# ON SETS OF CONSTANT DISTANCE FROM A PLANAR SET 

Piotr Pikuta

Abstract. In this paper we prove that $d$-boundaries

$$
D_{d}=\{x: \operatorname{dist}(x, Z)=d\}
$$

of a compact $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ are closed absolutely continuous curves for $d$ greater than some constant depending on $Z$. It is also shown that $D_{d}$ is a trajectory of solution to the Cauchy Problem of a differential equation with a discontinuous right-hand side.

## 1. Introduction

Let $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a compact set. For each $d>0$ define the $d$-boundary $D_{d}$ of $Z$, $D_{d}=\{x: \operatorname{dist}(x, Z)=d\}$. In [1], the first paper concerning with $d$-boundaries, M . Brown showed that for all but countable number of $d$, every component of $D_{d}$ is a point, or a simple arc, or a simple closed curve. It was also proved that $D_{d}$ is a 1 -manifold for almost all $d$ (see [4] and [6]). If $Z$ is convex, it follows from [3, Theorem 4.7 (8), p. 434] and [3, Corollary 4.9, p. 440] (see also the statement before Definition 4.3 of [3]) that $D_{d}$ is a boundary of a compact convex set, thus it is a closed curve of class $C^{1,1}$.

In this paper we prove that $d$-boundaries of a compact $Z$ are closed absolutely continuous curves for $d$ greater than some constant depending on $Z$. The result can be considered complementary to the Federer's concept of sets with positive
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reach (see [3, p. 432]). It is also shown that $D_{d}$ is a trajectory of solution to the Cauchy Problem of a differential equation with a discontinuous right-hand side.

In Section 2 we familiarize the reader with the method used and prove lemmas which we need further to establish our result in Section 3. Some additional remarks are given in Section 4.

## 2. Definitions and auxiliary lemmas

Let $D_{d}$ be a d-boundary of a compact set $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $D[a, b]=\bigcup_{d \in[a, b]} D_{d}$ for each $a, b$ such that $0<a<b$. Let $Z_{x, d}=\{z \in Z: \operatorname{dist}(z, x)=d\}, x \in D_{d}$, $d>0$.

Define a multivalued function $S: D[a, b] \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}^{2}}$,

$$
S(x)=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \text { there exists } t \geq 0 \text { such that } x+t y \in \operatorname{co} Z_{x, \operatorname{dist}(x, Z)}\right\}
$$

where co $X$ stands for the convex hull of $X$.

Lemma 2.1. Multivalued function $S$ defined above is upper semi-continuous in $U=\left\{u \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \operatorname{dist}(u, Z) \neq 0\right\}$.

Sketch of proof. First prove that the multivalued function

$$
T(x)=Z_{x, \operatorname{dist}(x, Z)}
$$

is u.s.c. in $U$. Then prove that co $T(x)$ and, finally, $S(x)$ are u.s.c. in $U$.
With every point $x \in D[a, b]$ we will associate a certain vector $f(x) \in S^{1}=$ $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:\|x\|=1\right\}$ so that we can consider and solve the Cauchy problem with the right-hand side equal to $f(x)$. In general, the vector field $f$ can be discontinuous, so we will go into differential inclusions to solve the problem.

Lemma 2.2. If $(\operatorname{co} Z) \cap D[a, b]=\emptyset$, then there exists a unique single-valued function

$$
f: D[a, b] \rightarrow S^{1}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:\|x\|=1\right\}
$$

such that
(a) $\langle e, f(x)\rangle \leq 0$ for all $x \in D[a, b]$ and all $e \in S(x)$, and
(b) for fixed plane orientation $\bar{O}$ and $x \in D[a, b]$, there is a unique $e_{x} \in$ $S(x),\left\|e_{x}\right\|=1$, for which the following conditions are fulfilled
(b1) the pair of vectors $\left\{f(x), e_{x}\right\}$ has the orientation $\bar{O}$, and
(b2) the equality $\left\langle e_{x}, f(x)\right\rangle=0$ holds.
Proof. Since $Z$ is closed and (co $Z) \cap D[a, b]$ is empty, it follows that the cone $S(x)$ and the dual cone $T(x)=\left\{f \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:\langle e, f\rangle \leq 0\right.$, for each $\left.e \in S(x)\right\}$ are closed and convex, and $S(x)$ do not contain any (straight) line. Consequently,
in $\left(S(x) \cap S^{1}\right) \times\left(T(x) \cap S^{1}\right)$ one can find exactly two pairs ( $\left.e_{x}, f(x)\right)$ for which (b2) is satisfied. Uniqueness of $e_{x}$ and $f(x)$ follows from (b1).

For each $x \in D[a, b]$ let us define $G(x)$ consisting of all limit values of $f\left(x_{n}\right)$, when $x_{n} \rightarrow x$. Let $H(x), x \in D[a, b]$, denote the set of all elements $k \in S^{1}$ such that there exists $e \in S(x)$ for which $\langle e, k\rangle=0$ and the pair of vectors $\{e, k\}$ has the orientation $\bar{O}$. Moreover, let $F(x)=\operatorname{co} G(x)$ and $K(x)=\operatorname{co} H(x)$. Notice that Lemma 2.1 gives $G(x) \subset H(x)$ and, consequently, $F(x) \subset K(x)$.

The next two lemmas deal with local solutions to the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t) \in K(x(t)), \quad \text { a.e. in } t \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that $x(t)$ is a solution to (1) in $A$ if $x(t)$ is absolutely continuous (i.e. $x$ has a finite derivative a.e. in $A$ ) and the inclusion is satisfied a.e. in $t \in A$.

Lemma 2.3. If $(\operatorname{co} Z) \cap D[a, b]=\emptyset$ and $x_{0} \in D_{d}, d \in(a, b)$, then there exists a local solution to the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime}(t) \in K(x(t)), \quad \text { a.e. in } t \in \mathbb{R}, \\
x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0} \in D[a, b], \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

and the trajectory of this (absolutely continuous) solution lies in $D[a, b]$.
Proof. Since $F(x) \subset K(x), x \in D[a, b]$, we can restrict our attention to the inclusion $x^{\prime}(t) \in F(x(t))$. Obviously, $f(x) \in F(x), F(x)$ is closed (because $G(x)$ is closed) and bounded for each $x \in D[a, b]$. Moreover, $D[a, b]$ is closed, hence, by $[5$, p. 53 , Lemma 16], $F$ is u.s.c. in $D[a, b]$. Applying [5, p. 60, Theorem 1] we obtain that the problem (2) has a local solution which trajectory lies in $D[a, b]$. $\square$

Remark 2.4. Let $\bar{V}$ be a closed neighbourhood of $t_{0}$. Obviously, $\bar{V} \times D[a, b]$ is compact, thus by theorem [5, p. 61, Theorem 2] the solution to (2) can be extended to the boundary of $\bar{V} \times D[a, b]$. Since $0 \notin K(x)$ for each $x \in D[a, b]$, the trajectory of the solution do not have stationary points and there exists a neighbourhood $W \subset D[a, b]$ of $x_{0}$ such that the trajectory passing through $x_{0}$ reaches the boundary of $W$.

Lemma 2.5. If $x_{0} \in D_{d}$ and $(\operatorname{co} Z) \cap D_{d}=\emptyset$, then there exists a local solution to the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime}(t) \in K(x(t)), \quad \text { a.e. in } t \in \mathbb{R},  \tag{3}\\
x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0},
\end{gather*}
$$

and the trajectory of this solution lies in $D_{d}$.
Proof. Let us take $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $0<a<b, d \in(a, b)$ and (co $Z) \cap$ $D[a, b]=\emptyset$. By Lemma 2.3, there exists a local solution $y(t)$ to (2). We claim that the trajectory of $y(t)$ lies in $D_{d}$.

Let $w(t)=\operatorname{dist}(y(t), Z)$. Since $w\left(t_{0}\right)>0$, we have $w(t)>0$ in a neighbourhood $V$ of $t_{0}$ and $y^{\prime}(t)$ exists almost everywhere in $V$. From [2, Theorem 2.2.1] it follows that

$$
w^{\prime}(t)=\min \left\{\frac{\left\langle y(t)-z, y^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle}{w(t)}: z \in Z_{y(t), w(t)}\right\}
$$

a.e. in $V$, where $Z_{y(t), w(t)}=\{z \in Z:\|y(t)-z\|=w(t)\}$. For each $f \in K(y(t))$ there exists $z \in \operatorname{co} Z_{y(t), w(t)}$ such that $\langle z-y(t), f\rangle=0$, so $w^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ a.e. in $V$.

Now, let us change the plane orientation $\bar{O}$ to the opposite one $-\bar{O}$, and consider the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t) \in K_{1}(x), \quad x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0} \in D_{d} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $K_{1}(x)$ being constructed analogously to $K(x)$. Notice that $K_{1}(x)=-K(x)$, $x \in D[a, b]$. Thus the solution $y(t)$ to (3) corresponds to a solution (let us call it $\left.y_{1}(t)\right)$ to the problem (4) in such a way that both $y$ and $y_{1}$ have the same trajectory in a neighbourhood of $x_{0}$, i.e. $y_{1}\left(t_{0}+t\right)=y\left(t_{0}-t\right)$. For $w_{1}(t)=$ $\operatorname{dist}\left(y_{1}(t), Z\right)$ we have

$$
w_{1}^{\prime}(t)=\min \left\{\frac{\left\langle y_{1}(t)-z, y_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle}{w_{1}(t)}: z \in Z_{y_{1}(t), w_{1}(t)}\right\} \leq 0
$$

a.e. in a neighbourhood $V_{1}$ of $t_{0}$. Since

$$
w^{\prime}\left(t_{0}+t\right)=-w_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}-t\right)
$$

we conclude that $w^{\prime}(t)=0$ for almost all $t \in V \cap V_{1}$. The distance function is absolutely continuous, so $w(t)=\operatorname{dist}\left(y\left(t_{0}\right), Z\right)=d$ in $V \cap V_{1}$.

## 3. Main result

Theorem 3.1. There exists $a_{0}>0$ such that d-boundaries $D_{d}$ of $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ are closed absolutely continuous curves for all $d>a_{0}$.

Proof. Since $Z$ is compact there exists a closed ball $\bar{K}_{s, r}$ of radius $r$ centered at $s \in \operatorname{co} Z$ such that $Z \subset \bar{K}_{s, r}$. Let

$$
a_{0}=\sup _{k \in \partial \bar{K}_{s, r}} \inf _{z \in Z}\|k-z\|,
$$

where $\partial \bar{K}_{s, r}$ is the boundary of $\bar{K}_{s, r}$. Suppose $d>a_{0}$ and notice that (co $\left.Z\right) \cap$ $D_{d}=\emptyset$.

The distance function is continuous, so the intersection of $D_{d}$ and every halfline starting at $s$ is not empty. Using elementary geometry it is easy to prove that every such intersection has no more than one point.

For each $p \in D_{d}$ we consider the problem $x^{\prime}(t) \in K(x(t))$ with $x\left(t_{0}\right)=p$ and obtain the solution which trajectory is an absolutely continuous curve lying in a neighbourhood $W_{p}$ of $p$. By Remark 2.4 the trajectory passing through $p$ reaches
the boundary of $W_{p}$. Let $C_{p}$ be the smallest cone with vertex $s$ containing two endpoints of the trajectory passing through $p$ in $W_{p}$. The intersection of $W_{p}$, $C_{p}$ and the trajectory of the solution is a simple arc. Since $D_{d}$ is compact only a finite number of $\left(W_{p} \cap C_{p}\right)$ 's are needed to cover $D_{d}$. Thus $D_{d}$ is a collection of a finite number of simple arcs which form a simple closed curve.

Theorem 3.2. If $x_{0} \in D_{d}$ and $(\operatorname{co} Z) \cap D_{d}=\emptyset$, then
(a) the solution $y(t)$ to the problem (3) solves

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime}(t)=f(x(t)), \quad \text { a.e. in } t \in \mathbb{R}, \\
x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0} . \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

(b) $D_{d}$ is the trajectory of the solution to the problem (5).

Proof. We will use the notations introduced in the proof of Lemma 2.5.
(a) Since $w^{\prime}(t)=0$ we have

$$
\min \left\{\left\langle\frac{y(t)-z}{w(t)}, y^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle: z \in Z_{y(t), w(t)}\right\}=0
$$

where

$$
\frac{y(t)-z}{\|y(t)-z\|}=\frac{y(t)-z}{w(t)} \in S(x) \cap S^{1} .
$$

We only need to apply Lemma 2.2 to prove $y^{\prime}(t)=f(y)$. Actually, condition (a) of Lemma 2.2 is fulfilled with $e=(z-y(t)) / w(t), z \in \operatorname{co} Z_{y(t), w(t)}$. Because $Z_{y(t), w(t)}$ is closed there exists $z_{y} \in Z_{y(t), w(t)}$ satisfying $\left\langle\left(y(t)-z_{y}\right) / w(t), y^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle=$ 0 - condition (b2). Finally, the orientation condition (b1) follows from $y^{\prime}(t) \in$ $K(x)$.
(b) follows from (a) and the proof of Theorem 3.1.

## 4. Final remarks

Following [4, Theorem 6.1 and its Corollary] we prove the following theorem.
Theorem 4.1. For fixed $d>a_{0}$ the set $\left\{x \in D_{d}: Z_{x, d}\right.$ has at least 2 points $\}$ is countable.

Proof. For each $x \in D_{d}$ denote by $z_{x_{1}}$ and $z_{x_{2}}$ two distinct points of $Z_{x, d}$ such that $\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:\right.$ there exists $t \geq 0$ such that $\left.x+t y \in \operatorname{co}\left\{z_{x_{1}}, z_{x_{2}}\right\}\right\}=S(x)$. Let $T_{x}$ be the triangle with vertices $x, z_{x_{1}}$ and $z_{x_{2}}$. Notice that $\operatorname{Int} T_{x} \neq \emptyset$. It is easy to see that for each $x, y \in D_{d}, x \neq y, T_{y}$ cannot contain any vertex of $T_{x}$. Thus $\operatorname{Int} T_{x} \cap \operatorname{Int} T_{y}=\emptyset$. A collection of disjoint open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is countable.

Corollary 4.2. The set of points of discontinuity of $f$ along the trajectory of solution to (5) is countable.

Proof. Proof follows from Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 2.1.
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