

KAMIŃSKA, Monika, MAGDALENA, Czach, ANNA, Dąbrowska, GONCIARZ, Marta Justyna, ZALIWSKA, Dominika, PADUSZYŃSKA, Natalia, STROJNY, Agnieszka Aleksandra, ADAMIEC, Dominika Karolina, KRASZKIEWICZ, Adrianna and DO, Monika Kienanh. Endometriosis: Classification, Risk factors, Diagnosis and management - Review of Literature. Quality in Sport. 2024;22:54531. eISSN 2450-3118.

<https://dx.doi.org/10.12775/QS.2024.22.54531>

<https://apcz.umk.pl/QS/article/view/54531>

The journal has been 20 points in the Ministry of Higher Education and Science of Poland parametric evaluation. Annex to the announcement of the Minister of Higher Education and Science of 05.01.2024. No. 32553.

Has a Journal's Unique Identifier: 201398. Scientific disciplines assigned: Economics and finance (Field of social sciences); Management and Quality Sciences (Field of social sciences).

Punkty Ministerialne z 2019 - aktualny rok 20 punktów. Załącznik do komunikatu Ministra Szkolnictwa Wyższego i Nauki z dnia 05.01.2024 r. Lp. 32553. Posiada Unikatowy Identyfikator Czasopisma: 201398.

Przypisane dyscypliny naukowe: Ekonomia i finanse (Dziedzina nauk społecznych); Nauki o zarządzaniu i jakości (Dziedzina nauk społecznych).

© The Authors 2024;

This article is published with open access at Licensee Open Journal Systems of Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun, Poland

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author (s) and source are credited. This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non commercial license Share alike. (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted, non commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Received: 21.08.2024. Revised: 13.09.2024. Accepted: 16.09.2024. Published: 17.09.2024.

Endometriosis: Classification, Risk factors, Diagnosis and management - Review of Literature

1. Monika Anna Kamińska [MAK]*

monika.anna.kaminska1@gmail.com

Independent Public Clinical Hospital Witolda Orłowskiego, Czerniakowska 231, 00-416 Warsaw, Poland

<https://orcid.org/0009-0004-3955-4710>

*Corresponding author

2. Magdalena Czach [MC]

magdalena.czach@op.pl

LUX MED Sp. z o.o., Postępu 21C, 02-676 Warsaw, Poland

<https://orcid.org/0009-0009-3786-5706>

3. Anna Dąbrowska [AD]

annaalicjadabrowska06@gmail.com

Dr Anna Gostynska Wolski Hospital, Independent Public Health Care Institution, Marcina Kasprzaka 17, 01-211 Warsaw, Poland

<https://orcid.org/0009-0003-2289-5909>

4. Marta Justyna Gonciarz [MJG]

marta.gonciarz1@gmail.com

Dr Anna Gostynska Wolski Hospital, Independent Public Health Care Institution, Marcina Kasprzaka 17, 01-211 Warsaw, Poland

<https://orcid.org/0009-0006-7746-1237>

5. Dominika Zaliwska [DZ]

dzaliwska@gmail.com

University Clinical Hospital in Poznań, Przybyszewskiego 49, 60-355 Poznań, Poland

<https://orcid.org/0009-0009-1423-7918>

6. Natalia Paduszyńska [NP]

natalia_paduszynska@onet.eu

Dr Anna Gostynska Wolski Hospital, Independent Public Health Care Institution, Marcina Kasprzaka 17, 01-211 Warsaw, Poland

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9953-662X>

7. Agnieszka Aleksandra Strojny [AAS]

agnieszkastrojny@icloud.com

St. Zofia Hospital, Żelazna 90, 01-004 Warsaw, Poland

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0893-1881>

8. Dominika Karolina Adamiec [DKA]

dominikaa.adamiec@gmail.com

Dr Anna Gostynska Wolski Hospital, Independent Public Health Care Institution, Marcina Kasprzaka 17, 01-211 Warsaw, Poland

<https://orcid.org/0009-0008-5975-3927>

9. Adrianna Kraszkiewicz [AK]

ada.kraszkiewicz@gmail.com

University Clinical Hospital in Poznań, Przybyszewskiego 49, 60-355 Poznań, Poland

<https://orcid.org/0009-0008-4746-903X>

10. Monika Kienanh Do [MKD]

mkienanh@gmail.com

Independent Public Complex of Health Care Facilities, Marshal Józef Piłsudski in Płońsk, Henryka Sienkiewicz 7, 09-100 Płońsk

<https://orcid.org/0009-0000-3155-0513>

Abstract

Endometriosis is an oestrogen-dependent disease characterized by the presence of the endometrial tissue outside its normal location. Three types of endometriosis are distinguished: ovarian, peritoneal, and deeply infiltrating [1,2].

The literature reports that 6-15% of the general female population suffers from endometriosis. This disease occurs mainly in women of reproductive age, but also in young women and postmenopausal women [3]. Symptoms of endometriosis include periodic pain that becomes constant, very painful menstruation, painful intercourse, as well as painful micturition or defecation. Endometriosis is present in up to 35-50% of women diagnosed with infertility. In diagnosing endometriosis, laparoscopy is of utmost importance, as it allows for simultaneous treatment of the condition. Laparoscopy should be preceded by a thorough medical history and imaging diagnostics such as ultrasound or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

The treatment of endometriosis is divided into pharmacological and surgical methods, which can be either conservative or radical. The type of treatment used depends on the patient's age and reproductive desires, the symptoms present, and the form of the disease. A proper diet and lifestyle play a significant role in the treatment of endometriosis.

Keywords

endometriosis, endometriosis treatment, endometriosis diagnosis, infertility in endometriosis, pelvic pain.

Results

Laparoscopy is currently the gold standard in the diagnosis of endometriosis. Less invasive methods are still being sought to shorten the time to make an accurate diagnosis. Current treatment for endometriosis is limited to surgical, hormonal, and pain management therapies.

Introduction

Endometriosis is a disease that contributes to infertility in a large population of women. This condition is characterized by chronic pain, which leads to a decreased overall quality of life for women. Endometriosis lesions are mainly located in the pelvic area but can occur throughout the body. Late diagnosis is a major issue in the treatment of endometriosis. It has been proven that non-invasive tests have high potential in the diagnostic process of endometriosis; however, further analyses are needed before they can be used in everyday clinical practice. Future research should focus on thoroughly understanding the pathogenesis, identifying subtypes of endometriosis, and modern approaches to diagnosis. Most importantly, comprehensive treatment should consider the accompanying general symptoms.

Aim

To summarize the current state of knowledge on endometriosis, and to analyze topics such as classification, risk factors, diagnostic methods, the impact of endometriosis on fertility, and its treatment.

Methods and materials

A review of the literature available in the PubMed database, Medline and Google Scholar using the following keywords: endometriosis, endometriosis treatment, endometriosis diagnosis, infertility in endometriosis, pelvic pain.

Articles were considered for inclusion, with publication dates between 1986 and 2024 to ensure relevance to contemporary understanding and practice.

Types of Endometriosis

Endometriosis is a heterogeneous disease that manifests in three main phenotypes:

1. **Superficial/Peritoneal Endometriosis:** This type can be detected in 15-50% of all women diagnosed with endometriosis [4].
2. **Ovarian Endometrial Cysts (Endometriomas):** This type affects approximately 210% of women of reproductive age. It also occurs in over 50% of women treated for infertility [5].
3. **Deeply Infiltrating Endometriosis (DIE):** In this form of endometriosis, endometrial implants penetrate at least 5 millimeters beneath the peritoneal surface. These implants contribute to the fibromuscular proliferation surrounding the endometriosis foci. The prevalence of DIE among all patients with endometriosis is about 20%, corresponding to an overall prevalence of nearly 2% [6].

In rare cases, foci of endometriosis can be located in distant organs, most commonly the lungs, liver, and brain. A special variant of endometriosis is adenomyosis, where the changes are located within the uterine muscle [7,8].

Risk Factors for Endometriosis

Epidemiological studies analyzing the correlation between women's menstrual cycles and the incidence of endometriosis have shown that the onset of the first cycle before the age of 11 is associated with a high risk of developing endometriosis [9,10,11,12]. Women with menstrual cycles shorter than 27 days have an increased risk of endometriosis, although this is not related to the number or volume of bleeding [13].

Additional risk factors predisposing individuals to endometriosis include low Body Mass Index (BMI), low fertility, Caucasian race, age between 25 and 29 years, and daily alcohol consumption of at least 10 grams [14,15]. Proper nutrition plays a very important role in preventing the development of endometriosis. A daily intake of green vegetables and fresh fruits is considered extremely beneficial. Fruits and vegetables contain antioxidant substances that are crucial for the proper functioning of the immune system and the elimination of free radicals. Additionally, the fiber in vegetables helps regulate gut flora and contributes to hormonal balance [16].

Conversely, consuming red meat has an antagonistic effect on the development of endometriosis compared to fruits and vegetables. Red meat has a relatively high content of dioxins, fat, and hormones, resulting in an increase in oestrogen levels in the body [16]. A study conducted by Yamamoto et al. on a group of 81,908 women from 1991-2013 aimed to answer whether higher consumption of poultry, red meat, fish, and seafood correlates with the risk of laparoscopically confirmed endometriosis [17]. Diet was assessed using a specially prepared food questionnaire administered every 4 years. The study showed that women who reported consuming > 2 servings/day of red meat had a 56% higher risk of endometriosis compared to women who consumed ≤ 1 serving/week.

The study did not show a correlation between the consumption of fish, shellfish, poultry, and eggs and an increased risk of endometriosis [17].

Another prospective study demonstrated that the intake of fish oil, rich in omega-3 fatty acids, significantly reduced the risk of endometriosis recurrence [18]. The ratio of unsaturated to saturated fatty acids was crucial [18]. Vitamins A, C, E, and elements such as zinc and selenium also exhibit antioxidant properties. Vitamin D, in addition to its anti-inflammatory effects, also has immunomodulatory and antiproliferative properties [19].

A 2020 meta-analysis showed that low levels of vitamin D correlate with an increased risk of endometriosis and exacerbate its symptoms [20]. One study even demonstrated that vitamin D supplementation reduced pain symptoms [21,22,23], likely due to reduced histamine release. In a randomized, placebo-controlled trial conducted on women previously diagnosed with endometriosis, a dose of 50,000 IU of vitamin D every 2 weeks for 12 weeks reduced pelvic pain by 1.12 points in self-assessment [20].

An extremely important factor in preventing primary endometriosis is maintaining an appropriate lifestyle, in which rest and physical activity should be key aspects [24].

Diagnosis of Endometriosis

The fundamental element in the initial stage of diagnosing endometriosis is conducting a detailed interview with the patient. Studies have analyzed the clinical utility of a properly conducted medical interview and the pain symptoms reported by patients with endometriosis [Patient-Reported Outcome Measures in Endometriosis-PROME]. The studies described above have shown that PROME has high clinical utility [25].

PROME includes questions about symptoms such as quality of life, the nature of pain, gastrointestinal and urinary disorders, sexual life quality, fatigue, depressive disorders, and the impact of endometriosis on professional life [26]. Questions about the most characteristic symptoms of endometriosis, such as painful and/or heavy menstruation and painful intercourse, should be detailed by asking further questions about frequent and/or painful defecation or micturition. It is also essential to ask about symptoms like bloating, diarrhoea, constipation, urinary urgency, pain in the sacral and lower abdominal regions, sciatica-like pain, and bleeding from unusual locations. The likelihood of diagnosing endometriosis increases with the number of symptoms reported by the patient [21].

The interview should also pay special attention to a positive family history, as endometriosis in the mother increases the risk of the disease sevenfold in the daughter, fivefold in the sister, and about one and a half times in the cousin [27,28,29,30]. Interestingly, statistically, mothers of women with endometriosis smoked nicotine during pregnancy, were more likely to have preeclampsia, and the pregnancy ended prematurely. Low BMI or high BMI accompanied by infertility are also significant predispositions to endometriosis [22, 23].

Women with endometriosis are more likely to have autoimmune diseases such as Sjögren's syndrome, systemic lupus erythematosus, multiple sclerosis, rheumatoid arthritis, ulcerative colitis, celiac disease, or Crohn's disease compared to women without this condition [31,32,33,34,35]. Endometriosis is also more common in women with bronchial asthma, atopic diseases, and food or drug allergies [36,37, 38, 39, 40].

Symptoms such as painful menstruation or painful intercourse are common among the general female population, so when asking about these symptoms, it is essential to focus on their cyclic occurrence [41]. For patients not currently planning reproduction, where the only symptom is painful menstruation and can be effectively managed with hormonal contraception, endometriosis diagnosis is not absolutely indicated [42,43,44]. Targeted clinical examination should be conducted when symptoms suggesting endometriosis appear [44]. Such an examination should include inspection and palpation of the abdominal wall, a thorough examination of the vaginal fornices using a speculum, and a bimanual gynecological examination. The presence of endometriosis in the described examination may be indicated by bluish lesions in the posterior vaginal fornix, tense and tender sacro-uterine ligaments, adnexal masses, an immobile and retroverted uterus, palpable nodules in the Douglas pouch, on the sacro-uterine ligaments, or in the bowel wall, and pelvic pain during the examination. Conducting the clinical examination during menstruation increases the detection of endometriosis [45,46].

Even if no abnormalities are visible in the clinical examination, if the medical interview suggests the presence of endometriosis, imaging diagnostics must be implemented [43]. Imaging studies that visualise endometrial changes include transabdominal, transvaginal, and transrectal ultrasound, as well as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with contrast [47,48,49,50]. However, these techniques are usually ineffective in cases of peritoneal endometriosis [51].

In suspected endometriosis, the first-choice examination is transvaginal ultrasound [43,44,47]. However, this method does not benefit detecting small endometriosis lesions [52]. According to the International Ovarian Tumour Analysis guidelines, a typical endometrial cyst in an ultrasound should be unilocular, and its echogenicity should resemble a ground glass appearance [53]. Some cases require modifications to ultrasound techniques, such as performing transvaginal ultrasound with appropriate bowel preparation to visualise deep endometriosis in the recto-uterine pouch [54]. Water-contrast ultrasound in the vagina and rectum and 3D ultrasound are also applicable [55, 56].

According to the International Deep Endometriosis Analysis's proprietary scheme, expert transvaginal ultrasound can significantly assess the presence of adhesions in the pelvic area, changes in the bladder, bowel loops, or pelvic sections of the ureters [47]. MRI, while useful for diagnosing deep endometriosis extending beyond the pelvic area or involving the intestines, is not commonly used in routine clinical practice due to limited availability and high cost [49,57].

We cannot exclude superficial endometriosis lesions using tools like ultrasound or MRI if no clear abnormalities are detected in both clinical and ultrasound examination algorithms. When a clinical interview suggests endometriosis, but imaging studies cannot confirm it, continuous combined hormonal contraception or progestogens should be recommended for patients not planning pregnancy [42]. This pharmacotherapy aims to confirm or exclude endometriosis with high probability based on the relief or reduction of symptoms.

Unfortunately, a specific biological marker for endometriosis that can be detected in body fluids to confirm or exclude the diagnosis remains unavailable [58, 59].

Measuring Ca-125 levels in blood serum is erroneous, as low levels below 30 IU/ml do not exclude the disease, while elevated results may indicate endometriosis or other conditions, potentially leading to unjustified treatment [60].

Current clinical trials are investigating biomarkers such as brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) obtained from blood plasma using ELISA, expression of fucosyltransferase 4 (FUC4) from endometrial cells, and sequencing endometriosis-specific microRNA from patient saliva. Of the markers described, BDNF showed the greatest specificity for endometriosis. However, as of writing this article, the effectiveness of these tests, particularly for differentiating the aetiology of chronic pelvic pain, remains unconfirmed, preventing their recommendation for diagnosing endometriosis.

Pharmacological Treatment of Endometriosis

The treatment of endometriosis should focus on maximising long-term pharmacological therapy while minimising surgical interventions.

The following groups of drugs are distinguished in pharmacological treatment:

- NSAIDs (Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs)
- COCs (Combined Oral Contraceptives)
- Progestogens
- Antiprogestogens
- GnRH Agonists
- GnRH Antagonists
- Aromatase Inhibitors
- Danazol
- Levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine systems

Since no single type of pharmacological treatment has been shown to be superior to others, the choice of treatment should be based on individual effectiveness for the patient. Selection should be made based on the side effect profile, patient preferences, or therapy costs.

The primary goal of pharmacological treatment is to eliminate or reduce the chronic pain associated with endometriosis [63]. Pharmacotherapy can also reduce the likelihood of postoperative pain recurrence, the formation of new endometrial cysts, and tissue adhesions [64]. However, pharmacological treatment does not affect existing adhesions or endometrial cysts [65]. The goal of pharmacology is not to improve fertility in patients, as the hormonal medications used prevent pregnancy [43,66].

Over time, the effectiveness of pharmacological treatment for endometriosis diminishes, leading to the recurrence of symptoms similar to those observed after stopping treatment entirely [67].

Unfortunately, there is still a lack of sufficiently strong evidence to confirm the choice of an appropriate treatment regimen [43]. Literature suggests that for patients without endometrial cysts, where lower abdominal pain is mild to moderate and does not limit daily activities, a therapy based on NSAIDs and COCs is worth proposing [65]. For patients contraindicated for combined oral contraceptives, combining NSAIDs with a progestogen-only contraceptive is recommended [65]. For patients planning pregnancy, monotherapy with non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs is advised to eliminate chronic pain associated with the disease [65].

In this context, selective cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) inhibitors should be excluded, as their action disrupts ovulation, hindering fertilisation [66].

Pharmacological treatment before the planned surgical removal of endometrial cysts can be used to alleviate pain. However, it is important to note that this treatment does not affect the effectiveness of the surgical procedure [43].

Pharmacological treatment should be administered after surgical treatment in cases of confirmed intraoperative diagnosis. The aim of pharmacotherapy is to reduce the risk of pain recurrence and the return of endometrial lesions for up to 12 months [68, 69, 70, 71]. It has been shown that the implementation of pharmacotherapy after surgical treatment results in increased pregnancy rates [67]. The most effective actions in preventing endometriosis recurrence have been demonstrated by continuous-use combined oral contraceptives and the insertion of a levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine device [68]. Another study reports high efficacy of dydrogesterone used from day 5 to day 25 of the cycle to control pain after laparoscopy [69].

Proposed Stages of Pharmacological Treatment

Currently, in the treatment of endometriosis, there is a lack of algorithms based on strong evidence from randomised clinical trials. Existing therapy schemes are mainly based on expert opinions. The treatment of endometriosis can involve the following stages [63]:

1. Continuous therapy with combined oral contraceptives [COCs] in combination with NSAIDs.
2. Evaluation of the effectiveness of the above therapy after 3 months, and in cases where it is ineffective or poorly tolerated, it should not be discontinued but rather changed to another combination of COCs + NSAIDs. However, if the therapy yields positive results, it should be continued until plans for pregnancy or the average age of menopause onset. The described treatment should not be discontinued, and after breastfeeding, it should be reintroduced.
3. Combination of GnRH analog with oestrogen in a small dose in an add-back scheme, or surgical treatment will be applied if the new combination of COCs + NSAIDs is ineffective, or from the beginning of therapy if the patient reports severe exacerbation of pain preventing her from functioning in daily life. After surgical treatment of endometriosis, continuous oral contraception or other suppressive treatment should be introduced to reduce the risk of adhesions and recurrence of endometriosis lesions.
4. If none of the above-described treatment regimens for endometriosis is effective, it can be changed by using an intrauterine device with levonorgestrel or aromatase inhibitors. This treatment has been clinically proven to completely eliminate or alleviate painful and/or heavy menstrual bleeding.

Surgical treatment

Surgical treatment of endometriosis should be divided into conservative treatment for women of childbearing age who are planning a pregnancy and radical treatment for patients who are not planning a pregnancy and whose pain has not subsided despite pharmacotherapy.

The main indications for surgical treatment are pelvic pain, endometrial ovarian cysts and infertility [72].

Laparoscopy is the technique of choice for the surgical treatment of endometriosis. It has been shown to be superior to laparotomy due to less reported pain, reduced hospitalisation time and better cosmetic results.

In contrast, an analysis of the available studies showed no significant differences between conventional and robotic surgery. The exception is the longer procedure time for robotic surgery. Patients with deep infiltrating endometriosis, elevated BMI or a history of previous surgery may benefit more from robotic surgery in multidisciplinary long-term care centres [73]. It is recommended to avoid multiple reoperations due to the formation of adhesions and the negative effect of reducing ovarian reserve. Before surgery, pharmacological treatment is not recommended just to improve intraoperative conditions. Pharmacological treatment should be implemented immediately after surgery in patients undergoing surgery due to the presence of an endometrial cyst or complaints of pain who currently have no plans to become pregnant. With good tolerance, pharmacotherapy should be continued until the patient wishes to become pregnant or long-term in patients who do not plan to become pregnant in order to minimise the chances of recurrence with pain.

The surgical treatment of endometriosis in women of reproductive age involves the radical removal of endometriosis foci while leaving the uterine muscle and ovaries. It should be emphasised that surgical removal of endometrial ovarian cysts should be carried out with great precision with careful preservation of the ovarian cortex while resecting the obstructed fallopian tubes. Unfortunately, this treatment is an incomplete treatment due to the nature of the disease. Therefore, after the reproductive period is over, radical treatment is applied - removal of all endometriosis foci together with the uterus, leaving or not the ovaries. Surgical techniques make it possible to reduce pain in up to 50-80 % of affected women. However, relapse is observed in approximately 5-15 % of patients despite hysterectomy and ovariectomy [73].

Endometriosis and infertility

In a group of women suffering from infertility, the coexistence of endometriosis is found in up to 20-35%. In the case-control studies conducted, a history of endometriosis was confirmed up to six times more often. In these women, the percentage of pregnancies per natural monthly cycle is only 2-10%.

Anatomical abnormalities such as adhesions, damaged or obstructed fallopian tubes are a mechanical cause of infertility. In the case of an in vitro fertilization procedure, endometriosis can affect the quality of the embryo, the quality of the oocyte, the efficiency of fertilization, the environment of the peritoneal fluid and the normal process of embryogenesis [74].

Pharmacological treatment and fertility

No evidence has been described to support a beneficial effect of pharmacological treatment of endometriosis on increasing fertility parameters. Most of the pharmacological agents used cause inhibition of ovulation.

In the described meta-analysis of twelve randomized trials that compared pharmacological treatment with placebo, no positive effect of pharmacotherapy on achieving pregnancy was demonstrated. In contrast, a comparison of laparoscopic techniques for the treatment of endometriosis and the use of GnRH analogues to placebo showed a decisive advantage of the above-mentioned modalities over placebo in the number of pregnancies achieved. According to the guidelines of the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology [ESHRE], the postoperative use of pharmacology does not contribute to an adverse effect on pregnancy rates, and pharmacological treatment for pain relief should be offered to women who currently have no reproductive plans. In addition, randomized trials have also shown no positive effect of anti-estrogenic treatment with aromatase inhibitors and anti-inflammatory treatment with pentoxifylline on fertility in natural cycles [75].

Surgical treatment of endometriosis and fertility

For infertile patients with minimal or mild endometriosis, i.e. grade I and II according to the rASRM [The revised American Society for Reproductive Medicine] classification, performing laparoscopy with excision or ablation of endometrial foci is likely to significantly improve fertility compared to diagnostic laparoscopy. In the study by Garcia-Velasco et al., there was no evidence of a significant benefit after removal of an endometrial ovarian cyst in relation to the percentage of pregnancies achieved in patients undergoing in vitro fertilization, relative to patients who had cysts left behind [76]. In contrast, a large randomized multicenter study of 341 women found an almost twofold increase in the likelihood of pregnancy in women after surgical removal of endometriosis foci [OR=2.03 ;95%CI = 1.28-3.24] [77]. In advanced stages of endometriosis, surgical treatment can restore normal pelvic anatomy, but there is no reliable data on the effectiveness of these therapeutic interventions. According to a meta-analysis based on 19 studies published in 2020, it was found that surgical treatment of ovarian endometriosis was followed by a significant decrease in ovarian reserve [AMH-Anti-Mullerian hormone] at 3 and 6 months after intervention [78].

When planning surgical treatment for endometriosis, factors such as the patient's age, previous surgeries, pain, ovarian reserve, the speed at which the tumour grows and the overall prognosis should be taken into account. Prognosis can be assessed using, for example, the endometriosis fertility index [EFI- endometriosis fertility index]. This index is a validated indicator of the likelihood of spontaneous pregnancy within 3 years of surgical removal of endometriosis in women suffering from infertility. The EFI index consists of elements such as the patient's history, the severity of endometriosis in the intraoperative description of the lesions together with a qualitative assessment of the adnexa under visual inspection. According to the results of a systematic review and a meta-analysis in 2020, it was shown that the higher the EFI index, the higher the probability of pregnancy in women after surgical treatment of endometriosis. At 3 years, the probability of pregnancy for an EFI of 0-2 points was 10%, while for an EFI of 910 points it had already risen to 69% [79]. Given the study, it can be concluded that the EFI index is a good predictor of the chances of pregnancy after surgical treatment of endometriosis. The EFI index can also be a suitable tool for planning further treatment [80,81].

Removal of the endometrial cyst has not been shown to improve IVF success rates, and therefore the operation should only be considered in cases of severe pain or difficulty accessing the affected ovary during IVF-ET [In Vitro Fertilization- Embryo Transfer]. In contrast, in the case of natural conception, studies have shown that prior excision of an endometrial cyst with removal of its capsule results in better outcomes than merely emptying the cyst with ablation of its locus [82]. Cystectomy using a laparoscopic technique with removal of its capsule compared to coagulation of the locus with a CO2 laser results in a more than twofold higher chance of spontaneous pregnancy [82].

Because of the potential severe complications and the extent of surgical treatment of deep endometriosis, the implementation of this treatment should only be considered when very severe symptoms are present [43,83,84].

Aggravated pain complaints include dyschezia and VAS [Visual Analog Scale] dyspareunia >7. Additionally, surgical treatment should be indicated in patients presenting symptoms of bowel lumen narrowing and after unsuccessful IVF [In Vitro Fertilization] [85].

The literature reports that after at least 2 unsuccessful IVFs, surgical treatment of the deep form of endometriosis can have a positive effect on fertility [85].

Disclosure

Author's contribution Statement

Conceptualization, Monika Anna Kamińska; methodology, Monika Anna Kamińska, Magdalena Czach, and Marta Justyna Gonciarz; software, Anna Dąbrowska, Natalia Paduszyńska, Monika Kienanh Do, Dominika Karolina Adamiec, Agnieszka Aleksandra Strojny; check, Adrianna Kraszkiewicz, Dominika Zaliwska; formal analysis: Monika Kienanh Do, Marta Justyna Gonciarz; investigation, Anna Dąbrowska, Dominika Karolina, Natalia Paduszyńska; resources, Adrianna Kraszkiewicz, Dominika Zaliwska, Agnieszka Aleksandra Strojny; data curation, Natalia Paduszyńska, Marta Justyna Gonciarz, writing - rough preparation, Monika Anna Kamińska, Magdalena Czach; writing - review and editing, Monika Anna Kamińska, Dominika Zaliwska, Anna Dąbrowska, Dominika Karolina Adamiec, Adrianna Kraszkiewicz; visualisation, Dominika Zaliwska; supervision, Magdalena Czach; project administration, Monika Anna Kamińska.

All authors have read and agreed with the published version of the manuscript.

Funding Statement:

The study did not receive special funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement:

Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement:

Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement:

Not applicable.

Conflict of Interest Statement:

The authors report no conflict of interests.

References:

1. Rolla E. Endometriosis: advances and controversies in classification, pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment. *F1000Res*. 2019 Apr 23;8:F1000 Faculty Rev-529. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.14817.1>.
2. Mechsner S. Endometriosis, an Ongoing Pain—Step-by-Step Treatment. *J Clin Med*. 2022;11(2): 467. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11020467>.
3. Taylor H, Kotlyar A, Flores V. Endometriosis is a chronic systemic disease: clinical challenges and novel innovations. *Lancet*. 2021;397(10276):839–852. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)00389-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00389-5).
4. Hudelist G, Ballard K, English J, Wright J, Banerjee S, Mastoroudes H, Thomas A, Singer CF, Keckstein J. Transvaginal sonography vs. clinical examination in the preoperative diagnosis of deep infiltrating endometriosis. *Ultrasound Obstet. Gynecol*. 2011; 37:p:480–487. <https://doi.org/10.1002/uog.8935>.
5. Vercellini P, Viganò P, Somigliana E, Fedele L. Endometriosis: Pathogenesis and treatment. *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol*. 2014; 10:p: 261–275.
6. Dunselman GAJ, Vermeulen N, Becker C, Calhaz-Jorge C, D’Hooghe T, De Bie B, Heikinheimo O, Horne AW, Kiesel L, Nap A, et al. ESHRE guideline: Management of women with endometriosis. *Hum. Reprod*. 2014; 29:p: 400–412. <https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/det457>.
7. Menni K, Facchetti L, Cabassa P. Extragenital endometriosis: assessment with MR imaging. A pictorial review. *Br J Radiol*. 2016; 89(1060). <https://doi.org/10.1259/bjr.20150672>.
8. Zhai J, Vannuccini S, Petraglia F, Giudice LC. Adenomyosis: Mechanisms and Pathogenesis. *Semin Reprod Med*. 2020 May;38(2-03):129-143. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s0040-1716687>.
9. Zervou MI, Tarlatzis BC, Grimbizis GF, Spandidos DA, Niewold TB, Goulielmos GN. Association of endometriosis with Sjögren's syndrome: Genetic insights (Review). *International Journal of Molecular Medicine*. 2024 Feb; 53(2): 20. <https://doi.org/10.3892/ijmm.2024.5344>.
10. Jess T, Frisch M, Jørgensen KT. Increased risk of inflammatory bowel disease in women with endometriosis: a nationwide Danish cohort study. *Gut*. 2012;61(9):p:1279-83. <https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2011-301095>.
11. Aguiar FM, Castanheira Melo SB, Carvalho Galvão L, Rosa-e-Silva JC, dos Reis RM, Ferriani RA. Serological testing for celiac disease in women with endometriosis. A pilot study. *Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol*. 2009;36(1):p:23-5.

12. Smolarz B, Szyłło K, Romanowicz H. Endometriosis: Epidemiology, Classification, Pathogenesis, Treatment and Genetics (Review of Literature). *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021; 22(19), 10554; <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms221910554>.
13. Pan G, Zhang P, Li S, Cao L, Yang C. Association of endometriosis with asthma: a study of the NHANES database in 1999–2006. *J Health Popul Nutr.* 2024; 43: 50. [https://doi: 10.1186/s41043-024-00541-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s41043-024-00541-3).
14. Williams C, Long AJ, Noga H, Allaire C, Bedaiwy MA, Lisonkova S, Yong PJs. East and South East Asian ethnicity and moderate-to-severe endometriosis. *J Minim Invasive Gynecol.* 2019;26:507–515. [https://doi:10.1016/j.jmig.2018.06.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmig.2018.06.009).
15. Shafir A, Farland L, Shah D, Harris H, Kvaskoff M, Zondervan K, Missmer S. Risk for and consequences of endometriosis: A critical epidemiologic review. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol.* 2018;51:1–15. [https://doi: 10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2018.06.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2018.06.001).
16. Santanam N, Kavtaradze N, Murphy A, Dominguez C, Parthasarathy Ss. Antioxidant supplementation reduces endometriosis-related pelvic pain in humans. *Transl Res.* (2013) 161:p:189–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trsl.2012.05.00110>.
17. Collinet P, Fritel X, Revel-Delhom C, et al. Management of endometriosis: CNGOF/HAS clinical practice guidelines – Short version. *Journal of Gynecology Obstetrics and Human Reproduction.* 2018; 46: p:265-274 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jogoh.2018.06.003>.
18. P Viganò P, Somigliana E, Panina P, Rabellotti E, Vercellini P, Candiani MS. Principles of phenomics in endometriosis. *Hum Reprod Update.* 2012; 18(3): P:248-59. [https://doi:10.1093/humupd/dms001](https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dms001).
19. Bahat PY, Ayhan I, Ozdemir EU, Inceboz Ü, Oral E. Dietary supplements for treatment of endometriosis: A review. *Acta Biomed.* 2022; 93(1): e2022159. [https://doi:10.23750/abm.v93i1.11237](https://doi.org/10.23750/abm.v93i1.11237).
20. Qiu Y, Yuan S, Wang H. Vitamin D status in endometriosis: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2020; 302:p:141–52. [https://doi:10.1007/s00404-020-05576-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-020-05576-5).
21. Missmer SA, Hankinson SE, Spiegelman D, Barbieri RL, Marshall LM, Hunter DJ. Incidence of laparoscopically confirmed endometriosis by demographic, anthropometric, and lifestyle factors. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2004;160(8):78p:4-96. [https://doi:10.1093/aje/kwh275](https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwh275).
22. Moen MH, Magnus P. The familial risk of endometriosis. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 1993;72(7):p:560-4. [https://doi: 10.3109/00016349309058164](https://doi.org/10.3109/00016349309058164).
23. Stefansson H, Geirsson RT, Steinthorsdottir V, Jonsson H, Manolescu A, Kong A, Ingadottir G, Gulcher J, Stefansson K. Genetic factors contribute to the risk of developing endometriosis. *Hum Reprod.* 2002 Mar;17(3):p:555-9. [https://doi: 10.1093/humrep/17.3.555](https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/17.3.555).
24. Wójcik M, Szczepaniak R, Placek K. Physiotherapy Management in Endometriosis. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health.* 2022;19. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192316148>.
25. Nicolas-Boluda A, Oppenheimer A, Bouaziz J, Fauconnier A. Patient-Reported Outcome Measures in Endometriosis. *J Clin Med.* 2021; 10(21): p: 5106. [https://doi:10.3390/jcm10215106](https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10215106).

26. Ballard KD, Seaman HE, de Vries SC, Wright JT. Can symptomatology help in the diagnosis of endometriosis? Findings from a national case-control study--Part 1. *BJOG*. 2008;115(11):p:1382-91. [https:// doi: 10.1111/j.1471-0528.2008.01878](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.2008.01878).
27. Wang Y, Nicholes K, Shih IM. The Origin and Pathogenesis of Endometriosis. *Annu Rev Pathol*. 2020;15:71-95.:doi: 10.1146/annurev-pathmechdis-012419-032654.
28. Vannuccini S, Lazzeri L, Orlandini C, Tosti C, Clifton VL, Petraglia F. Potential influence of in utero and early neonatal exposures on the later development of endometriosis. *Fertil Steril*. 2016;105(4):p:997-1002. [https //doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2015.12.127](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2015.12.127).
29. Borghese B, Sibiude J, Santulli P, Pillet MCL, Marcellin L, Brosens I, Chapron C. Low birth weight is strongly associated with the risk of deep infiltrating endometriosis: results of a 743 case-control study. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(2):e0117387. [https://doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0117387](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0117387).
30. Amro B, Ramirez Aristondo ME, Alsuwaidi S, et al. New Understanding of Diagnosis, Treatment and Prevention of Endometriosis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022 May;19(11):6725. [https //doi: 10.3390/ijerph19116725](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19116725).
31. Amro B, Ramirez Aristondo ME. New Understanding of Diagnosis, Treatment and Prevention of Endometriosis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022 May;19(11):6725. [https://doi:10.3390/ijerph19116725](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19116725).
32. Shigesaki N, Kvaskoff M, Kirtley S. The association between endometriosis and autoimmune diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2019 Jul 1;25(4):486-503. [https://doi: 10.1093/humupd/dmz014](https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmz014).
33. Aguiar FM, Melo SBC, Galvão LC. Serological testing for celiac disease in women with endometriosis. A pilot study. *Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol*. 2009;36(1):p:23-5.
34. Lamb K, Nichols TR. Endometriosis: a comparison of associated disease histories. *Am J Prev Med*. 1986;2(6):p:324-9.
35. Nichols TR, Lamb K, Arkins JA. The association of atopic diseases with endometriosis. *Ann Allergy*. 1987;59(5):p:360-3.
36. Ferrero S, Petrera P, Colombo BM, Navaratnarajah R, Parisi M, Anserini P, Remorgida V, Ragni N. Asthma in women with endometriosis. *Hum Reprod*. 2005 Dec;20(12):3514-7. [https:// doi: 10.1093/humrep/dei263](https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dei263). Epub 2005 Sep 9.
37. Matalliotakis I, Cakmak H, Matalliotakis M, Kappou D, Arici A. High rate of allergies among women with endometriosis. *J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2012;32(3):p:291-3. [https://doi: 10.3109/01443615.2011.644358](https://doi.org/10.3109/01443615.2011.644358).
38. Ammendola M, Pietropolli A, Saccucci P, Piccione E, Bottini E, Gloria-Bottini F. Acid phosphatase locus 1 genetic polymorphism, endometriosis, and allergy. *Fertil Steril*. 2008;90(4):p:1203-5. [https://doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2007.10.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2007.10.014).
39. Hurd WW. Criteria that indicate endometriosis is the cause of chronic pelvic pain. *Obstet Gynecol*. 1998 Dec;92(6):1029-32. [https:// doi: 10.1016/s0029-7844\(98\)00283-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0029-7844(98)00283-x).
40. Abramiuk M, Grywalska E, Małkowska P, Sierawska O, Hryniewicz R, Niedźwiedzka-Rystwej P. The Role of the Immune System in the Development of Endometriosis. *Cells*. 2022 ;25;11(13):2028. [https://doi: 10.3390/cells11132028](https://doi.org/10.3390/cells11132028).

41. Chapron C, Dubuisson JB, Pansini V, Vieira M, Fauconnier A, Barakat H, Dousset B. Routine clinical examination is not sufficient for diagnosing and locating deeply infiltrating endometriosis. *J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc.* 2002;9(2):p:115-9. [https://doi:10.1016/s1074-3804\(05\)60117-x](https://doi:10.1016/s1074-3804(05)60117-x).
42. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Endometriosis: diagnosis and management [NG73]. London, United Kingdom; 2017. Available at: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng73>.
43. Becker CM, Bokor A, Heikinheimo O, et al. ESHRE guideline: endometriosis. *Hum Reprod Open.* 2022;2:1-26. <https://doi:10.1093/hropen/hoac009>.
44. Koninckx PR, Meuleman C, Oosterlynck D, Cornillie FJ. Diagnosis of deep endometriosis by clinical examination during menstruation and plasma CA-125 concentration. *Fertil Steril.* 1996;65(2):p:280-7.
45. Guerriero S, Condous G, van den Bosch T, et al. Systematic approach to sonographic evaluation of the pelvis in women with suspected endometriosis, including terms, definitions and measurements: a consensus opinion from the International Deep Endometriosis Analysis (IDEA) group. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2016;48(3):p:318-32. <https://doi:10.1002/uog.15955>.
46. Van den Bosch T, Van Schoubroeck D. Ultrasound diagnosis of endometriosis and adenomyosis: State of the art. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol.* 2018;51:p:16-24. <https://doi:10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2018.01.013>.
47. Guerriero S, Alcázar JL, Pascual MA, et al. Deep Infiltrating Endometriosis: Comparison Between 2-Dimensional Ultrasonography (US), 3-Dimensional US, and Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *J Ultrasound Med.* 2018 Jun;37(6):1511-1521. <https://doi:10.1002/jum.14496>.
48. Guerriero S, Saba L, Pascual MA, Ajossa S, Rodriguez I, Mais V, Alcazar JL. Transvaginal ultrasound vs magnetic resonance imaging for diagnosing deep infiltrating endometriosis: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2018;51(5):p:586-595. <https://doi:10.1002/uog.18961>.
49. Wykes CB, Clark TJ, Khan KS. Accuracy of laparoscopy in the diagnosis of endometriosis: a systematic quantitative review. *BJOG.* 2004;111(11):p:1204-12. <https://doi:10.1111/j.1471-0528.2004.00433.x>.
50. Piketty M, Chopin N, Dousset B, et al. Preoperative work-up for patients with deeply infiltrating endometriosis: transvaginal ultrasonography must definitely be the first-line imaging examination. *Hum Reprod.* 2009 Mar;24(3):602-7. <https://doi:10.1093/humrep/den405>.
51. Moore J, Copley S, Morris J, Lindsell D, Golding S, Kennedy S. A systematic review of the accuracy of ultrasound in the diagnosis of endometriosis. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2002;20(6):p:630-4. <https://doi:10.1046/j.1469-0705.2002.00862.x>.
52. Goncalves MO, Neto JS, Andres MP, et al. Systematic evaluation of endometriosis by transvaginal ultrasound can accurately replace diagnostic laparoscopy, mainly for deep and ovarian endometriosis. *Hum Reprod.* 2021;36(6):p:1492-1500. <https://doi:10.1093/humrep/deab085>.

53. Dessole S, Farina M, Rubattu G, Cosmi E, Ambrosini G, Nardelli GB. Sonovaginography is a new technique for assessing rectovaginal endometriosis. *Fertil Steril*.2003 Apr;79(4):1023-7. [https://doi: 10.1016/s0015-0282\(02\)04952-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0015-0282(02)04952-x).
54. Grasso RF, Di Giacomo V, Sedati P, et al. Diagnosis of deep infiltrating endometriosis: accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging and transvaginal 3D ultrasonography *Abdom Imaging*. 2010;35(6):p:716-25. [https://doi: 10.1007/s00261-009-9587-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00261-009-9587-7).
55. Manti F, Battaglia C, Bruno I, et al. The Role of Magnetic Resonance Imaging in the Planning of Surgical Treatment of Deep Pelvic Endometriosis. *Front Surg*. 2022; 9: 944399. [https://doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2022.944399](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsurg.2022.944399):PMC9273906 PMID: 35836610
56. Saba L, Sulcis R, Melis GB. Endometriosis: the role of magnetic resonance imaging. *Acta Radiol*. 2015 Mar;56(3):355-67. [https://doi: 10.1177/0284185114526086](https://doi.org/10.1177/0284185114526086).
57. Hottat N, Larrousse C, Anaf V, et al. Endometriosis: contribution of 3.0-T pelvic MR imaging in preoperative assessment--initial results. *Radiology*. 2009;253(1):p:126-34. [https://doi: 10.1148/radiol.2531082113](https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2531082113).
58. Anastasiu CV, Moga MA, Neculau AE, Bălan A, Scărneciu J, Dragomir RM, Dull AM, Chicea LM. Biomarkers for the Noninvasive Diagnosis of Endometriosis: State of the Art and Future Perspectives. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2020;4;21(5):1750. [https:// doi: 10.3390/ijms21051750](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21051750).
59. Gupta D, Hull ML, Fraser I, Miller L, Bossuyt PMM, Johnson N, Nisenblat V. Endometrial biomarkers for the non-invasive diagnosis of endometriosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2016;4(4):CD012165. [https://doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD012165](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD012165).
60. Hirsch M, Duffy J, Davis CJ, et al. International Collaboration to Harmonise Outcomes and Measures for Endometriosis. Diagnostic accuracy of cancer antigen 125 for endometriosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BJOG*. 2016;123(11):p:1761-8. [https://doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.14055](https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.14055).
61. Herranz-Blanco B, Daoud E, Viganò P, García-Velasco JA, Colli E. Development and Validation of an Endometriosis Diagnostic Method Based on Serum Biomarkers and Clinical Variables. *Biomolecules*. 2023;13(7):1052. [https://doi: 10.3390/biom13071052](https://doi.org/10.3390/biom13071052).
62. Żeberkiewicz M, Hyc A, Iwan A, Zwierzchowska A, Ścieżyńska A, Kalaszczyńska I, Barcz E, Malejczyk J. Expression of Fucosyltransferase 4 (FUT4) mRNA Is Increased in Endometrium from Women with Endometriosis . *J. Clin. Med*. 2022, 11(19), 5606; <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11195606>.
63. Grandi G, Barra F, Ferrero S, Sileo FG, Bertucci E, Napolitano A, Facchinetti F. Hormonal contraception in women with endometriosis: a systematic review. *Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care*. 2019;24(1):p:61-70. [https://doi: 10.1080/13625187.2018.1550576](https://doi.org/10.1080/13625187.2018.1550576).
64. Schenken RS. Endometriosis: Treatment of pelvic – UpToDate. Retrieved July 18, 2022, from <https://www.uptodate.com/contents/endometriosis-treatment-of-pelvic-pain>.
65. Hughes E, Brown J, Collins JJ, Farquhar C, Fedorkow DM, Vandekerckhove P. Ovulation suppression for endometriosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*.2007(3):CD000155. [https://doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000155.pub2](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD000155.pub2).

66. Duffy DM, VandeVoort CA. Maturation and Fertilization of Non-Human Primate Oocytes are Compromised by Oral Administration of a COX2 Inhibitor. *Fertil Steril*. 2011; 95(4): p:1256–1260. [https://doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2010.12.048](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2010.12.048).
67. Chen I, Veth VB, Choudhry AJ, Murji A, Zakhari A, Black AY, Agarpao C, Maas JWM. Pre- and postsurgical medical therapy for endometriosis surgery. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews Review – Intervention*. 2020 <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003678.pub3>.
68. Zakhari A, Delpero E, McKeown S, et al. Endometriosis recurrence following postoperative hormonal suppression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2021;27(1):p:96-107. [https://doi: 10.1093/humupd/dmaa033](https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmaa033).
69. Trivedi P, Selvaraj K, Mahapatra PD, et al. Effective post-laparoscopic treatment of endometriosis with dydrogesterone. *Gynecol Endocrinol*. 2007;23 Suppl1:p:73-6. [https://doi: 10.1080/09513590701669583](https://doi.org/10.1080/09513590701669583).
70. Vercellini P, Somigliana E, Daguati R, et al. Postoperative oral contraceptive exposure and risk of endometrioma recurrence. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2008;198(5):504. [https:// doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2007.11.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2007.11.010).
71. Harada T, Momoeda M, Taketani Y, et al. Low-dose oral contraceptive pill for dysmenorrhea associated with endometriosis: a placebo-controlled, double-blind, randomized trial. *Fertil Steril*. 2008;90(5):p:1583-8. [https://doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2007.08.051](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2007.08.051).
72. Berkley KJ, Rapkin AJ, Papka RE. The pains of endometriosis. *Science*. 2005;308(5728):p:1587-9. [https:// doi: 10.1126/science.1111445](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1111445).
73. Johnson NP, Hummelshoj L, World Endometriosis Society Montpellier Consortium. Consensus on current management of endometriosis. *Hum Reprod*. 2013;28(6):p:1552-68. [https://doi:10.1093/humrep/det050](https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/det050).
74. Broi MGD, Ferriani RA, Navarro PA. Ethio-pathogenic mechanisms of endometriosis-related infertility. *JBRA Assist Reprod*. 2019;23(3):273-280. [https:// doi: 10.5935/15180557.20190029](https://doi.org/10.5935/15180557.20190029).
75. Grammatas AL, Georgiou EX, Becker CM. Pentoxifylline for the treatment of endometriosis-associated pain and infertility. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2021;8(8):CD007677. [https:// doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD007677.pub4](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD007677.pub4).
76. Garcia-Velasco JA, Mahutte NG, Corona J, et al. Removal of endometriomas before in vitro fertilization does not improve fertility outcomes: a matched, case-control study. *Fertil Steril*. 2004;81(5):p:1194-7. [https:// doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2003.04.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2003.04.006).
77. Marcoux S, Maheux R, Bérubé S. Laparoscopic surgery in infertile women with minimal or mild endometriosis. Canadian Collaborative Group on Endometriosis. *N Engl J Med*. 1997;337(4):p:217-22. [https:// doi:10.1056/NEJM199707243370401](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199707243370401).
78. Nankali A, Kazemina M, Jamshidi PK, Shohaimi S, Salari N, Mohammadi M, Hosseini-Far A. The effect of unilateral and bilateral laparoscopic surgery for endometriosis on Anti-Müllerian Hormone (AMH) level after 3 and 6 months: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2020;18(1):314. [https://doi: 10.1186/s12955-020-01561-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12955-020-01561-3).

79. Rush G, Misajon RA, Hunter JA, Gardner J, O'Brien KS. The relationship between endometriosis-related pelvic pain and symptom frequency, and subjective wellbeing. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2019;17(1):123. [https://doi: 10.1186/s12955-019-1185-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12955-019-1185-y).
80. Vesali S, Razavi M, Rezaeinejad M, Maleki-Hajiagha A, Maroufizadeh S, Sepidarkish M. Endometriosis fertility index for predicting non-assisted reproductive technology pregnancy after endometriosis surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BJOG*. 2020;127;7: P:800-809. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.16180>.
81. Maheux-Lacroix S, Nesbitt-Hawes E, Deans R, Won H, Budden A, Adamson D, Abbott JA. Endometriosis fertility index predicts live births following surgical resection of moderate and severe endometriosis. *Human Reproduction*. 2017;1;32(11): p:2243–2249. <https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dex291>.
82. Dan H, Limin F. Laparoscopic ovarian cystectomy versus fenestration/coagulation or laser vaporization for the treatment of endometriomas: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Gynecol Obstet Invest*. 2013;76(2):p:75-82. [https://doi: 10.1159/000351165](https://doi.org/10.1159/000351165).
83. Cohen J, Thomin A, D'Argent EM, Laas E, Canlorbe G, Zilberman S, Belghiti J, Thomassin-Naggara I, Bazot M, Ballester M, Daraï E. Fertility before and after surgery for deep infiltrating endometriosis with and without bowel involvement: a literature review. *Minerva Ginecol*. 2014;66(6):p:575-87.
84. ML, Seyer-Hansen M, Forman A. Does surgery for deep infiltrating bowel endometriosis improve fertility? A systematic review. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2017;96(6):p:688-693. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aogs.13152>.
85. Berlanda N, Vercellini P, Somigliana E, Frattaruolo MP, Buggio L, Gattei U. Role of surgery in endometriosis-associated subfertility. *Semin Reprod Med*. 2013;31(2):P:133-43. [https://doi: 10.1055/s-0032-1333478](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0032-1333478).