GRZELAK, Alicja. Understanding ITBS in Athletes: A Comprehensive Systematic Review. Quality in Sport. 2024;34:56734. eISSN 2450-3118.
https://dx.doi.org/10.12775/QS.2024.34.56734
https://apcz.umk.pl/QS/article/view/56734

The journal has been 20 points in the Ministry of Higher Education and Science of Poland parametric evaluation. Annex to the announcement of the Minister of Higher Education and Science of 05.01.2024. No. 32553.
Has a Journal's Unique Identifier: 201398. Scientific disciplines assigned: Economics and finance (Field of social sciences); Management and Quality Sciences (Field of social sciences).
Punkty Ministerialne z 2019 - aktualny rok 20 punktów. Załącznik do komunikatu Ministra Szkolnictwa Wyższego i Nauki z dnia 05.01.2024 r. Lp. 32553. Posiada Unikatowy Identyfikator Czasopisma: 201398.
Przypisane dyscypliny naukowe: Ekonomia i finanse (Dziedzina nauk społecznych); Nauki o zarządzaniu i jakości (Dziedzina nauk społecznych).

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.
Received: 06.12.2024. Revised: 15.12.2024. Accepted: 16.12.2024. Published: 16.12.2024.

Understanding ITBS in Athletes: A Comprehensive Systematic Review

Alicja Grzelak
Medical University of Lodz, al. Tadeusza Kościuszki 4, 90-419 Łódź
alagrzelak7@gmail.com
https://orcid.org/0009-0009-6951-6113

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Iliotibial Band Syndrome (ITBS) is one of the most prevalent overuse injuries among athletes, particularly runners and cyclists. Characterized by lateral knee pain, ITBS often results from repetitive friction of the iliotibial band over the lateral femoral epicondyle, causing inflammation and discomfort. Despite its frequency, the mechanisms, risk factors, and effective interventions for ITBS remain topics of active investigation.

Purpose of Work: This systematic review aims to consolidate current research on the etiology, diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of ITBS in athletes. By synthesizing findings from existing

studies, this paper seeks to provide evidence-based recommendations for effective management and rehabilitation strategies.

State of Knowledge: Research identifies biomechanical abnormalities, overtraining, and inadequate recovery as significant contributors to ITBS. Advances in imaging have improved diagnostic accuracy, while rehabilitation programs emphasizing strengthening and flexibility show promise in recovery. Nonetheless, inconsistencies in studies and intervention outcomes underscore the need for standardized approaches.

Material and methods: The research methodology involved conducting a systematic review of the literature, and implementing a screening process to identify pertinent studies. The investigation encompassed a thorough search across scientific databases, such as PubMed and Google Scholar.

Summary: ITBS is a complex overuse injury prevalent in athletes, requiring an integrated approach to diagnosis, prevention, and treatment. Current evidence underscores the significance of addressing biomechanical imbalances, training errors, and recovery deficits. While rehabilitation strategies focused on strengthening, flexibility, and neuromuscular control show efficacy, variability in treatment outcomes highlights the need for personalized interventions and further research to optimize prevention and recovery protocols.

Keywords: iliotibial band syndrome; itbs; treatment; prevention; biomechanics; athletes

INTRODUCTION

Iliotibial Band Syndrome is a common overuse injury among athletes engaged in endurance activities such as running, cycling, and swimming. This condition, characterized by lateral knee pain due to the iliotibial band's rubbing against the knee structures, is often linked to biomechanical factors like abnormal gaits, muscle imbalances, and training habits. ITBS is particularly prevalent in long-distance runners, cyclists, and swimmers, leading to significant disability, pain, swelling, and restricted motion. Research indicates that female athletes are more susceptible to ITBS than their male counterparts, with factors such as training intensity and differences in muscle structure contributing to this disparity [1]. Despite the prevalence of this condition, the existing body of knowledge regarding its management, prevention, and treatment is limited. This review aims to address this gap by providing an overview of the current literature on ITBS in athletes, focusing on risk factors, diagnostic procedures, and treatment regimens. By synthesizing the available evidence-based research, the review will offer appropriate recommendations for the effective management and prevention of ITBS, with the goal of enhancing athletic performance and safeguarding athletes from further episodes.

This review will explore the potential causes of ITBS and the information sources that should be utilized in its evaluation, with a particular emphasis on biomechanical and physiological factors. It will provide a concise overview of contemporary diagnostic methods, including palpation, instrumental and auxiliary examination, imaging diagnosis, and clinical study. Additionally, the review will assess both conservative and invasive treatment approaches, such as physical therapy, manual therapy, corticosteroid injections, and surgical procedures. Furthermore, preventive strategies to mitigate ITBS, including training modifications, proper footwear, and biomechanical changes, will be highlighted. In this manner, the present review aims to better characterize ITBS in athletes and present various management and prevention strategies.

METHODOLOGY

The methodology for this systematic review involved searching for high-quality research publications focused on Iliotibial Band Syndrome in athletic populations. The primary databases searched were PubMed and Google Scholar, which provided access to indexed literature in sports medicine, orthopedics, and physical therapy. The search terms utilized

included "ITBS," "Iliotibial Band Syndrome," "athletes," "treatment," "diagnosis," and "prevention," as well as broader terms such as "rehabilitation protocols" and "ITBS and running."

In terms of methodological limitations, only peer-reviewed articles published in English were considered, with a focus on clinical trials, observational studies, cohort studies, and randomized controlled trials related to athletes with ITBS. This systematic approach ensured the review was credible, unbiased, and evidence-based in its examination of the management and prevention of ITBS in athletic individuals.

ETIOLOGY AND PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

Anatomy of the Iliotibial Band

The iliotibial band is a highly robust, flattened, fibrous structure located along the lateral aspect of the thigh. This structure originates from the tensor fasciae latae and the gluteal maximum at the hip joint, and inserts at Gerdy's tubercle on the tibia [2]. Functionally, it provides stabilization to the lateral side of the knee and assists with movements such as hip abduction, extension, and flexion. A primary role of the iliotibial band is to regulate motion in knee joint activities, including running, walking, and cycling [2]. The iliotibial band is crucial in maintaining the stability of both the hip and knee joints, making it particularly important for athletes engaged in high-impact and/or repetitive activities like running or cycling.

Mechanisms of Injury Biomechanics

The repetitive sliding motions and friction experienced by the iliotibial band during activities like cycling and running can lead to the development of microtears, contributing to Iliotibial Band Syndrome [3]. This condition is particularly prevalent among runners, as the frequent bending and straightening of the knee during running generates tensile forces that are opposite to the ground reaction forces, further increasing strain on the ITB. Additionally, poor movement mechanics can exacerbate the tension on the ITB [3]. Similarly, in cycling, the cyclical motion of pedaling, especially during the downstroke, subjects the ITB to excessive pressure during long-distance cycling. This repeated stress results in inflammation and gradual degradation of

the tissue. Furthermore, the persistent contact between the ITB and the lateral femoral epicondyle also plays a role in the development of ITBS [3].

Injury Mechanisms

Iliotibial band syndrome is primarily attributed to the repetitive friction generated as the iliotibial band compresses against the lateral femoral epicondyle during knee flexion and extension [4]. This constant rubbing can lead to soreness, inflammation, and discomfort along the lateral aspect of the knee. Over time, the iliotibial band may undergo fibrosis, resulting in thickening that further exacerbates the condition in both runners and individuals engaged in activities that place strain on the iliotibial band. ITBS caused by repetitive loading and localized inflammation may progress to a chronic state, requiring extended rehabilitation periods. Additionally, abrupt increases in training volume or intensity, coupled with insufficient rest, can also contribute to the stress on the iliotibial band and trigger the inflammatory response that underlies ITBS.

Various intrinsic risk factors can contribute to the development of Iliotibial Band Syndrome. Leg length discrepancy leads to unequal loading of the lower limbs, placing increased stress on the iliotibial band of the shorter leg. Excessive foot pronation can cause rotation of the lower limbs, thereby increasing strain on the ITB [5]. Additionally, diminished hip muscle control and instability may exacerbate the pressure on the ITB. The contraction of the tensor fasciae latae muscle is known to create tension in the ITB, amplifying the friction between the two structures on the lateral femoral epicondyle, a crucial anatomical location.

External factors, such as training-related errors or wearing unsuitable footwear, also play a role. A sudden increase in training intensity or volume without adequate rest can overload the ITB, potentially leading to ITBS. Shoes lacking appropriate arch support or cushioning can alter foot biomechanics, imposing greater stress on the ITB. Furthermore, running on hard or uneven surfaces can also contribute to increased strain on the ITB [6].

Pathophysiology

The pathological mechanisms underlying Iliotibial Band Syndrome encompass mechanical stress, inflammation, and degenerative changes in the affected tissues [7]. Repetitive loading of the iliotibial tract in an athletic population leads to overuse of the vastus lateralis muscle and

microtrauma to the iliotibial band, particularly where it contacts the lateral femoral epicondyle. This repetitive friction and inflammation result in swelling, redness, and tenderness along the lateral aspect of the knee. Over time, the formation of scar tissue and induration (hardening) of the affected fascia can lead to decreased extensibility and compliance of the iliotibial band, further exacerbating the friction against the femoral epicondyle. Failure to properly manage ITBS may allow the soft tissues to degenerate and develop adhesions, ultimately limiting the patient's mobility and complicating the rehabilitation process.

DIAGNOSIS

Clinical Symptoms

Iliotibial band syndrome is an overuse injury affecting the lateral aspect of the knee, which results in pain during or after specific knee activities like running or cycling [8]. The pain may be sharp, burning, or aching, and it intensifies with knee flexion, such as when running downhill or cycling. The pain often progresses inappropriately and can persist even during periods of rest. Palpation of the affected area reveals that gentle pressure over the lateral femoral epicondyle can elicit radiating pain along the iliotibial band. Patients may also report a clicking or snapping sensation when the iliotibial band moves over the femoral epicondyle. The acute phase of this condition may be characterized by swelling and redness.

Physical Examination

The physical examination for iliotibial band syndrome includes various palpation and orthopedic tests [9]. The Noble test involves applying pressure over the lateral femoral epicondyle during knee extension at around 30° and knee flexion [10]. Additionally, the Ober's test evaluates paralysis of the tensor fasciae latae muscle and compensatory tightness of the ITB by assessing the patient's ability to fully adduct the leg, which is considered positive if the leg does not close completely. Furthermore, the affected area is often tender to palpation over the femoral epicondyle and along the course of the ITB [9].

Differential Diagnosis

Iliotibial band syndrome exhibits symptoms that are similar to those associated with other knee pathologies, including:

- **Patellar tendinopathy**,which results in pain below the patella, exacerbated by activities involving jumping or knee extension. The pain is localized anteriorly rather than laterally and is unrelated to iliotibial band friction [11].
- **Bursitis**, Bursitis is often characterized by swelling and warmth over the affected bursa, with pain localized to the central region of the bursa [12].
- **Meniscus tears**, which often involve symptoms like knee instability, locking, or swelling [13]. These conditions typically induce more mechanical symptoms, such as "catching." In contrast, differentiating ITBS requires considering the onset and location of pain, as well as conducting specific tests. Unlike other knee conditions, ITBS pain tends to worsen with knee flexion in the 20-40° range [10].

Diagnostic Imaging

While diagnosis of iliotibial band syndrome is primarily based on clinical assessment, imaging techniques can provide definitive evidence and help rule out other potential causes of the patient's symptoms [14][15]. Magnetic resonance imaging can reveal changes in the thickness and appearance of inflammation within the iliotibial band, as well as identify any associated conditions like bursitis or meniscal tears [14][15]. Additionally, ultrasound evaluations of the iliotibial band's thickness can be valuable in guiding interventions such as corticosteroid injections [16]. Imaging may also be warranted to exclude other knee abnormalities, including meniscal tears or chronic ligament strains, if the diagnosis remains uncertain [14][15].

TREATMENT APPROACHES

Conservative treatment for iliotibial band syndrome primarily focuses on addressing the underlying causes and mitigating inflammation and pain. Notably, the majority of athletes can achieve full recovery without requiring surgical intervention [17]. The initial phases involve avoiding activities that elicit discomfort, such as running and cycling, while applying ice to the

affected area [18]. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, like ibuprofen, can effectively control pain and inflammation in the early stage, though they should be used cautiously due to potential side effects. Physical therapy plays a crucial role in correcting biomechanical abnormalities, rearranging musculature, and implementing prophylactic measures. These interventions include exercises that enhance the muscles controlling the hip region to decrease strain on the iliotibial band, as well as comprehensive stretching exercises for the quadriceps, iliotibial band, and gluteal muscles [19]. Additional techniques, such as soft tissue mobilization and myofascial release, enable therapists to alleviate tension in the iliotibial band and surrounding muscles. Patient-specific procedures, including neuromuscular retraining and gait retraining, help reactivate the muscles in the appropriate manner to improve overall walking biomechanics.

For patients who do not respond well to conservative treatments, additional interventions such as corticosteroid injections, platelet-rich plasma therapy, and dry needling may be considered. Corticosteroid injections can temporarily reduce inflammation and alleviate pain, but they carry risks of weakening bone, cartilage, or ligaments [20]. PRP therapy, which utilizes the patient's own platelets to stimulate healing, shows promise for chronic ITBS, but requires further investigation [21]. Dry needling, applied to specific tender points on the iliotibial band, can help alleviate contracted muscles that contribute to the rubbing sensation on the femoral epicondyle. Surgery is an option for patients who fail to respond to conservative treatments for 6-12 months or experience severe pain or structural damage. The most common surgical intervention involves partially or fully releasing or lengthening the iliotibial band to minimize friction [20]. This is typically performed arthroscopically but may be associated with complications such as infection or scarring [21]. Post-surgical rehabilitation, including stretching, strengthening, and gait retraining, is crucial to restore normal movement patterns and prevent reinjury. Full recovery can take several months, emphasizing the importance of proper rehabilitation.

PREVENTION STRATEGIES

To prevent iliotibial band syndrome, the training program should be adjusted to avoid overuse. The intensity, duration, and frequency of training should be gradually increased to allow the body to adapt to the training stresses, rather than suddenly imposing high training pressures that can strain the iliotibial band. An organized, systematic approach to training is recommended, ensuring adequate recovery time to prevent the development of iliotibial band syndrome.

Incorporating a variety of low-impact exercises, such as strength training, can help prevent strained tissues caused by high-impact activities like running or cycling. This approach can mitigate fatigue, enabling the iliotibial band to rest and reducing the risk of overuse injury.

Appropriate footwear selection is crucial for mitigating the biomechanical changes that contribute to iliotibial band tension. By choosing shoes that support an athlete's specific gait patterns, such as pronation or supination, one can potentially prevent future issues [22]. For athletes with underlying postural or alignment abnormalities, custom orthotics may be necessary to address the abnormal forces that exacerbate stress on the iliotibial band. Additionally, gait retraining is essential, as excessive hip adduction or knee valgus can increase the strain on the iliotibial band and should be addressed.

The rehabilitation process should be gradual, with athletes incrementally increasing their training regimen to the levels they were accustomed to prior to the injury. This allows the body to adapt to the anticipated demands without excessively stressing the healing tissues [23].

To prevent recurrence of iliotibial band syndrome, a comprehensive rehabilitation program is essential. This includes ongoing stretching, muscle strengthening exercises, and regular biomechanical assessments. Addressing muscle imbalances, avoiding overuse of the iliotibial band, and strategically modifying training schedules can significantly reduce the risk of re-injury and maintain the health of the iliotibial band.

DISCUSSION

This review discusses the various underlying causes of iliotibial band syndrome as a recurrent condition in athletes. The primary mechanism is friction between the iliotibial band and the lateral femoral epicondyle. Some of the identified risk factors include biomechanically unsound movement patterns, training errors, and muscle weakness [24]. In most cases, non-surgical interventions are effective, such as rest, physical therapy, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

Advanced treatments for chronic iliotibial band syndrome may include corticosteroid injections and platelet-rich plasma therapy [25]. In general, ITBS management should focus on correcting muscle imbalances, particularly in the hip abductors and gluteal muscles, as strengthening these muscles can help alleviate stress on the iliotibial band.

Early intervention and a comprehensive approach are essential for managing iliotibial band syndrome. Treatment should include pharmacological pain management and physical therapy

focused on correcting biomechanical abnormalities [2]. Additionally, a treatment program should incorporate exercises to strengthen the hip abductors, gluteal muscles, and quadriceps, as well as addressing any gait irregularities through the use of orthotics. Cross-training should be promoted to avoid repetitive strain, and more aggressive interventions, such as corticosteroid injections or platelet-rich plasma therapy, may be recommended for severe or chronic cases. This multifaceted approach can improve the efficiency of treatment and rehabilitation for athletes with ITBS [2].

This review acknowledges several limitations in the existing research on iliotibial band syndrome. The included studies are predominantly observational in nature, with small sample sizes and heterogeneous study designs, leading to marked variability in the quality of evidence [26]. Furthermore, the review notes a lack of large-scale randomized controlled trials, making it challenging to draw definitive conclusions about the most effective treatment interventions. The variability in study samples also complicates the ability to generalize findings. Additionally, the review identifies a paucity of research addressing long-term outcomes and recurrence rates, which are crucial considerations for managing this condition.

Future research should prioritize the long-term outcomes of ITBS treatments, including recurrence rates and the effectiveness of rehabilitation programs. Randomized controlled trials comparing new therapeutic approaches, such as platelet-rich plasma therapy and dry needling, with traditional methods would provide valuable insights. Additionally, investigating the influence of genetic factors and structural abnormalities affecting the hip that may contribute to ITBS development could enhance our understanding of the condition. Furthermore, research exploring the extent of prevention and recurrence of ITBS based on various training and rehabilitation regimens would be beneficial for clinicians and athletes.

CONCLUSIONS

Iliotibial band syndrome is a common overuse injury in athletes, resulting in lateral knee pain from friction between the iliotibial band and the lateral femoral epicondyle. This review suggests that ITBS arises from pathological biomechanical anomalies, muscle imbalances, and suboptimal training regimens. While many cases can be managed conservatively through rest, physical therapy, and anti-inflammatory medications, chronic conditions may require more advanced interventions such as corticosteroid injections or platelet-rich plasma therapy. Preventive strategies include gradually increasing training intensity, implementing cross-

training, and addressing biomechanical abnormalities. Clinical management should focus on early diagnosis and a comprehensive, individualized approach, incorporating corrective exercises, appropriate footwear selection, and addressing underlying biomechanical issues.

This review suggests that a tailored return-to-sport plan is needed to facilitate safe reintegration of athletes following iliotibial band syndrome. Future research should aim to address gaps in the long-term management of this condition, evaluate the efficacy of emerging treatment modalities, and examine the influence of intrinsic factors such as genetics and physical characteristics. Resolving the limitations of current approaches will require larger trials, randomized controlled studies, and high-quality prospective cohort investigations to support the implementation of personalized interventions for athletes with iliotibial band syndrome.

DISCLOSURE

Authors contribution:

Conceptualization: Alicja Grzelak

Methodology: Alicja Grzelak

Software: Alicja Grzelak

Check: Alicja Grzelak

Formal Analysis: Alicja Grzelak

Investigation: Alicja Grzelak

Resources: Alicja Grzelak

Data Curation: Alicja Grzelak

Writing-Rough Preparation: Alicja Grzelak

Writing-Review and Editing: Alicja Grzelak

Visualization: Alicja Grzelak

Supervision: Alicja Grzelak

Project Administration: Alicja Grzelak

The author has read and agreed with the published version of the manuscript.

Funding Statement: The Study Did Not Receive Special Funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not Applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not Applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not Applicable.

Conflict Of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

[1] E. Foch, J. A. Reinbolt, S. Zhang, E. C. Fitzhugh, and C. E. Milner, “Associations between iliotibial band injury status and running biomechanics in women,” Feb. 01, 2015, Elsevier BV. doi: 10.1016/j.gaitpost.2015.01.031.

[2] M. Bonoan, M. Morales, X. W. Liu, O. Oyeniran, K. Zheng, and E. Palatulan, “Iliotibial Band Syndrome Current Evidence,” Feb. 19, 2024, Springer Science+Business Media. doi: 10.1007/s40141-024-00442-w.

[3] L. Hutchinson, G. A. Lichtwark, R. W. Willy, and L. A. Kelly, “The Iliotibial Band: A Complex Structure with Versatile Functions,” Jan. 24, 2022, Springer Science+Business Media. doi: 10.1007/s40279-021-01634-3.

[4] R. L. Baker, R. B. Souza, and M. Fredericson, “Iliotibial Band Syndrome: Soft Tissue and Biomechanical Factors in Evaluation and Treatment,” Jun. 01, 2011, Wiley. doi: 10.1016/j.pmrj.2011.01.002.

[5] C. B. Backer and M. Sedgley, “Iliotibial Band Syndrome,” Jan. 01, 2021, Springer Nature. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-55870-3_26.

[6] G. Lindenberg, R. Pinshaw, and T. D. Noakes, “Iliotibial Band Friction Syndrome in Runners,” May 01, 1984, Taylor & Francis. doi: 10.1080/00913847.1984.11701850.

[7] R. Flato, G. J. Passanante, M. Skalski, D. B. Patel, E. A. White, and G. R. Matcuk, “The iliotibial tract: imaging, anatomy, injuries, and other pathology,” Feb. 25, 2017, Springer Science+Business Media. doi: 10.1007/s00256-017-2604-y.

[8] P. Archbold and G. Mezzadri, “Iliotibial Band Syndrome,” Jan. 01, 2014, Springer Nature. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4471-5631-4_12.

[9] S. Colonna, M. Mazzanti, C. A. Borghi, and G. Pacini, “A new alternative to the Ober test for evaluating the difference between right and left iliotibial band stiffness: A reliability study,” Apr. 28, 2024, Elsevier BV. doi: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2024.04.040.

[10] J. Fairclough et al., “The functional anatomy of the iliotibial band during flexion and extension of the knee: implications for understanding iliotibial band syndrome,” Mar. 01, 2006, Wiley. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7580.2006.00531.x.

[11] S. Mellinger and G. A. Neurohr, “Evidence based treatment options for common knee injuries in runners,” Oct. 01, 2019, AME Publishing Company. doi: 10.21037/atm.2019.04.08.

[12] D. P. Lustenberger, V. Y. Ng, T. M. Best, and T. J. Ellis, “Efficacy of Treatment of Trochanteric Bursitis: A Systematic Review,” Aug. 03, 2011, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. doi: 10.1097/jsm.0b013e318221299c.

[13] R. Mansour, P. Yoong, D. McKean, and J. Teh, “The iliotibial band in acute knee trauma: patterns of injury on MR imaging,” Jun. 05, 2014, Springer Science+Business Media. doi: 10.1007/s00256-014-1918-2.

[14] M. P. van der Worp, N. van der Horst, A. de Wijer, F. Backx, and M. W. G. N. der Sanden, “Iliotibial Band Syndrome in Runners,” Nov. 01, 2012, Springer Science+Business Media. doi: 10.1007/bf03262306.

[15] E. F. Ekman, T. Pope, D. Martin, and W. W. Curl, “Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Iliotibial Band Syndrome,” Nov. 01, 1994, SAGE Publishing. doi: 10.1177/036354659402200619.

[16] R. Rahayu, I. Suswati, A. P. Maulida, A. D. Prasetio, and K. C. K. Wardani, “Pain management in Illiotibial band syndrome,” Sep. 26, 2022. doi: 10.53730/ijhs.v6ns8.12996.

[17] A. P. Nguyen, C. Detrembleur, and J. V. Cant, “Conservative treatment for iliotibial band syndrome: Are we facing a research gap? A scoping review of 98 studies with clinical perspectives,” May 31, 2023, Elsevier BV. doi: 10.1016/j.ptsp.2023.05.002.

[18] C. Beals and D. C. Flanigan, “A Review of Treatments for Iliotibial Band Syndrome in the Athletic Population,” Jan. 01, 2013, Hindawi Publishing Corporation. doi: 10.1155/2013/367169.

[19] M. Opara and Ž. Kozinc, “Stretching and Releasing of Iliotibial Band Complex in Patients with Iliotibial Band Syndrome: A Narrative Review,” Jun. 04, 2023, Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. doi: 10.3390/jfmk8020074.

[20] N. Amzallag et al., “Iliotibial band (ITB) release for ITB friction syndrome following total knee arthroplasty (TKA) improves knee function,” Jul. 08, 2022, Elsevier BV. doi: 10.1016/j.jorep.2022.100057.

[21] S. Hariri, E. T. Savidge, M. M. Reinold, J. Zachazewski, and T. J. Gill, “Treatment of Recalcitrant Iliotibial Band Friction Syndrome with Open Iliotibial Band Bursectomy,” Mar. 13, 2009, SAGE Publishing. doi: 10.1177/0363546509332039.

[22] S. Veiga, B. Suárez, C. Segovia, A. Navandar, J. Rueda, and E. Navarro, “Current iliotibial band syndrome alters patterns of running coordination in male and female runners, but not their levels of coordination variability,” Jul. 15, 2024, Taylor & Francis. doi: 10.1080/14763141.2024.2368068.

[23] S. Miccio, A. Berardi, M. Tofani, and G. Galeoto, “Conservative Rehabilitation Treatments of Iliotibial Band Syndrome: A Systematic Review,” Mar. 01, 2021. doi: 10.32098/mltj.01.2021.04.

[24] É. Falvey, R. A. Clark, A. Franklyn-Miller, A. L. Bryant, C. Briggs, and P. McCrory, “Iliotibial band syndrome: an examination of the evidence behind a number of treatment options,” Aug. 24, 2009, Wiley. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0838.2009.00968.x.

[25] R. Ellis, W. Hing, and D. Reid, “Iliotibial band friction syndrome—A systematic review,” Apr. 18, 2007, Elsevier BV. doi: 10.1016/j.math.2006.08.004.

[26] R. V. V. S. Baruah, “Cross-Sectional Study to Identify Iliotibial Band Syndrome Causes among Treadmill Runners and Its Impact on Functional Activities,” Jan. 30, 2020, Institute of Medico-legal Publications Private Limited. doi: 10.37506/ijpot.v14i1.3484.