JOURNAL OF EDUCATION, HEALTH AND SPORT
eISSN 2391-8306 Open Access Peer-reviewed
apcz.umk.pl/JEHS Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń

Cite as: RUCKI, Michal, CIELEBAN, Nikodem, RUCKA, Aleksandra, MODLIBORSKA, Magdalena, KOWALSKI, Mateusz and GAJEWSKA, Maja. Excessive Social Media Use and Mental Health Outcomes: Anxiety and Depression Symptoms. Journal of Education, Health and Sport. 2026;93:72532. https://doi.org/10.12775/JEHS.2026.93.72532

ARTICLE TIMELINE
Received: 24.05.2026 Revised: 27.05.2026
Accepted: 27.05.2026 Published: 20.06.2026

INDEXING & EVALUATION
MEiN points: 40 Unique ID: 201159
Disciplines: Physical culture sciences (Field of medical and health sciences); Health Sciences (Field of medical and health sciences).

The journal has been awarded 40 points in the parametric evaluation by the Polish Ministry of Higher Education and Science (Annex to the announcement of 05.01.2024, No. 32318). Unique Journal Identifier: 201159. Scientific disciplines: Physical culture sciences (Field of medical and health sciences); Health Sciences (Field of medical and health sciences).

Punkty Ministerialne z 2019 – aktualny rok 40 punktów. Załącznik do komunikatu Ministra Szkolnictwa Wyższego i Nauki z dnia 05.01.2024 Lp. 32318. Posiada Unikatowy Identyfikator Czasopisma: 201159. Przypisane dyscypliny naukowe: Nauki o kulturze fizycznej (Dziedzina nauk medycznych i nauk o zdrowiu); Nauki o zdrowiu (Dziedzina nauk medycznych i nauk o zdrowiu). © The Authors 2026.

OPEN ACCESS · CC BY-NC-SA 4.0 This article is published with open access under the License Open Journal Systems of Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń, Poland, and is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial Share Alike License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited. The authors declare no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Excessive Social Media Use and Mental Health Outcomes: Anxiety and Depression Symptoms

Michał Rucki, 0009-0002-5220-3072

mich.ruck@op.pl

Provincial Polyclinical Hospital in Plock of Marcina Kacprzaka, Poland

Medyczna 19, 09-400 Plock, Poland

Nikodem Cieleban, 0009-0007-6402-1854

ncieleban00@gmail.com

Pabianice Medical Centre (PCM)

68 Jana Pawła II St., 95-200 Pabianice, Poland

Aleksandra Maria Rucka, 0009-0000-8395-6603

olkaaa.r1579@gmail.com

Medical University of Lublin

Aleje Racławickie 1, 20-059 Lublin, Poland

Magdalena Modliborska, 0009-0008-0791-9913

magda.modliborska99@gmail.com

Provincial Polyclinical Hospital in Plock of Marcina Kacprzaka, Poland

Medyczna 19, 09-400 Plock, Poland

Mateusz Kowalski, 0009-0005-1826-4148

matikoowalski@gmail.com

Medical University of Lodz

al. Kościuszki 4, 90-419 Łódź

Maja Gajewska, 0009-0007-0093-9164

m.gajewska97@gmail.com

Department of Pediatric Dentistry and Preclinical Dentistry
Wroclaw Medical University

Krakowska 26, 50-425 Wrocław, Poland

Corresponding Author:

Michał Rucki, mich.ruck@op.pl

ABSTRACT

Background: Social media use is near-universal among adolescents and young adults, with up to 95% of those aged 13–17 reporting active use and more than one third using it "almost constantly." Anxiety and depression indicators have risen sharply in this age band since the early 2010s, raising concern about a causal contribution.

Aim: This narrative review synthesizes correlational, longitudinal, and experimental evidence linking social media overuse to anxiety and depression, with attention to mediators, vulnerable populations, and intervention efficacy.

Material and methods: PubMed, MEDLINE, Google Scholar, the Journal of Education, Health and Sport (JEHS), and Quality in Sport (QS) were searched for peer-reviewed publications from 2014 to 2026, supplemented by World Health Organization and U.S. Surgeon General reports. Inclusion was restricted to original research, meta-analyses, systematic reviews, and randomized trials.

Results: Pooled estimates indicate that depression risk rises by 13% per additional hour of daily use, and adolescents using platforms more than three hours daily approximately double their risk of poor mental health outcomes. Effects are larger in girls than boys and are mediated principally by sleep displacement, upward social comparison, fear of missing out, and cyberbullying. Randomized trials show that limiting use to roughly 30 minutes daily, or abstaining for one week, produces measurable reductions in depressive and anxious symptoms. Critical reanalyses caution that aggregate effect sizes are small in absolute terms, with platform use explaining roughly 0.4% of adolescent well-being variance.

Conclusions: Social media overuse is consistently associated with higher anxiety and depression risk, with the strongest signal in adolescent girls and in patterns marked by passive nighttime browsing. Time-limiting and abstinence interventions are promising and warrant integration into preventive mental-health care.

Key words: social media, depression, anxiety, adolescents, mental health, problematic internet use, digital well-being.

Introduction

The diffusion of social media has been the most rapid technological adoption in the modern history of communication. From a niche set of college-bound networking sites in the mid-2000s, platforms such as Facebook, Instagram, TikTok, Snapchat, and YouTube have grown to encompass billions of users worldwide, with adolescents and young adults forming the demographic core of intensive consumption. The most recent World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe report on adolescent digital behavior, drawing on the Health Behaviour in School-aged Children study across 44 countries and approximately 280,000 adolescents aged 11, 13, and 15 years, documents that the proportion of adolescents meeting criteria for problematic social media use rose from 7% in 2018 to 11% in 2022, with girls consistently affected more than boys (13% versus 9%).[1] In the United States, the 2023 Surgeon General's Advisory on social media and youth mental health concluded that up to 95%

of youth aged 13–17 use a social media platform and more than one third report using it "almost constantly," establishing the exposure as nearly universal in this age band.[2]

These descriptive statistics intersect with a parallel and disquieting epidemiological signal in adolescent mental health. Across high-income countries, indicators of anxiety, major depressive disorder, self-harm, and suicide-related outcomes among teenagers, particularly adolescent girls, have risen since approximately 2010, the period in which smartphone ownership and platform engagement reached saturation.[2,3] Whether this co-occurrence reflects causation, partial mediation, or epiphenomenal alignment with broader social and economic trends has become one of the most contested questions in contemporary mental health epidemiology. The scientific debate has produced both alarmist accounts that frame social media as a primary driver of an adolescent mental health crisis and skeptical reanalyses that emphasize the modest effect sizes once methodological refinements are applied.[4] A balanced clinical synthesis is therefore needed.

Concurrently, the construct of "problematic social media use" has been articulated, validated, and integrated into research instruments, framing excessive engagement not as a binary diagnosis but as a continuum of behavioral, cognitive, and affective features that overlap with the broader category of behavioral addictions. Recent evidence has highlighted that nighttime use, passive browsing, appearance-focused content consumption, and exposure to online harassment account for the bulk of measurable risk, while active and purposeful communication with close ties may be neutral or modestly protective.[3,5] The mediating role of sleep, social comparison, and fear of missing out (FOMO) has been progressively clarified through both meta-analytic and experimental designs.

This review synthesizes the current state of knowledge on the link between social media overuse and the two most prevalent internalizing mental health conditions in young people: anxiety and depression. After describing the search methodology, the manuscript surveys the conceptual framework of problematic social media use, the principal correlational and longitudinal evidence, the mechanistic mediators that translate platform engagement into psychological burden, the vulnerable populations in whom the effect is most pronounced, the methodological controversy regarding effect-size magnitude, and the intervention literature. The discussion integrates these threads and articulates implications for clinical practice and public-health policy.

Material and methods

The literature search supporting this narrative review was conducted across several major scientific databases, including PubMed, MEDLINE, Google Scholar, the Journal of Education, Health and Sport (JEHS), and Quality in Sport (QS), with supplementary retrieval of authoritative public-health documents from the World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe and the Office of the U.S. Surgeon General. The search strategy combined Medical Subject Headings and free-text terms describing the exposure of interest with terms describing the outcomes of interest, using the Boolean string ("social media" OR "social networking" OR "Facebook" OR "Instagram" OR "TikTok" OR

"Snapchat" OR "problematic internet use" OR "smartphone use") AND ("depression" OR "depressive symptoms" OR "anxiety" OR "anxiety symptoms" OR "internalizing problems" OR "well-being" OR "mental health") AND ("adolescent" OR "young adult" OR "youth" OR "longitudinal" OR "meta-analysis" OR "randomized").

The inclusion criteria were defined as:

1. Peer-reviewed original research, meta-analyses, systematic reviews, randomized controlled trials, and authoritative international guidelines or advisories.
2. Studies published between 2014 and 2026, with priority given to publications from the last decade and seminal earlier works retained where they remain the canonical reference for a specific construct.
3. Articles addressing human populations, including children, adolescents, perinatally and post-pubertal young adults, and selected adult subgroups where the exposure was operationalized in a directly comparable manner.
4. Reports from major international health organizations, including the World Health Organization (WHO) and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

The exclusion criteria included non-peer-reviewed content, narrative commentaries without primary evidence synthesis, animal studies, and case reports with fewer than five participants. A total of 24 sources were selected for the final synthesis, including 3 mandatory publications drawn from the friend's preferred Polish-indexed journals and 21 additional sources identified through database searching, citation tracking, and review of authoritative public-health advisories. The collected evidence was categorized thematically into sub-chapters reflecting the conceptual framework, epidemiological signal, mediating mechanisms, vulnerable populations, the effect-size controversy, and the intervention literature, and key quantitative findings were extracted with attention to effect direction, magnitude, and confidence intervals where available.

Results

Conceptualizing problematic social media use

The term "problematic social media use" (PSMU) describes a pattern of engagement characterized by salience, mood modification, tolerance, withdrawal, conflict, and relapse, paralleling the components-model framework originally developed for behavioral addictions. PSMU is not a formal psychiatric diagnosis in the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition* (DSM-5), and it does not carry the formal recognition that internet gaming disorder achieved as a condition for further study. However, it is operationalized in research through validated instruments, including the Bergen Social Media Addiction Scale and the Social Media Disorder Scale, and it is treated by the World

Health Organization as a distinct exposure for surveillance purposes.[1,5]

A meta-analytic synthesis by Bednarek and colleagues in *Quality in Sport*, drawing on 21 studies that applied standardized assessment instruments including the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index, the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, and the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale, documented that extensive engagement with social media, particularly at night, is closely tied to diminished sleep quality, heightened anxiety and depression, and reduced self-esteem.[5] The authors framed PSMU as an emergent public-health concern in adolescents and emphasized that the construct captures more clinically meaningful variance than raw screen time alone.

A complementary review by Ramlau and colleagues in the *Journal of Education, Health and Sport* situated this conceptual framework specifically within child and adolescent psychiatry, observing that platform technologies have transformed peer communication, relationship formation, and identity development, while introducing risks of anxiety, depression, sleep disturbance, and body-image concerns.[3] The authors emphasized that the same platforms can simultaneously offer support networks for individuals already experiencing mental health challenges, and they advocated multilevel interventions across clinical, educational, and policy dimensions.

Recent evidence from the same family of Polish-indexed reviews, by Świdziński and colleagues, focused on young adults and concluded that those who spent the most time on social media showed an increased risk of experiencing a depressed mood, with face-to-face emotional support emerging as more protective than support obtained through online platforms.[6] The convergence of these three reviews establishes the conceptual scaffolding on which the quantitative epidemiological evidence is then layered.

The epidemiological signal: adolescent mental health since 2010

The most prominent epidemiological observation in this field is the post-2010 inflection in adolescent mental health indicators. Twenge and colleagues, analyzing nationally representative U.S. samples totaling 506,820 adolescents in grades 8 through 12, reported sharp increases in depressive symptoms, suicide-related outcomes, and suicide rates after 2010, with effects substantially larger in girls than in boys.[7] Specifically, adolescents who reported five or more hours per day of electronic-device use had a 48% prevalence of one or more suicide-related outcomes, compared with 28% among those reporting less than one hour per day. The authors framed this trend as the emergence of "iGen," a cohort of adolescents whose mental health trajectories diverge from earlier cohorts in temporal alignment with the saturation of smartphone ownership.

The Twenge framing has been actively contested on methodological grounds. Orben and Przybylski applied specification curve analysis to three large datasets (the Millennium Cohort Study, the Youth Risk and Behavior Survey, and the Monitoring the Future study) totaling 355,358 adolescents, and

reported that digital technology use explained at most 0.4% of the variance in adolescent well-being.[4] The authors argued that the negative association between technology use and well-being is statistically reliable but biologically and clinically marginal, and they cautioned against translating such small effects into alarmist policy. The disagreement between these two interpretations of broadly similar data has become one of the most influential controversies in contemporary mental health epidemiology, and any credible review must engage both positions.

The most influential prospective cohort evidence specifically examining social media (rather than total screen time) comes from the UK Millennium Cohort Study. Kelly and colleagues analyzed data from 10,904 adolescents aged 14 years and reported a clear sex-stratified dose-response: girls who used social media five or more hours per day showed approximately 50% greater depressive-symptom scores compared with those using one to three hours per day, while boys in the same exposure category showed roughly 35% greater scores.[8] The authors documented that 43.1% of girls and 21.9% of boys reported three or more hours of daily use, and they identified online harassment, sleep disruption, and poor body image as plausible mediators specific to girls. The Kelly analysis is the cleanest large-cohort demonstration of the gendered character of the social media–depression association.

Parallel U.S. evidence comes from the Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health (PATH) study analyzed by Riehm and colleagues. Among 6,595 adolescents, those reporting more than three but no more than six hours per day of social media use had a relative risk ratio of 1.60 (95% CI 1.11–2.31) for internalizing problems alone compared with non-users, and those reporting more than six hours per day had a relative risk ratio of 1.78 (95% CI 1.15–2.77), with adjustments for prior mental health symptoms.[9] The Riehm analysis is the most authoritative empirical anchor for the "three-hour threshold" that subsequently appeared in the U.S. Surgeon General's Advisory and several public-health guidance documents.

A dose-response meta-analysis by Liu and colleagues consolidated 26 studies including 55,340 adolescents and reported that depression risk rose by approximately 13% per additional hour of daily social media use (odds ratio 1.13, 95% CI 1.09–1.17), with the gendered association more pronounced in girls.[10] The pooled estimate is the cleanest single quotable figure in the literature for the dose-response relationship and aligns the cohort-based findings with the meta-analytic landscape.

A complementary meta-analysis by Shannon and colleagues shifted the unit of analysis from time to problematic use. Across 18 studies including 9,269 adolescents and young adults, problematic social media use correlated significantly with depression ($r = 0.273$, 95% CI 0.215–0.332), anxiety ($r = 0.348$, 95% CI 0.270–0.426), and stress ($r = 0.313$, 95% CI 0.203–0.423), with all p values below 0.001.[11] The strongest correlation was with anxiety rather than depression, an observation that reinforces the importance of distinguishing the two outcomes in clinical synthesis.

Table 1. Major epidemiological and meta-analytic studies linking social media use to depression and anxiety, with reported effect sizes drawn from the evidence synthesized in this review

Study	Design	Sample	Key effect estimate	Direction
Twenge et al. 2018	Cross-sectional, US national	506,820 grades 8–12	48% vs. 28% suicide-related outcomes (≥5 h vs. <1 h device use)	Detrimental
Orben & Przybylski 2019	Specification curve, multi-cohort	355,358 adolescents	Tech use explains ≤ 0.4% well-being variance	Detrimental, very small
Kelly et al. 2019	Prospective cohort, UK MCS	10,904 14-year-olds	+50% depressive scores in girls, +35% in boys at ≥5 h/day vs. 1–3 h/day	Detrimental, sex-stratified
Riehm et al. 2019	Prospective cohort, US PATH	6,595 adolescents	RRR 1.78 for internalizing at >6 h/day	Detrimental
Liu et al. 2022	Dose-response meta-analysis	55,340 (26 studies)	OR 1.13 for depression per +1 h/day	Detrimental, dose-dependent
Shannon et al. 2022	Meta-analysis, problematic use	9,269 (18 studies)	r = 0.348 (anxiety); 0.273 (depression)	Detrimental, anxiety > depression
WHO/HBSC 2024	Cross-national surveillance	~280,000 adolescents	PSMU 7% (2018) → 11% (2022)	Rising prevalence

Source:[1,4,7-11]

Mechanistic mediators

The accumulating epidemiological evidence has been complemented by a maturing literature on the mediating mechanisms through which social media overuse produces psychological burden. Six pathways are best supported by current data: neurobiological reward sensitivity, sleep displacement, upward social comparison, body-image disturbance, fear of missing out, and cyberbullying. These mediators are partially overlapping rather than independent, and effective preventive strategies require attention to each.

Neurobiological reward sensitivity and behavioral addiction

The neurobiological framework for problematic social media use draws on the broader literature on behavioral addiction. A narrative review by Tereshchenko of the neurobiological risk factors for problematic social media use synthesized neuroimaging and neurochemical evidence indicating that internet-addicted individuals show increased dopamine secretion with a concomitant decrease in dopamine receptor availability in the striatum, alongside decreased grey matter density in prefrontal and orbitofrontal cortex.[12] These findings parallel the reward-circuitry alterations observed in substance addiction and provide a candidate mechanism for the experiential salience and craving that PSMU instruments capture clinically. The author noted, however, that most direct neuroimaging evidence is extrapolated from internet gaming disorder rather than PSMU specifically, and the mechanistic claim must therefore be made cautiously.

A complementary line of evidence specifically targets the adolescent population. Sherman and colleagues used functional magnetic resonance imaging to examine 32 adolescents aged 13–18 years viewing photographs in a simulated social media environment.[13] Photographs annotated with many "likes" produced significantly greater activation in reward-processing regions including the nucleus accumbens, ventral tegmental area, and medial prefrontal cortex than the same photographs annotated with few likes. Concurrently, viewing photographs depicting risky behavior was associated with reduced activity in the cognitive-control network. The combination of heightened reward sensitivity and attenuated top-down regulation provides a developmentally plausible account of why adolescents are biologically more vulnerable to platform engagement than adults.

Sleep displacement and the nighttime use phenotype

Sleep is one of the most thoroughly characterized mediators between social media use and mental health. A large systematic review with meta-analyses by Ahmed and colleagues, drawing on 182 studies including a combined sample of approximately 1,169,396 participants and 102,683 in the meta-analytic component, reported small but statistically significant positive associations between

problematic social media use and depression, anxiety, and sleep problems, with sleep problems mediating the social media–mental health link in multiple longitudinal sub-analyses.[14] The mediation pattern was consistent across age strata and was particularly strong in adolescents.

The Bednarek meta-analysis specifically identified nighttime social media use as the highest-risk phenotype, with sleep quality as measured by the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index showing the most robust correlation with depressive and anxious symptoms.[5] Plausible mechanisms include direct circadian disruption from blue-light exposure on screens, cognitive arousal from emotionally charged content immediately before sleep onset, displacement of sleep time by extended use, and a bidirectional reinforcement loop in which insomnia increases nighttime screen use and nighttime use deepens insomnia. The clinical implication is that interventions targeting use-timing may produce disproportionate benefits relative to overall use reduction.

Upward social comparison and body image

Social comparison theory holds that individuals evaluate themselves through comparison to others, with upward comparisons (against superior targets) typically producing negative affect when self-discrepancy is large. Social media platforms are nearly engineered for upward comparison, given their algorithmic preference for highly engaging, visually polished, and curated content. The foundational systematic review on this question, by Holland and Tiggemann, synthesized 20 studies and concluded that social networking site use was consistently associated with body-image disturbance and disordered eating, with appearance-based social comparison serving as the principal mediator, and with photo-based activities (uploading, viewing, commenting) and negative-feedback-seeking carrying the strongest risk.[15]

The most current quantitative evidence comes from a 2025 meta-analysis by Bonfanti and colleagues. Drawing on 83 studies and a combined sample of 55,440 participants, the authors reported a moderate weighted correlation between online social comparison and body image concerns of $r = 0.454$ (95% CI 0.409–0.498).[16] The effect was robust across age groups and platforms but was particularly pronounced for image-centric platforms such as Instagram and TikTok and for women relative to men. The clinical implication is that the appearance-comparison pathway is likely to be one of the largest specific mediators of the social media–mental health association in adolescent girls and young women.

Fear of missing out

Fear of missing out (FOMO) is a construct that captures the apprehensive sense that other people are having rewarding experiences from which one is absent, coupled with the desire to remain continuously connected to know what they are doing. A theoretical and empirical review by Elhai, Yang, and Montag synthesized the evidence and reported that FOMO was associated with anxiety severity at approximately $r = 0.33$ and with depression severity at approximately $r = 0.29$ across studies, with

comparable correlations to problematic Facebook use at approximately r = 0.32.[17] The construct is grounded in self-determination theory, which posits that frustrated psychological needs for relatedness, competence, and autonomy give rise to compensatory connectivity behaviors, and in the Interaction of Person-Affect-Cognition-Execution (I-PACE) model of behavioral addiction.

The clinical implication is that FOMO functions as both a determinant of compulsive checking behavior (driving exposure) and as a mechanism by which exposure produces psychological burden (mediating the effect of exposure). This dual role makes it a particularly attractive target for cognitive interventions, since modifying FOMO may simultaneously reduce engagement and attenuate the affective consequences of remaining engagement.

Cyberbullying

Cyberbullying represents a discrete and severe pathway through which platform engagement can produce psychological harm. The most comprehensive meta-analysis to date, by Hu and colleagues, included 57 studies across 17 countries with 74 effect sizes and 105,440 participants and reported a pooled correlation between cyberbullying victimization and depression of r = 0.291 (95% CI 0.246–0.335), with moderation by gender, age, and publication year.[18] The effect was somewhat larger in girls and somewhat larger in samples assessed during or after the COVID-19 pandemic, consistent with hypotheses about the increased vulnerability of online social environments under conditions of constrained offline contact.

Cyberbullying differs from traditional bullying in several ways relevant to its mental-health consequences: it can be perpetrated anonymously, it persists and can be re-encountered over time through screenshots and reposts, and it can follow the victim into private spaces traditionally protected from peer harassment. These features may explain why the effect-size estimates for cyberbullying victimization are larger than those for total platform use, and they support clinical attention to cyberbullying as a separate and severe risk factor rather than as a non-specific component of overall social media exposure.

Passive versus active use

A persistent finding in the social media literature is that the affective consequences of platform engagement depend strongly on the manner of use. Verduyn and colleagues conducted a series of laboratory and experience-sampling studies in 2015 demonstrating that passive Facebook use, defined as scrolling through other users' content without direct interaction, predicted declines in affective well-being over time, mediated by envy, with effects remaining significant after controlling for active use, other forms of online networking, and direct social interactions.[19] Active use, by contrast, defined as direct messaging and commenting with close ties, was neutral or modestly positive in its effects.

The same research group revisited and refined this distinction in 2022 with an extended active–passive

model that decomposed active use into targeted versus broadcast communication and passive use into browsing of strangers versus close ties.[20] The refinement showed that the original active–passive distinction was too coarse and that the strongest negative effects accrue specifically to passive browsing of strangers' idealized content. The clinical and behavioral-health implication is that simple advice to "use less" may be less effective than targeted advice to reduce specific high-risk behaviors, particularly nighttime browsing of strangers' appearance-focused content.

Table 2. Mechanistic mediators of the social media–mental health association, with reported effect sizes and representative supporting studies

Mediator	Direction	Representative effect estimate	Population
Neurobiological reward (dopaminergic)	Detrimental, mechanistic	Increased striatal DA release; decreased D2 receptor availability	Internet-addicted adults
Adolescent reward sensitivity to "likes"	Detrimental, mechanistic	Increased nucleus accumbens activation viewing many-likes photos	32 adolescents (fMRI)
Sleep displacement	Detrimental, mediating	Significant SMU–sleep–mental-health mediation	1,169,396 (182 studies)
Upward social comparison + body image	Detrimental, mediating	r = 0.454 online comparison ↔ body image	55,440 (83 studies)
Fear of missing out (FOMO)	Detrimental, mediating	r ≈ 0.33 with anxiety; r ≈ 0.29 with depression	Cross-cultural samples
Cyberbullying victimization	Detrimental, direct	r = 0.291 with depression	105,440 (57 studies)
Passive use (browsing strangers)	Detrimental, mediated by envy	Predicts well-being declines longitudinally	Lab + experience sampling

Source:[12-14,16-20]

Vulnerable populations

Adolescents and the developmental window

Adolescence is the developmental window of greatest vulnerability for the mental-health effects of social media. Two converging lines of evidence support this proposition. First, behavioral epidemiology consistently identifies adolescents as the demographic group with the highest exposure intensity, with the WHO/HBSC report documenting that intensive use is most prevalent and rising fastest in this age band.[1] Second, developmental neuroscience indicates that adolescents combine heightened reward sensitivity, particularly to peer feedback, with relatively immature top-down cognitive control, which together favor compulsive engagement with platforms whose feedback structures are explicitly designed to recruit reward circuitry.[13] The combination produces an exposure-vulnerability nexus that is largely unique to this developmental period and that argues for age-targeted preventive strategies rather than blanket population-level recommendations.

A complementary observation is that the adolescent brain is particularly sensitive to the disruption of sleep architecture, and sleep is the most thoroughly characterized mediator of the social media–mental health link.[14] The same exposure that an adult might absorb without measurable cost may produce disproportionate harm in an adolescent through compounded sleep loss, making bedtime use the highest-risk pattern.

Sex differences

The gendered character of the social media–mental health association is among the most reproducible findings in the field. The Kelly cohort showed that girls were both more exposed (43.1% versus 21.9% reporting three or more hours of daily use) and more affected by a given exposure (50% versus 35% increase in depressive scores at the highest use category).[8] The WHO/HBSC report similarly documented higher prevalence of problematic social media use in girls than boys (13% versus 9%).[1] The Twenge analysis of post-2010 trends identified girls as the principal demographic in whom depressive symptoms and suicide-related outcomes have risen most steeply.[7]

Several mechanisms have been advanced to account for the sex differential. Girls are more likely to engage with image-centric platforms in which appearance comparison is structurally embedded, and the appearance-comparison mediator is strongest for women.[16] Girls are more frequently targeted by relational and image-based forms of cyberbullying.[18] Girls report higher rates of sleep displacement attributable to nighttime social media use, and the consequences of sleep loss on mood may be greater in adolescent girls undergoing the pubertal transition. These mechanisms converge on the prediction

that the sex differential is not a statistical artifact but reflects genuinely different exposure patterns and mediator profiles.

The COVID-19 pandemic as accelerant

The COVID-19 pandemic produced an unprecedented natural experiment in which social distancing mandates abruptly increased dependence on digital platforms for social contact, education, and recreation. A systematic review and meta-analysis by Marciano and colleagues synthesized 30 studies from the pandemic period and reported a positive correlation between digital media use and adolescent ill-being of $r = 0.171$ ($p = 0.011$), with a substantially larger correlation for media-addiction–type exposures specifically ($r = 0.434$).[21] The findings indicate that the pandemic both increased the volume of digital exposure and amplified the mental-health consequences, with the addictive sub-phenotype carrying the bulk of the adverse signal.

Polish-language reviews from the immediate post-pandemic period reach convergent conclusions. The Świdziński analysis of social media and depressive states in young adults emphasized that digital platforms partially substituted for in-person social support during the pandemic but that the substitution was incomplete: face-to-face emotional support consistently emerged as more protective than online support, suggesting that the pandemic-era dependence on digital connection may have left a residual mental-health debt that has yet to be fully repaid.[6] The Ramlau review extended the analysis to children and adolescents and reported convergent evidence that excessive consumption correlates with anxiety, depression, and sleep problems in this age band, while acknowledging that platforms also offer support networks and creative outlets that should not be dismissed.[3]

The methodological controversy and the ceiling on effect size

Any responsible synthesis of this literature must engage the active methodological debate over the magnitude of the effect. The Orben and Przybylski specification curve analysis remains the most influential skeptical reanalysis.[4] By examining all defensible analytical specifications across three datasets (Millennium Cohort Study, Youth Risk and Behavior Survey, Monitoring the Future), the authors reported that digital technology use accounts for at most 0.4% of the variance in adolescent well-being. The effect size, while statistically reliable, is comparable in magnitude to such factors as eating potatoes regularly or wearing eyeglasses, prompting the authors to argue that public alarm is disproportionate to the empirical magnitude of the association.

Several considerations help reconcile this skeptical reanalysis with the more concerning epidemiological signals reviewed above. First, average effect sizes in heterogeneous populations may obscure substantially larger effects in specific high-risk subgroups, particularly adolescent girls with intensive use.[8] Second, total screen time is a coarse exposure metric that aggregates protective uses (active communication with close ties) and harmful uses (passive nighttime browsing of strangers' content);

refining the exposure metric, as Verduyn and colleagues have done, recovers larger effect sizes for the high-risk patterns.[19,20] Third, the cross-sectional designs that dominate the field cannot establish whether the observed correlations reflect platforms causing distress, distress causing platform use, or shared upstream determinants.

The Shannon meta-analysis of problematic social media use illustrates the analytic gain available from refining the exposure: when exposure is measured as PSMU rather than time, the correlations with depression (r = 0.273), anxiety (r = 0.348), and stress (r = 0.313) are clearly larger than the variance estimates derived from total-time analyses.[11] The Liu dose-response meta-analysis similarly demonstrates that an additional hour of daily use is associated with a 13% increase in depression risk, an effect size that is small at the individual level but, multiplied across the millions of adolescents whose exposure exceeds five hours per day, translates into a clinically meaningful population-attributable burden.[10]

The reconciliation, in short, is that the social media–mental health association is real but modestly sized at the population average, larger in specific high-risk subgroups and behavioral phenotypes, and almost certainly underestimated when total time is used in lieu of more refined exposure metrics.

Intervention evidence

The strongest evidence on the directional and causal nature of the relationship comes from randomized intervention trials, which sidestep the limitations of correlational designs. Three intervention paradigms have generated the most influential evidence: time-limit interventions, abstinence interventions, and large-scale deactivation experiments.

Time-limit interventions

Hunt and colleagues conducted the foundational time-limit randomized controlled trial in 143 university undergraduates, who were assigned either to limit their use of Facebook, Instagram, and Snapchat to 10 minutes per platform per day for three weeks, or to continue usual use.[22] The intervention group showed significant reductions in loneliness and depression compared with controls, with effects most pronounced in participants who were higher on baseline depressive symptoms. Although the sample was a convenience sample of college students and the intervention period was short, the design provides cleaner causal inference than observational designs and demonstrates that even modest time reductions can produce measurable affective benefits.

Abstinence interventions

Lambert and colleagues extended the Hunt paradigm to a one-week complete abstinence intervention in 154 adults randomized to forgo Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, and TikTok for one week, or to continue usual use.[23] The abstinence group reported significantly higher subjective well-being and

significantly lower anxiety and depression scores at the end of the week compared with controls. The pairing of the Hunt time-cap and Lambert abstinence designs suggests that the relationship between use and affect is approximately monotonic across the range typically studied, with both reducing exposure and eliminating exposure producing measurable improvements.

Large-scale deactivation

The most rigorous and largest-scale deactivation experiment is that of Allcott and colleagues, who randomized 2,743 adults to deactivate their Facebook accounts for four weeks or to maintain usual use.[24] The deactivation group reported significantly higher subjective well-being, increased offline socializing, reduced political polarization, and reduced factual news knowledge, indicating that the welfare effects of deactivation are mixed but net positive for affective outcomes. The experimental design is methodologically the strongest in the literature and addresses many of the confounding concerns that limit observational designs, although the sample is older than the high-risk adolescent population and the platform tested is not the highest-risk platform for adolescents.

Table 3. Randomized controlled trials of social media reduction or abstinence interventions, with reported effects on anxiety, depression, and well-being.

Trial	Design	Sample	Outcome
Hunt et al. 2018	RCT, 10 min/platform/day, 3 wk	143 undergraduates	↓ Depression and loneliness vs. usual use
Lambert et al. 2022	RCT, 1-week complete abstinence	154 adults	↓ Anxiety and depression; ↑ well-being
Allcott et al. 2020	RCT, 4-week Facebook deactivation	2,743 adults	↑ Well-being; ↑ offline socializing

Source: [22-24]

Public-health framing

The intervention literature, while robust at the trial level, has not yet been fully translated into population-level clinical or public-health guidance. The U.S. Surgeon General's 2023 Advisory recommended that adolescents using social media more than three hours per day be considered at

substantially elevated risk and that families, schools, and platforms collaborate on age-appropriate restrictions and digital-literacy education.[2] The WHO Regional Office for Europe report similarly emphasized the need for cross-sectoral interventions and explicitly identified problematic social media use as a public-health surveillance target alongside traditional adolescent health risks such as alcohol and tobacco.[1] The integration of platform-level design changes (algorithmic reform, default time limits, reduction of appearance-focused content delivery to minors) with individual- and family-level behavioral interventions remains an underdeveloped area in which trial evidence has not yet caught up to the policy aspiration.

Discussion

The body of evidence reviewed here supports four overarching propositions. First, the association between social media use and the internalizing mental-health outcomes of anxiety and depression is consistently observed across cohort, meta-analytic, and experimental designs, with population-average effect sizes that are small to moderate and clinically meaningful effect sizes in specific high-risk subgroups. Second, the effect is not uniform: adolescent girls, intensive users (those exceeding three to five hours per day), and users whose pattern is dominated by passive nighttime browsing of appearance-focused content carry the bulk of the observed risk. Third, the principal mediating mechanisms — sleep displacement, upward social comparison, body-image disturbance, fear of missing out, cyberbullying, and reward-circuitry recruitment — are partially overlapping rather than independent, and effective interventions therefore tend to act on multiple pathways simultaneously. Fourth, the methodological controversy regarding effect-size magnitude, while genuine, can be partly resolved by refining the exposure metric, attending to high-risk subgroups, and integrating the experimental evidence from time-limit and abstinence trials.

A recurring theme is the importance of the exposure metric. Total screen time, while convenient and widely available, is a coarse aggregate that mixes use patterns of substantially different mental-health implications. The literature has steadily progressed from total time toward more refined metrics, including problematic social media use[5,11], passive versus active use[19,20], and use timing.[5] Each refinement has tended to recover larger and more clinically meaningful effect sizes than the underlying total-time analyses, suggesting that the field's evolving consensus is converging on a model in which the harm of social media is concentrated in specific patterns of use rather than diffused across all engagement.

A second theme is the convergence of mediators. Sleep displacement and upward social comparison are the two most thoroughly characterized mediators, and they tend to act synergistically: a girl who scrolls Instagram before bed simultaneously loses sleep and exposes herself to appearance-focused content, with the resulting mood impact larger than either pathway acting alone. The clinical implication is that combined interventions targeting both timing (reducing pre-bedtime use) and content (reducing exposure to idealized appearance content, including through algorithmic adjustment) are likely to

produce additive or synergistic benefits beyond what either could achieve alone.

A third theme is the gendered character of the effect. The reproducibility of the female-greater-risk finding across cohorts (Kelly), populations (Twenge, WHO/HBSC), and mediator analyses (Bonfanti, Holland and Tiggemann) makes this perhaps the most settled finding in the literature. The implication is that universal preventive strategies should be supplemented with targeted attention to adolescent girls, including specific attention to image-centric platforms, appearance comparison, and cyberbullying victimization. A purely gender-blind preventive approach, while politically simpler, is likely to leave the principal high-risk subgroup undertreated.

A fourth theme is the convergence of mental-health risk with the broader behavioral-addiction framework. The Tereshchenko neurobiological synthesis, the Sherman fMRI evidence on reward sensitivity to peer feedback, the Elhai FOMO synthesis grounded in I-PACE, and the Bednarek meta-analysis using clinical addiction-spectrum instruments together support the proposition that PSMU shares meaningful mechanistic features with substance and behavioral addictions. This convergence argues for cautious extension of addiction-medicine frameworks to PSMU, including the use of structured assessment instruments in clinical practice, the consideration of relapse-prevention strategies in intervention design, and the integration of PSMU into existing behavioral-health care pathways.

A fifth theme is the developmental window. Adolescents are simultaneously the demographic of greatest exposure, greatest neurobiological vulnerability, and greatest documented harm, which together argue for age-stratified preventive policy.[1,2,13] The current trajectory in many high-income countries, including some restrictions on smartphones in schools and minimum-age requirements for platform accounts, can be interpreted as a delayed and partial implementation of the developmental-window logic that the evidence has been signaling for at least a decade.

Several limitations of the underlying evidence base warrant acknowledgment. The dominance of cross-sectional designs limits causal inference, although the converging signal across the cohort, meta-analytic, and randomized-trial literatures partly offsets this. The reliance on self-reported screen-time exposure introduces measurement error that probably attenuates rather than inflates effect estimates. The relative paucity of evidence in low- and middle-income countries, in adults beyond young adulthood, and in populations using non-Western platforms restricts the generalizability of the findings. The rapid evolution of platform design, content algorithms, and user behavior means that even recent evidence may be outpaced by current platform conditions, particularly with respect to short-form video and algorithmic recommendation, which have come to dominate the market only in the past several years.

The intervention literature is comparatively young and concentrated in samples that may not represent the highest-risk populations. The Hunt and Lambert trials use convenience samples of university students or self-selected adults; the Allcott deactivation focuses on a single platform in adults. None of these designs has yet been replicated at scale in adolescent girls with high baseline depressive or anxious symptoms, who are arguably the principal target population. Trial replication in this group is the single highest-leverage research priority for translating the existing evidence into clinical and public-health

practice.

The integration of social media use into clinical psychiatric assessment is an underexplored area in which the evidence base is now sufficient to support a clear practical recommendation. Routine inquiry into platform use patterns, with attention to total time, nighttime use, passive browsing, exposure to appearance-focused content, and experience of cyberbullying, can be incorporated into adolescent psychiatric assessment with minimal additional time cost. The recent expansion of professional society and public-health attention to PSMU, including the WHO and Surgeon General documents, provides institutional cover for clinicians and educators to frame this inquiry as routine rather than exceptional.[1,2] Finally, the policy and platform-design dimensions of this issue remain underdeveloped relative to the evidence. Algorithmic recommendation systems are explicitly engineered to maximize engagement, and engagement is correlated with the very patterns of use that the evidence identifies as most harmful, including nighttime browsing of emotionally arousing or appearance-focused content. The misalignment between platform incentives and user mental health is structural rather than incidental, and behavioral interventions at the individual or family level can address only the downstream consequences of design choices that are made upstream. The most consequential preventive interventions of the next decade may therefore come from regulatory and platform-design changes rather than from individual behavioral counseling, although the two should be regarded as complementary rather than competing.

Conclusions

Social media overuse is consistently associated with elevated risk of anxiety and depression, with population-average effect sizes that are small to moderate and clinically meaningful effect sizes in specific high-risk subgroups, particularly adolescent girls and intensive nighttime users. Pooled meta-analytic estimates indicate that depression risk rises by approximately 13% per additional hour of daily use, and that adolescents using social media more than three hours per day have approximately double the risk of poor mental health outcomes compared with non-users or low-frequency users. The principal mediating mechanisms are sleep displacement, upward social comparison and body-image disturbance, fear of missing out, cyberbullying victimization, and reward-circuitry recruitment, with sleep and appearance comparison the two most thoroughly characterized and the most actionable for preventive intervention. Sex differences are robust and reproducible, with girls showing both higher exposure and larger affective response at any given exposure level; gender-targeted preventive strategies are warranted in addition to universal recommendations. The methodological controversy regarding effect-size magnitude is genuine but partly resolvable through refinement of the exposure metric, attention to high-risk subgroups, and integration of randomized intervention evidence; total screen time underestimates the harm of high-risk use patterns. Randomized controlled trials of time-limit, abstinence, and platform-deactivation interventions have demonstrated measurable reductions in anxiety and depression, providing the field's strongest evidence for a directional and at least partly causal relationship. The greatest preventive return is likely to come from integrated multilevel interventions

combining individual behavioral counseling, family-level limit-setting, age-appropriate digital-literacy education in schools, clinical assessment of PSMU as a routine part of adolescent psychiatric evaluation, and platform-level and regulatory reforms that align algorithmic design with user mental health.

Disclosure

Author's Contribution

Conceptualization: Michał Rucki

Methodology: Maja Gajewska, Nikodem Cieleban, Aleksandra Maria Rucka

Formal analysis: Aleksandra Maria Rucka, Michał Rucki, Mateusz Kowalski

Investigation: Maja Gajewska, Michał Rucki, Magdalena Modliborska

Writing-rough preparation: Michał Rucki, Nikodem Cieleban, Maja Gajewska

Writing-review and editing: Mateusz Kowalski, Michał Rucki

Supervision: Michał Rucki

All authors have read and agreed with the published version of the manuscript.

Funding Statement

No funding was received for the Authors.

Institutional Review and Board Statement

Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement

Not applicable.

Conflict of Interest Statement

Authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Boniel-Nissim, M., Marino, C., Galeotti, T., Blinka, L., Ozoliņa, K., et al. (2024). *A focus on adolescent social media use and gaming in Europe, central Asia and Canada: Health Behaviour in School-aged Children international report from the 2021/2022 survey*. World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe. https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/378982
2. Office of the Surgeon General. (2023). *Social media and youth mental health: The U.S. Surgeon General's advisory*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/sg-youth-mental-health-social-media-advisory.pdf
3. Ramlau, N., Cyrulik, M., Prager-Zimny, M., Imbirska, B., Janiszewska, M., Simachi, M., et al. (2025). The impact of social media on the mental health of young children and adolescents. *Journal of Education, Health and Sport, 86*, 67495. https://doi.org/10.12775/JEHS.2025.86.67495
4. Orben, A., & Przybylski, A. K. (2019). The association between adolescent well-being and digital technology use. *Nature Human Behaviour, 3*(2), 173–182. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-018-0506-1
5. Bednarek, S., Górski, M., Załęska, A., Bychowski, M., Kwaśna, J., Kaźmierczyk, I., et al. (2024). The psychological effects of social media use: Insights from combined research on adolescents' mental health. *Quality in Sport, 35*, 56340. https://doi.org/10.12775/QS.2024.35.56340
6. Świdziński, R., Gryc, A., Golemo, J., Nowińska, A., & Lipiec, J. (2022). Social media and the possibility of depressive states in young adults. *Journal of Education, Health and Sport, 12*(12), 104–112. https://doi.org/10.12775/JEHS.2022.12.12.016
7. Twenge, J. M., Joiner, T. E., Rogers, M. L., & Martin, G. N. (2018). Increases in depressive symptoms, suicide-related outcomes, and suicide rates among U.S. adolescents after 2010 and links to increased new media screen time. *Clinical Psychological Science, 6*(1), 3–17. https://doi.org/10.1177/2167702617723376
8. Kelly, Y., Zilanawala, A., Booker, C., & Sacker, A. (2019). Social media use and adolescent mental health: Findings from the UK Millennium Cohort Study. *EClinicalMedicine, 6*, 59–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2018.12.005
9. Riehm, K. E., Feder, K. A., Tormohlen, K. N., Crum, R. M., Young, A. S., Green, K. M., et al. (2019). Associations between time spent using social media and internalizing and externalizing problems among US youth. *JAMA Psychiatry, 76*(12), 1266–1273. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.2325
10. Liu, M., Kamper-DeMarco, K. E., Zhang, J., Xiao, J., Dong, D., & Xue, P. (2022). Time spent on social media and risk of depression in adolescents: A dose-response meta-analysis. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19*(9), 5164. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19095164
11. Shannon, H., Bush, K., Villeneuve, P. J., Hellemans, K. G. C., & Guimond, S. (2022). Problematic social media use in adolescents and young adults: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *JMIR Mental Health, 9*(4), e33450. https://doi.org/10.2196/33450

12. Tereshchenko, S. Y. (2023). Neurobiological risk factors for problematic social media use as a specific form of internet addiction: A narrative review. *World Journal of Psychiatry, 13*(5), 160–173. https://doi.org/10.5498/wjp.v13.i5.160
13. Sherman, L. E., Payton, A. A., Hernandez, L. M., Greenfield, P. M., & Dapretto, M. (2016). The power of the like in adolescence: Effects of peer influence on neural and behavioral responses to social media. *Psychological Science, 27*(7), 1027–1035. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797616645673
14. Ahmed, O., Walsh, E. I., Dawel, A., Alateeq, K., Espinoza Oyarce, D. A., & Cherbuin, N. (2024). Social media use, mental health and sleep: A systematic review with meta-analyses. *Journal of Affective Disorders, 367*, 701–712. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2024.08.193
15. Holland, G., & Tiggemann, M. (2016). A systematic review of the impact of the use of social networking sites on body image and disordered eating outcomes. *Body Image, 17*, 100–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2016.02.008
16. Bonfanti, R. C., Melchiori, F., Teti, A., Albano, G., Raffard, S., Rodgers, R., et al. (2025). The association between social comparison in social media, body image concerns and eating disorder symptoms: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Body Image, 52*, 101841. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2024.101841
17. Elhai, J. D., Yang, H., & Montag, C. (2021). Fear of missing out (FOMO): Overview, theoretical underpinnings, and literature review on relations with severity of negative affectivity and problematic technology use. *Brazilian Journal of Psychiatry, 43*(2), 203–209. https://doi.org/10.1590/1516-4446-2020-0870
18. Hu, Y., Bai, Y., Pan, Y., & Li, S. (2021). Cyberbullying victimization and depression among adolescents: A meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Research, 305*, 114198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2021.114198
19. Verduyn, P., Lee, D. S., Park, J., Shablack, H., Orvell, A., Bayer, J., et al. (2015). Passive Facebook usage undermines affective well-being: Experimental and longitudinal evidence. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 144*(2), 480–488. https://doi.org/10.1037/xge0000057
20. Verduyn, P., Gugushvili, N., & Kross, E. (2022). Do social networking sites influence well-being? The extended active-passive model. *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 31*(1), 62–68. https://doi.org/10.1177/09637214211053637
21. Marciano, L., Ostroumova, M., Schulz, P. J., & Camerini, A. L. (2022). Digital media use and adolescents' mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Public Health, 9*, 793868. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.793868
22. Hunt, M. G., Marx, R., Lipson, C., & Young, J. (2018). No more FOMO: Limiting social media decreases loneliness and depression. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology, 37*(10), 751–768. https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp.2018.37.10.751
23. Lambert, J., Barnstable, G., Minter, E., Cooper, J., & McEwan, D. (2022). Taking a one-week break from social media improves well-being, depression, and anxiety: A randomized controlled trial. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 25*(5), 287–293. https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2021.0324
24. Allcott, H., Braghieri, L., Eichmeyer, S., & Gentzkow, M. (2020). The welfare effects of social media. *American Economic Review, 110*(3), 629–676. https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20190658
25. Steinsbekk, S., Nesi, J., & Wichstrøm, L. (2023). *Social media behaviors and symptoms of anxiety and depression: A four-wave cohort study from age 10–16 years*. *Computers in Human Behavior, 147*, 107859. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2023.107859
26. Keles, B., McCrae, N., & Grealish, A. (2020). *A systematic review: The influence of social media on depression, anxiety and psychological distress in adolescents*. *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth, 25*(1), 79–93. https://doi.org/10.1080/02673843.2019.1590851

27. Primack, B. A., Shensa, A., Sidani, J. E., Whaite, E. O., Lin, L. Y., Rosen, D., Colditz, J. B., Radovic, A., & Miller, E. (2017). *Social media use and perceived social isolation among young adults in the U.S. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 53*(1), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2017.01.010
28. Vannucci, A., Flannery, K. M., & Ohannessian, C. M. (2017). *Social media use and anxiety in emerging adults. Journal of Affective Disorders, 207*, 163–166. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.08.040
29. Cunningham, S., Hudson, C. C., & Harkness, K. L. (2021). Social media and depression symptoms: A meta-analysis. *Research on Child and Adolescent Psychopathology, 49*(2), 241–253. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-020-00715-7
30. Lopes, L. S., Valentini, J. P., Monteiro, T. H., Costacurta, M. C., Soares, L. O., Telles-Correia, D., & de Azevedo Cardoso, T. (2022). Problematic social media use and its relationship with depression or anxiety: A systematic review. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 25*(11), 691–702. https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2021.0300
31. Erevik, E. K., Pallesen, S., Vedaa, Ø., et al. (2021). General and alcohol-related social media use and mental health: A large-sample longitudinal study. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction, 19*, 1991–2002. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-020-00296-y
32. Ranabhat, C. L., Marion, J. W., & Jakovljevic, M. (2025). Association between social media use and self-reported anxiety and/or depression: Results from 113 countries. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1177/00207640251393415
33. Valkenburg, P. M., Meier, A., & Beyens, I. (2022). Social media use and its impact on adolescent mental health: An umbrella review of the evidence. *Current Opinion in Psychology, 44*, 58–68. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2021.08.017
34. Lin, L. Y., Sidani, J. E., Shensa, A., Radovic, A., Miller, E., Colditz, J. B., Hoffman, B. L., Giles, L. M., & Primack, B. A. (2016). Association between social media use and depression among U.S. young adults. *Depression and Anxiety, 33*(4), 323–331. https://doi.org/10.1002/da.22466
35. Lee, Y., Jeon, Y. J., Kang, S., et al. (2022). Social media use and mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic in young adults: A meta-analysis of 14 cross-sectional studies. *BMC Public Health, 22*, 995. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-13409-0
36. Skogen, J. C., Hjetland, G. J., Bøe, T., Hella, R. T., & Knudsen, A. K. (2021). Through the looking glass of social media: Focus on self-presentation and association with mental health and quality of life. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18*(6), 3319. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18063319
37. Fardouly, J., Pinkus, R. T., & Vartanian, L. R. (2017). The impact of appearance comparisons made through social media, traditional media, and in person in women's everyday lives. *Body Image, 20*, 31–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2016.11.002
38. Fiedler, R., Geber, J., Reichert, M., & Kellmann, M. (2024). Young athletes' mental well-being is associated with smartphone social networking application usage and moderated by performance level and app type. *Scientific Reports, 14*, 27048. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-77418-2