

TOMCZEWSKA, Zuzanna, RYKUCKA, Aleksandra, ZOZULA, Natalia, WĄS, Marcin, KIELBASA, Justyna, KOWALCZYK, Agata, BIL, Katarzyna, ŚLESICKA, Iga, LATAŁA, Aleksandra and PRZESTRZELSKA, Magda. Risk assessment of preeclampsia. *Quality in Sport*. 2024;18:53569. eISSN 2450-3118.

<https://dx.doi.org/10.12775/QS.2024.18.53569>

<https://apcz.umk.pl/QS/article/view/53569>

The journal has been 20 points in the Ministry of Higher Education and Science of Poland parametric evaluation. Annex to the announcement of the Minister of Higher Education and Science of 05.01.2024. No. 32553.

Has a Journal's Unique Identifier: 201398. Scientific disciplines assigned: Economics and finance (Field of social sciences); Management and Quality Sciences (Field of social sciences).

Punkty Ministerialne z 2019 - aktualny rok 20 punktów. Załącznik do komunikatu Ministra Szkolnictwa Wyższego i Nauki z dnia 05.01.2024 r. Lp. 32553. Posiada Unikatowy Identyfikator Czasopisma: 201398.

Przypisane dyscypliny naukowe: Ekonomia i finanse (Dziedzina nauk społecznych); Nauki o zarządzaniu i jakości (Dziedzina nauk społecznych).

© The Authors 2024;

This article is published with open access at Licensee Open Journal Systems of Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun, Poland Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author (s) and source are credited. This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non commercial license Share alike. (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted, non commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Received: 18.07.2024. Revised: 01.08.2024. Accepted: 02.08.2024. Published: 06.08.2024.

Risk assessment of preeclampsia

Authors:

Zuzanna Tomczewska

Provincial Specialist Hospital named after Blessed Father Jerzy Popiełuszko in Włocławek,
Wieniecka 49, 87-800 Włocławek, Poland

ztomczewska@gmail.com

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4327-3311>

Aleksandra Rykucka

Rear Admiral Professor Wiesław Łasiński 7th Military Navy Hospital with Outpatient Clinic
named after in Gdańsk, Polanki 117 street, 80-305 Gdańsk, Poland

aleksandra.rykucka@wp.pl

<https://orcid.org/0009-0003-0171-8647>

Natalia Zozula

District Medical Center in Grójec, Piotra Skargi 10 street, 05-600 Grójec, Poland

nataliazozula0@gmail.com

<https://orcid.org/0009-0009-9741-5061>

Marcin Wąs

District Medical Center in Grójec, Piotra Skargi 10 street, 05-600 Grójec, Poland

mos1@vp.pl

<https://orcid.org/0009-0004-8645-0121>

Justyna Kiełbasa

Independent Public Healthcare Complex in Pruszków, 2/4 Armii Krajowej Avenue, 05-800 Pruszków, Poland

justynakielbasa1b@gmail.com

<https://orcid.org/0009-0002-0616-7402>

Agata Kowalczyk

Mazovian Specialized Hospital in Ostrołęka of Józef Psarski, Jana Pawła II 120A, 07-410 Ostrołęka, Poland

agata.kowalczyk95@gmail.com

<https://orcid.org/0009-0007-9497-0970>

Katarzyna Bil

Independent Public Specialist Western Hospital named after St John Paul II, Daleka 11, 05-825 Grodzisk Mazowiecki, Poland

katarzynabil2503@gmail.com

<https://orcid.org/0009-0003-6518-1711>

Iga Ślesicka

Mazovian Specialized Hospital in Ostrołęka of Józef Psarski, Jana Pawła II 120A, 07-410 Ostrołęka, Poland

igaslesicka@gmail.com

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7282-4875>

Aleksandra Latała

District Medical Center in Grójec Piotra Skargi 10 street, 05-600 Grójec, Poland

aleksandra.latala10@gmail.com

<https://orcid.org/0009-0007-1701-2728>

Magda Przestrzelska

Faculty of Medicine Collegium Medicum Uniwersytet Kardynała Stefana Wyszyńskiego w Warszawie, Wóycickiego 1/3, 01-938 Warszawa, Poland

magda.przestrzelska123@gmail.com

<https://orcid.org/0009-0009-8688-7047>

Keywords: preeclampsia, sFlt-1/PIGF ratio, biomarkers, hypertension, aspirin

Abstract

Introduction and purpose:

Preeclampsia (PE) is a complication during pregnancy characterized by high blood pressure ($\geq 140/90$ mmHg) and signs of organ involvement appearing after 20 weeks' of gestation. Various factors are known to increase the risk of this condition as well as clinical factors. The pathogenesis of the disease is complex and not fully understood.

State of Knowledge:

The main roles play two angiogenic factors: soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase 1 (sFlt-1), which is an antiangiogenic protein, and placental growth factor (PlGF), which is a pro-angiogenic protein. Elevated sFlt-1 and reduced PlGF levels in the mother's blood can predict the future development of preeclampsia during pregnancy. The imbalance between these two angiogenic biomarkers indicates de novo-onset preeclampsia, distinct from chronic hypertension. Both MAP and UTA-PI are essential components of first-trimester screening protocols, which, combined with maternal history and other biochemical markers, allow for accurate preeclampsia risk assessment and early intervention to improve maternal and fetal outcomes.

Conclusions:

Preeclampsia is a major cause of maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality. The integration of multiple biomarkers, such as PlGF and sFlt-1, along with advanced analytical techniques, enhances early detection and accurate risk stratification, improving maternal and fetal outcomes. Aspirin prophylaxis before 16 weeks' gestation has been shown to reduce the risk of preeclampsia.

Introduction and purpose

Pregnancy is a comprehensive physiological challenge for a woman's body, requiring significant adaptations across multiple systems to support fetal development. A successful pregnancy outcome depends on these adaptations to meet increased metabolic demands. PE is a hypertensive disorder during pregnancy posing significant risks to maternal and fetal health, including eclampsia, HELLP syndrome, and preterm birth. Despite extensive research, the exact pathophysiology of preeclampsia remains poorly understood, making its prediction and prevention challenging. Early identification of high-risk women is crucial for timely interventions to improve pregnancy outcomes. Biomarkers are vital for early identification process, providing insights into the disease's mechanisms and aiding accurate risk stratification. This study aims to evaluate the current knowledge and future prospects of early preeclampsia risk identification through a detailed understanding of how early diagnosis and biomarker-based approaches can revolutionize the early diagnosis and its treatment.

Description of the state of knowledge

Definition of preeclampsia

According to World Health Organization (WHO) data, the incidence of preeclampsia is currently rising globally (1). Preeclampsia is characterized by new-onset hypertension (systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mmHg) after 20 weeks of gestation, along with endothelial dysfunction leading to maternal organ dysfunction. Hypertension alone is often only useful when preeclampsia has already developed (2)(3). The condition may present with proteinuria, but the current diagnostic criteria do not require it if there are other severe systemic involvement signs such as renal insufficiency indicated by serum creatinine concentration >1 mg/dL or >1.1 mg/dL; liver dysfunction by transaminase levels elevated twofold over the reference range or ≥ 40 IU/L; hematological disorders by blood platelet levels $<100,000/\mu\text{L}$ or $<150,000/\mu\text{L}$; haemolysis; neurological symptoms or fetal growth restriction (4-7). Recent scientific studies have showed that proteinuria, or the presence of protein in urine, is no longer a reliable predictor for the diagnosis of preeclampsia, as its presence does not consistently correlate with the disease's severity or outcomes. Some patients with severe preeclampsia may have minimal or no proteinuria, while others with significant proteinuria may not exhibit severe disease symptoms. It is important that the levels of protein in urine can be influenced by various factors such as hydration status, physical activity, and timing of sample collection. It reduces the reliability of proteinuria as a standalone diagnostic marker (8).

Pathophysiology of preeclampsia

The pathophysiology of preeclampsia involves several interconnected mechanisms centered around placental dysfunction and maternal endothelial damage, such as abnormal placentation. Poor trophoblast invasion of the uterine spiral arteries leads to inadequate placental perfusion, resulting in hypoxia and oxidative stress within the placenta, which are key drivers of the disease. Placental cells respond by releasing harmful substances into the maternal circulation, contributing to systemic endothelial dysfunction. The systemic also release of antiangiogenic factors such as soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1 (sFlt-1), as well as proinflammatory cytokines and cell-free fetal DNA. This endothelial damage impairs the normal vasodilation of blood vessels, leading to hypertension and proteinuria. A significant imbalance between proangiogenic and antiangiogenic factors are crucial in pathophysiology of preeclampsia. Elevated levels of sFlt-1 antagonize vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and PlGF, critical for normal blood vessel formation and maintenance. An exaggerated maternal immune response to the placenta further promotes inflammation and endothelial injury, compounding the maternal syndrome of preeclampsia. These mechanisms highlight the multifaceted nature of preeclampsia, necessitating ongoing research to better understand its

complex etiology and to develop effective interventions (4). There are also certain risk factors that differ between two types of preeclampsia: early-onset (<34 weeks) and late-onset (≥ 34 weeks) pre-eclampsia(9).

Early-onset PE is associated with a higher risk of adverse maternal and fetal outcome (10). Effective screening, diagnosis, prediction, and monitoring of preeclampsia development are crucial(11). Severe studies have shown that treating high-risk women with low-dose aspirin early in pregnancy can reduce the risk of preterm preeclampsia and its adverse outcomes(12-14).

First-trimester screening for PE

MAP and UtA-PI

First-trimester screening aims to identify women at high risk of developing preeclampsia later in pregnancy based on maternal risk factors, allowing preventative strategies to be put in place. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) (3), the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) and the International Society for the Study of Hypertension in Pregnancy (ISSHP)(15) recommend screening pregnant women with a history of pregnancy with hypertension or diagnosis of PE, or family history of PE; pregnancy diabetes mellitus; kidney diseases or chronic hypertension but also nulliparity or multiple pregnancy or pregnancy conceived by assisted reproductive technology; maternal age ≥ 35 years or prepregnancy body mass index > 30 kg/m² and interpregnancy interval > 10 years.

Currently, many centers do not use a combined first-trimester screening approach(16) and, often, identification of women at high risk of developing PE is based on assessment of clinical risk factors only, as recommended by the ACOG 2018 and NICE 2019 guidelines. Combining clinical risk factors, maternal blood pressure, mean arterial pressure (MAP), uterine artery pulsatility index (UtA-PI) and maternal angiogenic biomarkers into an algorithm may be a more accurate way of identifying high-risk women (16-18).

One of the most powerful PE screening algorithms, developed by The Fetal Medicine Foundation (FMF), uses a combination of clinical risk factors, maternal age, MAP and mean UtA-PI measurements and maternal PIGF to identify high-risk women in the first trimester (16, 19-21). The FMF algorithm, which includes these factors, is recommended for first-trimester screening and can be used later in pregnancy. Recommendations of The International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) suggest all pregnant women to be screened for PE early in pregnancy by including both clinical risk factors and maternal biomarkers(22).

UtA-PI is a key biomarker in assessing the risk of preeclampsia, especially in the first trimester. It measures the blood flow resistance in the uterine arteries. The pulsatility index should be the first parameter assessed in the context of pre-eclampsia screening, according to ISUOG Practice Guidelines 2018. In the first trimester, mainly during the 11–14 weeks of

gestation, UtA-PI values >90th centile predict 48% of early-onset preeclampsia cases and 26% of total preeclampsia cases. In addition to PI, uterine artery notching, an indicator of endothelial dysfunction, has also been evaluated, but it is relatively common during pregnancy (found in 43% of normal pregnancies in the first trimester).

Mean uterine artery PI continues to be the gold-standard Doppler parameter for pre-eclampsia screening(23). Elevated UtA-PI values indicate greater resistance, implying inadequate placentation and an increased risk of preeclampsia. Assessing UtA-PI with Doppler ultrasound in the first trimester can identify women at high risk by directly showing placental blood flow status. When combined with other biomarkers such as mean arterial pressure (MAP) and PIGF, UtA-PI improves the predictive accuracy for early-onset preeclampsia.

Both MAP and UtA-PI are integral to first-trimester screening protocols, often used in conjunction with maternal history and other biochemical markers. This combined approach allows for more precise stratification of preeclampsia risk and facilitates early intervention strategies to improve maternal and fetal outcomes (24).

Second-and third-trimester diagnosis and prediction of PE

One of the most promising biomarkers useful in second- and third-trimester are soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1 (sFlt-1) and placental growth factor (PIGF).

sFlt-1

sFlt-1 is an anti-angiogenic protein that works by binding to vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and PIGF, thereby preventing their interaction with receptors on endothelial cells. It is not useful for first-trimester screening for PE since sFlt-1 levels start to rise only at 21–24 weeks of gestation in women who develop PE. Elevated sFlt-1 levels are linked to endothelial dysfunction, a key feature of preeclampsia. High sFlt-1 levels interfere with normal angiogenesis, contributing to the disease's development. Recent studies show a significant increase in sFlt-1 levels in women with preeclampsia, particularly in severe cases and early-onset instances.

PIGF

PIGF is a pro-angiogenic protein that promotes the growth and development of blood vessels in the placenta. Low PIGF levels of 80-120- pg/mL were able to diagnose PE in asymptomatic women and are indicative of poor placental development and function, which are central features of preeclampsia (25). The prospective, multicenter Pre-eclampsia Triage by Rapid Assay Trial (PETRA) trial (26) reported that low PIGF levels (≤ 100 pg/mL) in pregnant women at < 35 weeks of gestation with suspected PE had a sensitivity of 76%, a specificity of 69% and a negative predictive value (NPV) of 53% for predicting a final diagnosis of PE at any time. Another analysis of the PETRA trial showed that low PIGF levels (≤ 100 pg/mL) were linked with significantly higher risk for a composite maternal adverse outcome compared with normal PIGF levels (6.2% vs 1.9%), and had a sensitivity and specificity of 86.8% and 34.3%, respectively, for predicting the composite maternal outcome(27). Reduced

PlGF levels can also predict the development of PE requiring delivery within 14 days in women with suspected PE.

The prospective, multicenter Plasma Placental Growth Factor in the Diagnosis of Women with Pre-Eclampsia Requiring Delivery Within 14 Days (PELICAN) study (28) showed that a PlGF concentration < 5th percentile had a sensitivity of 96%, a specificity of 55% and a NPV of 98% for predicting the development of PE requiring delivery within the next 14 days. Decreased PlGF levels have been associated with the onset of preeclampsia. Measuring PlGF, especially in combination with sFlt-1 levels, improves the accuracy of predicting preeclampsia. PlGF alone is involved in placental angiogenesis. Its concentration increases initially, peaks in mid-gestation and then decreases gradually (29)(28). PlGF concentration decreases prematurely in women who go on to develop PE. Moreover it is often detectable before the onset of symptoms, so regular measurement of maternal circulating PlGF levels may help to diagnose preeclampsia earlier (25, 30). Low maternal PlGF levels of 80–120 pg/mL were able to diagnose preeclampsia in asymptomatic women.

sFlt-1/PlGF ratio

The ratio of sFlt-1 to PlGF is a powerful diagnostic tool for predicting preeclampsia risk. An elevated sFlt-1/PlGF ratio indicates an imbalance between antiangiogenic and proangiogenic factors. It leads to a higher risk of developing severe complications. According to recent findings, an sFlt-1/PlGF ratio of <38 can effectively rule out preeclampsia within 4 weeks of assessment, between 24 and 37 weeks of gestation, with a negative predictive value of 99.3% (31). A ratio greater than 85 signifies a high risk for preeclampsia, requiring close monitoring and potential intervention. This ratio is particularly useful in late pregnancy, where a value of 110 or more is associated with a higher likelihood of adverse outcomes, such as placental abruption, eclampsia, and intrauterine growth restriction. In summary, sFlt-1 and PlGF are crucial biomarkers in the risk assessment of preeclampsia. The combined use of sFlt-1 and PlGF in a ratio offers a powerful predictive tool that improves early diagnosis and timely management, benefiting both mother and baby. Integrating these biomarkers into clinical practice marks a major advancement in prenatal care, providing a more accurate and effective method for managing preeclampsia risk.

The combination of these biomarkers with clinical assessments enhances the ability to diagnose and manage preeclampsia effectively. Incorporating biomarkers into routine screenings can help identify women at risk of developing preeclampsia earlier, allowing for timely interventions such as the administration of low-dose aspirin or closer monitoring to improve pregnancy outcomes.

These advances in biomarker research are essential for reducing the incidence and severity of preeclampsia, ultimately aiming to improve maternal and fetal health outcomes through more personalized and precise medical care. The benefit of identifying women at higher risk of

preeclampsia in early pregnancy (<16 weeks) has utility in preventing preterm preeclampsia through administration of low-dose prophylaxis with aspirin to reduce preterm disease (32, 33)

Integration of various biomarkers in prediction of PE

Recent research underscores that a combination of various biomarkers provides the most effective method for evaluating preeclampsia risk. This multi-biomarker approach improves predictive accuracy and allows for earlier and more reliable identification of pregnancies at high risk. Moreover, combining different biomarkers, addresses the multifactorial nature of preeclampsia, involving complex interactions between maternal, placental and fetal factors. Using mean arterial pressure (MAP), uterine artery pulsatility index (UtA-PI), and sFlt-1/PIGF ratio offers a more accurate prediction of preeclampsia than any single marker alone.

By integrating multiple biomarkers, each representing different pathophysiological aspects of preeclampsia, clinicians can achieve a more comprehensive assessment. MAP reflects maternal cardiovascular status, UtA-PI indicates placental blood flow resistance, and PIGF levels relate to placental development and function. This multi-faceted approach not only improves early detection but also stratifies patients into appropriate risk categories, facilitating tailored monitoring and intervention strategies (8).

Therefore, according to the latest scientific evidence, combining different biomarkers in preeclampsia screening is the most effective strategy, as it utilizes the strengths of each marker to offer a comprehensive and detailed risk assessment.

Aspirin as preeclampsia prevention

Low-dose aspirin (ASA) has shown significant efficacy in preventing preeclampsia, reducing the risk by about 60% (33). The ASPRE (Aspirin for Evidence-Based Preeclampsia Prevention) trial showed that low-dose ASA initiated in the first trimester minimizes preeclampsia risk in high-risk women (34). Other research has also suggested that aspirin may have additional benefits such as lowering the risk of preterm birth and intrauterine growth restriction (35, 36). However, supplementation must begin before week 16 of pregnancy, as starting later can reduce its positive effects on gestation and may even increase the risk of negative outcomes (33, 35, 37)

Despite recommendations from various gynecological and obstetrical societies to use aspirin as a preventative measure for preeclampsia, there is no consensus on the dosage. WHO suggested a minimum dosage of 75 mg per day for high-risk women, while other organizations like the International Society for the Study of Hypertension in Pregnancy (ISSHP) recommend higher doses, up to 162 mg/day. The recommended time to start treatment ranges from the 11th to the 20th week of gestation, with the optimal time being before the 16th week (38). Aspirin may not significantly reduce preeclampsia rates in women with chronic hypertension (34).

Summary

Preeclampsia is a major cause of maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality. Combining maternal factors and biomarkers provides effective first-trimester screening for preterm-preeclampsia. The future of preeclampsia risk assessment lies in the development and integration of multiple biomarkers. This approach is expected to enhance early detection and improve maternal and fetal outcomes. The combination of biomarkers such as placental growth factor (PlGF), soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1 (sFlt-1) has shown significant promise. Recent advancements, including the FDA's clearance of Thermo Fisher's PlGF and sFlt-1 assays, highlight the effectiveness of these biomarkers in predicting preeclampsia. These tests, when used alongside other clinical assessments, provide a more accurate risk stratification, particularly for women hospitalized with hypertensive disorders of pregnancy(39). Maternal PlGF, sFlt-1 and sFlt-1/PlGF ratio show good performance for screening and diagnosing PE, for predicting development of PE in the short term. The sFlt-1/PlGF ratio is clinically useful in guiding management of pregnant women with unclear symptoms of PE and can therefore rule out PE. The sFlt-1/PlGF ratio may be preferred over PlGF level alone because PlGF decreases with disease severity and, in cases of early-onset disease, PlGF concentrations may be so low as to be undetectable. In cases of early-onset PE, measurement of PlGF alone is not a useful tool and may limit monitoring of disease progression. There is a need for further integration of tests for these angiogenic factors into clinical practice. Research indicates that machine learning models can refine risk assessment by analyzing a wide array of genetic markers and cellular interactions within the placenta in the future. This computational approach can identify novel genes and pathways involved in preeclampsia, offering new insights into its pathogenesis and potential therapeutic targets (8). Overall, the integration of multiple biomarkers, supported by advanced analytical techniques, represents a forward-looking strategy in the management of preeclampsia, potentially leading to more personalized and timely interventions (40). Aspirin prophylaxis has been shown to reduce the risk of preeclampsia. The dose between 100–150 mg should be started before 16 weeks' until 36 weeks' gestation.

Disclosures

Author's contribution:

Conceptualization: Zuzanna Tomczewska, Natalia Zozula

Methodology: Aleksandra Latała

Software: Aleksandra Rykucka

Check: Justyna Kielbasa, Magda Przestrzelska

Formal analysis: Iga Ślesicka, Marcin Wąs

Investigation: Katarzyna Bil, Agata Kowalczyk

Resources: Zuzanna Tomczewska

Data curation: Aleksandra Rykucka

Writing–rough preparation: Justyna Kiełbasa, Natalia Zozula

Writing–review and editing: Aleksandra Latała, Marcin Wąs, Agata Kowalczyk

Visualization: Katarzyna Bil

Supervision: Iga Ślesicka

Project administration: Natalia Zozula, Zuzanna Tomczewska

All authors have read and agreed with the published version of the manuscript.

Funding Statement: This Research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: Not applicable

Conflict of Interests: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References:

1. Khan B, Allah Yar R, Khakwani AK, Karim S, Arslan Ali H. Preeclampsia Incidence and Its Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes With Associated Risk Factors. *Cureus*. 2022;14(11):e31143. DOI: 10.7759/cureus.31143
2. Visintin C, Muggleston MA, Almerie MQ, Nherera LM, James D, Walkinshaw S, et al. Management of hypertensive disorders during pregnancy: summary of NICE guidance. *BMJ*. 2010;341:c2207. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.c2207
3. ACOG Committee Opinion No. 743 Summary: Low-Dose Aspirin Use During Pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;132(1):254-6. DOI: 10.1097/AOG.0000000000002708
4. Burton GJ, Redman CW, Roberts JM, Moffett A. Pre-eclampsia: pathophysiology and clinical implications. *BMJ*. 2019;366:l2381. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.l2381
5. Fox R, Kitt J, Leeson P, Aye CYL, Lewandowski AJ. Preeclampsia: Risk Factors, Diagnosis, Management, and the Cardiovascular Impact on the Offspring. *J Clin Med*. 2019;8(10). DOI: 10.3390/jcm8101625
6. Tanner MS, Davey MA, Mol BW, Rolnik DL. The evolution of the diagnostic criteria of preeclampsia-eclampsia. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2022;226(2S):S835-S43. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajog.2021.11.1371
7. Meszaros B, Kukor Z, Valent S. Recent Advances in the Prevention and Screening of Preeclampsia. *J Clin Med*. 2023;12(18). DOI: 10.3390/jcm12186020
8. Wang H, Zhang Z, Li H, Li J, Li H, Liu M, et al. A cost-effective machine learning-based method for preeclampsia risk assessment and driver genes discovery. *Cell Biosci*. 2023;13(1):41. DOI: 10.1186/s13578-023-00991-y
9. Brown MA, Magee LA, Kenny LC, Karumanchi SA, McCarthy FP, Saito S, et al. The hypertensive disorders of pregnancy: ISSHP classification, diagnosis & management recommendations for international practice. *Pregnancy Hypertens*. 2018;13:291-310. DOI: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.10803
10. Chaemsaitong P, Sahota DS, Poon LC. First trimester preeclampsia screening and prediction. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2022;226(2S):S1071-S97 e2. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajog.2020.07.020

11. Mol BWJ, Roberts CT, Thangaratinam S, Magee LA, de Groot CJM, Hofmeyr GJ. Preeclampsia. *Lancet*. 2016;387(10022):999-1011. DOI: 10.1016/s0140-6736(15)00070-7
12. Bujold E, Roberge S, Lacasse Y, Bureau M, Audibert F, Marcoux S, et al. Prevention of preeclampsia and intrauterine growth restriction with aspirin started in early pregnancy: a meta-analysis. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2010;116(2 Pt 1):402-14. DOI: 10.1097/AOG.0b013e3181e9322a
13. Roberge S, Giguere Y, Villa P, Nicolaides K, Vainio M, Forest JC, et al. Early administration of low-dose aspirin for the prevention of severe and mild preeclampsia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Perinatol*. 2012;29(7):551-6. DOI: 10.1159/000336662
14. Nicolaides KH. Aspirin versus Placebo in Pregnancies at High Risk for Preterm Preeclampsia. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377(24):2400. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc1713798
15. Magee LA, Brown MA, Hall DR, Gupte S, Hennessy A, Karumanchi SA, et al. The 2021 International Society for the Study of Hypertension in Pregnancy classification, diagnosis & management recommendations for international practice. *Pregnancy Hypertens*. 2022;27:148-69. DOI: 10.1016/j.preghy.2021.09.008
16. Poon LC, Galindo A, Surbek D, Chantraine F, Stepan H, Hyett J, et al. From first-trimester screening to risk stratification of evolving pre-eclampsia in second and third trimesters of pregnancy: comprehensive approach. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. 2020;55(1):5-12. DOI: 10.1002/uog.21869
17. Tan MY, Wright D, Syngelaki A, Akolekar R, Cicero S, Janga D, et al. Comparison of diagnostic accuracy of early screening for pre-eclampsia by NICE guidelines and a method combining maternal factors and biomarkers: results of SPREE. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;51(6):743-50. DOI: 10.1002/uog.19039
18. Benko Z, Wright A, Rehal A, Cimpoia B, Syngelaki A, Delgado JL, et al. Prediction of pre-eclampsia in twin pregnancy by maternal factors and biomarkers at 11-13 weeks' gestation: data from EVENTS trial. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. 2021;57(2):257-65. DOI: 10.1002/uog.23531
19. Poon LC, Kametas NA, Maiz N, Akolekar R, Nicolaides KH. First-trimester prediction of hypertensive disorders in pregnancy. *Hypertension*. 2009;53(5):812-8. DOI: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.108.127977
20. Mosimann B, Amylidi-Mohr SK, Surbek D, Raio L. First Trimester Screening for Preeclampsia - a Systematic Review. *Hypertens Pregnancy*. 2020;39(1):1-11. DOI: 10.1080/10641955.2019.1682009
21. Hu J, Gao J, Liu J, Meng H, Hao N, Song Y, et al. Prospective evaluation of first-trimester screening strategy for preterm pre-eclampsia and its clinical applicability in China. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*. 2021;58(4):529-39. DOI: 10.1002/uog.23645
22. Poon LC, Shennan A, Hyett JA, Kapur A, Hadar E, Divakar H, et al. The International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) initiative on pre-eclampsia: A pragmatic guide for first-trimester screening and prevention. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2019;145 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):1-33. DOI: 10.1002/ijgo.12802
23. Sotiriadis A, Hernandez-Andrade E, da Silva Costa F, Ghi T, Glanc P, Khalil A, et al.

- ISUOG Practice Guidelines: role of ultrasound in screening for and follow-up of pre-eclampsia. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2019;53(1):7-22. DOI: 10.1002/uog.20105
24. Goto M, Koide K, Tokunaka M, Takita H, Hamada S, Nakamura M, et al. Accuracy of the FMF Bayes theorem-based model for predicting preeclampsia at 11-13 weeks of gestation in a Japanese population. *Hypertens Res.* 2021;44(6):685-91. DOI: 10.1038/s41440-020-00571-4
25. Agrawal S, Shinar S, Cerdeira AS, Redman C, Vatish M. Predictive Performance of PIGF (Placental Growth Factor) for Screening Preeclampsia in Asymptomatic Women: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Hypertension.* 2019;74(5):1124-35. DOI: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.119.13360
26. Barton JR, Woelkers DA, Newman RB, Combs CA, How HY, Boggess KA, et al. Placental growth factor predicts time to delivery in women with signs or symptoms of early preterm preeclampsia: a prospective multicenter study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2020;222(3):259 e1- e11. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajog.2019.09.003
27. Parchem JG, Brock CO, Chen HY, Kalluri R, Barton JR, Sibai BM, et al. Placental Growth Factor and the Risk of Adverse Neonatal and Maternal Outcomes. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2020;135(3):665-73. DOI:10.1097/AOG.0000000000003694
28. Chappell LC, Duckworth S, Seed PT, Griffin M, Myers J, Mackillop L, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of placental growth factor in women with suspected preeclampsia: a prospective multicenter study. *Circulation.* 2013;128(19):2121-31. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.003215
29. Levine RJ, Maynard SE, Qian C, Lim KH, England LJ, Yu KF, et al. Circulating angiogenic factors and the risk of preeclampsia. *N Engl J Med.* 2004;350(7):672-83. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajog.2010.08.049
30. Duhig KE, Myers J, Seed PT, Sparkes J, Lowe J, Hunter RM, et al. Placental growth factor testing to assess women with suspected pre-eclampsia: a multicentre, pragmatic, stepped-wedge cluster-randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2019;393(10183):1807-18. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)33212-4
31. Chirila CN, Marginean C, Chirila PM, Gliga ML. The Current Role of the sFlt-1/PIGF Ratio and the Uterine-Umbilical-Cerebral Doppler Ultrasound in Predicting and Monitoring Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy: An Update with a Review of the Literature. *Children (Basel).* 2023;10(9). DOI: 10.3390/children10091430
32. Wright D, Rolnik DL, Syngelaki A, de Paco Matallana C, Machuca M, de Alvarado M, et al. Aspirin for Evidence-Based Preeclampsia Prevention trial: effect of aspirin on length of stay in the neonatal intensive care unit. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2018;218(6):612 e1- e6. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajog.2018.02.014
33. Rolnik DL, Nicolaides KH, Poon LC. Prevention of preeclampsia with aspirin. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2022;226(2S):S1108-S119. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajog.2020.08.045
34. Rolnik DL, Syngelaki A, O'Gorman N, Wright D, Nicolaides KH, Poon LC. Aspirin for evidence-based preeclampsia prevention trial: effects of aspirin on maternal serum pregnancy-associated plasma protein A and placental growth factor trajectories in pregnancy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2023. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajog.2023.12.031

35. Roberge S, Nicolaides K, Demers S, Hyett J, Chaillet N, Bujold E. The role of aspirin dose on the prevention of preeclampsia and fetal growth restriction: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2017;216(2):110-20 e6. DOI: 10.1016/j.ajog.2016.09.076
36. Hoffman MK, Goudar SS, Kodkany BS, Metgud M, Somannavar M, Okitawutshu J, et al. Low-dose aspirin for the prevention of preterm delivery in nulliparous women with a singleton pregnancy (ASPIRIN): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2020;395(10220):285-93. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)32973-3
37. Roberge S, Nicolaides KH, Demers S, Villa P, Bujold E. Prevention of perinatal death and adverse perinatal outcome using low-dose aspirin: a meta-analysis. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2013;41(5):491-9. DOI: 10.1002/uog.12421
38. Sakowicz A. The Targeting of Nuclear Factor Kappa B by Drugs Adopted for the Prevention and Treatment of Preeclampsia. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2022;23(5). DOI: 10.3390/ijms23052881
39. Thadhani R, Lemoine E, Rana S, Costantine MM, Calsavara VF, Boggess K, et al. Circulating Angiogenic Factor Levels in Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy. *NEJM Evid.* 2022;1(12):EVIDoa2200161. DOI: 10.1056/EVIDoa2200161
40. Emeruwa UN, Gyamfi-Bannerman C, C, Laurent LC. Biomarkers and the Risk of Preeclampsia. *JAMA.* 2023;329(7):539-41. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2022.24906