MEASURING AND SHAPING THE LATE MEDIEVAL CITY:
MATHEMATICAL DESCRIPTIONS OF CITY WALLS
IN FLORENCE AND MILAN

Abstract: The article presents the use of mathematical tools (both calculation and geometry) in the perception of late medieval urban space. For this purpose, two descriptions of city walls of the late medieval Italian city have been compared. The first describes the city walls of Florence, and originates from Giovanni Villani’s Nuova Cronica; the second pertains to the fortifications of Milan, and originates from the much less known Cronica extravagans by Galvano Fiamma. Both authors used data from measurements and knowledge of the principles of geometry to illustrate the splendour of their respective cities and to help readers visualize them.
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I

The characteristics of city walls constituted one of the basic and indispensable elements of medieval descriptions and laudes civitatum.1 Fortifications

1 The article was written as part of the project ‘Urban Space of Late Medieval Florence: Representations and Perception’ (no. 2014/15/N/HS3/01768) financed by the National Science Centre (NCN) of Poland; a much shorter version of this text with some crucial differences was presented at the V Ciclo di Studi Medievali in Florence (3–4 June 2019) and published in the conference proceedings. I would like to thank for detailed suggestions Halina Manikowska and Zofia Anuszkiewicz as well as the anonymous reviewers of this text. I am also very grateful to Andrea Zorzi from University of Florence, the staff of Biblioteca Nazionale di Firenze and Kunsthistorisches Institut in Florenz for their help during my research.

played a decisive role in defining the city and its limits. Their image functioned as a tool to imitate and resemble the Heavenly and Earthly Jerusalem or Rome. The fortifications defined the boundaries of the religious community and, together with the nearby sacred places (loca sacra), formed its defensive ring (murus protectionis). Their course was often marked and commemorated in ritual processions performed to protect the space inhabited by the urban community. Finally, the walls constituted one of the most important communal goods (res publica) characterized by utilitas publica — they belonged to the community as a whole and also settled questions of membership in it.

The city gates were not only a link between those intra and extra muros, but also organized the space located inside the walls, establishing divisions into districts (portæ). Their physical location was also inextricably related to the city centre and to the design of the pattern of the principal streets. Due to their numerous functions, walls and gates were one of the most important identifiers of specific places and provided a frame of reference for spatial orientation.

The developing political and financial system in the late medieval Italian city had a crucial role in controlling all activities involved in constructing city walls. The control and supervision covered, among other things, concluding contracts for building individual sections of walls.
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and making measurements,\(^7\) which was assigned to surveyors and the master builders who accompanied them.\(^8\)

Building fortifications was also one of the first impulses for the Italian communes to pursue the principle of proportionality in levying special taxes from citizens who owned real estate in the city.\(^9\) For example, in 1325, during the construction of the outermost ring of walls, which continued for several decades, the Florentine authorities decided to impose a special two-year property tax to help complete the construction of fortifications in one of the districts.\(^10\) The amount depended mainly on whether or not the property owned by a citizen had a façade looking out onto one of the main streets of the city, and on the length of the front wall of the building adjacent to the street. This regulation shows the need for urban space to be rationalized by the use of measurement tools due to the principle of just distribution of responsibilities for the common good. Its effect was to ‘digitalize’ the city space, and to compile measurement records and amounts of tax thus due.\(^11\) In the measuring of urban space, the most important variable was the borderline between

\(^7\) For an earlier example of surveyor’s work in the construction of urban fortifications, although from another region of Europe (Flanders), see Lambert of Ardres, *Historia Comitum Ghisnensium*, ed. Johannes Heller, in MGH SS, vol. 24, Hanover, 1878, pp. 550–642 (p. 642).


\(^9\) Regarding Italian and French cities, the most recent work on this subject is: Menzinger, ‘Mura’, pp. 65–109.


private and public space. These actions resulted in the compilation of ‘coded’ property maps, which not only included spatial information but also categorized it, thereby valorizing the space, its elements and its structures.

In essence, the system for supervising urban construction projects and the search for a fair principle of participation in the financing of public construction projects meant that the language of book-keeping and measurement became tools commonly applied in describing urban space. The fundamental example of its use is found in building regulations. These regulations were known to the chroniclers, who used documents kept in municipal chancelleries. One should also not underestimate the fact that the two key categories of rationalized description of space — measurement and quantity — had long been present in Roman catalogues and *mirabilia*, as well as in the *descriptiones* and *laudes* of other cities in late antiquity and the early Middle Ages. It was also for this reason that late medieval chroniclers, familiar as they were with this convention of describing urban space, so readily embraced and developed a numerical and measurement-based convention in their works.

The subject of the article is the analysis of the use of mathematical tools in the literary descriptions of urban space, conducted in relation to selected passages of two historiographic sources. One text describes the city walls of Florence, and originates from Giovanni Villani’s *Nuova Cronica*, while the other forms part of the much less studied *Cronica extravagans* by Galvano Fiamma and describes the walls of Milan. Both works were written in the 1330s and referred to fortifications built or reconstructed in part during their authors’ lifetimes. Both authors used data from meas-

---


urements of the walls in order to capture the size and magnificence of their cities and by means of these data described the geometric features of urban layouts to make it easier for the readers to visualize them.

II

Giovanni Villani described the outermost ring of the Florentine walls in two chapters of Book 10 of his monumental *Nuova Cronica*. Unfortunately, this description often escapes researchers analysing the use of accounting-related and quantitative tools in chronicles of late medieval cities. Their attention focuses primarily on the great numerical praise of Florence relating to the years 1336–38, where Villani used quantities in his description of the city’s citizens and their educational background, professional groups, food production, buildings in and outside the city, and so on. John Hyde, the author of a seminal study on the descriptions of Italian cities, did not focus on the meaning or character of the description of the walls analysed below; he rather vaguely justified its exclusion from the numerical praise of the city saying that it was placed in an earlier part of the chronicle. I find this explanation insufficient. The two fragments

(Hereinafter *Nuova cronica*; *La Cronaca estravagante di Galvano Fiamma*, ed. Sante Ambrogio Céngarle Parisi, Massimiliano David, intro. Paolo Chiesa, Milan, 2013 (hereinafter *Cronica extravagans*).


of the chronicle should not be linked, nor should they be treated as two parts of the same praise of Florence. Nevertheless, both serve to create an encomiastic image of the city and are proof of both authors’ adoption of the same (that is, numerical) convention of describing the surroundings. Giovanni Villani was a well-educated merchant; in the 1320s, he often served as a member of the communal committees responsible for tax audits and the management of municipal funds. For him, numbers and calculations were the basic tools in understanding the quality of a thing or issue in question, and therefore whenever he could make a reference to a numerical value in his work he tried to do so. As shown by the numerical praise of the city in Chapters 92–94 of Book 12, he did not refrain from providing his own calculations and estimates; also, when not sure about numbers or measures, he would simply leave a blank.

It is worthwhile briefly characterizing the instances where Villani used spatial measures. This will help to specify the areas in which measuring was a useful tool in perceiving space, and a privileged feature of descriptive cartography. The following analysis includes the presence of units in Villani’s chronicle (the mile — *miglia*, the cubit — *braccio* or *cubito*, and the measure — *misura*), but it is not my intention to identify his sources of information. Villani used them primarily when he was designing textual cartographies, discussing the location of a place based on the distance from a chosen city (both in Tuscany and in faraway countries in Europe and beyond). This use applies primarily to the mile, the largest, supra-regional unit, which had been in use since Roman times. In many of these cases, Villani was probably faithful to his sources and their con-

analysed in detail its characteristics and recognized its protocartographic features. His article has been an important inspiration and a basis for the following considerations: ‘Urban Design without Maps’, in *Arnolfo’s Moment*, ed. David FriedmanMargaret Haines and Julian Gardner, Florence, 2009, pp. 161–81.


20 For the full curriculum of Villani’s offices, see Giovanni Aquilecchia, ‘Introduzione’, in *Cronica con le continuazioni di Matteo e Filippo*, ed. idem, Turin, 1979, pp. X–XI.


25 Villani uses the Roman mile when describing the borders of Tuscany: *Nuova cronica*, 2:6; see also Odile Redon, ‘Une géographie de la Toscane chez un chroniqueur du XIVe siècle, Giovanni Villani de Florence’, in eadem, *Des forêts et des âmes: Espace et
vential geographical descriptions.\textsuperscript{26} One of the most common situations where this unit was used was in reports from military operations and descriptions of the movements of armies, which required an approximate image of the theatre of war to be portrayed, and the distances covered by troops to be estimated.\textsuperscript{27} For this type of textual cartography, the category of distance was absolutely fundamental. In addition, it was reminiscent of the literary tradition of the Romans dealing with military campaigns and the art of war. It is also worth noting here that, in this way, Villani used the quintessential tool of travelling merchants to conjure an idea of the world and its geographical description: merchants’ itineraries providing information about the distances between successive points in a journey.\textsuperscript{28}

The above comments, however, do not exhaust all occurrences of the mile in \textit{Nuova Cronica}. It is also used in suburban areas as a category for delimiting the legal boundaries that established restrictions and regulated the extent of granted privileges.\textsuperscript{29} The boundaries were based on the centric principle, whereby the city was the centre of the territory in question; from the centre of the city ran a radius defining the spaces granted protection or privilege. For Villani, the concept of the mile was so important in perceiving and determining the status of the territory around the city that he devoted a separate chapter of his chronicle to the place from which it was traditionally measured.\textsuperscript{30}

Finally, in a few cases, the mile is used when the chronicler specifies the length of the walls and tries to determine the size of the city described by reference to its perimeter. This pertains not only to Florence,\textsuperscript{31} but also to distant\textsuperscript{32} or ancient cities, biblical and mythical alike.\textsuperscript{33} With regard to

\textsuperscript{28} Cf. Alessandra Debanne, \textit{Lo Compasso de navigare}, Brussels, 2011.
\textsuperscript{29} \textit{Nuova cronica}, 4:3; 5:1; 6:13. This also applies to the rules of banishment from the city: ibid., 10:219.
\textsuperscript{30} Villani points at the Florentine \textit{milliarium aureum}, the statue of a lion next to the Arno river flowing through the city, \textit{Nuova cronica}, 5:33.
\textsuperscript{31} Ibid., 10:257.
\textsuperscript{32} Ibid., 9:58.
\textsuperscript{33} See the description of the Tower of Babel, ibid., 1:2; and of the walls of Troy, ibid. 1:13.
ancient centres, measuring was a traditional and topical descriptive tool: it helped in gaining an idea of the size of such places (be they fictional or no longer existing) and invested them with a more real character, making it easier to conceptualize a representation of them.

Villani’s use of the cubit (braccio, braccio di calimala — approximately 58.3 cm, the basic unit of measure used in Florence) presents a separate issue. The chronicler uses this particular unit when mentioning the number and height of the towers belonging to the Guelphs and Ghibellines during the struggles between them in the first half of the thirteenth century.\(^{34}\) Then, as the consequence, he also provides the figure of the maximum height of a tower as stipulated by laws established by communal authorities in the mid-thirteenth century. This remark, incidentally, is an example of the use of measuring as a tool of control in Italian cities.\(^{35}\) Passages such as these are particularly significant, emphasizing as they do the vertical dimension of urban space — the height of the buildings and, consequently, the cityscape as seen from afar. Villani himself draws attention to this, when he writes about the supposed restoration of Florence by Charlemagne: ‘within the little city there were in a short time more than 150 towers pertaining to citizens, and each one 120 cubits high, without counting those pertaining to the city; and by reason of the height of the many towers which then were in Florence, it is said, that it showed forth from afar as the most beautiful and proudest city of its small size which could be found.’\(^{36}\) Thus, the number of the towers and their height are here the fundamental categories used in shaping the image of the city in the past.

It can be hypothesized that the cubit served Villani to describe space when he tried to create an image of the urban space and its buildings controlled by the commune of Florence. These descriptions include references to buildings or construction projects in Florence and in its outer territories.\(^{37}\) This is due to the sources used by the author, namely the documen-

\(^{34}\) Ibid., 6:9; 7:33; for other example of the height of city buildings, see ibid., 7:5.


\(^{37}\) See also descriptions of the Roman parlagio in Florence, which were no longer existent in Villani’s time: Nuova cronica, 1:36; of a Tuscan aqueduct: ibid., 2:1; of work on the restoration of city bridges: ibid., 13:46.
tation produced by the commune and the legal regulations from which Villani drew information for his chronicle, at times using them as a pretext for describing major urban projects. Measurement and calculation are of fundamental importance in these sources due to the technical and financial nature of their subject matter. It is also important that most of these cases concern projects related to the construction of walls, that is, the elements of public space which enjoyed particular protection under municipal law.  

The cubit also appears several times in the chapter on the great flood of 1333 in Florence. There, Villani describes in detail the water levels in various parts of the city; the braccio is applied to identify the sections of walls destroyed by the flood and the changes that had taken place in the Arno riverbed. It is possible that some information contained in that chapter was drawn from the documentation drafted by communal officials who estimated the magnitude of damages. The flood of 1333 and its causes were subject to detailed and long debates. Perhaps because of these heated discussions, Villani tried to make his description as rational and objective as possible with regard to the losses sustained. In addition to that, as was the case with buildings and urban layouts that were difficult to imagine on account of their sheer size, the chronicler undoubtedly referred to measurements for rhetorical effect, and specifically to emphasize the scale of the calamity.

III

The chapters of Nuova Cronica devoted to the outermost ring of walls are exceptionally rich in numerical detail compared to all other parts of the work. They were written in the 1330s and refer to the state of affairs as of 1324, when the chronicler was the official responsible for their

39 Nuova cronica, 12:1; similarly in the case of descriptions of other natural disasters: 12:22; 13:123.
41 Nuova cronica, 10:256–57.
42 See Green, Chronicle, pp. 164–69.
Villani emphasizes that exercising his official capacity he ordered that detailed measurements of the walls should be made. He also presents the reason for including information from this *mensuratio* in the chronicle, that being to commemorate the magnitude of Florence and present it in an accessible manner to readers from outside the city. This intention stems from the topical motivation of Villani in writing his chronicle and is also one of the characteristic features of *laudes civitatum*. It is not without significance that in striving to achieve this goal Villani chooses measurement as an aspect of description to facilitate the creation of an image of the city. The references to detailed measurements contained in his work may also betray another of the author’s motives, albeit not an openly stated one. In the early 1330s, when serving as the treasurer of the construction of new walls, Giovanni Villani was accused of embezzlement. The long and detailed description may be related to this accusation as an attempt to counter these allegations and underline the author’s competence in his execution of his office.

When analysing the literary representation of space, it is worth noting that Villani introduces elements characteristic of *laudes civitatum* into the description of the Florentine walls, and that he structures these chapters in keeping with the conventions of that literary genre. They fit into the traditionally included part devoted to presenting the location (*locus et situs*) together with remarks concerning the fortifications (*moenium*). This is also confirmed in the conclusion of the description, where the chronicler marks the transition back to the principal matter of his work.

---

43 On *ufficiali delle mura*, numbers, term and competence, see Statuti della repubblica fiorentina, vol. 1: Statuto del capitano del popolo degli anni 1322–1325, 5:129, pp. 288–90; cf. Spilner, *Ut civitas*, pp. 150–59. The documentation of the construction of the walls mentions Villani as an official only once, just under 1324, see ASF, Capitani di Parte Guelfa, Numeri Rossi 103, fol. 30. The chronicler also mentions the performance of the function when describing earlier events from January 1322: Nuova cronica, 10:137. At that time he was one of the *priori*, the highest ranking municipal officials, (15 February 1321–15 February 1322), new decisions regarding the walls were made during this term. For the stages of building the fortifications, see Renzo Manetti, Maria Chiara Pozzana, *Firenze, le porte dell’ultima cerchia di mura*, Florence, 1979, pp. 63–90; Spilner, *Ut civitas*, pp. 116–50.

44 *Nuova cronica*, 10:256.


47 ‘Lasceremo omai del sito de la cittade di Firenze, ch’assai n’avemo detto, e torneremo a nostra materia’, *Nuova cronica*, 10:257.
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part one one can also find other elements characteristic of the genre. They include selective and conventional enumeration of specific elements of the urban space: in this case, references are made to the bridges on the Arno River and the loca sacra which, according to Villani’s account, numbered over a hundred (‘not including hospitals, churches and monasteries located near the gates’).

The above elements frame the image designed by Villani, although the main part of his description comprises measurements of walls and calculations carried out based upon them. Two elements — mensuratio and laudatio — are complementary here and serve each other; laudatio defines the framework of the description, while mensuratio is its content. The first of two chapters dedicated to the walls is devoted to the characteristics of walls on the northern side of the city (five out of six districts, sestieri), and the second to the fortifications of the district south of the river (Oltarano). We are thus presented with two separate descriptions and measurements, the author himself emphasizing that Oltarano may have been regarded as a city in its own right.

Villani begins the first chapter from the easternmost point: a sixty-cubit-high tower built in the location designated for the construction of the Ponte Reale. Then, he lists the next twelve sections, which in most cases are marked by gates. While determining these points, he also indicates the width and height of the buildings. Thus, the representation of the city provided by Villani is not two-, but three-dimensional. While referring to the sections, the chronicler always specifies not only their extremities, but also the number of towers that formed part of the given section. The enumeratio of these sections is listed in a continuous manner and appears to have been copied from the accounting books. The phrases specifying the distance — ‘from’ (da la porta) ... ‘to’ (a la porta) ... ‘is/measures’ ... (si ha [misura]) — are characteristic of mensurationes, and there is

---

48 Villani lists four stone bridges, in order from east to west: Rubaconte, Vecchio, Santa Trinita and de la Carraia. The chronicler also mentions the unbuilt, though planned, easternmost Ponte Reale, cf. also ibid., 10:83. Significantly, Villani writes about bridges as permanent elements of space, despite the destructions associated with the 1333 flood; he writes these words, however, probably already after the flood, as evidenced by the mention of the consequences of flooding in the Oltarano district.

49 Nuova cronica, 10:257.

50 The numerical praise of the city in Book XII is also written into this framework, ibid., 12:94.

51 Ibid., 10:257.

52 On Ponte Reale see above n. 48; in the municipal statutes it functions under the name Pons Popularis, Statuti della repubblica fiorentina, vol. 1, 5:81, p. 261.

53 In two cases, these are large towers in walls, in one case — the Arno river, Nuova cronica, 10:256.
no doubt that this part of the description was drawn from a document certifying the mensuratio.

In the second part of the chapter, the author summarizes the measurements and makes further calculations. He points out that the dimensions mentioned earlier make it possible to determine the ‘space’ of districts located north of the river. He sums up all the sections of the walls (7,700 cubits), gates (nine, including four main gates — porte mastre — and five smaller ones known as postierle) and towers (forty-five). He also specifies the distance between the two extremities of the fortifications (4,500 cubits or 1.5 miles), although they did not constitute the city boundary. This remark explains the legitimacy of including this piece of data, as it helps Villani describe the circular shape of the city. He does not use the term ‘diameter’, but this term captures the role of that particular section. It is clear, therefore, that Villani not only uses the language of measure to give an idea of the size of the city, but also uses geometric imagination to verbally represent its form. This is also confirmed by the detailed calculations carried out by David Friedman. The image conjured up by the chronicler consists in the description of the boundary (the sections of the walls) and his attempt to determine the geometric data defining the form of the city that would correspond to the archetypal circular representation.

The description of fortifications on the southern side of the river from the next chapter (257) follows the same structure: Villani first gives a list of sections and, afterwards, formulates certain conclusions. Some information about this part of the city appears as early as in the first chapter of the description concerned with the length of the section along the river. The chronicler leaves a blank when referring to this section, but he points out that it is shorter than the one on the other bank. This empty space marks a significant difference in the character of the chapters. While the first chapter, concerning the northern part of the city, presents orderly arranged and detailed measurements, the other lacks information on the number of towers and the specified sections are often longer than those north of the river. This may be due to several factors. First of all, Villani himself points out that the construction of the walls in the district of Ol-

---

54 ‘E così troviamo che ‘l detto spazio de le cinque sestora de la città di Firenze, a le nuove cerchia di mura, sono [...]’ ibid.

55 Villani slightly rounds up the final result; according to my calculations, the total length of the sections is 7,696 cubits. Friedman gives a different number of 7,796 cubits. Interestingly, it appears that the figure 7,696 better reflects the calculations carried out by Friedman with the use of Google Earth, emphasizing as they do the accuracy of the measurements provided by Villani, cf. Friedman, ‘Urban Design’, p. 165.

56 Nuova cronica, 10:256.
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trarno began in 1324: when he was the official responsible for that project and had access to project documentation, the fortifications had not yet been completed. Villani could not, therefore, avail himself of measurements as detailed as those pertaining to the northern part of the city; he could, however, have known the details of the project in progress, such as the approximate length of the planned sections. What we also know is that the task assigned to Villani in his official capacity was to supervise the construction of the walls in the southern part of the city.\(^{58}\) The description itself, however, was created or reworked by the author after the great flood of 1333,\(^{59}\) when the walls had already been largely completed (although work on the remaining sections and the San Niccolò gate continued for almost two decades).\(^{60}\) It should also be noted that the southern walls were built on undulating terrain. Therefore, the hilly character of that district made it difficult to carry out systematic measurements; it was also a much less developed and less urbanized periphery of the city compared to parts adjacent to the walls in the northern part. This observation is also confirmed by the fact that the chronicler describes the first part of the Oltrarno wall in more detail (the section of wall leading from the western part of the city to the Boboli Gardens, where the wall turns towards the hill), mentioning in the final part of the text that the walls at the gate of San Niccolò were unfinished.\(^{61}\) Villani completes his survey in the place where he began, that is, at the planned but never-built Ponte Reale.

Chapter 257 also includes a summary of the mensuratio. Villani provides the number of gates and again tries to determine the width of the Arno River. He leaves a blank space to be filled with the total length of the sections, but gives an approximate calculation in miles (5 miles). However, this list is richer in detail than the one devoted to the northern part of the city since its purpose is to summarize the descriptions of the walls on both sides of the river. It also provides us with more detail about the representation produced by Villani and about the tools he used in the process. Moreover, it is also in this chapter that the chronicler gives the following figures: the width of the moat on either side of the Arno (35 and 30 cubits) and the width of the streets around the fortifications (inside and outside of the wall, 16 cubits); the thickness of the walls (3.5 and 3 cubits) and

\(^{58}\) The author emphasizes his own achievements (the erection of the gate and tower at the Camaldoli church); elsewhere, he is critical of the construction of the wall leading to Porta Romana, alluding that blame should be placed on the officials responsible for that building project, *Nuova cronica*, 10:257.

\(^{59}\) Ibid.

\(^{60}\) Manetti, Pozzana, *Firenze*, pp. 89.

\(^{61}\) *Nuova cronica*, 10:257; see also Friedman, ‘Urban Design’, p. 165.
their height (20 cubits). Thus, we find here the characteristic, almost topical elements of medieval descriptions of fortifications (moenium) — their height and width — which emphasized their qualitas. However, the data is much more detailed owing to the personal involvement of the author in the project and to the documentation accessible to him, and his merchantly meticulousness over numbers and measures.

This fragment of the chronicle concludes with two notes concerning the walled enclosure. Villani uses there the verb girare and the nominal derivative giro, both referring to the circle. The chronicler uses this term also in his previous descriptions, which underlines the subconscious association of the term with the ring of walls. This association functioned on the level of language; when used on its own, void of geometric explanations, it need not have indicated that the city was regular in shape. It is also worth noting here that the term giro may also refer to walking along the walls and carrying out the measurement of city boundaries. Villani reconstructs the route, providing the lengths of the sections divided by towers and gates, crosses the river by the Ponte alla Carraia and walks into the other part of the city; there, his calculations become confused since the hilly terrain is difficult to measure. Finally, he returns to the place where he began. This description is of an extremely practical value, because it illustrates that Villani clearly used the documentation produced by surveyors and that he also availed himself of his own imagination, firmly based on his familiarity with the subject of the description. This ‘experience of space’ is an extremely important element in shaping the representations of urban space.

The figures above present only part of Villani’s calculations. When writing about the walled enclosure, Villani gives the circumference as 14,250 cubits, which he then converts to miles (approximately 5, in keeping with the local convention: 3,000 cubits = 1 mile). Having determined

---

62 ‘E tanto gira la cittade dentro, cioè le mura sanza i fossi e le vie di fuori [...]. E così gira la nostra città di Firenze migliaia XIXIII, e CCL braccia’, Nuova cronica, 10:257; see also Dante Alighieri, Paradiso, c. 30:130: ‘vedi nostra città quant’ ella gira.’

63 Nuova cronica, 2:1; 4:2; 5:7; 8:145; 9:58; see also the description of Tuscany, ibid., 2:6.

64 Cf. Friedman, ‘Urban Design’, pp. 165–66; cf. also anonymous, Latin praise Florentie urbis descriptio, written at almost the same time as Villani’s Nuova Cronica, where the vernacular term giro is used instead of the Latin circuitus, ‘Florentie Urbis et Reipublice Descriptioi, in Carl Frey, Die Loggia dei Lanzi zu Florenz, Berlin, 1885, pp. 119–123; cf. Salvestrini, ‘Descrizioni’, pp. 212–19. All the quoted cases reinforce the belief that the giro delle mura means, above all, the ring or circuit of the walls.

65 As noted by Friedman ‘a circle of 14,250 braccia around has a diameter of 4,534 braccia’ (‘Urban Design’, p. 166), which is in line with the conclusions presented in Chap. 256. In the light of Friedman’s calculations, the walled circumference is approximately 14,290 cubits.
the boundary of the city with a measure, he directs his attention towards its interior and the fundamental principles of its layout. He emphasizes that while measuring the walls he also ordered that a measurement be made of the ‘cross of the city’ (croce de la detta città) that was marked by the streets leading through the four most important gates: from east to west (from the Santa Croce gate to the Prato gate, 4,350 cubits) and from north to south (from the gate of San Gallo to the Porta Romana, 5,000 cubits). Thus, Villani introduces yet another basic element in the description of the city’s layout by identifying the two axes of the city corresponding to cardo and decumanus as defined by the Romans.  

This is certainly an approximative and conventional approach, as the axes he points out do not consist of equal or consistently oriented sections. This image is complemented by the final element of the city’s form: the designation of its centre. The measurements quoted by Villani indicate that it was the Mercato Vecchio, the forum of Florence in the Roman period and the most important economic centre of the city in the Middle Ages. The author gives the dimensions of the sections running from the four gates marking the cross of the city to that central place, which also attests to the permanence of Roman measuring tradition and practice.

Having determined the mathematical relationship between the city centre and its peripheries, Villani also indicates a specific place which in his view is both the point of intersection between the two axes and the centre of the circle of fortifications.

---


67 Villani gives the length of the three equal limbs of the cross (2,200 cubits), and the length of the longer one leading from the Mercato Vecchio to the south (2,800 cubits). Friedman acknowledges ‘an artificial symmetry that structures the internal form of the city and by giving a rational dimension to the longer, southern limb they [the figures] also impart a spiritually symbolic character to this particular cross’. It seems to me that this reference to Christian symbolism is an overstatement; in my opinion it is more important to emphasize the importance of creating a geometric image of the city with its geometric centre and regular urban layout, cf. Friedman, ‘Urban Design’, p. 167.

68 ‘l punto della croce e del centro del giro della cittade si ha in su la Calimala, quasi ov’è oggi la casa de’ consoli dell’arte de la lana, ch’è tra Calimala e la piazza e loggia d’Orto Sammichele.’ Nuova cronica, 10:257.
This indication marks the pivotal point of his geometrized and rationalized vision of space and appears alongside other elements characteristic of *laudes civitatum*, namely a selective *enumeratio* of elements of space within the walls, with which the description of the location of Florence ends.

The structure of both chapters reflects *mensurationes*, which primarily included the measurement of all sides of the delimited area (usually four sides, but this depended on the terrain, which was never defined by referring to a specific geometrical figure) and, secondly, the calculations of the size of the area in question. In the *mensurationes* related to sales or taxes, spatial measurements were sometimes accompanied by the procedure known as *estimationes*, that is, valuations carried out by surveyors, accountants or other officials specially designated for this purpose. Sources of this kind are rare, but are sometimes preserved in the documentation of individual building projects or in the archives of municipal offices and church institutions. The exceptions are the *libri terminorum* and detailed tax documentation. The available sources usually include information that the *mensuratio* had been made and paid for, and the final calculations (the size of the area determined in the measurement unit adopted by the city and the value of a given property). Thus, Villani’s text proves to be even more important, enriching as it does our knowledge from a small number of sources.

Retaining the structure of the measurement allowed Villani to supply numerical data and present their interpretation as an idealized image of the city. In the summary of the first chapter, Villani presents Florence built on the diameter of the circle marked by the river flowing through the middle of the city; in the summary of the other chapter, he refers to the image of the city functioning in culture, which was based on a circle, a cross of streets, and a conspicuous centre. This idealization should be treated as a way of perceiving space through geometrization, making it easier to comprehend and refer to known archetypes. The pieces of information presented in the two chapters

---

69 For examples of *mensurationes* in the vernacular, see ASF, Corporazioni religiose soppressa dal governo francese, serie 86: S. Maria degli Angeli, 64, fols 12r–16r, 17r–30v; ASF, Ospedale di San Matteo, 1, fols 15r–16r, 27r–28v.

70 Francesconi, Salvestrini, ‘La scrittura del confine’.


containing the description of walls complement one another in a strikingly evident manner.

The use of written *mensurationes* is attested not only on the level of the text’s structure, but also in the terminology used in it. Villani refers several times to the concept of the border (*frontiera*) when writing about a section of city walls. Summarizing the description of the northern part of the city, he also uses the verb *determinare*, which should be associated with the Latin *terminare*, defining the basic activity of surveyors, that is, setting boundary points and measuring the distance between them. Together with the description of the city’s form, this particular element of the lexical plane of the text confirms the importance of the traditional art of measuring in conceptualizing urban space.

A specific feature of the language used by Villani is the expressions characteristic of exercises in abacus schools, especially in parts in which the chronicler presents a summary of measurements and ensuing calculations. In the discussed period, the language of mathematical proof was still predominantly descriptive, lacking the symbols and signs known to the modern reader; one of the superior features of that language was its vernacular character. Textbooks of practical mathematics also, and fairly often at that, included examples referring to buildings, that is, the heights of towers, the distances between them, and so on. Interestingly, however, Villani does not use such terms as ‘radius’ and ‘diameter’ when indicating the form of the city.

The measure and geometric characteristics of Villani’s description described above should be complemented with comments on issues related to naming and the manner of orientation which enrich his rationalized description of space. The function of boundary marks (*termini*) in this description is undoubtedly assigned to gates and towers. The chronicler pays particular attention to their naming, often providing two names used at the time and their possible origin. These names constitute here the basic elements of urban toponymy. In many cases,

74 ‘E così troviamo’; ‘la ritondità de la città e cricuito pigliamo solamente a la latitudine’; ‘compitando la detta porta e la detta torre coll’altre.’; ‘quando fieno compiute’, ibid., 10:256–57.
75 The prime example is the pioneering textbooks written by Leonardo da Pisa (known as Fibonacci, active in the first half of the thirteenth century); for a list of Italian abacus treatises, see Warren Van Egmond, *Practical Mathematics in the Italian Renaissance: A Catalog of Italian Abacus Manuscripts and Printed Books to 1600*, Florence, 1981; on teaching practical mathematics, see Robert Black, *Education and Society in Florentine Tuscany, Teachers, Pupils and Schools, c. 1250–1500*, Leiden and Boston, 2007.
while referring to a specific gate, Villani also determines the destination to which the respective road led.

However, this is not the only means of orientation and localization used by Villani. The description of the walls in Nuova Cronica is largely innovative in the author’s use of the names of winds to determine their course. What this means is that the list of sections does not consist only of the names and measures, but also information about the point at which the walls turn; ‘with what wind’ they run or to what wind they are exposed. Villani does not use the mathematical concept of angle (in the chronicle he does this only with regard to astronomical phenomena), which is not surprising, since determining angles did not form part of surveying practice. The sides of the delimited area were always determined by surveyors with reference to the cardinal directions, although their names frequently depended on practices adopted in the region. The use of names of winds seems to be Villani’s original idea. That idea also makes it possible to establish a connection between his representations and a portolan chart, in which the Rose of the Winds was used to present a meticulous outline of sea shores to facilitate navigation. It may seem to the reader of Villani’s account that his description of the walls was based on a similar method in

---

76 Cf. Friedman, ‘Urban Design’, pp. 167–68. The names of winds used by Villani are: Mezzogiorno, Scirocco, Levante, Tramontana, Maestrale, Ponente; some of these names were also used to describe the cardinal directions, see for example, Nuova cronica, 2:6.

77 Villani uses the words gombito/gomito (elbow, colloquially: a turn) or angolo (angle).

78 Nuova cronica, 2:13; 4:1; 12:2; 13:8; 13:41; 13:141. It is worth mentioning that the terminology of winds also played an important role in orientation in the sky; Villani’s proficiency in astrology was recently studied by Robert Hand, ‘Giovanni Villani and the Great Conjunction of 1345’, in Astrologers and their Clients in Medieval and Early Modern Europe, ed. Wiebke Deimann and David Juste, Cologne, 2015, pp. 63–82.

79 In Florence, these were: orienis, meridies, occidens, septentrio; in Milan, they were related to the time of day: a mane, a meridie, a sero, a monte. For examples from Florence see: Pampaloni, Firenze; for Milan: Paolo Grillo, ‘L’introduzione dell’estimo e la politica fiscale del Comune di Milano alla metà del secolo XIII (1240–1260)’, in Politiche finanziarie e fiscali nell’Italia settentrionale (secoli XIII–XV), ed. Patrizia Mainoni, Milan, 2001, pp. 26–27. The use of the cardinal directions was a distinct feature of measurement description distinguishing it from the notarial description, where the sides were identified by references to the identity of the owners of the neighbouring property, although it has to be said that the measurement and notarial patterns of description were closely related, see Luciano Lagazzi, Segni sulla terra: Determinazione dei confini e percezione dello spazio nell’alto Medioevo, Bologna, 1991.

shaping the representation of a city and on the use (often only conventional) of the compass. It is also possible that Villani borrowed this method not so much from maps (that is, visual representations of space) as from textual sources or, more specifically, cartographic descriptions in which names of winds were used to determine directions for seafarers. It is also worth noting that in the Roman *ars ædificatoria* the principles of city location and of the construction of its walls depended on their exposure to winds, and thus their names played an important role in describing the fortifications. However, the names of the winds used by the chronicler differ from the ancient ones.

IV

The second description of city walls comes from *Cronica extravagans*, written by a Milanese Dominican, Galvano Fiamma. John Hyde, mentioned above with reference to Villani’s *Nuova Cronica*, described Fiamma, quite unjustly, as ‘a nasty plagiarist who enjoyed a reputation on account of his voluminous works’, while other researchers rightly criticized the value of his works because of their propaganda content, which praised the Visconti, who had by the time become the ruling family of Milan.

---

81 Villani’s contemporary, Opicino de Canistris, also used portolan charts in his visual representations of the world and man, see Karl Whittington, *Body-Worlds: Opicinus de Canistris and the Medieval Cartographic Imagination*, Toronto, 2014. On the compass as a tool used during urban development projects in the period under discussion, see Guidoni, *Storia dell’urbanistica: Il Duecento*, p. 244.

82 In such case we would have a description similar to the *collection of written sailing directions*, called *portolano* (not *portolan charts*), T. Campbell, ‘Portolan Charts’, p. 375; for a discussion on the relationship between *portolano* and *portolan chart*, see ibid., pp. 382–83. For a similar use of the winds, see the description of Europe: *Nuova cronica*, 1:5; analysis of the author’s sources in this matter requires a separate study.


84 Sante Ambrogio Céngarle Parisi, ‘Introduzione’, in *Cronica extravagans*, p. 158. The chronicle is preserved in a single manuscript, from the late fourteenth-century copy by Pietro Ghiodi, the copyist of all Fiamma’s secular chronicles: Milan, Biblioteca Ambrosiana, A 275, fols 31r–60v; for more on the copies of Fiamma’s works, see Céngarle Parisi, ‘Introduzione’, pp. 90–110.


The title given to the work may be misleading — the work is not so much a chronicle as a praise of the city written in the form of a Latin scholastic treatise; the author himself emphasizes that his method derives from philosophy, not historiography. This is due to the polemical character of Extravagans — the purpose of Fiamma is to defend his previous and highly criticized by contemporaries Cronica magna de actibus civitatis Mediolani. The work consists of questiones, in which the author considers subsequent issues from the general history of Milan. The argument is based on the dialectical method, which was used as the best way to conduct a learned dispute. This approach stems also from the intellectual background of the author, who was an educated Dominican: a lector in Dominican studia well-versed in theology and canon law, highly knowledgeable in the dialectics of Aristotle and Aquinas, he states in his text that he devoted twenty-five years to reading historiographical works. We are, therefore, dealing with a radically different author and source; numbers and measures for Fiamma did not constitute such important descriptive elements as they did for Villani. It is therefore even more interesting to study in particular those passages where the Dominican used mathematics to conjure a representation of the urban space of Milan.

Fiamma makes several references to mathematical tools in his reflections on the city, its location and structure. Firstly, he builds a description of the city’s location and its size based on the dimensions of the most important complex of public buildings (the Broletto nuovo) and fortifications (questio X). Secondly, he uses arithmetic to build a scholastic proof about the sinusoid of the city’s history and compare Milan to the largest cities of antiquity (questio XI). Thirdly, in the part devoted to urban buildings, he uses detailed measurements of the sections of the wall (questio XVII). All these cases involve the use and reworking of the text to which Fiamma refers most often in this work, namely the great praise of Milan by Bonvesin de la Riva of 1288. In the vast majority of descriptions of buildings and
monuments of the past, the author does not refer to measurements. Quantitas is not the basis for describing the qualitas of the city in his text, which to him lies more in the antiquitas and romanitas of Milan, as it is this last that is the dominant element of his text. Fiamma uses measurements only for those spatial elements where the measure-related and geometrical convention of their description hinges on Bonvesin, who based his representation of the city on a numerical catalogue of secular and ecclesiastical buildings and on the characteristics of three main elements: the curia comunis (the Broletto nuovo), city walls, and the forma civitatis. These three elements became the foundation of the geometrized image of the city in Cronica extravagans, which is the subject of analysis here.

V

The first reference to mathematical tools is found in questio decima, in which the Dominican discusses the location of Milan. He argues that the city owes its good position to both nature and the art of fortification. Fiamma describes two rings of walls: the construction of the first, external one with unfinished towers is attributed by the author to Manuel I Komnenos, the Emperor of Constantinople, whereas the second, internal one is attributed to Azzone Visconti, signor of Milan in 1329–38 (which is for obvious reasons missing from the account by Bonvesin written in 1288).

---


93 Cronica extravagans, Chap. 23–25, p. 258. The questio is built in the following order 1) titulus; 2) argumenta; 3) sed contra; 4) corpus; 5) responsiones; see Céngarle Parisi, ‘Introduzione’, pp. 172–81.

94 Cronica extravagans, 23:4; 23:8, p. 260.

95 This information does not appear in Bonvesin’s text, who writes about an earthen enclosure; the rebuilding of the walls by Manuel I Komnenos was also described by Jacobus da Varagine, who was mentioned several times by the Dominican, see Iacopo da Varagine e la sua Cronaca di Genova dalle origini al 1297, ed. Giovanni Monleone, Rome, 1941, p. 353, Fonti per la Storia d’Italia, vol. 85, one the datation of the ring see also, Grillo, ‘Una politica della memoria’, pp. 23–24.

96 On the state of Milan’s walls see, Aldo A. Settia, ‘Cerchie murarie e torri private urbane’, in La costruzione della città comunale italiana, sec. XII–inizio XIV, Pistoia, 2009,
Similarly to *De magnalibus*, the most important element used to give an idea of the size of the city is the dimensions of its walls. Fiamma claims in the text that the outer ring measures 10,041 cubits, the internal one is 10,045 cubits long, while the width of the moat is 30 cubits.\(^97\) The characteristics of the fortifications is based on these data, adorned with the topical elements of *laudes civitatum* (for example, the cleanliness of moat water or the quality of fortifications).

At first glance, the ‘inaccurate’ relationship between the length of the rings may appear striking: the inner circle cannot be larger than the outer one. It raises the question of the insufficient mathematical competence of the author (emphasized by the editor of the source).\(^98\) Nonetheless, it should be remembered that it may not be particularly helpful to carry out a similar analysis using detailed geometric data and modern mathematical principles (with their characteristic emphasis on extreme precision). This example shows that the principal method of Fiamma’s reasoning is to compile collected pieces of information. The Dominican regards them as unassailable and equivalent facts co-creating one and the same reality. All the same, it is nevertheless worth noting that the data provided are not necessarily mutually exclusive. Furthermore, the course of the inner wall, (which depended to a greater extent on the layout of existing buildings and was by necessity irregular) could have been longer than that of the outer ring. Of course, it is quite possible (but not entirely improbable) that the data provided were made up by Fiamma, in accordance with his knowledge and skill. The measurement-based matrix of his description of the city space is borrowed from Bonvesin; Fiamma attempts to complement that matrix with data regarding the new (probably unfinished) ring of walls, so that the image of the city would be complete and consistent. This is how one should treat the ‘round’ number of towers in the inner ring of the walls given by the author (*cum c. turribus*).

\(^97\) The width of the moat here is not 38 as in *De magnalibus*, but 30 cubits; see *De magnalibus Mediolani*, 2:5, p. 26; 1 Milanese cubit = 0.595 m; about Milanese units of measure, see Martini, *Manuale*, p. 350.

In the next part of the same questio, the author presents the characteristics of the Broletto nuovo. The Dominican describes the form of the building as a square surrounded by a high wall and located in the city centre. The area of the complex (approximately 10 perches) was calculated by means of length and width, as defined by two sections (east to west — 130 cubits, and north to south — 136 cubits). This image emphasizes the symbolic perfection of the city (a quadrilateral located in the centre of a circle). The language used by Fiamma to describe the form of the Broletto is also worth noting. He uses a mathematical term to describe the size of the area (plana superficies), while in describing the centre of the complex he uses the expression in medio per traversum.

It should be noted that the urban layout of Milan in both Bonvesin’s and Fiamma’s times was based on a grid (consisting of the main streets that connected the gates to the city centre) that was denser than was the archetypal image of two axes, and, as a result, it was impossible to refer to

---


100 ‘Broletto est edititium quadrum, alto muro circumdatum, in medio civitatis fundatum, cuius plana superficies habet circa decem perticam. Est enim ab oriente versus occasum habens .cxxx.cubitos, ab aquilone versus meridiem habt .cxxxvi. cubitos’, Cronica extravagans, 24:1–2, p. 262.

101 1 pertica = 654,5179 m².

102 The result of precise calculation based on these data is 6,364.8 m²; in fact, it is not the sides but the corners of the building that indicated the cardinal points. The description of the building is highly conventionalized and dependent on a measurement pattern, cf. Boucheron, Le Pouvoir, fig. 31, p. 544 or David, ‘Urbs veneranda nimis’, fig. 17, p. 76.

103 Lilley, City and Cosmos, p. 18. This representation of the city is also emphasized by its topographical in the middle of a plain, between rivers, and the supposed origin of its name (Mediolanum = situated in the middle), De magnalibus Mediolani, 1:1, p. 16, cf. Oldfield, Urban Panegyric, pp. 4–5, 181.

104 Cronica extravagans, 24:4, p. 262.
that image in the text. In order to preserve the model description of space, substitutes of axes appear in the description, that is, the dimensions of the sides of the Broletto. They can be viewed with reference to the two axes of the city described by Villani, as they provide a frame of reference to the cardinal directions, although in a very conventional manner.

Further in his description of the Broletto, the Dominican points to the centre of the complex (the Pallazzo della Ragione) and to the six gates of the complex. The number and names co-determine the spatial organization based on six districts (portæ) subordinated to six city gates. Characterizing the individual buildings which make up the complex, Fiamma repeats Bonvesin’s model of description based on the cardinal directions. However, his description gives more detail on the buildings of the complex, commenting, for example, on the length of the sides of the Broletto. The description ends with a reference to the city tower and a note that whoever rings its four bells shall have power over the city. The Dominican’s goal is to create a representation not only of the central and most important point in the city (castrum civitatis), which is compared to ancient citadels but above all of a micro-city symbolizing Milan as a whole. Here, Fiamma consciously uses this trope (a synecdoche), which is a consequence of the semantic relation defined in the text between the complex and the city as a whole: the Broletto means Milan, and Milan means the Broletto.

The questio devoted to the city’s location ends with a passage dedicated to the Broletto vecchio, an earlier public complex chosen by the Visconti as their official seat and renewed by Azzone Visconti. Fiamma presents the characteristics of that building, precisely because of its relationship to the ruling family and its particular status of the new

105 In 1228, it was decided to build eight streets, beginning in the city centre (broletto) and running towards six main gates and two posterns, see Grillo, Milano, p. 59.
108 Ibid., 24:9, p. 262.
110 ‘Sic Broletum dicitur Mediolanum et Mediolanum dicitur Broletum, perfigu ram synedoce, ubi pars accipitur pro toto’, ibid.
political centre of Milan. The description of the place, however, are devoid of mathematical categories, which emphasizes the conclusion formulated above: the Dominican referred to these tools only if they were introduced earlier by Bonvesin. The *questio* ends with a closing formula, topical and typical for *laudes civitatum*, to the effect that alongside the buildings mentioned earlier Milan boasts an abundance of palaces, churches and towers which epitomize its size and beauty, and a short verse praising the patronage of Azzone Visconti.\(^{111}\)

**VI**

The next *questio* illustrates a convergence of two *rationes*. The first *ratio* is the method of scholastic reasoning, the purpose of which is to learn the truth about the history of the city; the second *ratio* is the use of mathematical operation as a cognitive tool, albeit largely imprecise and simulated. They converge owing to the scholastic form of *expositio*, which allows the author to think creatively, drawing on erudition. The Dominican ponders here the question of whether the city was larger and stronger in the past,\(^{112}\) which invites a comparison of Milan to great ancient cities. The *argumentum* claims that Milan has never been larger; the *responsio* states that in the past its size varied. In the main part of the discussion, the principal category of comparison is measures and numbers drawn from the Dominican’s readings. Fiamma decides to use the criterion of measurement as the category of comparison, as it is consistent with the manner used in the previous *questio* based on Bonvesin. His use of comparison as a method is an attempt to formulate an arithmetic calculation to establish the proportion of Milan to the most important cities of antiquity. Firstly, Fiamma determines the size of ancient Milan. From the text known as *Cronica Datiana* he draws information about the width and height of the walls, trying to convert the measures from old to contemporary ones.\(^{113}\) Then, he gives the number of towers located in the former ring of walls, and decides that on the basis of these three pieces of data it is possible to calculate as many as three unknown dimensions: the height, width and length of the walls (the topical elements of descriptions of medieval *moenia*).\(^{114}\) The reasoning presented in this passage is an imitation of a mathematical proof.\(^{115}\) This is evidenced

\(^{111}\) Ibid., 25:6–7, p. 264.

\(^{112}\) Ibid., 26:1, p. 266. The *questio* is built on the same order as *questio decima*.

\(^{113}\) Fiamma uses the foot ‘of a tall man’ as a unit of measure with reference to the past and to his day.


\(^{115}\) *Cronica extravagans*, 26:5–8, p. 268.
by the expressions used by the Dominican in the ‘simulated’ arithmetic operation: ‘facta solerti computatione’ or ‘vnde conuenienter computatur [...] ergo fuit in triplo maior.’

Having established the dimensions of ancient Milan, the Dominican presents further arguments: the number of public buildings and their size. In this case, Fiamma refers to the principle of proportionality of the part to the entire urban layout, according to which individual buildings located in the city should be proportionally large with respect to the city as a whole.\textsuperscript{116} Then, by way of analogy, he applies the presented rule in comparisons with other cities. First, he compares Rome and Milan, beginning with the number of towers in the walls. Then, without trying to provide a calculation, he compiles data from his reading about the fortifications of Carthage, Nineveh and Babylon.

VII

The third and final example of the strong presence of mathematics in \textit{Cronica extravagans} is found in the section on medieval city defences.\textsuperscript{117} In \textit{questio XVII}, Fiamma presents the characteristics of gates and posterns, discussing their location, the etymology of their names and their architectural features. Right after the general introduction concerning the six main gates, a detailed \textit{mensuratio} of sections of the walls is introduced to the text under the heading \textit{termini portarum} (see ill. 1).\textsuperscript{118} Its purpose is to bring together and organize information from the descriptions of individual gates and posterns, which, in the light of the text, are the principal element in determining the quality of fortifications.\textsuperscript{119} This presentation is especially important for our analysis since it uses the same tool of description of the walls as that applied in the discussed chapters of Villani’s \textit{Nuova Cronica}; it also reveals the geometric character of the representation of the city. The fundamental difference between these two measurements is the way they are introduced into the text of chronicle. While Villani decided to describe them in a literary manner in the text of his

\textsuperscript{116} ‘Hoc etiam probatur ratione quod totum et pars, continens et contentum, debent esse proportionata; sed archus triumphalis, ut dicit cronica Campane, habuit in longitudine tunc temporis ultra duo miliaria, nec umquam fuit per Romanos in toto mundo fabricatus tam magnus archus. Si ergo pars debet proportionari suo toto, et archus, qui pars est ciuitatis, fuit permaximus, ergo ciuitas tunc temporis fuit permaxima’, \textit{Cronica extravagans}, 26:12, p. 268.

\textsuperscript{117} The characteristics of Roman fortifications, mainly the gates in the walls and the idols located next to them, see \textit{questio XVI}, ibid., 45, 46, pp. 302, 304.


\textsuperscript{119} \textit{Cronica extravagans}, 56, pp. 314–16.
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In my opinion there is no doubt that the data were gathered and prepared in form of *termini portarum* by the Dominican (see below); on the characteristics of Fiamma’s working process and the copy of Pietro Ghioidi, who made a lot of effort to incorporate the numerous *glosse* of the author in the main text of his works, see Céngarle Parisi, ‘Introduzione’, pp. 107–09; Chiesa, *Galvano Fiamma fra storiografia e letteratura*, pp. 85–86.

chronicle, in *Extravagans* they are incorporated as a list of dimensions imitating the template of an accounting ledger. It is very probable that the list was prepared by Fiamma in the margin as a practical note and later incorporated in the main text by the copyist, Pietro Ghioidi.\(^ \text{120} \)

The term *terminus* used in the summary statement is a boundary mark (usually a stone or a column). It is one of the basic terms in the vocabulary.

\(^ \text{120} \) In my opinion there is no doubt that the data were gathered and prepared in form of *termini portarum* by the Dominican (see below); on the characteristics of Fiamma’s working process and the copy of Pietro Ghioidi, who made a lot of effort to incorporate the numerous *glosse* of the author in the main text of his works, see Céngarle Parisi, ‘Introduzione’, pp. 107–09; Chiesa, *Galvano Fiamma fra storiografia e letteratura*, pp. 85–86.
of ancient surveyors (alongside *limes* and *finis*).¹²¹ In the Middle Ages, it was also often used in the general sense as a border. It is worth emphasizing, however, that unlike *limites* and *fines*, *termini* had a material dimension — they were visible and legible points in space.¹²² In this case, the use of the term *termini* underlines the status of gates and posterns as determinants of urban space.

Below the heading ‘*termini portarum*’ only the length of one ring is provided: that of the outer one (10,041 cubits). There follows a list of measurements, which is devoid of any additional comments. The order of the list is based on three columns: the first deals with the sections of the walls, designated in the vast majority by gates and posterns.¹²³ The category of description defining the direction of measurement is characteristic for *mensurationes*, a phrase I have referred to earlier: ‘from ... to ...’ [(a) ... *usque ad* ...]. There are no additional markers for orienting the representation. The second column contains measurements of the sections they designate. Finally, the third gives information assigning the sections created to a given place (postern or gate) and makes it possible to determine the purpose of the *mensuratio*: it appears that it served to assign the obligations to maintain the sections of fortifications in good condition, those obligations being shared between citizens and ecclesiastical institutions, and resulting from earlier-apportioned responsibilities for gates and posterns.¹²⁴ This allocation of responsibilities may be related to the construction of new fortifications which began during the reign of Azzone Visconti (called ‘the inner ring of walls’ by Fiamma).

Similar to Villani’s description, the *termini portarum* are divided in two parts: detailed measurements of the sides of the delimited space, and a summary of information about the geometrical form. In the latter, the following data can be found: the width of the moat (30 cubits), the

---

¹²¹ For surveying terminology, see Isidore of Seville, *Etymologiae*, 15:14; B. Campbell, *The Writings*.


¹²³ The only exceptions are abreuvoirs (*beueratores*) or the so-called ‘first tower’ (*prima turris*). The phrase *pro faggia monasterio Clarevallensis* in the first column is misplaced, as it belongs to the third column, see Céngarle Parisi, ‘Commento letterario’, pp. 534–35.

¹²⁴ This conclusion seems to be also confirmed by the analysis of the editors regarding the importance and location of the phrase *pro faggia monasteri Clarevallensis* (see above) and by the content of another work by Fiamma, in which he describes the expenditure of church institutions on the walls, see Federica Cengarle, ‘*I gruppi scultorei delle porte milanesi: Una forma di comunicazione politica?*, *Arte Lombarda*, 2014, 3, pp. 24–29 (p. 25).
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perimeter of the outer wall (10,041 cubits), its diameter (3,000 and ½ cubits), the radius (1,510 cubits), and the dimensions of the Broletto nuovo (130 and 136 cubits). Except for the diameter and radius of the circle and the dimensions of the sections of the walls, all data contained in the summary come from De magnalibus Mediolani. As was the case with the previously presented mathematical data, Fiamma’s calculations are inconsistent: the radius is slightly longer than half the diameter; the measures of individual sections of the walls do not equal the given perimeter. The list of measurements undoubtedly results from a compilation of information from Bonvesin’s text and possibly official Milanese documentation, from which the measurements of individual sections were copied (with errors). In Fiamma’s work we do not find an explicit glorification of the form of the city, but the mentions of the radius and diameter indicate that he conceptualized the urban space of Milan as a perfect circle. 125

Another element of the termini portarum should be emphasized. In the list there are included also the dimensions of the Broletto nuovo. The complex then is consistently treated as a necessary element of the representation, as it indicates its symbolic and geometric centre. Moreover, the dimensions of the Broletto play here crucial, though extremely conventional function: they help to orientate the city. It is significant, as the geometric data are supplemented with an iconographic representation (see ill. 2). In the literature on the subject devoted to the representations of Milan it was this one that served as the object of analysis. 126 However, a closer reading

125 In his works, Fiamma returned to the dimensions of the city, changed the data and introduced new values. Observation of changes and their confrontation with the chronology of subsequent works allows us to conclude that Fiamma initially relied on the measurements given by Bonvesin and enriched them only with the mensuratio of the inner ring of the walls of Azzone Visconti. Over time, however, Fiamma developed a geometric vision of the city, introducing the length of the radius and the diameter of the inner and outer rings into his description. At the last stage, he also tried to calculate the size of the circle designated by the fortifications, see Galvano Fiamma, Opusculum de rebus gestis ab Azone, Luchino et Johanne Vicecomitibus ab anno MCCCXXXVIII usque ad annum MCCCXLII, ed. Carlo Castiglioni, Bologna, 1938, 17:8–9, p. 26, Rerum Italicarum Scriptores, n.s., vol. 12, part 4; Céngarle Parisi, ‘Commento letterario’, p. 473, tab. 1.

Ill. 2. The ‘map’ of Milan, *Cronica extravagans* of Galvano Fiamma, Milan, Biblioteca Ambrosiana A 275, fol. 46v
of *Extravagans* makes it possible to emphasize the complementary character of these three elements: (i) the literary description; (ii) the list of the dimensions of the city; (iii) the specific ‘map’ of the city.\(^{127}\) I use the term ‘map’ only very tentatively, as it is anachronistic in this context.\(^{128}\) We are dealing here with a hybrid representation, where several different ways of representing a city are used.\(^{129}\) At first glance, the representation resembles medieval cosmological diagrams.\(^{130}\) One can see there two perfect circles centred on the perfect square of the Broletto:\(^{131}\) the first represents the Roman walls,\(^{132}\) while the other represents the walls of medieval Milan. The gates are marked on both circles and the lengths of the sections from the *mensuratio* are also provided, though only on the second one. The representation also contains other elements: the cardinal points, important religious buildings, cities, rivers, and bridges. Geographic and topographical knowledge of Milan and its dependencies is laid out on a symbolic, highly geometrical structure. Therefore, the ‘map’ visually synthesizes the knowledge derived from the descriptions contained in *Extravagans*. The measurement-based description of the city provided in the *termini portarum* constitute the fundamental principle of the visual representation of the city and strengthen the power of the representation.\(^{133}\)


\(^{130}\) On the diagram as a way of presenting urban space in Italy, see Imke Wartenberg, *Bilder der Rechtsprechung: Spätmittelalterliche Wandmalereien in Regierungsräumen italienischer Kommunen*, Berlin, 2015, pp. 120–27.

\(^{131}\) The Broletto is incorrectly oriented according to the convention adopted by Bonvesin and imitated by Fiamma.

\(^{132}\) The descriptions of Roman walls of Fiamma do not contain measurement information. In *Extravagans*, the Dominican describes the Roman gates, but does not describe the ring itself, *Cronica extravagans*, 45; 47, pp. 302; 304.

**Conclusions**

The works of Villani and Fiamma are difficult to compare. These two authors differ in terms of their intellectual background and literary temperament. However, a close reading of the passages from their works devoted to the characteristics of city walls allows us to formulate interesting conclusions concerning the mathematical approach to describing urban space.

Both in *Nuova Cronica* and in *Cronica extravagans*, the descriptions of fortifications are an essential element in presenting the city’s location. Villani and Fiamma decide to introduce survey measurements into their descriptions, imitating *mensurationes* and *terminationes*. Their goal is thus to define the city’s boundary and make an attempt at presenting an orderly urban layout and the complementary character of the relations between the city centre (indicated strongly in both texts) and its peripheries. All this not only indicates that their ways of defining space were heavily influenced by the practices of surveying, but also that the authors were focused on the form of the city. It seems reasonable to hypothesize that such a far-reaching application of mathematical tools in a literary description is a *signum temporis* of chroniclers’ day. The description of the city that is contemporary to them is a highly geometricized and rationalized one.

Giovanni Villani uses his own experience and knowledge gained from his official role in the construction of the walls, he also adopt official survey documentation with great skill and displays his knowledge of geometry. Galvano Fiamma, on the other hand, builds his representation on the basis of *De magnalibus Mediolani*, although his description is not a mere copy of the data provided by his predecessor, but an interpretation. The Dominican develops the mathematical discourse of Bonvesin in order to expand a geometric visualization. The list of *termini portarum* compiled by Fiamma is probably a creative attempt to provide complete measurements of the city walls based on various sources and his own imagination, and is connected to the great project of his friend and *signore*, Azzone Visconti.

Finally, it is worth adding that these are not the only descriptions of the walls of Milan and Florence provided by these authors.\(^{134}\) The remain-

---

ing ones, however, concern rings from earlier times. I believe that this is because of the lack of narrative tools for describing the fortifications built during the lifetime of chroniclers (at least in case of Florence\(^\text{135}\)). The representations of walls constructed at the time of writing were for obvious reasons lacking in meanings and narratives (for example, those related to the *loca sacra* or underlining the *romanitas* of the city). The authors viewed the new projects as redefining the urban space and its perception and, consequently, searched for other tools to present this redefinition. It seems to me that this was one of the reasons for choosing to apply mathematical discourse, that allowed them to refer the urban space they described also to the traditional representation, which was fundamental in attempts to conceptualize and rationalize the world. Villani and Fiamma go to great lengths to impart a circular shape to their representations, because geometrization allows their microworlds to be presented as being in accordance with a model of the macrocosm. A similar pursuit is described by Dante in the closing stanzas of the *Divine Comedy*:

\[
\text{As the geometer who sets himself } \\
\text{To square the circle and who cannot find, } \\
\text{For all his thought, the principle he needs, } \\
\text{Just so was I on seeing this new vision } \\
\text{I wanted to see how our image fuses } \\
\text{Into the circle and finds its place in it.}\quad\text{136}
\]

### Summary

The article presents the phenomenon of the rationalization of urban space, more specifically, the mathematical tools (both calculation and geometry) used in the perception of late medieval urban space. For this purpose, two chronicles have been compared: the famous and well-known Giovanni Villani’s *Nuova Cronica* (Florence) and the much less known and less widely studied *Cronica extravagans*

---

\(^{135}\) The last ring of Florentine fortifications, which construction observed Villani, changed drastically the size of the city and, consequently, the whole system of perception of its peripheries. The project launched by Azzone Visconti in Milan was not so spectacular; the ‘inner’ walls were actually close to the previous earth ramparts and consequently they could not change so radically the organization of urban space and the ‘system’ of urban spatial narratives. Nevertheless, in my opinion, the project of new walls and its documentation should be treated as one of the reasons for choosing this kind of description by Fiamma.

by Galvano Fiamma (Milan). Both texts were written in this same epoch (in the 1330s); Villani and Fiamma differed significantly as regard to their intellectual background, profession and chronicler’s temperament. However, both authors used their knowledge of the principles of geometry to illustrate the splendour of their respective cities and to help readers visualize its urban forms. They created a similar image of the city, which stems from a long-standing archetypal image of the city based on the figure of circle. The study addresses the following questions: What mathematical tools (accounting-related and geometric) were used to conceptualize space? On what occasion did the chroniclers use them? What role did they play in their descriptions of space? The main attention is paid to the descriptions of city walls, but the article characterizes also the other instances where the chroniclers used spatial measures and mathematical tools. This helps to specify the areas in which mathematical rationalization was a useful tool in perceiving space, and a privileged feature of descriptive cartography.
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