Nieścior Hubert, Bator Damian, Wójcik Magdalena, Szarpak Julita, Dąbrowska Justyna, Sereda Dominika. Dry socket - clinical procedures review. Journal of Education, Health and Sport. 2020;10(9):235-240. eISSN 2391-8306. DOI http://dx.doi.org/10.12775/JEHS.2020.10.09.025 https://apcz.umk.pl/czasopisma/index.php/JEHS/article/view/JEHS.2020.10.09.025 https://zenodo.org/record/4019699

The journal has had 5 points in Ministry of Science and Higher Education parametric evaluation. § 8. 2) and § 12. 1. 2) 22.02.2019. © The Authors 2020; This article is published with open access at Licensee Open Journal Systems of Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun, Poland Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author (s) and source are credited. This is an open access article icensed under the terms of the Creative Commons. Attribution Non commercial license Share alike. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/) which permits unrestricted, non commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Received: 10.08.2020. Revised: 15.08.2020. Accepted: 08.09.2020.

Dry socket - clinical procedures review

Hubert Nieścior, Damian Bator, Magdalena Wójcik, Julita Szarpak, Justyna Dąbrowska, Dominika Sereda

Hubert Nieścior¹, 0000-0002-4709-4396, hniescior@gmail.com Damian Bator², 0000-0002-8464-932X, bat199979@gmail.com Magdalena Wójcik², 0000-0002-0999-6284, magdalena.wojcik967@gmail.com Julita Szarpak², 0000-0002-5091-0235, julita.szarpak@gmail.com Justyna Dąbrowska², 0000-0002-1356-6965, jdabrowska00@gmail.com Dominika Sereda¹, 0000-0003-4189-1674, dominika.sereda1902@gmail.com

1) Student Scientific Circle at the Department and Epidemiology and Methodology of Clinical Research, Medical University of Lublin

2) Student Scientific Circle at the Department of Applied Psychology of the Medical University in Lublin

Abstract

Introduction: Dry socket is a complication following extraction surgery. It usually appears between days 1 and 3 after extraction. The partially disorganized clot breaks down and healing is impaired.

Material and methods: The latest reports available on the dry socket and its treatment using various methods were used. The NCBI database was used for this purpose, using the terms "dry socket" and "dry socket treatment".

State of knowledge: Dry socket occurs as a complication in 1-5% of extraction sockets, while in the case of sockets after 3 mandibular molars, the percentage is as high as 38%. Risk factors may include: insufficient blood supply to the tissue, use of an excessive amount of anesthetic, hormonal contraception, smoking, traumatic surgery, failure to follow the doctor's instructions regarding the postoperative procedure. Chlorhexidine, warm saline, platelet plasma, hyaluronic acid-impregnated collagen sponges, resorbable collagen membranes and eugenol with lidocaine on Penghawar Djambi carrier can be used to treat dry socket. **Summary:** Dry socket is a medical condition. The unification of treatment recommendations is the goal of research on tools used in the context of a dry socket. Evaluation of profits and losses will allow to unify the recommendations and reduce the incidence of this complication.

Key words: Dry socket

Introduction

Dry socket is a non-scientific name for a complication, the meaning of which is understood as the presence of postoperative pain in and around the extraction site, which worsens between days 1 and 3 after tooth extraction [1], according to 96 hours after the procedure [1]. In addition to the above, a partially or completely disorganized blood clot in the alveolus with or without the presence of halitosis is observed [1]. The first publication on the dry socket was in 1896, this unit was described by Crawford [2]. It is the most frequently studied complication in the field of dental surgery [3] and it is also the most common post-extraction complication [4]. Patients,

Material and methods

The latest reports available on the dry socket and its treatment using various methods were used. The NCBI database was used for this purpose, using the terms "dry socket" and "dry socket treatment".

Epidemiology

According to the publication of Blum [1] and Bowe [5], dry socket occurs from 1% to 5% of all tooth extractions, although it should be noted that there is a significant difference between the groups of teeth to be treated. Lower third molars undergoing extraction surgery are predisposed to this complication and it reaches even 38% for these teeth [5], while according to Larsen [6], Fotos [7], Hermesch [8], after extraction of the above-mentioned teeth, the percentage of alveolus with the lesion ranges from 20% to 35%.

The frequency of occurrence may be influenced by: insufficient blood supply to the tissue, compact tissue surrounding the socket [9], use of an excessive amount of anesthetic with a vasoconstrictor [10], hormonal contraception, smoking [1], traumatic surgery, failure to follow the doctor's instructions regarding the procedure after treatment. The patient's age has a significant impact on the risk of dry socket. A 1.9-fold increase in risk was demonstrated for each year of life [11].

Prevention and treatment

Prophylaxis is the most effective method of treatment to reduce the percentage of negative effects of treatment.

Antibiotic prophylaxis is not needed and it does not prevent a dry socket [12]. Ren et al. [13] showed reductions in dry socket when antibiotic was given prior to surgery, but expressed doubts about the profit-loss ratio.

1. Prophylactic antibiotic therapy

In the initial considerations about the dry socket, the etiology was referred to as bacterial, but nowadays the theories claiming that an infectious agent is the primary cause of this complication are being gradually abandoned. The negation of the importance of the bacterial factor in the first stage of dry socket development is confirmed by the unjustified use of prophylactic antibiotics, eg amoxicillin with or without clavulonic acid [14] or other antibiotics generally used in medicine [13]. Despite the discrepancy between the authors' results in the statistically significant effects, it is believed that the profit / loss ratio related to the use of antibiotic prophylaxis in healthy people is not justified, although some authors found no effects of antibiotic therapy [12]. The lack of justification is associated with increasing resistance among microorganisms to antibiotics, and such routine use of antibiotics may cause opposite effects, as it may lead to the selection of drug-resistant strains [15,16,17]. Apart from the development of resistance to antibiotics [18].

2. Chlorhexidine

Chlorhexidine gel (CHX) applied in the form of a dressing to the socket after the extraction procedure does not show significantly better effects compared to the control groups [12]. Different results were presented by Harajj et al. [19], a significantly lower percentage of post-extraction complication in the form of a dry socket was demonstrated. In addition to the CHX gel form, the CHX rinse is also available, which may also show a decrease in the percentage of dry sockets, although the gel seems to have an advantage in effectiveness [20], the rinse is a more economical form of prevention [21].

3. Platelet-rich plasma

Platelet-rich plasma (PRGF) may have both beneficial properties in both prophylactic and therapeutic applications [12]. PRGF has been used for various types of operations in maxillofacial surgery, including complex exodontic procedures (filling the extraction socket) [22, 23] or lifting the bottom of the maxillary sinus [24].

The use of PRGF can help to reduce pain and inflammation after tooth extraction, so a significant effect is observed in the quality of life after the procedure [25], similar effects have been demonstrated with the use of platelet-rich fibrin [26].

4. Warm salt solution

The mechanism of action of the warm solution of the kitchen role is not fully understood, but it is confirmed that its effectiveness may be the result of hypertonic properties, which makes it possible to inhibit the activity of pathogenic bacteria favoring the physiological flora [27]. The bacteriostatic effect occurs when the intracellular fluid of the bacteria escapes through the cell wall to the outside. Heating the solution may additionally increase the blood flow in the tissues surrounding the extraction socket, which may increase the migration of phagocytes towards the treatment site, which will enable faster elimination of the infectious agent [28, 29]. The effectiveness is comparable to the use of chlorhexidine mouthwash [30], which was described in the subsection above.

5. Collagen sponges soaked in hyaluronic acid

Hyaluronic acid (HA) is D-glucuronic acid and DN-acetylglcosamine. Long HA chains are the major component of synovial fluid, skin, mucosa, cartilage and the extracellular matrix. In the damaged tissue, the HA chains are damaged and this results in a reduction in particle size. This induces an inflammatory response, cell migration and angiogenesis [31]. HA synthesis is increased during the first stage of healing [32] due to the effect of IL-8 and TNF-a. HA provides obstruction of the extraction socket and induces the healing process. [33]. The combination of hyaluronic acid and acetate dichloride provides additional antiseptic properties.

6. Resorbable collagen membrane

Collagen membranes have been used in medicine and dentistry for decades [34]. Using a resorbable membrane promotes the healing of the site after surgery through isolation, stabilization of a clot in the alveolus, wound stabilization, haemostasis, and increased primary coverage due to the ability of chemotactic activity to fibroblasts [35]. In [this study], someone showed that the use of resorbable membranes affects the presence of swelling after surgery and the ability to open the mouth, and the possibility of using alveolitis in primary alveolar closure surgery suggests that the use of a membrane may support primary wound healing [34].

7. Alvogyl

Alvogyl is a gel consisting of lidocaine and eugenol. Comparison of the Alvogyl gel with the chlorhexidine gel showed the advantage of the eugenol gel. Reduction of pain and inflammation was observed in patients, and the mucosa showed faster healing [36]. The above form of treatment is common. Eugenol has analgesic and disinfecting properties. The gel is deposited on a support consisting of Penghawar Djambi fibers. Penghawar Djambi is a natural astringent of plant origin, composed of fibers that have the ability to remain in place of the extraction socket and are rarely incorporated into the bone that forms [37]. Contrary to the above data, it is shown that eugenol may prolong the healing period of the socket [38].

Summary

Post-extraction complication in the form of a dry socket is a condition that requires treatment and significantly diminishes the patient's quality of life. The unification of treatment recommendations is the goal of research on tools used in the context of a dry socket. The use of many of them is controversial, some data is contradictory and some are confirmed in many publications. Continuous evaluation of gains and losses as with antibiotics is necessary, as the therapeutic effect of a dry socket may be disproportionate to the negative effects of treatment.

Bibliography:

1. Blum IR. Contemporary views on dry socket (alveolar osteitis): A clinical appraisal of standardization, aetiopathogenesis and management: A critical review. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2002;31:309-17.

2. Gottlieb B, Crawford H. Therapy and prophylaxis of dry socket. Am J Orthod Oral Surg. 1943;29:B328–31.

3. Cardoso CL, Rodrigues MTV, Ferreira Júnior O, Garlet GP, de Carvalho PSP. Clinical concepts of dry socket. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2010;68:1922-32.

4. Burgoyne CC, Giglio JA, Reese SE, Sima AP, Laskin DM. The efficacy of a topical anesthetic gel in the relief of pain associated with localized alveolar osteitis. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2010;68:144-8.

5. Bowe DC, Rogers S, Stassen LF. The management of dry socket/ alveolar osteitis. J Ir Dent Assoc 2011-2012;57:305-10.

6. Larsen PE. Alveolar osteitis after surgical removal of impacted mandibular third molars. Identification of the patient at risk. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1992;73:393–97.

7. Fotos PG, Koorbusch GF, Sarasin DS, Kist RJ. Evaluation of intra-alveolar chlorhexidine dressings after removal of impacted mandibular third molars. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1992;73:383–88.

8. Hermesch CB, Hilton TJ, Biesbrock AR, Baker RA, Cain-Hamlin J, McClanahan SF. Perioperative use of 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate for the prevention of alveolar osteitis: efficacy and risk factor analysis. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 1998;85:381–87.

9. Hamasha AA, Al-Khateeb T, Darwazeh A. Prevalence of dilaceration in Jordanian adults. Int Endod J 2002;35:910-2.

10. Eshghpour M, Nejat AH. Dry socket following surgical.

removal of impacted third molars in an Iranian population: Incidence and risk factors. Niger J Clin Pract. 2013;16:496-500.

11. Oginni FO. Dry socket: A prospective study of prevalent risk factors in a Nigerian population. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2008;66:2290-5.

12. Taberner-Vallverdú M, Sánchez-Garcés MÁ, Gay-Escoda C. Efficacy of different methods used for dry socket prevention and risk factor analysis: A systematic review. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2017;22(6):e750-e758.

13. Ren YF, Malmstrom HS. Effectiveness of antibiotic prophylaxis in third molar surgery: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled clinical trials. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2007;65:1909-21.

14. Arteagoitia MI, Barbier L, Santamaría J, Santamaría G, Ramos E. Efficacy of amoxicillin and amoxicillin/clavulanic acid in the prevention of infection and dry socket after third molar extraction. A systematic review and meta-analysis. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2016;21(4):e494-e504.

15. Iglesias-Martín F, García-Perla-García A, Yañez-Vico R, Aced-Jiménez E, Arjona-Gerveno E, González-Padilla JD. Comparative trial between the use of amoxicillin and amoxicillin clavulanate in the removal of third molars. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2014;19:e612–5.

16. Oomens MA, Forouzanfar T. Antibiotic prophylaxis in third molar surgery: a review. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol. 2012;114:e5–12.

17. Lodi G, Figini L, Sardella A, Carrassi A, Del Fabbro M, Furness S. Antibiotics to prevent complications following tooth extractions. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;11:CD003811

18. Piecuch J.F., Arzadon J., and Lieblich S.E.: Prophylactic antibiotics for third molar surgery: A supportive opinion. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1995; 53: pp. 53

19. Haraji A, Rakhshan V. Clorhexidine gel and less difficult surgeries might reduce post-operative pain, controlling for dry socket infection and analgesic consumption: A split-mouth controlled randomised clinical trial. J Oral Rehabil. 2015;42:209-19.

20. Rodríguez-Pérez M, Bravo-Pérez M, Sánchez-López JD, MuñozSoto E, Romero-Olid MN, Baca-García P. Effectiveness of 1% versus 0.2% clorhexidine gels in reducing alveolar osteitis from mandibular third molar surgery: A randomized, double-blind clinical trial. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2013;18:693-700.

21. Minguez-Serra MP, Salort-Llorca C, Silvestre-Donat FJ. Clorhexidine in the prevention of dry socket: Effectiveness of different dosage forms and regimens. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2009;14:e445-9.

22. Nazaroglou I, Stavrianos C, Kafas P, Matoulas E, Upile T, Barlas I. Radiographic evaluation of bone regeneration after the application of plasma rich in growth factors in a lower third molar socket: a case report. Cases J. 2009;2:9134.

23. Samandari MH, Haghighat A, Torabinia N, Taghian M, Sadri L, Naemy V. Socket preservation using freeze-dried bone allograft with and without plasma rich in growth factors in dogs. Dent Res J (Isfahan) 2016;13:432–39.

24. Taschieri S, Corbella S, Del FM. Use of plasma rich in growth factor for schneiderian membrane management during maxillary sinus augmentation procedure. J Oral Implantol. 2012;38:621–27.

25. Xu JL, Xia R. Efficacy of plasma rich in growth factor used for dry socket management: a systematic review. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2019;24(6):e704-e711.

26. Xiang X, Shi P, Zhang P, Shen J, Kang J. Impact of platelet-rich fibrin on mandibular third molar surgery recovery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Oral Health. 2019;19(1):163.

27. Osunde OD, Anyanechi CE, Bassey GO. Prevention of alveolar osteitis after third molar surgery: Comparative study of the effect of warm saline and chlorhexidine mouth rinses. Niger J Clin Pract. 2017;20(4):470-473.

28. Osunde OD, Adebola RA, Adeoye JB, Bassey GO. Comparative study of the effect of warm saline mouth rinse on complications after dental extractions. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2014;43:649-53.

29. Alling CC, Helfric JF, Alling RD. Impacted Teeth. 1993.

30. Delilbasi C, Saracoglu U, Keskin A. Effects of 0.2% chlorhexidine gluconate and amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid on the prevention of alveolar osteitis following mandibular third molar extractions. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2002;94:301-4.

31. Matou-Nasri S., Gaffney J., Kumar S., Slevin M. Oligosaccharides of hyaluronan induce angiogenesis through distinct CD44 and RHAMM-mediated signalling pathways involving Cdc2 and γ -adducin. Int. J. Oncol. 2009;35:761–773.

32. Chen W.J., Abatangelo G. Functions of hyaluronan in wound repair. Wound Repair Regen. 1999;7:79-89.

33. Suchánek J, Ivančaková RK, Mottl R, et al. Hyaluronic Acid-Based Medical Device for Treatment of Alveolar Osteitis-Clinical Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019;16(19):3698. Published 2019 Oct 1.

34. Kilinc A, Ataol M. How effective is collagen resorbable membrane placement after partially impacted mandibular third molar surgery on postoperative morbidity? A prospective randomized comparative study. BMC Oral Health. 2017;17(1):126. Published 2017 Oct 5.

35. Bunyaratavej P, Wang HL. Collagen membranes: a review. J Periodontol. 2001;72:215–29.

36. Jesudasan JS, Wahab PU, Sekhar MR. Effectiveness of 0.2% clorhexidine gel and an eugenol-based paste on postoperative alveolar osteítis in patients having third molars extracted: A randomised controlled clinical trial. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2015;53:826-30.

37. Summers, L.; Matz, L.R. Extraction wound sockets. Histological changes and paste packs—A trial. Br. Dent. J. 1976, 141, 377–379.

38. Longaker M.T., Chiu E.S., Adzick N.S., Stern M., Harrison M.R., Stern R. Studies in fetal wound healing.V. A prolonged presence of hyaluronic acid characterizes fetal wound fluid. Ann. Surg. 1991;213:292–296.