NICOLAUS COPERNICUS UNIVERSITY IN TORUŃ

Quality in Sport. eISSN 2450-3118.

Journal Home Page
https://apcz.umk.pl/QS/index

ANCZYK, Antoni, HANDZEL, Karolina, WYSOCZAŃSKI, Jacek, ŚLIWA, Wiktoria, TŁOCZEK, Wiktoria, TWARDOWSKA, Daria, KRET, Dominik, SZLACHTA, Wiktoria, KARBOWIAK, Krzysztof, NAWRAT, Natalia, and BASTA, Filip. Does Moderate to Vigorous Physical Activity Fully Offset the Cardiometabolic Risks of Prolonged Sitting? A Review of Current Evidence. Quality in Sport. 2026;55:70980. eISSN 2450-3118. https://doi.org/10.12775/QS.2026.55.70980

The journal has been awarded 20 points in the parametric evaluation by the Ministry of Higher Education and Science of Poland. This is according to the Annex to the announcement of the Minister of Higher Education and Science dated 05.01.2024, No. 32553. The journal has a Unique Identifier: 201398. Scientific disciplines assigned: Economics and Finance (Field of Social Sciences); Management and Quality Sciences (Field of Social Sciences).
Punkty Ministerialne z 2019 - aktualny rok 20 punktów. Załącznik do komunikatu Ministra Szkolnictwa Wyższego i Nauki z dnia 05.01.2024 Lp. 32553. Posiada Unikatowy Identyfikator Czasopisma: 201398. Przypisane dyscypliny naukowe: Ekonomia i finanse (Dziedzina nauk społecznych); Nauki o zarządzaniu i jakości (Dziedzina nauk społecznych).
The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.
Received: 17.04.2026. Revised: 25.04.2026. Accepted: 4.05.2026. Published: 8.05.2026.

Does Moderate to Vigorous Physical Activity Fully Offset the Cardiometabolic Risks of Prolonged Sitting? A Review of Current Evidence

Antoni Anczyk

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0008-3817-3675

E-mail: naron333@gmail.com

Medical University of Silesia in Katowice Poniatowskiego 15, 40-055 Katowice, Poland

Karolina Handzel
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0004-5765-0458
E-mail: karolinahandzel30@gmail.com
Medical University of Silesia in Katowice Poniatowskiego 15, 40-055 Katowice, Poland

Jacek Wysoczański
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0005-1983-486X
E-mail: jacek2602@gmail.com
Medical University of Silesia in Katowice Poniatowskiego 15, 40-055 Katowice, Poland

Wiktoria Śliwa
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0009-2406-853X
E-mail: wiki00727@gmail.com
Medical University of Silesia in Katowice Poniatowskiego 15, 40-055 Katowice, Poland

Wiktoria Tłoczek
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0004-7722-5037
E-mail:wiktoriatloczek10@interia.pl
Medical University of Silesia in Katowice Poniatowskiego 15, 40-055 Katowice, Poland

Daria Twardowska
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0004-5807-4915
E-mail:daria.twardowska@interia.pl
Medical University of Silesia in Katowice Poniatowskiego 15, 40-055 Katowice, Poland

Dominik Kret
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0007-6218-027X
E-mail: kdominik2000@o2.pl
Medical University of Silesia in Katowice Poniatowskiego 15, 40-055 Katowice, Poland

Wiktoria Szlachta
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0009-3739-4211
E-mail: wiktoria.szlachtaa@interia.eu
Medical University of Silesia in Katowice Poniatowskiego 15, 40-055 Katowice, Poland

Krzysztof Karbowiak
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0009-1332-5000
E-mail: krzysztof.karbowiak12@gmail.com
Medical University of Silesia in Katowice Poniatowskiego 15, 40-055 Katowice, Poland

Natalia Nawrat
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0005-2193-7286
E-mail: nawrat72@gmail.com
Medical University of Silesia in Katowice Poniatowskiego 15, 40-055 Katowice, Poland

Filip Basta
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0008-4685-7778
E-mail: filip.basta@wp.pl
Medical University of Silesia in Katowice Poniatowskiego 15, 40-055 Katowice, Poland

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to discuss the “active couch potato” phenomenon, defined as a situation in which individuals who meet physical activity recommendations simultaneously spend a very large amount of time sitting, and to answer the question of whether regular exercise can fully compensate for the metabolic consequences of a sedentary lifestyle. Based on studies from recent years, the combined effects of sitting time, activity of varying intensity, metabolic profile status, adipose tissue (including visceral and hepatic fat), insulin resistance, risk of metabolic syndrome, and type 2 diabetes were analyzed. Data from population and interventional studies indicate that a high level of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) improves insulin sensitivity, lipid profile, and cardiovascular risk; however, prolonged, uninterrupted sitting remains an independent risk factor for poorer metabolic health, even in “sufficiently active” individuals [1, 5, 6, 8, 10, 19]. Individuals described as “active couch potatoes” – meeting activity recommendations but sitting >10 h/day – exhibit a less favorable cardiometabolic profile compared to individuals with a similar exercise volume but shorter sitting time and a greater proportion of light-intensity activity [6, 8, 19]. Short-term reductions in step count and increases in sitting lead to rapid deterioration of insulin receptor sensitivity, increased liver fat,

and worsening dyslipidemia, partially reversible after returning to habitual movement levels [1, 5, 15]. Available data suggest that regular exercise markedly reduces, but does not completely eliminate, the adverse effects of prolonged sitting. Therefore, the optimal strategy includes a combination of MVPA, reduction of total sitting time, and frequent interruption of sitting with short episodes of light- or moderate-intensity activity [6, 8, 13, 19, 20].

Keywords: active couch potato, sedentary lifestyle, physical activity, insulin resistance, metabolic syndrome, liver fat

The "Active Couch Potato" Profile in Population Studies

In recent years, many studies have used accelerometers and cluster-based approaches to identify patterns combining sitting time and various activity levels. The most frequently distinguished profiles include: "couch potatoes" (low activity, high sitting time), "sedentary exercisers" (high sitting time but meeting MVPA recommendations – equivalent to "active couch potato"), "light movers" (low moderate activity but little sitting and high light activity), and "movers"/"busy bees" (high MVPA and low sitting time) [6, 8, 9, 14, 16].

In a large Finnish cohort study (3,702 adults, age 46), four profiles were identified, including "active couch potatoes" – individuals performing the recommended amount of MVPA but spending more than 10 hours per day sitting and characterized by very low levels of light activity [6]. Compared with "sedentary light movers," "sedentary exercisers," and "movers," the "active couch potatoes" group had less favorable cardiometabolic indicators (1.1–25% worse differences in markers, depending on the profile and indicator), despite similar or higher MVPA volume [6].

A similar classification (couch potato, light mover, sedentary exerciser, busy bee) was applied in a population of adults with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). In this group, "busy bees" (sufficiently active and low sitting time) showed a significantly lower risk of mortality and diabetes compared with "couch potatoes" [14]. In contrast, "sedentary exercisers" – patients meeting MVPA recommendations but with high sitting time – had an intermediate risk profile, worse than "busy bees," although usually better than "couch potatoes" [14].

A Canadian study jointly analyzing activity and sedentariness found that individuals who were inactive and sedentary ("inactive/sedentary") had a clearly elevated risk of metabolic diseases and abdominal obesity, whereas those who were active but sedentary ("active/sedentary") and those who were inactive but non-sedentary ("inactive/non-sedentary") did not differ significantly from the reference group (active and non-sedentary) in most endpoints [16]. This indicates that both physical inactivity and excessive sedentariness are problematic, and that high levels of MVPA do not always fully offset the negative impact of a sedentary lifestyle.

Metabolic Effects of Short-Term Increases in Sitting

The "step-reduction" model – reducing daily steps and increasing sitting time – is particularly useful for understanding changes typical of the "active couch potato" phenomenon. As little as several days of approximately 80% activity reduction (a decrease of about 10,000 steps per day) leads to significant deterioration in muscle insulin sensitivity (Matsuda index, muscle insulin resistance index), a decline in VO_2peak, loss of lower limb lean mass, and increases in total fat mass, liver fat, and LDL cholesterol concentration [1, 5, 15].

In studies involving habitually active individuals without chronic disease, short-term sedentariness induced a transient metabolic phenotype resembling metabolic syndrome, characterized by multi-organ insulin resistance, atherogenic dyslipidemia, and increased visceral and hepatic fat [1, 5, 19]. After returning to prior activity levels, most parameters in young adults returned to normal; however, in older individuals and those with risk factors (e.g., first-degree relatives of patients with type 2 diabetes), these changes were less reversible [1, 5].

Reviews addressing immobilization, step reduction, and enforced sitting, including during COVID-19 pandemic-related isolation, emphasized the rapid loss of muscle mass, decline in cardiorespiratory fitness, and deterioration in glycemic control, mainly due to suppression of muscle protein synthesis and development of muscle insulin resistance [1, 15]. It was noted that even in initially active individuals, episodes of several days of very low activity and prolonged sitting produce pronounced, clinically relevant metabolic disturbances [1, 15].

Sitting, Liver Fat, and Metabolic Syndrome in Active Individuals

A key issue in the context of the "active couch potato" phenomenon is whether high activity levels can completely "neutralize" the negative impact of sitting on adipose tissue, particularly visceral and hepatic fat. In a study of 98 habitually active adults with varying BMI, it was found that differences in metabolic phenotype (presence or absence of metabolic syndrome) were determined not so much by the amount of light, moderate, or vigorous activity, but primarily by total sedentary time and the manner in which it was accumulated [19].

Individuals with metabolic syndrome, despite similar physical activity levels, spent more time sitting and had more long, uninterrupted sitting bouts (1–2 hours). Each additional hour of sitting per day was associated with approximately 1.15% higher liver fat content, independent of activity level and cardiorespiratory fitness [19]. This suggests that the mere presence of exercise is insufficient if immobilization dominates most of the day; such a state is not favorable for overall metabolic regulation.

In studies of older adults comparing movement profiles ("couch potatoes," "light movers," "sedentary actives," and "actives" – high MVPA, low sitting), the most favorable postprandial glycemic profile and insulin sensitivity were observed in "actives," who engaged in daily MVPA with relatively low sitting time [12]. In "sedentary actives" – corresponding to the "active couch potato" concept – these parameters were worse than in "actives," but better than in typical "couch potatoes" [12].

Among adolescents with obesity, although higher MVPA was associated with better metabolic markers, longer sitting time was a stronger predictor of insulin resistance, adverse lipid profile, and a higher composite metabolic risk score, independent of MVPA [13]. The best profile was observed in the group combining low sitting time with high MVPA, whereas even relatively high MVPA combined with excessive sitting was associated with poorer outcomes [13].

Interaction of Physical Activity, Muscle Mass, and Sedentariness

Physical activity, particularly when combined with resistance training, promotes the development and maintenance of muscle mass, which indirectly influences insulin sensitivity and metabolic syndrome risk. In a population analysis of middle-aged and older adults, greater moderate and vigorous activity and lower sitting time were associated with higher relative muscle mass, which mediated the relationship between movement behaviors and cardiometabolic disorders [7].

In men, sitting time, MVPA, and strength training influenced metabolic abnormalities mainly through their association with muscle mass, whereas in women this mediation was partial and depended on the specific health indicator [7]. Thus, in the context of the "active couch potato" phenomenon, it is important not only "how much" time is devoted to training, but also "what kind" of training it is – preserving muscle mass and fitness is crucial for compensating for periods of sitting.

Reviews on the impact of exercise on metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes consistently emphasize that exercise improves nearly every component of metabolic syndrome – blood pressure, abdominal obesity, glycemia, triglycerides, and HDL – but does not usually lead to complete normalization of all parameters [17, 18]. This provides an important argument that even a well-designed training program is not a complete remedy that fully offsets the consequences of prolonged sitting, especially if accompanied by positive energy balance and low spontaneous activity during the day.

Timing and Structure of Daily Activity Patterns

More recent studies highlight not only the total amount of activity and sitting, but also the time of day when activity is performed. In sedentary older adults, higher activity levels in the early morning hours were associated with lower fasting glucose, insulin, and HOMA-IR, whereas activity in the late afternoon and evening correlated with lower BMI [11]. In contrast, a pattern characterized by nighttime activity was associated with higher BMI, glycemia, and HbA1c [11]. Analyses of sitting accumulation show that not only total time but also the length of uninterrupted sitting periods affects health. Individuals who accumulate sitting in short bouts (<15 min) and frequently interrupt it even with light activity have more favorable obesity, lipid, and insulin indicators compared with those with longer, less frequently interrupted sitting episodes, even with similar total sedentary time [8].

Studies modeling hypothetical replacement of 30 minutes of sitting with light or moderate activity indicate that even substituting part of sitting time with light activity yields measurable benefits: lower BMI, reduced visceral fat, lower insulin resistance, lower triglycerides, and reduced metabolic syndrome risk, along with increased muscle mass and HDL. Shifting that time to MVPA produces even stronger effects [20].

Mechanisms: Why Does Prolonged Sitting “Outweigh” Exercise in Overall Health Balance?

At the molecular and cellular level, sedentary behavior and physical activity influence opposing metabolic pathways. Regular exercise stimulates the secretion of myokines (IL-6, IL-15, irisin, myostatin, and others), which through muscle–adipose tissue crosstalk modulate inflammation, lipolysis, thermogenesis, and mitochondrial function, promoting better glycemic control and body composition [2]. In contrast, chronic sedentariness enhances the secretion of pro-inflammatory adipokines from visceral adipose tissue, leading to subclinical inflammation, insulin resistance, and the development of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease [2, 1, 19].

Physical activity improves insulin signaling pathways in muscle and other tissues by increasing glucose transporters, enhancing mitochondrial function, reducing oxidative stress and inflammation, and stimulating pancreatic β-cell proliferation [4]. Conversely, lack of movement promotes mitochondrial dysfunction, increased endoplasmic reticulum stress, ceramide accumulation, and inflammatory responses, resulting in impaired insulin signaling [4, 1, 15].

Sedentariness also affects the cardiovascular system: it leads to reduced cardiac output, impaired endothelial function, increased arterial stiffness, and lower VO_2max. Reviews emphasize that physical inactivity and sitting are independent risk factors for cardiovascular disease and mortality, and although higher cardiorespiratory fitness reduces this risk, it does not eliminate it entirely [10, 17].

Finally, sedentary behavior influences energy balance. Prolonged sitting combined with excessive energy intake promotes positive caloric balance, visceral fat accumulation, immune system activation, and increased catabolic processes in muscle [1, 15]. Even if an individual performs daily exercise, chronic intervals of several hours of continuous sitting may maintain a metabolic state conducive to the development of insulin resistance and fatty liver [19].

Can Exercise Fully Compensate for a Sedentary Lifestyle?

Analysis of current evidence suggests that:

Regular physical activity (especially MVPA) significantly reduces the risk of metabolic disorders and cardiovascular disease, and higher cardiorespiratory fitness is one of the strongest known predictors of lower mortality [10, 17, 18].

Prolonged, uninterrupted sitting remains an independent risk factor, even in individuals meeting activity recommendations; this applies to insulin resistance, liver fat, visceral obesity, and cardiovascular risk indicators [6, 8, 13, 16, 19, 20].

“Active couch potatoes” have a better health profile than “couch potatoes,” but worse than individuals combining high activity with low sedentariness and frequent interruption of sitting [6, 12, 14, 16].

Short-term increases in sedentariness among habitually active individuals cause rapid, partially reversible deterioration of metabolic parameters, demonstrating that even in the short term, exercise does not fully protect against the consequences of sitting [1, 5, 15].

From a metabolic health perspective, the optimal strategy therefore includes three pillars:

– adequate volume of MVPA (and resistance training),

– reduction of total sitting time,

– frequent interruption of sitting with at least light-intensity activity.

Conclusions

Available data from recent years clearly indicate that regular exercise – although one of the most important tools in the prevention of metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease – is not able to fully compensate for the metabolic consequences of a sedentary lifestyle. The "active couch potato" phenomenon reflects a growing group of individuals who meet physical activity recommendations but spend most of the day sitting, which is associated with a worse cardiometabolic profile compared with individuals with a similar training level but lower sedentariness. In preventive and clinical practice, recommendations should therefore include not only the required number of minutes of MVPA per week, but also reduction of total sitting time and structuring of the day to include regular breaks for light- and moderate-intensity activity. Further research should determine the optimal "dose" of MVPA and the minimum threshold of sedentary reduction necessary for full or near-full risk compensation, as well as account for sex, age, and disease-related differences in response to such interventions.

Statement of the authors' contribution

Conceptualization: Antoni Anczyk, Karolina Handzel, Jacek Wysoczański

Methodology: Dominik Kret, Wiktoria Szlachta, Karolina Handzel, Jacek Wysoczański

Software: Wiktoria Tłoczek, Daria Twardowska, Wiktoria Śliwa

Validation: Antoni Anczyk, Karolina Handzel, Jacek Wysoczański

Formal analysis: Antoni Anczyk, Karolina Handzel, Jacek Wysoczański, Krzysztof Karbowiak, Natalia Nawrat

Investigation:Antoni Anczyk, Karolina Handzel, Jacek Wysoczański

Resources: Krzysztof Karbowiak, Natalia Nawrat

Data Curation:Antoni Anczyk, Karolina Handzel, Jacek Wysoczański

Writing-original draft preparation: Antoni Anczyk, Karolina Handzel, Jacek Wysoczański, Wiktoria Tłoczek, Daria Twardowska, Wiktoria Śliwa, Dominik Kret, Wiktoria Szlachta, Krzysztof Karbowiak, Natalia Nawrat

Writing-review and editing: Antoni Anczyk, Karolina Handzel, Jacek Wysoczański, Wiktoria Tłoczek, Daria Twardowska, Wiktoria Śliwa, Dominik Kret, Wiktoria Szlachta, Krzysztof Karbowiak, Natalia Nawrat

Visualization: Krzysztof Karbowiak, Natalia Nawrat

Supervision: Antoni Anczyk, Karolina Handzel, Jacek Wysoczański

Project administration: Antoni Anczyk, Karolina Handzel, Jacek Wysoczański

All authors have read and approved the published version of the manuscript

Funding this research did not receive any funding

Institutional Review Board Statement

Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement

Not applicable.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

AI

In preparing this work, the authors used ChatGPT for the purpose of improving language and readability, text formatting, and verification of bibliographic styles. After using this tool/service the authors have reviewed and edited the content as needed and accept full responsibility for the substantive content of the publication.

References

1. Bowden Davies KA, Pickles S, Sprung VS, et al. Reduced physical activity in young and older adults: metabolic and musculoskeletal implications. Ther Adv Endocrinol Metab. 2019;10:1-14. https://doi.org/10.1177/2042018819888824
2. Leal LG, Lopes M, Batista M. Physical exercise-induced myokines and muscle-adipose tissue crosstalk. Front Physiol. 2018;9:1307. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.01307
3. Wang K, Li Y, Liu H, et al. Can physical activity counteract the negative effects of sedentary behavior in youth? Front Public Health. 2024;12:1412389. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2024.1412389
4. Małkowska P. Positive effects of physical activity on insulin signaling. Curr Issues Mol Biol. 2024;46(6):327. https://doi.org/10.3390/cimb46060327

5. Bowden Davies KA, Sprung VS, Norman JA, et al. Short-term decreased physical activity with increased sedentary behaviour causes metabolic derangements. Diabetologia. 2018;61(6):1280-1294. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-018-4603-5
6. Farrahi V, Dumuid D, Chastin S, et al. Joint profiles of sedentary time and physical activity in adults and cardiometabolic health. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2022;54(11):1889-1898. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000003008
7. Kim J. Mucle mass mediates the effect of physical activity and sedentary behavior on metabolic syndrome. Healthcare (Basel). 2025;13(19):2432. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare13192432
8. Farrahi V, Kangas M, Kiviniemi A, et al. Accumulation patterns of sedentary time and breaks and cardiometabolic markers. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2021;31(9):1788-1798. https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.13958
9. Kurosawa S, Ishii K, Shibata A, et al. Weekly patterns and correlates of physical activity and sedentary behavior. PLoS One. 2025;20(1):e0327662. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0327662
10. Lavie CJ, Ozemek C, Carbone S, et al. Sedentary behavior, exercise, and cardiovascular health. Circ Res. 2019;124(5):799-815. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.118.312669
11. Albalak G, Stijntjes M, Wijsman CA, et al. Timing of physical activity and metabolic health in sedentary older people. Int J Obes (Lond). 2021;45(10):2190-2199. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41366-021-01018-7
12. Länsitie M, Niemelä M, Kangas M, et al. Physical activity profiles and glucose metabolism in older adults. Transl Sports Med. 2021;4(4):522-531. https://doi.org/10.1002/tsm2.237
13. Valérie J, Peter B, Anders F, et al. Sedentary time has a stronger impact on metabolic health than MVPA in adolescents with obesity. Pediatr Obes. 2022;17(4):e12897. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijpo.12897
14. McKeough Z, Cheng SWM, Alison JA, Jenkins C, Hamer M, Stamatakis E. Low leisure-based sitting time and being physically active were associated with reduced odds of death and diabetes in people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a cohort study. J Physiother. 2018;64(2):114-120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphys.2018.02.007
15. Narici M, De Vito G, Franchi M, Paoli A, Moro T, Marcolin G, et al. Impact of sedentarism due to the COVID-19 home confinement on neuromuscular, cardiovascular and metabolic health: physiological and pathophysiological implications and

recommendations for physical and nutritional countermeasures. Eur J Sport Sci. 2021;21(4):614-635. https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2020.1761076

16. Thakkar N, Jamnik V, Ardern CI. Cross-associations between physical activity and sedentary time on metabolic health: a comparative assessment using self-reported and objectively measured activity. J Public Health (Oxf). 2018;40(4):e464-e473. https://doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/fdy060
17. Myers J, Kokkinos P, Nyelin E. Physical activity, cardiorespiratory fitness, and the metabolic syndrome. Nutrients. 2019;11(7):1652. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11071652
18. Czarnota M, Wojdat-Krupa K, Wasyluk M, et al. Physical activity in the prevention and management of type 2 diabetes. Qual Sport. 2025;43:62423. https://doi.org/10.12775/QS.2025.43.62423
19. Bowden Davies KA, Sprung VS, Norman JA, Thompson A, Mitchell KL, Harrold JOA, Finlayson G, Gibbons C, Wilding JPH, Kemp GJ, Hamer M, Cuthbertson DJ. Physical activity and sedentary time: association with metabolic health and liver fat. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2019;51(6):1169-1177. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000001901
20. Kinoshita K, Ozato N, Yamaguchi TF, et al. Association of sedentary behaviour and physical activity with cardiometabolic health in Japanese adults. Sci Rep. 2022;12:5302. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-05302-y