Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis Journal of the Juliusz Schauder Center Volume 13, 1999, 273–279

# EXISTENCE OF MANY SIGN-CHANGING NONRADIAL SOLUTIONS FOR SEMILINEAR ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS ON THIN ANNULI

Alfonso Castro — Marcel B. Finan

ABSTRACT. We study the existence of many nonradial sign-changing solutions of a superlinear Dirichlet boundary value problem in an annulus in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . We use Nehari-type variational method and group invariance techniques to prove that the critical points of an action functional on some spaces of invariant functions in  $H_0^{1,2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ , where  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$  is an annulus in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  of width  $\varepsilon$ , are weak solutions (which in our case are also classical solutions) to our problem. Our result generalizes an earlier result of Castro et al. (See [4])

### 1. Introduction

In this article we discuss the existence of many sign-changing nonradial solutions of semilinear elliptic equations on an annulus in  $\mathbb{R}^N, N \ge 2$ :

$$\Omega_{\varepsilon} := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : 1 - \varepsilon < |x| < \varepsilon \},\$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

We consider the Dirichlet boundary value problem

(1.1) 
$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + f(u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_{\varepsilon}, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega_{\varepsilon}, \end{cases}$$

O1999Juliusz Schauder Center for Nonlinear Studies

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J20, 35J25, 35J60.

Key words and phrases. Dirichlet's problem, superlinear, subcritical, sign-changing non-radial solution, group action, symmetric criticality lemma, variational method.

where the non-linearity f is of class  $C^1(\mathbb{R})$  and satisfies the following assumptions:

- (A1) f(0) = 0 and  $f'(0) < \lambda_1$ , where  $\lambda_1$  is the smallest eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  with zero Dirichlet boundary condition in  $\Omega_{\varepsilon}$ .
- (A2) f'(u) > f(u)/u for all  $u \neq 0$ .
- (A3) (Superlinearity)

$$\lim_{|u| \to \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u} = \infty.$$

(A4) (Subcritical growth) There exist constants  $p \in (1, (N+2)/(N-2))$  and C > 0 such that

$$|f'(u)| \le C(|u|^{p-1}+1)$$
 for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ 

(A5) There exist constants  $m \in (0, 1)$  and  $\rho$  such that

$$uf(u) \ge \frac{2}{m}F(u) > 0,$$

where  $|u| > \rho$  and  $F(u) = \int_0^u f(s) \, ds$ .

If N = 2, then  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . A typical nonlinearity is the function  $f(t) = t^3$ , although our results are not restricted to an odd nonlinearity.

We note that the condition  $f'(0) < \lambda_1$  is necessary for the existence of signchanging solutions (see [2]).

In [11], Wang proved that, over a smooth bounded domain, problem (1.1) has a positive solution, a negative solution, and a third solution with no information about its sign. In [2], Castro et al. proved the existence of a third solution that changes sign exactly once. Later in [4], they established the existence of a nonradial sign-changing solution when the underlying domain is an annulus in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Furthermore, if the annulus is two dimensional they proved that (1.1) has many sign-changing nonradial solutions. The purpose of this paper is to extend their result to higher dimensions.

Our main result is the following

THEOREM 1.1. Assume f satisfies (A1)–(A5). Then for each positive integer k there exists  $\varepsilon_1(k) > 0$  such that if  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1(k)$  then (1.1) has k sign-changing nonradial solutions.

In our context, by a solution to (1.1) we mean a function  $u \in H_0^{1,2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$  that satisfies

(1.2) 
$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \left( \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - v f(u) \right) \, dx = 0,$$

for all  $v \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ , where  $H_0^{1,2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$  is the Sobolev space with inner product  $\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx$  (see [1]). Note that (1.2) is obtained by multiplying the

equation in (1.1) by v and integrating by parts. So classical solutions of (1.1) (that is, the ones which are in  $C^2(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) \cap C(\overline{\Omega_{\varepsilon}})$ ) are also weak solutions. By the assumptions on f and the regularity theory for elliptic boundary value problems (see [7]), a weak solution of (1.1) is also a classical solution.

The left-hand side of (1.2) is just the Fréchet derivative of the functional

$$J(u) = \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - F(u) \right\} dx$$

defined on  $H_0^{1,2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ . Note that  $J \in C^2(H_0^{1,2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}), \mathbb{R})$  (see [10]). Moreover, u is a solution to (1.1) if and only if u is a critical point of J.

Instead of looking for sign-changing critical points of the functional J on  $H_0^{1,2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ , we look for them on a subset of a submanifold of invariant functions in  $H_0^{1,2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ .

Our main tools for proving existence and multiplicity results consist of an idea in [8] and [9] and critical point theory, i.e., we consider the functional J defined above and the functional

$$\gamma(u) = \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \left( |\nabla u|^2 - uf(u) \right) \, dx$$

For a positive integer k, we define

$$\begin{aligned} H(\varepsilon,k) &:= \operatorname{Fix}(G(k)) \\ &= \{ v \in H_0^{1,2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) : v(gx,Ty) = v(x,y), \text{ for all } (g,T) \in G(k) \} \\ &= \{ v \in H_0^{1,2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) : v(x,y) = u(x,|y|), \text{ for some } u \\ & \text{ which satisfies } u(gx,|y|) = u(x,|y|) \text{ for all } g \in G_k \}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $G(k) = G_k \times \mathbf{O}(N-2)$ ,  $\mathbf{O}(j)$  denotes the group of  $j \times j$  orthogonal matrices, and

$$G_k := \left\{ g \in \mathbf{O}(2) : \\ g(x_1, x_2) = \left( x_1 \cos \frac{2\pi l}{k} + x_2 \sin \frac{2\pi l}{k}, -x_1 \sin \frac{2\pi l}{k} + x_2 \cos \frac{2\pi l}{k} \right), \\ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \ l \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Note that  $H(\varepsilon, k)$  can be regarded as the class of functions that are periodic of period  $2\pi/k$  in the  $\theta$  variable, where  $(r, \theta)$  are the polar coordinate of  $x = (x_1, x_2)$ , and that depend on |y|, where  $y = (x_3, \ldots, x_N)$ .

Also, we consider the Nehari manifold

$$S(\varepsilon, k) = \{ v \in H(\varepsilon, k) \setminus \{0\} : \gamma(v) = 0 \}.$$

Of particular interest is the subset of  $S(\varepsilon, k)$  given by

$$S^1(\varepsilon,k) = \{v \in S(\varepsilon,k) : v_+, v_- \in S(\varepsilon,k)\}$$

where  $v_+(x) = \max \{v(x), 0\}$  and  $v_-(x) = \min \{v(x), 0\}$  are the positive and negative parts of v respectively.

Similarly, we define

$$\begin{split} H(\varepsilon,\infty) &:= \{ v \in H_0^1(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) : v(gx,Ty) = v(x,y), \\ & \text{for all } (g,T) \in \mathbf{O}(2) \times \mathbf{O}(N-2) \} \\ &= \{ v \in H_0^{1,2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon}) : v(x,y) = u(|x|,|y|), \text{ for some } u \}, \end{split}$$

the manifold

$$S(\varepsilon,\infty)=\{v\in H(\varepsilon,\infty)\setminus\{0\}:\gamma(v)=0\}$$

and the set

$$S^{1}(\varepsilon,\infty) = \{ v \in S(\varepsilon,\infty) : v_{+}, v_{-} \in S(\varepsilon,\infty) \}.$$

Note that if  $u \in H(\varepsilon, \infty)$  then u is  $\theta$ -independent.

We consider the following numbers associated with the above sets

$$j_k^\varepsilon = \inf_{v \in S^1(\varepsilon,k)} J(v), \qquad j_\infty^\varepsilon = \inf_{v \in S^1(\varepsilon,\infty)} J(v)$$

We will obtain many sign-changing nonradial solutions to (1.1) by establishing the following properties:

- (i) j<sup>ε</sup><sub>k</sub> is achieved by some u<sub>ε,k</sub> ∈ S<sup>1</sup>(ε, k) and u<sub>ε,k</sub> is a critical point of J on H(ε, k).
- (ii)  $u_{\varepsilon,k}$  is a critical point of J on  $H_0^{1,2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$ .
- (iii)  $j_k^{\varepsilon} < j_{\infty}^{\varepsilon}$  for  $k \ge 1$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1(k)$ .
- (iv)  $j_k^{\varepsilon} < j_{kn}^{\varepsilon}$  whenever  $j_{kn}^{\varepsilon} < j_{\infty}^{\varepsilon}$ .

Note that assertion (ii) is related to the symmetric criticality principle: if  $u_{\varepsilon,k}$  is a critical point of J on  $H(\varepsilon,k)$ , then  $u_{\varepsilon,k}$  is a critical point of J on  $H_0^{1,2}(\Omega_{\varepsilon})$  (see [12]).

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we discuss assertions (i), (iii), and (iv). In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1.

## 2. Existence results

Assertion (i) of the previous paragraph is a direct consequence of the following theorem

THEOREM 2.1. For each positive integer k = 1, 2... and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a minimizer  $u_{\varepsilon,k}$  of  $j_k^{\varepsilon}$  which changes sign. Moreover,  $u_{\varepsilon,k}$  is a critical point of J on  $H(\varepsilon, k)$ .

PROOF. This follows from a recent result of Castro, Cossio, and Neuberger [2].  $\hfill \Box$ 

276

As for assertion (iii) we have

THEOREM 2.2. For a positive integer k, there exists  $\varepsilon_1(k) > 0$  such that if  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1(k)$  then  $j_k^{\varepsilon} < j_{\infty}^{\varepsilon}$ . Thus,  $u_{\varepsilon,k}$  is  $\theta$ -dependent.

PROOF. A proof of this theorem can be found in [6].

The following lemma, which establishes assertion (iv), shows that if k divides n and  $j_n^{\varepsilon} < j_{\infty}^{\varepsilon}$  then  $j_k^{\varepsilon} < j_n^{\varepsilon}$ .

LEMMA 2.3. Let f satisfies (A1)–(A5). For  $n = 2, 3, \ldots, k = 1, 2, \ldots$  if  $j_{kn}^{\varepsilon} < j_{\infty}^{\varepsilon}$  then  $j_k^{\varepsilon} < j_{kn}^{\varepsilon}$ .

PROOF. Fix k and n. For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , Theorem 2.1 guarantees the existence of a sign-changing minimizer u of J on  $S^1(\varepsilon, kn)$ . According to Theorem 2.1 and assertion (ii), u is a solution to (1.1). Furthermore, invoking Theorem 2.2 with  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1(k)$ , we know that u is  $\theta$ -dependent. Now, by the regularity theory of elliptic equations we know that u is a  $C^2$  function. Let  $x = (r, \theta)$  be the polar coordinate of  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and write  $u = u(r, \theta, |y|)$ . Then

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \, dy = \int_{(r,|y|)} \int_0^{2\pi} (u_r^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} u_{\theta}^2 + |\nabla_y u|^2) r \, dr \, d\theta \, dy$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} F(u) \, dx \, dy = \int_{(r,|y|)} \int_{0}^{2\pi} F(u) r \, dr \, d\theta \, dy$$

Define the function

$$v(r, \theta, |y|) = u(r, \theta/n, |y|), \quad 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi.$$

Since u is  $\theta$ -dependent and changes sign so does v. Also,

$$v_{\pm}(r, \theta + 2\pi/k, |y|) = v_{\pm}(r, \theta, |y|).$$

It follows that  $v_{\pm} \in H(\varepsilon, k)$ .

An easy calculation yields the following equalities

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla v_{\pm}|^2 \, dx \, dy &= \int_{(r,|y|)} \int_0^{2\pi} ((u_{\pm})_r^2(r,\theta,|y|) + \frac{1}{r^2 n^4} (u_{\pm})_{\theta}^2(r,\theta,|y|) \\ &+ |\nabla_y u_{\pm}(r,\theta,|y|)|^2) r \, dr \, d\theta \, dy \end{split}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} F(v_{\pm}) \, dx \, dy = \int_{(r,|y|)} \int_{0}^{2\pi} F(u_{\pm}(r,\theta,|y|)) r \, dr \, d\theta \, dy.$$

Since u does not belong to  $S^1(\varepsilon, \infty)$  we have

$$\int_{(r,|y|)} \int_0^{2\pi} (u_{\pm})_{\theta}^2 (r,\theta,|y|) r \, dr \, d\theta \, dy > 0.$$

This implies that  $\gamma(v_{\pm}) < 0$ . That is

(2.1) 
$$\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} |\nabla v_{\pm}|^2 \, dx \, dy < \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} v_{\pm} f(v_{\pm}) \, dx \, dy.$$

Now, by Lemma 2.2 of [2] we can find  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $0 < \beta < 1$  such that  $\alpha v_+ \in S(\varepsilon, k)$  and  $\beta v_- \in S(\varepsilon, k)$ . Let  $w = \alpha v_+ + \beta v_- \in S^1(\varepsilon, k)$ . Using the fact that  $P_v(\lambda) = \lambda v f(\lambda v)/2 - F(\lambda v)$  is monotonically increasing for  $\lambda > 0$  and the definition of  $j_k^{\varepsilon}$  we have

$$j_k^{\varepsilon} \le P_{v_+}(\alpha) + P_{v_-}(\beta) < P_{v_+}(1) + P_{v_-}(1) = J(u) = j_{kn}^{\varepsilon}$$

Putting together all the arguments above we conclude a proof of the lemma.  $\Box$ 

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer. According to Theorem 2.2 there exists  $\varepsilon_1(2^k)$  such that if  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1(2^k)$  then  $j_{2^k}^{\varepsilon} < j_{\infty}^{\varepsilon}$ . Applying Lemma 2.3 to obtain

(3.1) 
$$j_2^{\varepsilon} < j_{2^2}^{\varepsilon} < \ldots < j_{2^k}^{\varepsilon} < j_{\infty}^{\varepsilon}$$

According to Theorem 2.1 there exists  $u_i \in S^1(\varepsilon, 2^i)$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ , such that  $j_{2^i}^{\varepsilon} = J(u_i)$ . Moreover,  $u_i$  is a solution of (1.1). Also, according to Theorem 2.2,  $u_i$  is  $\theta$ -dependent. Finally, by (3.1),  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^k$  are distinct. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is now complete.

#### References

- [1] R. ADAMS, Sobolev Spaces, Academic Press, 1975.
- [2] A. CASTRO, J. COSSIO AND J. M. NEUBERGER, A sign changing solution for a superlinear Dirichlet Problem, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 27 (1997), 1041–1053.
- [3] \_\_\_\_\_, On multiple solutions of a nonlinear Dirichlet problem, Proceedings of World Congress of Nonlinear Analysts, 1996.
- [4] \_\_\_\_\_, A minmax principle, index of the critical point, and existence of sign-changing solutions to elliptic boundary value problems, Electron. J. Differential Equations 2 (1998), 1–18.
- C. V. COFFMAN, A nonlinear boundary value problem with many positive solutions, J. Differential Equations 54 (1984), 429–437.
- M. B. FINAN, Existence of many sign-changing nonradial solutions for semilinear elliptic problems on annular domains, Dissertation, University of North Texas, 1998.
- [7] D. GILBARG AND N. TRUDINGER, Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- Y. Y. LI, Existence of many positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations on an annulus, J. Differential Equations 83 (1990), 348–367.
- S. S. LIN, Existence of many positive nonradial solutions for nonlinear elliptic equations on an annulus, J. Differential Equations 103 (1993), 338–349.
- [10] P. RABINOWITZ, Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Partial Differential Equations, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1986.

278

- [11] Z. Q. WANG, On a superlinear elliptic equation, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 8 (1991), 43–57.
- [12] M. WILLEM, Minimax Theorems, Birkhäuser, 1996.

Manuscript received March 17, 1999

ALFONSO CASTRO Division of Mathematics and Statistics University of Texas at San Antonio San Antonio, Texas 78249, USA

 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ castro@math.utsa.edu$ 

MARCEL B. FINAN Department of Mathematics University of Texas at Austin Austin, Texas 78712, USA

 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ mbfinan@math.utexas.edu$ 

 $\mathit{TMNA}$  : Volume 13 – 1999 – Nº 2