $Q_{M}=\max _{\bar{\Omega}} Q$. In $H^{1}$ we use the norm $\|u\|_{H^{1}}^{2}=|\nabla u|_{L^{2}}^{2}+|u|_{L^{2}}^{2}$. As usual, let $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):=\left\{u \in L^{2^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right): \nabla u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\}$ with norm $\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}=$ $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2}$.

Define

$$
\varphi(u):=\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}+a \frac{u^{2}}{2}-Q \frac{|u|^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}}\right], \quad \text { for } u \in H^{1}(\Omega),
$$

and, for a smooth domain $S$ of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\widetilde{Q} \in C(\bar{S})$,

$$
\psi_{\widetilde{Q}}(u):=\int_{S}\left[\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}-\widetilde{Q} \frac{|u|^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}}\right], \quad \text { for } u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) .
$$

We will start by proving a few Lemmas. We will use the following assumption, which will later be satisfied.
(A) $\left(y_{n}\right)$ is a sequence in $\bar{\Omega}, y_{n} \rightarrow y_{0},\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{R}^{+}, \lambda_{n} \rightarrow 0$, and $\left(1 / \lambda_{n}\right) \operatorname{dist}\left(y_{n}, \partial \Omega\right)$ converges in the extended nonnegative real line.
Under (A) it follows that $\chi_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}} \rightarrow \chi_{S_{0}}$ pointwise, where $S_{0}$ is a half space or $S_{0}=\mathbb{R}^{N} ; \chi_{S}$ denotes the characteristic function of the set $S$.

Lemma A. 1 (Brezis-Lieb Lemma). Let assumption (A) be satisfied. If ( $u_{n}$ ) is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), 1 \leq p<\infty$, and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, then

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}} Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}-\int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}} Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{p}\right) \\
=\int_{S_{0}} Q\left(y_{0}\right)|u|^{p}
\end{array}
$$

Proof. By Fatou's Lemma, $|u|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}<\infty$. For each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a $c(\varepsilon)$ such that, for all $a, b$ in $\mathbb{R}$,

$$
\| a+\left.b\right|^{p}-\left.|a|^{p}|\leq \varepsilon| a\right|^{p}+c(\varepsilon)|b|^{p} .
$$

Taking $a=u_{n}-u$ and $b=u$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{n}^{\varepsilon}:= & \left(\left.\left|Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\right| u_{n}\right|^{p}-Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{p}-Q\left(y_{0}\right)|u|^{p} \mid\right. \\
& \left.-\varepsilon Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{p}\right)^{+} \\
\leq & \left(\left.\left|Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\right| u_{n}\right|^{p}-Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{p} \mid\right. \\
& \left.-\varepsilon Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{p}\right)^{+}+Q\left(y_{0}\right)|u|^{p} \\
\leq & Q_{M} c(\varepsilon)|u|^{p}+Q_{M}|u|^{p}=Q_{M}(1+c(\varepsilon))|u|^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, $\int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}} f_{n}^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left|Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\right| u_{n}\right|^{p}-Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{p}- & Q\left(y_{0}\right)|u|^{p} \mid \\
& \leq f_{n}^{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left.\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}}\left|Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\right| u_{n}\right|^{p}-Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{p}-Q\left(y_{0}\right)|u|^{p} \mid \\
\leq 0+\varepsilon Q_{M} \sup \left|u_{n}-u\right|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}
\end{array}
$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the result follows.
Note. The same proof shows that if $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{p}(\Omega), 1 \leq p<\infty$, and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ a.e. on $\Omega$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{\Omega} Q\left|u_{n}\right|^{p}-\int_{\Omega} Q\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{p}\right)=\int_{\Omega} Q|u|^{p}
$$

Lemma A.2. Suppose $(\mathrm{A})$ is satisfied and $\chi_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}} \rightarrow \chi_{S_{0}}$. Suppose also that $u_{n} \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, with $u \in L_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}\left(\bar{S}_{0}\right)$. Let $w \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $w_{n}(x):=\lambda_{n}^{(N-2) / 2} w\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)$. Then, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n>p$ implies

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\mid \int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}} & Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} u_{n} w_{n} \\
& -\int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}} Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2^{*}-2}\left(u_{n}-u\right) w_{n} \\
& -\int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}} Q\left(y_{0}\right)|u|^{2^{*}-2} u w_{n} \mid<\varepsilon\|w\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Step 1. By the mean value theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left|Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\right| u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} u_{n}-Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2^{*}-2}\left(u_{n}-u\right) \mid \\
& \quad=\left(2^{*}-1\right) Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\left|u_{n}-\theta_{x} u\right|^{2^{*}-2}|u| \leq\left(2^{*}-1\right) Q_{M}\left[\left|u_{n}\right|+|u|\right]^{2^{*}-2}|u|,
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\theta_{x} \in(0,1)$. Let $w \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left|\int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n} \backslash B_{R}(0)} Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\right| u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} u_{n} w_{n} \\
& \quad-\int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n} \backslash B_{R}(0)} Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2^{*}-2}\left(u_{n}-u\right) w_{n} \\
& \quad-\int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n} \backslash B_{R}(0)} Q\left(y_{0}\right)|u|^{2^{*}-2} u w_{n} \mid \\
& \leq c Q_{M}\left[\left|u_{n}\right|_{2^{*}-2}^{2^{*}}+|u|_{2^{*}}^{2^{*}-2}\right]\left(\int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n} \backslash B_{R}(0)}|u|^{2^{*}}\right)^{1 / 2^{*}}\left|w_{n}\right|_{L^{2^{*}}\left(\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}\right)} \\
& \quad+Q_{M}\left(\int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n} \backslash B_{R}(0)}|u|^{2^{*}}\right)^{\left(2^{*}-1\right) / 2^{*}}\left|w_{n}\right|_{L^{2^{*}}\left(\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

For every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $R>0$ such that for every $w \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ the last expression is less than $\varepsilon\|w\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} / 2$.

Step 2. Let $M:=\sup _{S_{0} \cap B_{R}(0)}|u|$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\left|Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\right| u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} u_{n}-Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right) \mid u_{n} & -\left.u\right|^{2^{*}-2}\left(u_{n}-u\right) \mid \\
& \leq Q_{M}\left(2^{*}-1\right)\left(\left|u_{n}\right|+M\right)^{2^{*}-2} M
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose $1 \leq p<N / 2$. Since $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L_{\text {loc }}^{\left(2^{*}-2\right) p}$ because of Rellich's theorem, Krasnosel'skiì's theorem implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{n}:=Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} u_{n}-Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2^{*}-2}\left(u_{n}-u\right) & \\
& -Q\left(y_{0}\right)|u|^{2^{*}-2} u
\end{aligned} \rightarrow 0
$$

in $L^{p}\left(S_{0} \cap B_{R}(0)\right)$, and in particular for $p=9 N / 20$, so

$$
\int_{B_{R}(0)}\left|z_{n}\right|^{2 N / 5} \chi_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}} \rightarrow 0
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left|\int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n} \cap B_{R}(0)} Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\right| u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} u_{n} w_{n} \\
& -\int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n} \cap B_{R}(0)} Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2^{*}-2}\left(u_{n}-u\right) w_{n} \\
& -\int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n} \cap B_{R}(0)} Q\left(y_{0}\right)|u|^{2^{*}-2} u w_{n} \mid \\
& \leq\left.\left|Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\right| u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} u_{n}-Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2^{*}-2}\left(u_{n}-u\right) \\
& -\left.Q\left(y_{0}\right)|u|^{2^{*}-2} u\right|_{L^{2 N / 5}\left(\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n} \cap B_{R}(0)\right)}\left|w_{n}\right|_{L^{2 N /(2 N-5)}\left(\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n} \cap B_{R}(0)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\left|w_{n}\right|_{L^{2 N /(2 N-5)}\left(\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n} \cap B_{R}(0)\right)} \leq c\|w\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}$.
Step 3. Combining the two previous results, we get the assertion in the statement of the lemma.

Note that the same proof shows that if $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$, with $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, then

$$
Q(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} u_{n}-Q(x)\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2^{*}-2}\left(u_{n}-u\right) \rightarrow Q(x)|u|^{2^{*}-2} u
$$

in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$.
Lemma A.3. Suppose that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \quad \text { in } H^{1}(\Omega), & \varphi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \\
u_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { a.e. on } \Omega, & \varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { in } H^{-1}(\Omega) .
\end{array}
$$

Then $\varphi^{\prime}(u)=0$ and $v_{n}:=u_{n}-u$ is such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}=\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}-\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}+o(1), \\
\psi_{Q}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow c-\varphi(u), \\
\psi_{Q}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { in } H^{-1}(\Omega) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Step 1. Since $v_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} & =\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}-\nabla u\right|^{2}+\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2} \\
& =\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}+\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}-2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{n} \nabla u-2 \int_{\Omega} u_{n} u \\
& =\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}-\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}+o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2. Since $v_{n} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^{1}(\Omega),\left(v_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{2^{*}}(\Omega), v_{n}^{2} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $L^{N /(N-2)}$ and so $\int_{\Omega} a v_{n}^{2} \rightarrow 0$. Using the Brezis-Lieb Lemma,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{Q}\left(v_{n}\right) & =\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}-\nabla u\right|^{2}}{2}-Q \frac{\left|u_{n}-u\right|^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}}\right] \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}}{2}-\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}-Q \frac{\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}}+Q \frac{|u|^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}}\right]+o(1) \\
& =\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)-\varphi(u)-\int_{\Omega} a u_{n}^{2}+\int_{\Omega} a u^{2}+o(1) \\
& =\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)-\varphi(u)-\int_{\Omega} a v_{n}^{2}+o(1)=\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)-\varphi(u)+o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 3. $\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ implies that, for all $w \in H^{1}(\Omega)$,

$$
0=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) w=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left[\nabla u_{n} \nabla w+a u_{n} w-Q\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} u_{n} w\right]=\varphi^{\prime}(u) w
$$

because $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$. So $\varphi^{\prime}(u)=0$. This implies that $u \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$. On the other hand, the preceding lemma implies that, for all $w \in H^{1}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{Q}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) w= & \int_{\Omega}\left[\nabla v_{n} \nabla w-Q\left|v_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} v_{n} w\right] \\
= & \int_{\Omega}\left[\nabla u_{n} \nabla w-\nabla u \nabla w-Q\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} u_{n} w+Q|u|^{2^{*}-2} u w\right] \\
& +o\left(\|w\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}\right) \\
= & \varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) w-\varphi^{\prime}(u) w-\int_{\Omega} a u_{n} w+\int_{\Omega} a u w+o\left(\|w\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}\right) \\
= & o\left(\|w\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma A.4. Assume (A) and $\chi_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}} \rightarrow \chi_{S_{0}}$. Suppose $u_{n} \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, $v_{n}(x):=\lambda_{n}^{(N-2) / 2} u_{n}\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
v_{n} \rightharpoonup v \quad \text { in } \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), & \psi_{Q}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c, \\
v_{n} \rightarrow v & \text { a.e. on } \mathbb{R}^{N},
\end{array} \quad \psi_{Q}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { in } H^{-1}(\Omega) .
$$

Then

$$
-\Delta v=Q\left(y_{0}\right)|v|^{2^{*}-2} v \quad \text { in } S_{0}, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial S_{0}
$$

and the sequence $w_{n}(x):=u_{n}(x)-\lambda_{n}^{(2-N) / 2} v\left(\left(x-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}=\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}-|\nabla v|_{L^{2}\left(S_{0}\right)}^{2}+o(1), \\
\psi_{Q}\left(w_{n}\right) \rightarrow c-\psi_{Q\left(y_{0}\right)}(v), \\
\psi_{Q}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { in } H^{-1}(\Omega),
\end{gathered}
$$

where the integral in $\psi_{Q\left(y_{0}\right)}(v)$ is computed in $S_{0}$.
Proof. Step 1. Note that $v\left(\left(x-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}\right) \in L^{2^{*}}(\Omega) \subset L^{2}(\Omega)$. Since $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} & =\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{2}+\int_{\Omega}\left|w_{n}\right|^{2} \\
& =\int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}}\left|\nabla\left(v_{n}-v\right)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{n}-\lambda_{n}^{(2-N) / 2} v\left(\left(x-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}\right)\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \\
& =\int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}-\int_{S_{0}}|\nabla v|^{2}+\left|u_{n}\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+o(1) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\left|u_{n}\right|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}-\int_{S_{0}}|\nabla v|^{2}+o(1) \\
& =\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}-|\nabla v|_{L^{2}\left(S_{0}\right)}^{2}+o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2. The Brezis-Lieb Lemma yields,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{Q}\left(w_{n}\right)= & \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{2}}{2}-\int_{\Omega} Q \frac{\left|w_{n}\right|^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}} \\
= & \int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}} \frac{\left|\nabla\left(v_{n}-v\right)\right|^{2}}{2}-\int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}} Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right) \frac{\left|v_{n}-v\right|^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}} \\
= & \int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}} \frac{\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}}{2}-\int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}} Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right) \frac{\left|v_{n}\right|^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}} \\
& -\int_{S_{0}} \frac{|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}+\int_{S_{0}} Q\left(y_{0}\right) \frac{|v|^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}}+o(1) \\
= & \psi_{Q}\left(u_{n}\right)-\psi_{Q\left(y_{0}\right)}(v)+o(1)=c-\psi_{Q\left(y_{0}\right)}(v)+o(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 3. For $z \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ let $z_{n}=\lambda_{n}^{(2-N) / 2} z\left(\left(x-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}\right)$. Since $\psi_{Q}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow$ 0 in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\lim \psi_{Q}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) z_{n}=\lim \int_{\Omega}\left[\nabla u_{n} \nabla z_{n}-Q\left|u_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} u_{n} z_{n}\right] \\
& =\lim \int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}\right) / \lambda_{n}}\left[\nabla v_{n} \nabla z-Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\left|v_{n}\right|^{2^{*}-2} v_{n} z\right] \\
& =\int_{S_{0}}\left[\nabla v \nabla z-Q\left(y_{0}\right)|v|^{2^{*}-2} v z\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. $\psi_{Q\left(y_{0}\right)}^{\prime}(v)=0$. Therefore $v \in C^{2}\left(\bar{S}_{0}\right)$. Let $z \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $z_{n}(x):=$ $\lambda_{n}^{(N-2) / 2} z\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)$. By Lemma A.2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\psi_{Q}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right) z\right| & =\left|\psi_{Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}-v\right) z_{n}\right| \\
& =\left|\psi_{Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) z_{n}-\psi_{Q\left(y_{0}\right)}^{\prime}(v) z_{n}\right|+o\left(\|z\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}\right) \\
& =\left|\psi_{Q\left(\lambda_{n} x+y_{n}\right)}^{\prime}\left(v_{n}\right) z_{n}\right|+o\left(\|z\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}\right) \\
& =\left|\psi_{Q}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) z\right|+o\left(\|z\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}\right)=o\left(\|z\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma A.5. Suppose $S$ is a half space and $B_{1}$ is a ball with radius one whose center belongs to $\bar{S}$. Let $\widetilde{Q} \in C(S), \widetilde{Q}_{M}:=\sup \widetilde{Q}<\infty, u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $v \in \mathcal{D}\left(B_{1}\right)$. There is a constant $\sigma$ such that

$$
\int_{S} \widetilde{Q} v^{2}|u|^{2^{*}} \leq \sigma \widetilde{Q}_{M}^{(N-2) / N}\left(\int_{S \cap \operatorname{supp} v} \widetilde{Q}|u|^{2^{*}}\right)^{2 / N} \int_{S}|\nabla(v u)|^{2} .
$$

Proof. By Poincaré's inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{S} \widetilde{Q} v^{2}|u|^{2^{*}} & =\int_{S}\left[\left(\widetilde{Q}^{2 / N}|u|^{4 /(N-2)}\right)\left(\widetilde{Q}^{(N-2) / N} u^{2} v^{2}\right)\right] \\
& \leq\left(\int_{S \cap \operatorname{supp} v} \widetilde{Q}|u|^{2^{*}}\right)^{2 / N}\left(\int_{S} \widetilde{Q}|v u|^{2^{*}}\right)^{(N-2) / N} \\
& \leq \sigma \widetilde{Q}_{M}^{(N-2) / N}\left(\int_{S \cap \operatorname{supp} v} \widetilde{Q}|u|^{2^{*}}\right)^{2 / N} \int_{S}|\nabla(v u)|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we can prove the main result of this Appendix.
Theorem A.6. Suppose the conditions in the second paragraph of this Appendix are satisfied. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ be a sequence in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\varphi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { in } H^{-1}(\Omega)
$$

Then, replacing $\left(u_{n}\right)$ by a subsequence, if necessary, there exist

- a function $v_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $-\Delta v_{0}+a v_{0}=Q\left|v_{0}\right|^{2^{*}-2} v_{0}$,
- $m$ points $y_{0}^{i} \in \Omega$ and $m$ sets $S_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$, where each $S_{i}$ is either a half space or $\mathbb{R}^{N}$,
- for each $i=1, \ldots, m$, a function $v_{i} \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta v_{i} & =Q\left(y_{0}^{i}\right)\left|v_{i}\right|^{2^{*}-2} v_{i} & & \text { in } S_{i} \\
\frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial \nu} & =0 & & \text { on } \partial S_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

- and sequences $\left(y_{n}^{i}\right),\left(\lambda_{n}^{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, m$, satisfying $y_{n}^{i} \in \bar{\Omega}, y_{n}^{i} \rightarrow y_{0}^{i}$, $\lambda_{n}^{i}>0, \lambda_{n}^{i} \rightarrow 0$,

$$
1 / \lambda_{n}^{i} \operatorname{dist}\left(y_{n}^{i}, \partial \Omega\right) \rightarrow d \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+} \quad \text { or } \quad 1 / \lambda_{n}^{i} \operatorname{dist}\left(y_{n}^{i}, \partial \Omega\right) \rightarrow \infty
$$

satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|u_{n}-v_{0}-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\lambda_{n}^{i}\right)^{(2-N) / 2} v_{i}\left(\left(x-y_{n}^{i}\right) / \lambda_{n}^{i}\right)\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \\
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \rightarrow\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left|\nabla v_{i}\right|_{L^{2}\left(S_{i}\right)}^{2} \\
\varphi\left(v_{0}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \psi_{Q\left(y_{0}^{i}\right)}\left(v_{i}\right)=c
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\text { If } u_{n} \geq 0 \text { a.e. on } \Omega \text { for all } n \text {, then } v_{0} \geq 0 \text { and } v_{i}>0 \text { for } i=1, \ldots, m .
$$

Proof. Step 1. For $n$ big,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup \varphi\left(u_{n}\right)+\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} & \geq \varphi\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2^{*}} \varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) u_{n} \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+a\left|u_{n}\right|^{2} \geq \frac{c}{N}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}$ is bounded. We extend each $u_{n}$ to $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, with $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq$ $C\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C$.

Step 2. We assume that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup v_{0}$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow v_{0}$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. By Lemma A.3, $\varphi^{\prime}\left(v_{0}\right)=0$, and $u_{n}^{1}:=u_{n}-v_{0}$ is such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|u_{n}^{1}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}=\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}-\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}+o(1) \\
\psi_{Q}\left(u_{n}^{1}\right) \rightarrow c-\varphi\left(v_{0}\right) \\
\psi_{Q}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}^{1}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { in } H^{-1}(\Omega)
\end{gathered}
$$

Step 3. If $\int_{\Omega} Q\left|u_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}} \rightarrow 0$, then $u_{n}^{1} \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ and the proof is complete. If $\int_{\Omega} Q\left|u_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}} \nrightarrow 0$, then choose $0<\delta<\left(2^{N / 2} \sigma^{N / 2} Q_{M}^{(N-2) / 2}\right)^{-1}$ sufficiently small, so that

$$
\int_{\Omega} Q\left|u_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}}>\delta
$$

Consider the Levy concentration function

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{n}(r):=\sup _{y \in \bar{\Omega}} \int_{\Omega \cap B_{r}(y)} Q\left|u_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}}
$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}_{n}(0)=0, \mathcal{Q}_{n}(\infty)>\delta$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{n}$ is continuous, there exist sequences $\left(y_{n}^{1}\right)$ and $\left(\lambda_{n}^{1}\right)$ such that $y_{n}^{1} \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $\lambda_{n}^{1}>0$ for all $n$, and

$$
\delta=\sup _{y \in \bar{\Omega}} \int_{\Omega \cap B_{\lambda_{n}^{1}}(y)} Q\left|u_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}}=\int_{\Omega \cap B_{\lambda_{n}^{1}}\left(y_{n}^{1}\right)} Q\left|u_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}}
$$

We may assume $y_{n}^{1} \rightarrow y_{0}^{1} \in \bar{\Omega}, \lambda_{n}^{1} \rightarrow \lambda_{0}^{1} \geq 0$ and $\left(1 / \lambda_{n}\right) \operatorname{dist}\left(y_{n}, \partial \Omega\right)$ converges in the extended nonnegative real line. Then there exists a set $S_{1}$ such that $\chi_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}^{1}\right)} / \lambda_{n}^{1} \rightarrow \chi_{S_{1}}$.

Let $v_{n}^{1}(x):=\left(\lambda_{n}^{1}\right)^{(N-2) / 2} u_{n}^{1}\left(\lambda_{n}^{1} x+y_{n}^{1}\right)$. Since $\left\|u_{n}^{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=\left\|v_{n}^{1}\right\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}$, we may assume that $v_{n}^{1} \rightharpoonup v_{1}$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta & =\sup _{y \in\left(\Omega-y_{n}^{1}\right) / \lambda_{n}^{1}} \int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}^{1}\right) / \lambda_{n}^{1} \cap B_{1}(y)} Q\left(\lambda_{n}^{1} x+y_{n}^{1}\right)\left|v_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}} \\
& =\int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}^{1}\right) / \lambda_{n}^{1} \cap B_{1}(0)} Q\left(\lambda_{n}^{1} x+y_{n}^{1}\right)\left|v_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 4. Suppose $v_{1}=0$. Then $v_{n}^{1} \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(S_{1}\right)$. We wish to prove $\nabla v_{n}^{1} \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{\text {loc }}^{2}\left(S_{1}\right)$. Let $h \in \mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, with support contained in a ball of radius one. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}^{1}\right) / \lambda_{n}^{1}}\left|\nabla\left(h v_{n}^{1}\right)\right|^{2}= & \int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}^{1}\right) / \lambda_{n}^{1}} \nabla v_{n}^{1} \nabla\left(h^{2} v_{n}^{1}\right)+\int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}^{1}\right) / \lambda_{n}^{1}}\left(v_{n}^{1}\right)^{2}|\nabla h|^{2} \\
= & \int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}^{1}\right) / \lambda_{n}^{1}} \nabla v_{n}^{1} \nabla\left(h^{2} v_{n}^{1}\right)+o(1) \\
= & \int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}^{1}\right) / \lambda_{n}^{1}} Q\left(\lambda_{n}^{1} x+y_{n}^{1}\right) h^{2}\left|v_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}} \\
& +\psi_{Q\left(\lambda_{n}^{1} x+y_{n}^{1}\right)}\left(v_{n}^{1}\right)\left(h^{2} v_{n}^{1}\right)+o(1) \\
= & \int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}^{1}\right) / \lambda_{n}^{1}} Q\left(\lambda_{n}^{1} x+y_{n}^{1}\right) h^{2}\left|v_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}}+o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

using Lemma A. 5 and appropriate diffeomorphisms,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & \sigma Q_{M}^{(N-2) / N}\left(\int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}^{1}\right) / \lambda_{n}^{1} \cap \operatorname{supp} h} Q\left(\lambda_{n}^{1} x+y_{n}^{1}\right)\left|v_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}}\right)^{2 / N} \\
& \times \int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}^{1}\right) / \lambda_{n}^{1}}\left|\nabla\left(h v_{n}^{1}\right)\right|^{2}+o(1) \\
\leq & \sigma Q_{M}^{(N-2) / N} \delta^{2 / N} \int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}^{1}\right) / \lambda_{n}^{1}}\left|\nabla\left(h v_{n}^{1}\right)\right|^{2}+o(1) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}^{1}\right) / \lambda_{n}^{1}}\left|\nabla\left(h v_{n}^{1}\right)\right|^{2}+o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\nabla v_{n}^{1} \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(S_{1}\right)$, and, since $v_{n}^{1} \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(S_{1}\right), v_{n}^{1} \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{\text {loc }}^{2^{*}}\left(S_{1}\right)$. This contradicts $\int_{\left(\Omega-y_{n}^{1}\right) / \lambda_{n}^{1} \cap B_{1}(0)} Q\left(\lambda_{n}^{1} x+y_{n}^{1}\right)\left|v_{n}^{1}\right|^{2^{*}}=\delta>0$. We conclude that $v_{1} \neq 0$.

Step 5. If $\lambda_{0}^{1}>0$, then $u_{n}^{1} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ and $\left\|u_{n}^{1}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq C$ implies $v_{n}^{1} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^{1}\left(S_{1}\right)$. This is a contradiction. So $\lambda_{0}^{1}=0$ and $S_{1}$ is a half space or $S_{1}=\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

Step 6. By Lemma A.4, the function $v_{1}$ satisfies

$$
-\Delta v_{1}=Q\left(y_{0}^{1}\right)\left|v_{1}\right|^{2^{*}-2} v_{1} \quad \text { in } S_{1}, \quad \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial \nu}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial S_{1}
$$

If $S_{1}=\mathbb{R}^{N}$,

$$
S\left|v_{1}\right|_{L^{2^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2} \leq\left|\nabla v_{1}\right|_{L^{2^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} Q\left(y_{0}^{1}\right)\left|v_{1}\right|^{2^{*}}
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|v_{1}\right|^{2^{*}} \geq\left(\frac{S}{Q\left(y_{0}^{1}\right)}\right)^{N / 2}
$$

and

$$
\psi\left(v_{1}\right)=\frac{Q\left(y_{0}^{1}\right)}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|v_{1}\right|^{2^{*}} \geq \frac{1}{N} \frac{S^{N / 2}}{Q\left(y_{0}^{1}\right)^{(N-2) / 2}} \geq \frac{1}{N} \frac{S^{N / 2}}{Q_{M}^{(N-2) / 2}} .
$$

On the other hand, if $S_{1}$ is a half space,

$$
\int_{S_{1}}\left|v_{1}\right|^{2^{*}} \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{S}{Q\left(y_{0}^{1}\right)}\right)^{N / 2}
$$

and

$$
\psi\left(v_{1}\right)=\frac{Q\left(y_{0}^{1}\right)}{N} \int_{S_{1}}\left|v_{1}\right|^{2^{*}} \geq \frac{1}{2 N} \frac{S^{N / 2}}{Q_{m}^{(N-2) / 2}}
$$

Step 7. Also by Lemma A.4, the sequence

$$
u_{n}^{2}(x):=u_{n}^{1}(x)-\left(\lambda_{n}^{1}\right)^{(2-N) / 2} v_{1}\left(\left(x-y_{n}^{1}\right) / \lambda_{n}^{1}\right)
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|u_{n}^{2}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}=\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}-\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}-\left|\nabla v_{1}\right|_{L^{2}\left(S_{1}\right)}^{2}+o(1), \\
\psi_{Q}\left(u_{n}^{2}\right) \rightarrow c-\varphi\left(v_{0}\right)-\psi_{Q\left(y_{0}^{1}\right)}\left(v_{1}\right), \\
\psi_{Q}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}^{2}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { in } H^{-1}(\Omega)
\end{gathered}
$$

where the integrals in $\psi_{Q\left(y_{0}^{1}\right)}\left(v_{1}\right)$ are computed over $S_{1}$.
Step 8. We iterate the above procedure to find sequences $\left(y_{n}^{i}\right),\left(\lambda_{n}^{i}\right),\left(y_{0}^{i}\right)$, $\left(\lambda_{0}^{i}\right),\left(S_{i}\right)$ and $\left(v_{i}\right)$ as in the statement of the theorem. Since $\left|\nabla v_{i}\right|_{L^{2}\left(S_{i}\right)}^{2} \geq$ $S^{N / 2} /\left(2 Q_{M}^{(N-2) / 2}\right)$, the iteration must end at some finite index $m$.

Step 9. Suppose now that $u_{n} \geq 0$ for all $n$. Then clearly $v_{0} \geq 0$. Using the functionals

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(u):=\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}+a \frac{u^{2}}{2}-Q \frac{u_{+}^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}}\right] & \text { for } u \in H^{1}(\Omega) \\
\psi_{Q}(u):=\int_{S}\left[\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}-Q \frac{u_{+}^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}}\right] & \text { for } u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the maximum principle, we conclude that the $v_{i}$ 's with $i \geq 1$ are positive and that they are necessarily instantons or half-instantons.

## Corollary A.7. Let

$$
V_{G}(\Omega):=\left\{\left.u \in H_{G}^{1}(\Omega)\left|\int_{\Omega} Q\right| u\right|^{2^{*}}=1\right\}, \quad E_{\lambda}(u):=\int_{\Omega}\left[|\nabla u|^{2}+\lambda u^{2}\right] .
$$

Let $m_{\lambda, G}:=\inf _{u \in V_{G}(\Omega)} E_{\lambda}(u)$. If

$$
m_{\lambda, G}<\widehat{S}=\min \left(S k^{2 / N} /\left(2^{2 / N} Q_{m}^{(N-2) / N}\right), S / Q(0)^{(N-2) / N}, S k^{2 / N} / Q_{M}^{(N-2) / N}\right)
$$

then $m_{\lambda, G}$ is achieved.
Proof. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ be a minimizing sequence. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $u_{n} \geq 0$. By the Ekeland variational principle (see Theorem 8.5 in [27]), $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is a Palais-Smale sequence for

$$
\widehat{\varphi}(u):=\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}+\lambda \frac{u^{2}}{2}-m_{\lambda, G} Q \frac{|u|^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}}\right] \quad \text { for } u \in H^{1}(\Omega) .
$$

By Theorem A.6, there exists a function $v_{0} \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$
-\Delta v_{0}+\lambda v_{0}=m_{\lambda, G} Q\left|v_{0}\right|^{2^{*}-2} v_{0}
$$

$m$ points $y_{0}^{i} \in \Omega, m$ sets $S_{i}$, where each $S_{i}$ is either a half space or $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, and $m$ functions $v_{i} \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ satisfying

$$
-\Delta v_{i}=m_{\lambda, G} Q\left(y_{0}^{i}\right)\left|v_{i}\right|^{2^{*}-2} v_{i}, \quad \frac{\partial v_{i}}{\partial \nu}=0 \quad \text { on } \partial S_{i}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\varphi}\left(v_{0}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \psi_{m_{\lambda, G} Q\left(y_{0}^{i}\right)}\left(v_{i}\right)=\frac{m_{\lambda, G}}{N} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We easily conclude (cf. [12]) that

$$
v_{i}=\left(\frac{1}{m_{\lambda, G} Q\left(y_{0}^{i}\right)}\right)^{(N-2) / 4} U
$$

Multiplying equation (10) by $N$ and taking into account the invariance of $V_{G}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\lambda}\left(v_{0}\right) & +l_{1}\left(m_{\lambda, G} Q(0)\right)^{(2-N) / 2} S^{N / 2} \\
& +\sum_{\left[y_{0}^{i}\right] \in(\Omega \backslash\{0\}) / G} \# G\left(y_{0}^{i}\right)\left(m_{\lambda, G} Q\left(y_{0}^{i}\right)\right)^{(2-N) / 2} S^{N / 2} \\
& +\sum_{\left[y_{0}^{i}\right] \in \partial \Omega / G} \# G\left(y_{0}^{i}\right)\left(m_{\lambda, G} Q\left(y_{0}^{i}\right)\right)^{(2-N) / 2} \frac{S^{N / 2}}{2}=m_{\lambda, G},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $l_{1}$ is either 1 or 0 , according to if there exists a $y_{0}^{i}=0$ or not. If any of the $v_{i}$ 's, with $i \geq 1$, is nonzero, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
l_{1}\left(m_{\lambda, G} Q(0)\right)^{(2-N) / 2} S^{N / 2}+ & \sum_{\left[y_{0}^{i}\right] \in(\Omega \backslash\{0\}) / G} k\left(m_{\lambda, G} Q_{M}\right)^{(2-N) / 2} S^{N / 2} \\
& +\sum_{\left[y_{0}^{i}\right] \in \partial \Omega / G} k\left(m_{\lambda, G} Q_{m}\right)^{(2-N) / 2} \frac{S^{N / 2}}{2} \leq m_{\lambda, G}
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving for $m_{\lambda, G}$, we get $\widehat{S} \leq m_{\lambda, G}$, which contradicts our initial assumption. Therefore all the $v_{i}$ 's with $i \geq 1$ are zero, and it follows, again from Theorem A.6, that $u_{n}$ converges to $v_{0}$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$. But then, of course, $v_{0} \in V_{G}(\Omega)$ and $E_{\lambda}\left(v_{0}\right)=$ $m_{\lambda, G}$.
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