# MULTIPLICITY FOR SYMMETRIC INDEFINITE FUNCTIONALS: APPLICATION TO HAMILTONIAN AND ELLIPTIC SYSTEMS

Patricio Felmer $^1$  — Zhi-Qiang Wang  $^2$ 

# 0. Introduction

In this article we study the existence of critical points for certain superquadratic strongly indefinite even functionals appearing in the study of periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems and solutions of certain class of Elliptic Systems.

We first present two abstract critical point theorems for even functionals. These results are well suited for our applications, but they are interesting by their own. These theorems are then applied to the specific problems we mentioned, when the corresponding Hamiltonians are superquadratic. Critical points theory for even indefinite functionals was studied by Benci in [2], where he developed a general pseudo index theory, a variant of the classical genus theory (c.f. [10]). We want to point out that our approach is totally different from [2]. Taking advantages of the even nature of the functional we shall construct a geometric linking structure which does not require conditions near the origin (see [10] for examples of some standard linking and compare the set up of our linking in Theorem 1.1).

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J50, 58F05, 58E05.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  Hamiltonian system, elliptic systems, critical point theory, indefinite functionals, even functionals.

 $<sup>^1{\</sup>mbox{Partially}}$  supported by Fondecyt Grant 1960-698, Cátedra Presidencial and FONDAP de Matemáticas Aplicadas.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Partially supported by a NSF grant and FONDAP de Matemáticas Aplicadas.

Using this linking structure we first establish a critical point theorem for even functionals in a semi-definite setting, using only usual deformation arguments and the degree theory for odd operators. Then a Galerkin approximation gives a version of our theorem for strongly indefinite functionals. Since the linking conditions for our functionals are not in a standard form it seems unclear whether one could check the assumptions in [2] in our settings. Furthermore, when applied to specific problems, our theory gives better estimates for the energy level of the obtained critical points.

Next, let us start discussing our results for the case of a Hamiltonian system

(0.1) 
$$\dot{p} = -H_q(p, q, t),$$

$$\dot{q} = H_p(p, q, t),$$

where  $H: \mathbb{R}^{2N} \times \mathbb{R}$  is a  $C^1$  function, T-periodic in the variable t. We are interested in finding T-periodic solutions in a case when the Hamiltonian is superquadratic and even in the space-momentum variables.

A usual growth hypothesis on the Hamiltonian, under which results for (0.1) has been obtained, is

(S) There exist R > 0,  $\mu > 2$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\mu}H_z(z,t)\cdot z\geq H(z,t)>0\quad \forall z\in\mathbb{R}^{2N},\ |z|\geq R,\ \forall t\in\mathbb{R}.$$

This condition requires H to be superquadratic in all components of the variable z. For example, this condition excludes the case of a Hamiltonian of the form

$$H(p,q,t) = \frac{1}{2}|p|^2 + V(q,t),$$

which corresponds to a second order Hamiltonian system. Usually this case is treated separately. In [5] the first author gave a condition that includes both situations considered above under which the existence of a nontrivial T-periodic solution of (0.1) is proved. The condition given in [5] (see (H2) below) requires only a combined effect of superquadratic nature in p and q with z = (p, q).

On the other hand, for Hamiltonians satisfying the superquadratic condition (S) and being even in space-momentum variables, results showing the existence of infinitely many T-periodic solutions are given by Benci [2].

Thus, an interesting question is whether the new superquadratic condition proposed in [5] with even Hamiltonian is enough to obtain these multiplicity results. In this paper we show that the answer is yes.

Let us consider next our result on Hamiltonian systems in a precise way. We assume that the Hamiltonian satisfies the following hypotheses

(H1) H is of class  $C^1$  and H(z,t+T)=H(z,t) for all  $z\in\mathbb{R}^{2N}$  and for all  $t\in\mathbb{R}$ .

(H2) There exists R > 0,  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $\beta > 1$ , where  $1/\alpha + 1/\beta < 1$ , such that

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}H_p(p,q,t)\cdot p + \frac{1}{\beta}H_q(p,q,t)\cdot q \ge H(p,q,t) > 0$$

for all  $z=(p,q)\in\mathbb{R}^{2N},\,|z|\geq R$  and all  $t\in\mathbb{R}.$ 

(H3) There exists b > 0,  $c \ge 0$  and  $\alpha' > 2$  such that

$$|H_z(z,t)| \le b|z|^{\alpha'-1} + c$$

for all 
$$z = (p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$$
 and all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(H4) 
$$H(z,t) = H(-z,t)$$
 for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

We will prove the following

THEOREM 0.1. Assume the hypotheses (H1)–(H4) are satisfied. Then the Hamiltonian system (0.1) possesses infinitely many solutions  $\{(p_k, q_k)\}$  such that  $\|(p_k, q_k)\|_{\infty} \to \infty$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Moreover,  $\|(p_k, q_k)\|_{\infty} \ge ck^{1/(\alpha'-2)}$ , for some c > 0.

REMARK 0.2. Hypothesis (H3) can be weakened. We can consider the following assumption instead

(H3') There exists b > 0,  $c \ge 0$  such that

$$|H_z(z,t)| \le b \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} H_p(p,q,t) \cdot p + \frac{1}{\beta} H_q(p,q,t) \cdot q\right) + c$$

for all  $z = (p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$  and for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

See remark at the end of Section 2.

Finally, as another application of our abstract theory we present the results obtained in the case of a class of elliptic systems. Precisely we are interested in the existence of solutions for the system

(0.2) 
$$-\Delta u = \frac{\partial H}{\partial v}(u, v, x) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$-\Delta v = \frac{\partial H}{\partial u}(u,v,x) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$(0.4) u = 0, v = 0 on \partial\Omega.$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , and the function  $H: \mathbb{R}^2 \times \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ , which we call the Hamiltonian, is of class  $C^1$ . For easy reference we call this system (ES).

This system has been already studied from the variational point of view by many authors. We mention the work of Hulshof and van der Vorst [8] where assumptions were made on H allowing the existence of positive solutions for (ES). In a parallel work de Figueiredo and Felmer [6] obtained similar results, however here the full superquadratic range was reached. With respect to multiplicity of

solutions when the Hamiltonian is even we only know the work by Angenent and van der Vorst [1] covering the case both  $H_u$  and  $H_v$  are superlinear, but the not whole superquadratic range. In [1], a Morse Index Theory is developed based on Floer Cohomology Theory.

Now we describe precisely our hypotheses on H.

(E1)  $H: \mathbb{R}^2 \times \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$  is of class  $C^1$ .

Let us consider real constants

$$p \ge \alpha > p - 1 > 0$$
 and  $q \ge \beta > q - 1 > 0$ 

such that

(i) 
$$\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} < 1,$$

(ii) 
$$\left\{2\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}\right)\right\} \max\left\{\frac{p}{\alpha}, \frac{q}{\beta}\right\} < 1 + \frac{2}{N}$$

(iii) 
$$\frac{p-1}{p}\frac{q}{\beta} < 1$$
 and  $\frac{q-1}{q}\frac{p}{\alpha} < 1$ .

In this paper we will always assume  $N \ge 3$ . If N = 2 or N = 1 less restrictive assumptions can be made. Furthermore, in case  $N \ge 5$ , we also impose

$$\text{(iv) } \left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \max \left\{\frac{p}{\alpha},\frac{q}{\beta}\right\} < \frac{N+4}{2N} \text{ and } \left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) \max \left\{\frac{p}{\alpha},\frac{q}{\beta}\right\} < \frac{N+4}{2N}.$$

With these constants  $\alpha, \beta, p, q$  satisfying the above conditions (i)–(iv) we now state the other hypotheses on the Hamiltonian H:

(E2) There exists R > 0 such that

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{\partial H}{\partial u}(u, v, x) \cdot u + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial H}{\partial v}(u, v, x) \cdot v \ge H(u, v, x) > 0$$

for all  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $|(u, v)| \ge R$  and  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ .

(E3) There exists  $a_2 > 0$  such that

$$\left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial u}(u, v, x) \right| \le a_2(|u|^{p-1} + |v|^{(p-1)q/p} + 1),$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial v}(u, v, x) \right| \le a_2(|v|^{q-1} + |u|^{(q-1)p/q} + 1).$$

(E4) 
$$H(u, v, x) = H(-u, -v, x)$$
 for all  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .

Under these general hypotheses on the Hamiltonian  ${\cal H}$  we can prove the following existence result.

THEOREM 0.3. If H satisfies (E1)–(E4) then system (ES) possesses a sequence of strong solutions  $\{(u_k, v_k)\}$  such that  $\|(u_k, v_k)\| \to \infty$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Here  $\|\cdot\|$  represents the norm in the space  $W^{2,p/(p-1)}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,p/(p-1)}(\Omega) \times W^{2,q/(q-1)}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,q/(q-1)}(\Omega)$ .

REMARK 0.4. If  $H(u,v) = |u|^{\alpha} + |v|^{\beta}$  then one could use a fourth order approach and then assumption (iv) would not be necessary; we do not carry the details (see [3]). We do not know if (iv) can be avoided for general Hamiltonians.

This paper is organized in three sections. In Section 1 we present our critical point theorems. Assuming that the functional satisfies some linking condition that does not involve the origin we prove our first theorem on the existence of critical points. This requires a semi-finite dimensional splitting. Next the infinite dimensional case is studied using a Galerkin approximation. In Section 2 we study T-periodic solutions of the Hamiltonian system (0.1). We show the existence of infinitely many solutions by applying the general theorems from Section 1. Finally, in Section 3, we analyze the elliptic system (ES) and we show the existence of infinitely many strong solutions.

**Acknowledgement.** Z.-Q. Wang thanks the hospitality of the faculty members of Departamento de Ingeniería Matemática, F.C.F.M., Universidad de Chile, where this work was initiated during his visit.

#### 1. Abstract critical points theorems

In this section we prove two critical point theorems for even functionals. The proofs of these theorems are based on usual deformation arguments and degree theory applied to odd operators. These theorems can be used to find multiple critical points for strongly indefinite functionals as we see in the next two sections.

Let us consider a Hilbert space E with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and norm  $\| \cdot \|$ . Assume E has a splitting  $E = X \oplus Y$  with  $k = \dim X < \infty$ .

Then we define the basic sets over which we define later a linking. For  $\rho>0$  we define

(1.1) 
$$S_{\rho} = \{ y \in Y \mid ||y|| = \rho \},$$

and for some fixed  $y_1 \in Y$  with  $||y_1|| = 1$  and subspaces  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , we consider

$$X \oplus \operatorname{span}\{y_1\} = X_1 \oplus X_2.$$

We assume that  $y_1 \in X_2$ . Next we define for  $M, \sigma > 0$ 

$$(1.2) D_{M,\sigma} = \{x_1 + x_2 \in X_1 \oplus X_2 \mid ||x_1|| \le M, ||x_2|| \le \sigma\}.$$

Now we can state our first abstract theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. Let  $I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$  be an even functional satisfying the Palais-Smale condition. Assume there are two linear bounded, invertible operators  $L_1, L_2 : E \to E$ , and choose  $\rho > 0$  and  $\sigma > 0$ , such that  $\sigma \|L_1^{-1}L_2y_1\| > \rho$ . Further assume there are numbers  $\alpha \leq \beta$  such that

$$\inf_{L_1(S_o)} I \ge \alpha,$$

$$\sup_{L_2(\partial D_{M,\sigma})} I < \alpha,$$

$$\sup_{L_2(D_{M,\sigma})} I \le \beta,$$

then I has a critical value  $c \in [\alpha, \beta]$ .

PROOF. Suppose for contradiction that I has no critical values in the interval  $[\alpha, \beta]$ . Then given  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that

$$\sup_{L_2(\partial D_{M,\sigma})}I<\alpha-2\varepsilon,$$

there is a deformation  $\eta: [0,1] \times E \to E$  satisfying:

- (i)  $\eta(t,\cdot)$  is an odd homeomorphism for all  $t\in[0,1]$ ,
- (ii)  $\eta(0, x) = x$  for all  $x \in E$ ,
- (iii)  $\eta(t,x) = x$  for all  $x \in I^{\alpha-2\varepsilon}$  and
- (iv)  $\eta(1, I^{\beta+\varepsilon}) \subset I^{\alpha-\varepsilon}$ ,

(see [10]). Here, and in what follows,  $I^{\alpha-\varepsilon} = \{x \in E \mid I(x) \leq \alpha - \varepsilon\}$  is the level set, and we drop the subindices from  $S_{\rho}$  and  $D_{M,\sigma}$ .

Now we first choose  $a \geq 1$  such that  $ay_1 \in D \setminus \partial D$ ,  $a||L_1^{-1}L_2y_1|| > \rho$ , and  $I(L_2(ay_1)) \leq \alpha - 2\varepsilon$ . By the assumption on  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  and (1.4) this is possible. Then we define a minimax value

(1.6) 
$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{z \in O} I(\eta_1(\gamma(L_2 z))),$$

where 
$$\eta_1(\,\cdot\,) = \eta(1,\,\cdot\,), Q = \{z \in D \mid z = x + sy_1, s \ge 0\}$$
 and

$$\Gamma = \{ \gamma \in C(L_2Q, E) \mid \gamma|_{L_2(\partial Q)} = \mathrm{Id}, \ \gamma|_{L_2(Q) \cap I^{\alpha - 2\varepsilon}} = \mathrm{Id} \}.$$

Next we claim that the following intersection property holds:

$$\eta_1(\gamma(L_2Q)) \cap L_1S \neq \emptyset$$
 for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

Let's assume for the moment that the claim is true. Then we get  $c \ge \alpha$  and, by the definition of  $\eta_1$ , we have for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,

$$\sup_{\partial Q} I(\eta_1(\gamma(L_2z))) = \sup_{\partial Q} I(\eta_1(L_2z)) \le \alpha - \varepsilon.$$

Then, by a usual deformation argument, c is a critical value of I (see [10]). Moreover, using the properties of deformation  $\eta_1$ , it is easy to see that we have

$$c \le \sup_{Q} I(\eta_1(L_2x)) \le \sup_{Q} I(L_2x) \le \beta.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus it only remains to prove the claim. If the claim is not true, then there exists  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ , such that  $\eta_1(\gamma_0(L_2Q)) \cap L_1S = \emptyset$ , that is

$$(1.7) L_1^{-1}\eta_1(\gamma_0(L_2Q)) \cap S = \emptyset.$$

For  $t \in [0,1]$ , let us consider a homotopy map  $F_t: B_\rho \times \partial Q \to E$  defined as

$$F_t(y,z) = y - L_1^{-1} \eta_1 \gamma_0 L_2 \psi(t\varphi(z)),$$

where  $B_{\rho} = \{y \in Y \mid ||y|| \leq \rho\}$ ,  $\psi : B^{k+1} \to Q$  and  $\varphi : Q \to B^{k+1}$  are homeomorphisms such that  $\psi(0) = ay_1$  and  $\psi \circ \varphi = \mathrm{Id}$ , with  $B^{k+1} = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \mid ||u|| \leq 1\}$ . By (1.7), we have that for all  $t \in [0,1]$  and  $(y,z) \in \partial(B_{\rho} \times \partial Q) = S \times \partial Q$ ,

$$F_t(y,z) \neq 0.$$

Therefore the Leray-Schauder degree

$$deg(F_t, B_\rho \times \partial Q, 0) = c$$
 for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

for some  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ . With the choices of  $\rho$ ,  $\sigma$  and a, we have  $||L_1^{-1}L_2(ay_1)|| \neq \rho$ . Thus, for t = 0, we have

$$F_0(y,z) = y - L_1^{-1} \eta_1 \gamma_0 L_2(ay_1) = y - L_1^{-1} L_2(ay_1) \neq 0,$$

so that

$$\deg(F_0, B_o \times \partial Q, 0) = 0.$$

On the other hand, at t = 1, we have

$$F_1(y,z) = y - L_1^{-1} \eta_1 \gamma_0 L_2 z = y - L_1^{-1} \eta_1 L_2 z.$$

Setting  $T = \{z \in \partial Q \mid z = x + sy_1, \ s > 0\}$  we have

$$\sup_{L_2T} I = \sup_{L_2(\partial D)} I < \alpha - 2\varepsilon.$$

Thus, for  $(y, z) \in B_{\rho} \times T$ ,

$$F_1(y,z) = y - L_1^{-1}L_2z \neq 0.$$

We can then apply the excision property of the Leray-Schauder degree, to obtain

$$\deg(F_1, B_{\rho} \times \partial Q, 0) = \deg(F_1, B_{\rho} \times B_1 \times B_2, 0),$$

where  $B_1 \times B_2 = \partial Q \setminus T$ , with  $B_1 = \{x_1 \in X_1 \mid ||x_1|| \leq M\}$  and  $B_2 = \{x_2 \in \widetilde{X}_2 \mid ||x_2|| \leq \sigma\}$ . Here  $X_1 \oplus \widetilde{X}_2 = X$ . Note that  $B_\rho \times B_1 \times B_2$  is a symmetric

neighbourhood of 0 and that  $F_1(y,z) = y - L_1^{-1}\eta_1L_2(z)$  is an odd map and a compact perturbation of identity there. Then, by the Borsuk–Ulam Theorem,

$$\deg(F_1, B_{\rho} \times B_1 \times B_2, 0) \neq 0,$$

giving a contradiction. The proof is now complete.

In our application we will need an infinite dimensional variant of Theorem 1.1 based on the Galerkin approximation. Let  $E = X \oplus Y$  be a splitting of E where both X and Y are infinite dimensional. Assume we have sequences of finite dimensional subspaces  $X_n \subset X$ ,  $Y_n \subset Y$ ,  $E_n = X_n \oplus Y_n$  for  $n \geq 1$  so that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = E$ . Let  $L_1, L_2$  be as before and  $S, D, \partial D$  as defined earlier with  $\rho, \sigma, M$ , and  $y_1$  not depending on n. Instead of the Palais–Smale condition we will require that I satisfies the (PS)\* condition that we recall next:  $I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$  satisfies (PS)\* condition on E with respect to  $\{E_n\}$ , if any sequence  $z_{\ell} \in E_{n_{\ell}}$  with  $n_{\ell} \to \infty$  as  $\ell \to \infty$ , satisfying  $(I|_{E_n})'(z_n) \to 0$  and  $I(z_n) \to c$  has a convergent subsequence in E.

THEOREM 1.2. Let  $I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$  be an even functional satisfying the (PS)\* condition on E with respect to  $\{E_n\}$ . Assume  $L_iE_n = E_n$ , for i = 1, 2 and n large and let  $\rho > 0$ ,  $\sigma > 0$  such that  $\sigma ||L_1^{-1}L_2y_1|| > \rho$ . Assume there are constants  $\alpha \leq \beta$  such that for all n large

(1.8) 
$$\inf_{L_1(S \cap E_n)} I \ge \alpha,$$

$$\sup_{L_2((\partial D) \cap E_n)} I < \alpha,$$

$$\sup_{L_2(D \cap E_n)} I \le \beta,$$

then I has a critical value  $c \in [\alpha, \beta]$ .

PROOF. Note first that since I satisfies  $(PS)^*$  condition on E with respect to  $\{E_n\}$  I satisfies (PS) condition on  $E_n$  for n large. Applying Theorem 1.1 on each subspace  $E_n$ , for n large, we get a sequence of points  $z_n \in E_n$  satisfying

$$I(z_n) \in [\alpha, \beta]$$
 and  $(I|_{E_n})'(z_n) = 0$ .

By the (PS)\* condition,  $z_n$  has a convergent subsequence which converges to a critical point of I in the range  $[\alpha, \beta]$ .

REMARK 1.3. Looking at the proof of Theorem 1.1, we see that it suffices to assume  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$  are odd homeomorphisms of E. Similar idea of the proof was used in [9] and [11].

### 2. Superquadratic Hamiltonian systems

This section is devoted to a proof of Theorem 0.1, that is we prove the existence of infinitely many solutions of the Hamiltonian System (0.1). For this purpose we use Theorem 1.2. Let us start introducing some basic notation.

Let  $E=W^{1/2,2}(S^1,\mathbb{R}^{2N})$  be the Sobolev space of functions  $z\in L^2(S^1,\mathbb{R}^{2N})$  satisfying

$$\pi \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |j| |a_j|^2 < \infty,$$

where  $z(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_j e^{ijt}$ , with  $a_{-j} = \overline{a}_j \in \mathbb{C}^{2N}$ . For  $z, \eta \in E$  we consider the inner product

(2.1) 
$$\langle z, \eta \rangle = \pi \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} |j| a_j \cdot \overline{b}_j + 2\pi a_0 \cdot \overline{b}_0,$$

where  $\eta(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} b_j e^{ijt}$  with  $b_{-j} = \overline{b}_j \in \mathbb{C}^{2N}$ . We denote by  $\|\cdot\|$  the norm associated to the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

For given smooth z=(p,q) and  $\eta=(\phi,\psi)$  in E we define the bilinear and quadratic form B and Q as

(2.2) 
$$B(z,\eta) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} (p \cdot \dot{\psi} + \phi \cdot \dot{q}) dt \quad \text{and} \quad Q(z) = \frac{1}{2} B(z,z).$$

Both Q and B can be extended continuously to the whole space E, and the bilinear form B induces a linear, bounded, selfadjoint operator  $L:E\to E$  defined by

(2.3) 
$$B(z, \eta) = \langle Lz, \eta \rangle$$
 for all  $z, \eta \in E$ .

We consider following subspaces of E

(2.4) 
$$E_{+}^{j} = \operatorname{span}\{\sin(jt)e_{k} - \cos(jt)e_{k+N}, \cos(jt)e_{k} + \sin(jt)e_{k+N},$$

$$k = 1, \ldots, N$$
,

(2.5) 
$$E_{-}^{j} = \operatorname{span}\{\sin(jt)e_{k} + \cos(jt)e_{k+N}, \cos(jt)e_{k} - \sin(jt)e_{k+N},$$

$$k=1,\ldots,N\},$$

for  $j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ , and

(2.6) 
$$E_0 = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_{2N}\}.$$

Here  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{2N}\}$  is the canonical basis in  $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$ . Defining the subspaces  $E_+$  and  $E_-$  as

(2.7) 
$$E_{+} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} E_{+}^{j} \quad \text{and} \quad E_{-} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} E_{-}^{j},$$

we have the splitting  $E = E_+ \oplus E_- \oplus E_0$ . We observe that  $E_+$ ,  $E_-$ , and  $E_0$  are the positive, negative, and null eigenspace of the linear operator L, respectively. Consequently Q is positive on  $E_+$ , negative on  $E_-$ , and it vanishes on  $E_0$ . We see that on the space E the norm can be written as

$$||z||^2 = Q(z_+) - Q(z_-) + |z_0|^2,$$

where  $z = z_+ + z_- + z_0$  with  $z_+ \in E_+, z_- \in E_-$  and  $z_0 \in E_0$ .

In order to find  $2\pi$ -periodic solutions of (0.1) we consider the functional  $I: E \to \mathbb{R}$  defined as

(2.9) 
$$I(z) = Q(z) - \int_{0}^{2\pi} H(z,t) dt,$$

which is of class  $C^1$  in E thanks to hypotheses (H1) and (H3) (see [10]). It is well known that the  $2\pi$ -periodic solutions of (0.1) are the critical points of the functional I.

Next we show that I satisfies the necessary compactness assumptions. We consider the subspaces  $E_n = \bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq n} (E^j_+ \oplus E^j_-) \oplus E_0$ , for  $n \geq 1$ , and we see that  $\overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n} = E$ . We study the (PS)\* condition for I on E with respect to this sequence of subspaces.

LEMMA 2.1. The functional I satisfies (PS)\* condition on E with respect to the family of subspaces  $\{E_n\}$ .

PROOF. The proof is similar to Lemma 1.2 in [5], where (PS) condition is proved for a related functional. Thus we will be sketchy here. Let  $z_{\ell} \in E_{n_{\ell}}$  be such that  $I(z_{\ell}) \to c$  and  $(I|_{E_{n_{\ell}}})'(z_{\ell}) \to 0$  as  $\ell \to \infty$ . We write  $z_{\ell}$  as z = (p,q) for simplicity. For  $\ell$  large we have

$$c + o(1) + o(1)||p|| = I(z) - (I|_{E_{n_{\ell}}})'(z) \cdot p = -\int_{0}^{2\pi} H(z,t) - H_{p}(z,t) \cdot p,$$

and similarly,

$$c + o(1) + o(1)||q|| = -\int_{0}^{2\pi} H(z,t) - H_q(z,t) \cdot q.$$

Here  $o(1) \to 0$  as  $\ell \to \infty$ . Then, using (H2), we get

(2.10) 
$$\frac{1}{\alpha} ||p|| + \frac{1}{\beta} ||q|| \ge \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta}\right)\right) \int_{0}^{2\pi} H(z, t) dt - C,$$

where C denotes here and in what follows an appropriate constant.

On the other hand, it is proved in [5] that (H2) implies

(2.11) 
$$H(p,q,t) \ge C(|p|^{\alpha} + |q|^{\beta}) - C$$
, for all  $(p,q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Thus, from (2.10) and (2.11), we find

$$||p|| + ||q|| \ge C(||p||_{\alpha}^{\alpha} + ||q||_{\beta}^{\beta}) - C.$$

Here  $\|\cdot\|_p$  denotes the usual norm in  $L^p(S^1)$ . Next, using that  $|(I|_{E_{n_\ell}})'(z)z_+| \le \|z_+\|$ , where  $z=z_++z_-+z_0$  with  $z_+\in E_+,\,z_-\in E_-,\,z_0\in E_0$  we find that

$$||z_+|| \le C(1 + ||p||_{\alpha}^{\alpha - 1} + ||q||_{\beta}^{\beta - 1}).$$

Similarly we find

$$||z_-|| \le C(1 + ||p||_{\alpha}^{\alpha - 1} + ||q||_{\beta}^{\beta - 1}).$$

Putting all this together, we obtain that  $z_{\ell}$  is bounded in E. Note that

$$(2.12) (I|_{E_{n_{\ell}}})'(z) = Lz - P_{n_{\ell}}g'(z),$$

where  $P_{n_{\ell}}$  is the orthogonal projection from E to  $E_{n_{\ell}}$  and

$$g(z) = -\int_{0}^{2\pi} H(z,t) dt$$

satisfies that g'(z) is compact. Since  $z_{\ell}$  is bounded we have that  $(z_{\ell})_0$  has a convergent subsequence in  $E_0$ . Then from (2.12) and the fact that g' is compact we get  $z_{\ell} - (z_{\ell})_0$  has a convergent subsequence in E.

To use Theorem 1.2 we need to check the linking conditions (1.8)–(1.10). To that end, let k be a fixed integer and, for  $n \geq k$ , let us consider the subspaces in  $E_n$ 

(2.13) 
$$X_n = \bigoplus_{1 \le j \le n} E_-^j \oplus E_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \le j \le k-1} E_+^j,$$

$$(2.14) Y_n = \bigoplus_{k \le j \le n} E^j_+.$$

We observe that  $E_n = X_n \oplus Y_n$ . We start proving the (1.8) and for this we consider the operator  $L_1$  as the identity.

LEMMA 2.2. There exist  $\alpha_k > 0$  and  $\rho_k > 0$  both independent of  $n \ge k$  such that for all  $n \ge k$ ,

(2.15) 
$$\inf_{z \in L_1(S_{\rho_k} \cap Y_n)} I(z) \ge \alpha_k$$

where  $S_{\rho_k} = \{y \in E_+ \mid ||y|| = \rho_k\}$ . Furthermore, we have  $\alpha_k \to \infty$  as  $k \to \infty$ .

PROOF. We first recall that E is embedded in  $L^{\gamma}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^{2N})$  for any  $\gamma \in [1, \infty)$ , so that there is  $a(\gamma) > 0$  such that

$$(2.16) ||z||_{\gamma} \le a(\gamma)||z|| \text{for all } z \in E,$$

and also that for all  $z \in \bigoplus_{k \le j} E^j_+$ , we have

$$(2.17) ||z|| \ge \sqrt{k} ||z||_2.$$

We see from (H3) that for all  $(p,q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$(2.18) |H(p,q,t)| \le C(|z|^{\alpha'} + 1).$$

Now consider  $z = (p, q) \in Y_n$ . Then, for a constant a > 0 depending only on  $\alpha'$ , we have

$$||z||_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'} \le ||z||_2 ||z||_{2(\alpha'-1)}^{\alpha'-1} \le \frac{a}{\sqrt{k}} ||z|| ||z||^{\alpha'-1}.$$

So, for  $z = L_1(p,q)$ , we have

$$I(z) \ge ||z||^2 - C(||z||_{\alpha'}^{\alpha'} + 1) \ge ||z||^2 - C\left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{k}}||z||^{\alpha'} + 1\right).$$

Then we choose  $\rho_k$  as

(2.19) 
$$\rho_k = \left(\frac{\sqrt{k}}{2Ca}\right)^{1/(\alpha'-2)}.$$

For  $z \in S_{\rho_k} \cap Y_n$  we find that

(2.20) 
$$I(z) \ge \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sqrt{k}}{2Ca} \right)^{2/(\alpha'-2)} - C.$$

Defining  $\alpha_k$  as the right hand side of (2.20) and noting that both  $\rho_k$  and  $\alpha_k$  are independent of  $n \geq k$ , we complete the proof of the lemma.

Our next goal is to prove (1.9) and (1.10). For that purpose let us define the operator  $L_{\sigma}: E \to E$  as

(2.21) 
$$L_{\sigma}(z) = (\sigma^{u-1}p, \sigma^{v-1}q),$$

for  $z=(p,q)\in E$ , and given numbers  $\sigma>0,\ u>1,\ v>1$ . It is easy to see that the operator  $L_{\sigma}$  is linear, bounded and invertible. Using Fourier series it is also easy to prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.3. 
$$L_{\sigma}(E_n) \subset E_n$$
 for any  $n \geq 1$ .

Now we choose the numbers u > 1, v > 1 satisfying

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} < \frac{u}{u+1}$$
 and  $\frac{1}{\beta} < \frac{v}{v+1}$ ,

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are given in (H2). We have

LEMMA 2.4. There exist positive numbers  $\beta_k$ ,  $\sigma_k$  and  $M_k$  satisfying  $\sigma_k > \rho_k$ and all independent of  $n \geq k$ , such that for all  $n \geq k$ 

(2.22) 
$$\sup_{L_{\sigma_k}(\partial D \cap E_n)} I \leq 0 \quad and \quad \sup_{L_{\sigma_k}(D \cap E_n)} I \leq \beta_k,$$

where

$$(2.23) D = \left\{ z \in E_{-} \oplus E_{0} \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq k} E_{+}^{j} \middle| \|z_{-} + z_{0}\| \leq M_{k}, \|z_{+}\| \leq \sigma_{k} \right\}.$$

PROOF. From (H2) and (2.11) we see that for all  $z=(p,q)\in E$  we have

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} H(z,t) dt \ge C(\|p\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha} + \|q\|_{\beta}^{\beta}) - 2\pi C.$$

Let  $z = L_{\sigma}(p,q)$  with  $(p,q) \in D$  where  $M_k$  and  $\sigma = \sigma_k$  are determined in a moment. Then  $z = (\sigma^{u-1}p_+, \sigma^{v-1}q_+) + (\sigma^{u-1}p_-, \sigma^{v-1}q_-) + (\sigma^{u-1}p_0, \sigma^{v-1}q_0),$ 

$$(2.24) \quad I(z) \le \sigma^{u+v-2} (\|(p_+, q_+)\|^2 - \|(p_-, q_-)\|^2) \\ - C(\sigma^{(u-1)\alpha} \|p_+ + p_- + p_0\|_{\alpha}^{\alpha} + \sigma^{(v-1)\beta} \|q_+ + q_- + q_0\|_{\beta}^{\beta}) + 2\pi C.$$

By continuity of the corresponding projections given by  $(p,q) = w = w_0 + w_+ + w_$  $w_{-}$  with  $w_{0} \in E_{0}, w_{+} \in E_{+}, w_{-} \in E_{-}$ , we have

$$||p_0||_{\alpha} \le C_{\alpha} ||p||_{\alpha}, \quad ||q_0||_{\beta} \le C_{\beta} ||q||_{\beta}$$

and

$$||p_+ + p_-||_{\alpha} \le C_{\alpha} ||p||_{\alpha}, \qquad ||q_+ + q_-||_{\beta} \le C_{\beta} ||q||_{\beta}.$$

Thus, from (2.24), it follows that

$$\begin{split} I(z) & \leq \sigma^{u+v-2}(\|(p_+,q_+)\|^2 - \|(p_-,q_-)\|^2) - C\{\sigma^{(u-1)\alpha}(\|p_+ + p_-\|_\alpha^\alpha + |p_0|^\alpha) \\ & + \sigma^{(v-1)\beta}(\|q_+ + q_-\|_\beta^\beta + |q_0|^\beta)\} + 2\pi C. \end{split}$$

Assume, without lose of generality, that  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . Then, by Hölder inequality, we

$$\|p_+\|_\alpha \le \frac{1}{2}\|p_++p_-\|_\alpha + C\|p_+-p_-\|_\beta.$$
 Then, using a theorem of M. Riesz, we find that

(see [4]). Note that  $\bar{p}_{+} = q_{+}$  and  $\bar{p}_{-} = -q_{-}$ , conjugate in the sense given in [4]. Since  $p_+ \in \bigoplus_{1 \le i \le k} (E^j_+ + E^j_-)$  is a finite dimensional subspace, there exists C(k) > 0 such that

$$||p_+|| \le C(k)||p_+||_{\alpha}.$$

Then we get from (2.25) and (2.26) that

$$||p_+|| \le C(||p_+ + p_-||_{\alpha} + ||q_+ + q_-||_{\beta}),$$

where C depends on k. This implies the existence of a constant C > 0 such that either

or

Setting  $\sigma = ||(p_+, q_+)||$ , if (2.27) holds, we get

$$I(z) \le \sigma^{u+v} - C(\sigma^{u\alpha} - 1),$$

or, if (2.28) holds,

$$I(z) \le \sigma^{u+v} - C(\sigma^{v\beta} - 1).$$

In both cases we can choose  $\sigma_k = \sigma$  such that if  $||(p_+, q_+)|| = \sigma_k$  then

$$I(z) \leq 0.$$

We may assume  $\sigma_k > \rho_k$  (given in Lemma 2.2). For this fixed  $\sigma_k > 0$ , we have

$$I(z) \leq \sigma_k^{u+v} - \sigma_k^{u+v-2} \|(p_-, q_-)\|^2 - C(\sigma_k^{(u-1)\alpha} |p_0|^\alpha + \sigma_k^{(v-1)\beta} |q_0|^\beta).$$

Thus we may choose  $M_k$  large enough so that, when

$$||(p_-, q_-)||^2 + |p_0|^2 + |q_0|^2 = M_k^2,$$

 $I(z) \leq 0$  also holds. Thus we have proved the first inequality in (2.22)

Finally, for fixed  $M_k > 0$ ,  $\sigma_k > 0$  as above, take  $\beta_k$  simply as the maximum value of I over  $L_{\sigma_k}(D \cap E_n)$ . Note that all these arguments are independent of  $n \geq k$ . This finishes the proof.

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 0.1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 0.1. For a given  $k \geq 1$ , Lemmas 2.1–2.4 allow us to use Theorem 1.2. First we choose  $y_1 \in E_+^k$  with  $||y_1|| = 1$ . Then it is easy to check that with  $L_1 = Id$  and  $L_2$  is given in (2.21) with  $\sigma = \sigma_k$  we have

$$|\sigma_k||L_1^{-1}L_2y_1|| = (\sigma_k)^{(u+v)/2} \ge \sigma_k > \rho_k.$$

Then the functional I possesses a critical value  $c_k \in [\alpha_k, \beta_k]$ . Since  $\alpha_k \to \infty$  as  $k \to 0$ , we get infinitely many critical values of I and therefore infinitely many solutions of (0.1).

REMARK 2.5. As mentioned in Remark 0.3, hypothesis (H3) can be replaced by (H3'). In order to prove this theorem we need to redefine the Hamiltonian outside a given ball in  $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$ , to obtain a polynomial growth at infinity. Then

using (H3'), one can show that the obtained critical point is inside the given ball. We do not carry out the details (see [5]).

#### 3. Superquadratic elliptic systems

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 0.2. We follow the pattern used in Section 2, with some modifications, that is we set up a functional analytic framework where strong solutions of (ES) correspond to critical points of a functional. Then we show that this functional satisfies the compactness assumption of Theorem 1.2 and also the geometric conditions (1.8)–(1.10).

We shall consider the spaces  $E^s$ , which are obtained as the domains of fractional powers of the operator

$$-\triangle: H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega),$$

where  $\triangle$  denotes the Laplacian and  $H^2(\Omega)$ ,  $H^1_0(\Omega)$  are the usual Sobolev spaces. Namely  $E^s = D((-\triangle)^{s/2})$  for  $0 \le s \le 2$ , and the corresponding operator is denoted by  $A^s$ 

$$A^s: E^s \to L^2(\Omega)$$
.

The spaces  $E^s$  are Hilbert spaces with inner product

$$(3.1) (u,v)_{E^s} = \int_{\Omega} A^s u A^s v \, dx.$$

Its associated norm is denoted by  $||u||_{E^s}^2$ . In  $E^s$  we find the Poincaré's inequality for the operator  $A^s$ 

(3.2) 
$$||A^s u||_{L^2(\Omega)} \ge \lambda_1^{s/2} ||u||_{L^2(\Omega)}$$
 for all  $u \in E^s$ ,

where  $\lambda_1$  is the first eigenvalue of  $-\triangle$ .

Next we define the spaces on which we set up the problem. For numbers s>0 and t>0 with s+t=2 we define the Hilbert space  $E=E^s\times E^t$  and the bilinear form  $B:E\times E\to\mathbb{R}$  by the formula

(3.3) 
$$B((u,v),(\phi,\psi)) = \int_{\Omega} A^s u A^t \psi + A^s \phi A^t v \, dx.$$

The form B is continuous and symmetric and there exists a selfadjoint bounded linear operator  $L: E \to E$  so that

$$(3.4) B(z,\eta) = (Lz,\eta)_E$$

for all  $z, \eta \in E$ . Here  $(\cdot, \cdot)_E$  denotes the natural inner product in E induced by  $E^s$  and  $E^t$ . We can also define the quadratic form  $Q: E \to \mathbb{R}$  associated to B and L as

(3.5) 
$$Q(z) = \frac{1}{2} (Lz, z)_E = \int_{\Omega} A^s u A^t v \, dx$$

for all  $z = (u, v) \in E$ . We can define the subspaces

$$E^+ = \{(u, A^{-t}A^s u) \mid u \in E^s\} \text{ and } E^- = \{(u, -A^{-t}A^s u) \mid u \in E^s\},$$

which give a natural splitting  $E = E^+ \oplus E^-$ . The spaces  $E^+$  and  $E^-$  are the positive and negative eigenspaces of L, they are consequently orthogonal with respect to the bilinear form B, that is we also find that

(3.6) 
$$\frac{1}{2}||z||_E^2 = Q(z^+) - Q(z^-)$$

where  $z = z^{+} + z^{-}, z_{\pm} \in E^{\pm}$ .

Next we define the functional associated to the Hamiltonian. Now we will choose the numbers s and t defining the orders of the Sobolev spaces involved. From inequality (ii) in the Introduction we see the existence of  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ , s+t=2 such that

(3.7) 
$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \max\left\{\frac{p}{\alpha}, \frac{q}{\beta}\right\} < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{N},$$

and

(3.8) 
$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) \max\left\{\frac{p}{\alpha}, \frac{q}{\beta}\right\} < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{N}.$$

Using (iii) and (iv) if  $N \ge 5$ , we can choose s > 0 and t > 0. From the fact that  $p/\alpha \ge 1$  and  $q/\beta \ge 1$  we find, following from (3.7) and (3.8) that

(3.9) 
$$\frac{1}{p} > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{s}{N}$$
 and  $\frac{1}{q} > \frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{N}$ .

These last inequalities and Sobolev Embedding Theorem give the compact inclusions

$$E^s \to L^p(\Omega), \quad E^t \to L^q(\Omega).$$

Now we can define a functional  $\Phi: E \to \mathbb{R}$  as

(3.10) 
$$I(z) = Q(z) - g(z) = \int_{\Omega} A^{s} u A^{t} v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} H(u(x), v(x), x) \, dx,$$

for  $z = (u, v) \in E$ . The functional I is of class  $C^1$  and the functional g has a compact gradient. We refer the reader for details and proof of the aspects discussed so far to [6]. In particular, see in [6] that critical points of I correspond to the strong solutions of (ES).

Now we define a Galerkin scheme in order to apply Theorem 1.2. We consider a basis of  $L^2(\Omega)$  constituted by eigenfunctions  $\{\phi_j\}$  of

$$-\triangle \phi = \lambda \phi \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
$$\phi = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega,$$

with associated eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_j\}$ . If  $u \in L^2(\Omega)$  we write

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \phi_j,$$

its Fourier series with respect to the basis  $\{\phi_i\}$ . Then, for  $n \geq 1$ , we define

(3.11) 
$$E_n^s = \left\{ u \in L^2(\Omega) \, \middle| \, \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^s |a_j|^2 < \infty \right\},$$

$$E_n^t = \left\{ u \in L^2(\Omega) \, \middle| \, \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^t |a_j|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Then we consider  $E_n = E_n^s \times E_n^t$ , and we see that  $\overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n} = E$ . The following lemma gives the compactness needed for I.

LEMMA 3.1. The functional I satisfies (PS)\* condition on E with respect to the family of subspaces  $\{E_n\}$ .

PROOF. The proof of this lemma is easily obtained with minor modifications of Proposition 2.1 in [6]. We omit the details.  $\Box$ 

Next we fix a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and define a splitting of space  $E_n$  for  $n \geq k$ . Let

$$X_n = \bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq n} E_-^j \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq k-1} E_+^j \quad \text{and} \quad Y_n = \bigoplus_{k \leq j \leq n} E_+^j,$$

where

$$E_{+}^{j} = \{(u, A^{-t}A^{s}u) | u = a_{j}\phi_{j}\}$$
 and  $E_{-}^{j} = \{(u, -A^{-t}A^{s}u) | u = a_{j}\phi_{j}\}.$ 

We observe that  $E_n = X_n \oplus Y_n$ . As in Section 2, we consider the operator  $L_1$  as the identity. We have

LEMMA 3.2. There exist  $\alpha_k > 0$  and  $\rho_k > 0$  independent of  $n \ge k$  such that for all  $n \ge k$ ,

$$\inf_{z \in L_1(S_{\rho_k} \cap Y_n)} I(z) \ge \alpha_k,$$

where  $S_{\rho_k} = \{y \in E_+ \mid ||y|| = \rho_k\}$ . Furthermore,  $\alpha_k \to \infty$  as  $k \to \infty$ .

PROOF. The proof is obtained by minor modifications of Lemma 2.2. We note that we need to replace (2.17) by the following: given  $z \in \bigoplus_{k \le j \le n} E^j_+$  then

$$||z||_E \ge \max\{\lambda_k^s, \lambda_k^t\} ||z||_{L^2}.$$

We note that the sequence of eigenvalues  $\lambda_k$  diverges to  $\infty$  as  $k \to \infty$ .

Next we define the operator  $L_{\sigma}: E \to E$  as

(3.12) 
$$L_{\sigma}(z) = (\sigma^{\mu - 1}u, \sigma^{\nu - 1}v),$$

for  $z=(u,v)\in E,$  and where  $\sigma$  will be given later and  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are chosen so that

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} < \frac{\mu}{\mu + 1}$$
 and  $\frac{1}{\beta} < \frac{\nu}{\nu + 1}$ ,

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are given in (H2). It is easy to see that  $L_{\sigma}(E_n) \subset E_n$  for any  $n \geq 1$ . We have

Lemma 3.3. There exist positive numbers  $\beta_k$ ,  $\sigma_k$  and  $M_k$ , which are all independent of  $n \geq k$  and satisfy  $\sigma_k > \rho_k$ , such that

$$\sup_{L_{\sigma_k}(\partial D\cap E_n)} I \leq 0 \quad and \quad \sup_{L_{\sigma_k}(D\cap E_n)} I \leq \beta_k,$$

where

(3.14) 
$$D = \left\{ z \in E_{-} \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \le j \le k} E_{+}^{j} \middle| \|z_{-}\|_{E} \le M_{k}, \|z_{+}\|_{E} \le \sigma_{k} \right\}.$$

PROOF. Let us consider  $z=L_{\sigma}(u,v)$  with  $(u,v)\in D$ . Then we can write  $z=(\sigma^{\mu-1}u_+,\sigma^{\nu-1}v_+)+(\sigma^{\mu-1}u_-,\sigma^{\nu-1}v_-)$ . Then, using the definition of Q and the spaces  $E_+$  and  $E_-$ , we have

(3.15) 
$$Q(z) = \sigma^{\mu+\nu-2} (\|z_+\|_E^2 - \|z_-\|_E^2).$$

On the other hand, from (E2), we have

(3.16) 
$$\int_{\Omega} H(z,x) dx \\ \geq C \left\{ \int_{\Omega} (\sigma^{\alpha(\mu-1)} |u_{+} + u_{-}|^{\alpha} + \sigma^{\beta(\nu-1)} |v_{+} + v_{-}|^{\beta}) dx - |\Omega| \right\}.$$

The functions  $u_+$  and  $u_-$  can be written as

$$u_{+} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{i} \phi_{i}$$
 and  $u_{-} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_{i} \phi_{i} + \widehat{u}_{-},$ 

where  $\hat{u}_{-}$  is orthogonal to  $\phi_i$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,k$  in the  $L^2$  sense. Then using Hölder inequality we obtain

(3.17) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}^{s-t} (\alpha_{i}^{2} + \alpha_{i} \gamma_{i}) = \int_{\Omega} (u_{+} + u_{-}) A^{s-t} u_{+}$$

$$\leq \|u_{+} + u_{-}\|_{L^{\alpha}(\Omega)} \|A^{s-t} u_{+}\|_{L^{\alpha'}(\Omega)}.$$

Then, there exists a constant  $C_k$  such that

(3.18) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i^{s-t} (\alpha_i^2 + \alpha_i \gamma_i) \le C_k \|u_+ + u_-\|_{L^{\alpha}(\Omega)} \|u_+\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Similarly, since  $v_+ = A^{s-t}u_+$  and  $v_- = -A^{s-t}u_-$ , we have

$$(3.19) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}^{s-t} (\alpha_{i}^{2} - \alpha_{i} \gamma_{i}) = \int_{\Omega} (v_{+} + v_{-}) u_{+} \leq \|v_{+} + v_{-}\|_{L^{\beta}(\Omega)} \|u_{+}\|_{L^{\beta'}(\Omega)}.$$

Then there is a constant  $C_k$ , so that

(3.20) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i^{s-t} (\alpha_i^2 - \alpha_i \gamma_i) \le C_k \|v_+ + v_-\|_{L^{\beta}(\Omega)} \|u_+\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Depending on the sign of  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i^{s-t} \alpha_i \gamma_i$  we use (3.18) or (3.20) to conclude that

$$||u_+||_{L^2(\Omega)} \le C_k ||u_+ + u_-||_{L^{\alpha}(\Omega)}$$
 or  $||u_+||_{L^2(\Omega)} \le C_k ||v_+ + v_-||_{L^{\beta}(\Omega)}$ ,

and then

$$I(z) \le \sigma^{\mu+\nu-2} (\|z_+\|_E^2 - C_k \sigma^{\alpha(\mu-1)} \|u_+\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\alpha}) + C|\Omega|$$

or

$$I(z) \le \sigma^{\mu+\nu-2}(\|z_+\|_E^2 - C_k \sigma^{\beta(\nu-1)} \|u_+\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^\beta) + C|\Omega|.$$

Thus, we may choose  $||z_+||_E = \sigma_k$  large enough, so that  $\sigma_k > \rho_k$  and  $I(z) \le 0$ . Then, taking  $||z_+||_E \le \sigma_k$ ,

$$I(z) \le \|z_+\|_E^{\mu+\nu} - \|z_+\|_E^{\mu+\nu-2} \|(u_-, v_-)\|_E^2 + C|\Omega|$$

and then chosing  $||(u_-, v_-)||_E^2 = M_k$  large enough we find that

$$I(z) \leq 0.$$

In this way we finished with the proof of the first part of Lemma 3.3. Next we choose  $\beta_k$  so the second inequality holds.

PROOF OF THEOREM 0.3. For a given  $k \geq 1$ , Lemmas 3.1–3.3 allow us to use Theorem 1.2. Then the functional I possesses a critical value  $c_k \in [\alpha_k, \beta_k]$ . Since  $\alpha_k \to \infty$  as  $k \to \infty$ , we get infinitely many critical values of I, therefore infinitely many solutions of (ES).

## References

- [1] S. ANGENENT AND R. VAN DER VORST, A superquadratic Indefinite elliptic system and its Morse-Conley-Floer Homology, Report W 97-26, University of Leiden, 1997.
- [2] V. Benci, On critical point theory for indefinite functionals in the presence of symmetries, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 274 (1982), 533-572.
- [3] P. CLÉMENT, P. FELMER AND E. MITIDIERI, Homoclinic orbits for an infinite dimensional Hamiltonian systems, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) XXIV (1997), 367–393.
- [4] R. EDWARDS, Fourier Series, a Modern Introduction, vol. II, Holt, Rinehart & Winston, New York, 1967.

- P. Felmer, Periodic solutions of "superquadratic" Hamiltonian systems, J. Differential Equations 102 (1993), 188–207.
- [6] D. DE FIGUEIREDO AND P. FELMER, On Superquadratic elliptic systems, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 343 (1994), 99–116.
- [7] D. DE FIGUEIREDO AND C. MAGALHÃES, On nonquadratic elliptic systems, Adv. Differential Equations 1 (1996), 881–898.
- [8] J. HULSHOF AND R. VAN DER VORST, Differential systems with strongly indefinite variational structure, J. Funct. Anal. 114 (1993), 32–58.
- [9] S. LI AND Z.-Q. WANG, An abstract critical point theorem and applications, Acta Math. Sinica 29 (1986), 585–589.
- [10] P. H. RABINOWITZ, Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations; CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math., vol. 65, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1986.
- [11] Z.-Q. Wang, On a superlinear elliptic equation, Analyse Nonlinéaire 8 (1991), 43–58.

Manuscript received July 10, 1998

PATRICIO FELMER
Departamento de Ingeniería Matemática F.C.F.M.
Universidad de Chile
Casilla 170 Correo 3
Santiago, CHILE

 $\hbox{\it $E$-mail address: $pfelmer@dim.uchile.cl}$ 

ZHI-QIANG WANG Department of Mathematics and Statistics Utah State University Logan, UT 84322, USA

 $\hbox{$E$-mail address: wang@sunfs.math.usu.edu}$