

REVIEW FORM – *STUDIA IURIDICA TORUNIENSIA*

DECISION OF REDACTION:

Title of the article:

REVIEWER'S RECOMMENDATIONS

(PLEASE MARK WITH X IN THE RIGHT BOX AFTER DETAIL EVALUATION)

- The work is suitable for printing in the presented form (18-20 points)
 The work is suitable for printing after taking into account the minor corrections (15-17 points)
 The work is suitable for printing after taking into account the significant corrections (12-14 points)
 The work is not suitable for printing (below 11 points)

DETAIL EVALUATION

Evaluation criteria	Scoring in 1-5 scale (5 – very good, 1 – bad)
I. The originality of the work (including originality of the choice of the topic or approach to the subject, the need for taking this topic)	1 2 3 4 5
II. The scientific value (Is the science enriched with new content by this article? Does the article justify a new idea? Does the article fill the gap in the current state of knowledge?)	1 2 3 4 5
III. The scientific reliability (Are the thesis and aims of this article clearly formulated? Where they all the object of discussion? Is the author critically including divergent views on the problem? Is the author critical to his/her own thesis?)	1 2 3 4 5
IV. The scientific workshop and language (Literature used, the language of the work as well as technical and formal side, knowledge of the literature and the case law, clear and logical conclusions)	1 2 3 4 5
	Total:

Detailed comments (please refer only to criteria evaluated on 3 points and below; the need of any amendments and corrections):

(date and signature)