SHOROBURA Inna, VORNYK Mariya. Teaching English in Higher Educational Institutions Using Total Physical Response Principles. Journal of Education, Health and Sport. 2025;86:68661. eISSN 2391-8306.
https://dx.doi.org/10.12775/JEHS.2025.86.68661
https://apcz.umk.pl/JEHS/article/view/68661
https://zenodo.org/records/18411163

The journal has had 40 points in Minister of Science and Higher Education of Poland parametric evaluation. Annex to the announcement of the Minister of Education and Science of 05.01.2024 No. 32318. Has a Journal's Unique Identifier: 201159. Scientific disciplines assigned: Physical culture sciences (Field of medical and health sciences); Health Sciences (Field of medical and health sciences). Punkty Ministerialne 40 punktów. Załącznik do komunikatu Ministra Nauki i Szkolnictwa Wyższego z dnia 05.01.2024 Lp. 32318. Posiada Unikatowy Identyfikator Czasopisma: 201159. Przypisane dyscypliny naukowe: Nauki o kulturze fizycznej (Dziedzina nauk medycznych i nauk o zdrowiu); Nauki o zdrowiu (Dziedzina nauk medycznych i nauk o zdrowiu).© The Authors 2025;
This article is published with open access at Licensee Open Journal Systems of Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun, Poland

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.
Received: 03.11.2025. Revised: 14.11.2025. Accepted: 28.11.2025. Published: 26.12.2025.

Teaching English in Higher Educational Institutions Using Total Physical Response Principles

Inna SHOROBURA
Khmelnytsky Humanitarian-Pedagogical Academy, Khmelnytskyi, Ukraine
Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor, Professor of Department of Pedagogy, Rector
shorobura@gmail.com
ORCID ID 0000-0003-3728-7968

Mariya VORNYK
Khmelnytsky Humaanitarian-Pedagogical Academy, Khmelnytskyi, Ukraine
Candidate of Pedagogical Sciences, Associate Professor, Associate Professor of Department of Foreign Languages, Vice-Rector of International Affairs
m.vornyk@ukr.net
ORCID ID 0000-0003-2999-9180

Abstract

The article explores the application of Total Physical Response (TPR) principles in teaching English in higher educational institutions. The study focuses on modern pedagogical perspectives on TPR and its relevance for adult learners in university contexts. Although traditionally associated with teaching young learners, TPR is reconsidered as an effective approach for enhancing vocabulary acquisition, grammatical competence, learner engagement, and motivation in higher education. The article analyzes recent research on kinesthetic learning, embodied cognition, and communicative language teaching,

demonstrating that physical involvement supports deeper language processing and retention. Practical strategies for implementing TPR principles in vocabulary and grammar instruction at the tertiary level are proposed. The findings confirm that TPR contributes to creating an interactive, student-centered, and low-anxiety learning environment, which is essential for developing communicative competence among university students.

Keywords: Total Physical Response; English language teaching; higher education; embodied learning; vocabulary acquisition; grammar instruction.

Introduction. In recent years, higher educational institutions have increasingly emphasized communicative competence, learner autonomy, and student-centered instruction in English language teaching. Global academic mobility, international collaboration, and professional competitiveness require university graduates to demonstrate not only linguistic accuracy but also fluency, confidence, and pragmatic language use.

However, traditional grammar-translation and lecture-based approaches often fail to ensure active student engagement and may increase anxiety, particularly in speaking-oriented tasks. As a result, contemporary foreign language pedagogy seeks innovative methods that align with cognitive, psychological, and neuroscientific research.

One such method is Total Physical Response (TPR), which integrates language input with physical action. Although TPR has historically been associated with young learners, recent studies highlight its relevance for adult learners due to the principles of embodied cognition, which argue that learning is grounded in bodily experience (Macedonia & Mueller, 2020).

The COVID-19 pandemic and the shift toward blended and interactive learning have further intensified interest in multimodal and kinesthetic approaches in higher education (Derakhshan et al., 2021). Therefore, re-examining TPR principles within the context of university-level English instruction is both timely and pedagogically justified.

The aim of the study is to investigate the effectiveness of Total Physical Response principles in teaching English in higher educational institutions.

Modern interpretations of Total Physical Response are closely connected with the theory of embodied cognition, which posits that cognitive processes are deeply rooted in bodily actions and sensory experiences. According to Macedonia and Mueller (2020), language learning that involves physical movement activates additional neural networks, leading to stronger memory traces and improved retention.

Recent studies emphasize that adult learners benefit from sensorimotor involvement no less than children. Physical actions associated with linguistic input enhance semantic processing and facilitate long-term memory consolidation (Repetto et al., 2021).

TPR aligns with contemporary communicative and task-based language teaching approaches by promoting meaningful interaction and experiential learning. Rather than mechanical repetition, learners process language through purposeful action, which increases depth of processing (Pinter, 2020).

Total Physical Response within the Framework of Embodied Learning. Recent developments in applied linguistics and cognitive psychology have significantly expanded the theoretical understanding of Total Physical Response. Since 2020, TPR has increasingly been interpreted through the lens of embodied cognition, a theory that emphasizes the inseparable connection between bodily experience and cognitive processes. According to this perspective, learning is not confined to mental activity alone but is fundamentally shaped by sensorimotor engagement with the environment (Macedonia & Mueller, 2020).

Empirical studies confirm that physical movement enhances neural activation related to semantic processing and memory consolidation. When language input is paired with bodily action, learners create multimodal representations of meaning, which leads to stronger memory traces and improved recall (Repetto et al., 2021). This is particularly relevant in foreign language learning, where abstract linguistic forms often pose difficulties for learners.

In higher educational institutions, where instruction traditionally prioritizes abstract reasoning and theoretical knowledge, the integration of embodied learning principles offers a valuable pedagogical innovation. University students, despite their cognitive maturity, continue to benefit from multisensory input, especially when acquiring a foreign language. Research indicates that embodied learning facilitates deeper processing of linguistic material, resulting in higher levels of comprehension and retention (Macedonia, 2021).

Thus, modern interpretations of TPR move beyond its original association with early language learning and position it as a scientifically grounded approach applicable across educational levels, including tertiary education.

The Role of TPR in English Language Acquisition in Higher Education. The effectiveness of TPR in language acquisition is closely linked to its ability to create meaningful and comprehensible input while reducing affective barriers. Contemporary research highlights that students often experience anxiety related to speaking, fear of making mistakes, and low self-confidence, particularly in academic contexts (Gregersen & MacIntyre, 2021). These factors can negatively affect language performance and motivation.

TPR addresses these challenges by allowing learners to demonstrate understanding through physical responses rather than immediate verbal production. This aligns with communicative language teaching principles, which emphasize meaning-focused interaction over premature accuracy. By engaging students physically, TPR fosters active listening and contextualized comprehension, which are essential prerequisites for successful language acquisition.

Recent studies conducted in university settings demonstrate that TPR-based activities significantly enhance vocabulary acquisition. Learners exposed to action-based instruction show higher recall rates and improved ability to use lexical items in context compared to those taught through traditional methods (Derakhshan et al., 2021). The physical enactment of meaning supports long-term retention and facilitates the transfer of vocabulary into productive skills.

Furthermore, TPR contributes to grammatical development by helping students internalize abstract structures. Grammar instruction often relies on explicit explanations and rule memorization, which may result in superficial understanding. In contrast, TPR allows learners to experience grammatical relationships through action, making concepts such as tense, aspect, and conditionality more tangible and accessible (Sato & Loewen, 2022).

In the context of higher education, where students are expected to use English for academic and professional purposes, TPR supports the development of communicative competence by integrating form, meaning, and use in a holistic manner.

Pedagogical Benefits and Challenges of TPR in Tertiary Education. The application of TPR principles in higher educational institutions offers several pedagogical benefits.

First, it increases student engagement by transforming passive classroom environments into interactive learning spaces. Physical involvement encourages participation and collaboration, which are key elements of student-centered education.

Second, TPR enhances motivation by introducing variety and novelty into language instruction. University students often perceive language classes as repetitive or overly theoretical. TPR-based activities break this pattern and create a more dynamic learning experience, which positively affects students' attitudes toward language learning (Derakhshan et al., 2021).

Third, TPR supports inclusivity by accommodating different learning styles. Kinesthetic, visual, and auditory learners benefit simultaneously from movement-based instruction, making the learning process more equitable and effective.

However, the implementation of TPR in higher education is not without challenges. Some students may initially feel uncomfortable engaging in physical activities due to cultural norms or fear of peer judgment. Additionally, excessive reliance on TPR without integration into broader communicative tasks may limit its effectiveness.

Therefore, researchers emphasize the importance of methodological balance. TPR should be used as a complementary approach rather than a standalone method, integrated with task-based learning, discussion, reflection, and academic writing activities (Pinter, 2020).

Practical Implementation of Total Physical Response Principles in Higher Educational Institutions

Applying TPR Principles to Vocabulary Instruction. Vocabulary acquisition is one of the most promising areas for the application of TPR in higher education. Academic and professional English often includes abstract and process-oriented vocabulary, which can be challenging for students. TPR helps bridge this gap by associating lexical items with meaningful actions and contexts.

For example, verbs related to academic skills such as *analyze, synthesize, evaluate,* and *hypothesize* can be introduced through structured physical demonstrations and problem-solving simulations. Students may act out research processes, presentations, or decision-making scenarios, thereby reinforcing the semantic meaning of new vocabulary.

Recent studies confirm that action-based vocabulary learning leads to significantly higher retention rates compared to traditional memorization techniques (Macedonia, 2021). Moreover, TPR-based group activities promote interaction and negotiation of meaning, which are crucial for communicative competence development.

Grammar Instruction through Physical Engagement. Grammar instruction at the tertiary level often focuses on complex structures that require a high degree of abstraction. TPR provides an effective means of making these structures more accessible by linking grammatical form to physical experience.

For instance, verb tenses can be taught through sequences of actions representing temporal relationships, while conditional sentences can be practiced through role-played hypothetical scenarios. Passive constructions may be illustrated through dramatized processes in which students enact actions and outcomes.

Research indicates that such embodied grammar instruction enhances learners' understanding of grammatical meaning and improves accuracy in subsequent production tasks (Repetto et al., 2021). By physically experiencing grammatical relationships, students develop a deeper and more intuitive grasp of language structure.

Methodological Recommendations for University-Level Instruction. Based on contemporary research and pedagogical analysis, several methodological recommendations can be proposed for implementing TPR in higher education: TPR activities should be introduced gradually to ensure learner acceptance and reduce resistance; physical actions must be meaningful and aligned with academic or professional contexts; TPR should be integrated with communicative, task-based, and reflective learning activities; movement-based tasks should serve as a scaffold leading to speaking and writing production; post-activity reflection should be encouraged to promote conscious language awareness.

Conclusions. The present study demonstrates that Total Physical Response principles remain pedagogically relevant and effective in teaching English in higher educational institutions. Contemporary research confirms that embodied learning and physical engagement play a crucial role in enhancing language acquisition among adult learners.

The analysis shows that TPR contributes significantly to vocabulary retention, grammatical comprehension, learner motivation, and classroom engagement. By reducing affective barriers and promoting active participation, TPR creates a supportive learning environment conducive to communicative competence development.

Importantly, the findings challenge the traditional perception of TPR as a method suitable only for young learners. When thoughtfully adapted to academic contexts and integrated with modern communicative approaches, TPR becomes a powerful instructional tool in higher education.

In conclusion, Total Physical Response should be viewed as a flexible and complementary pedagogical approach that enriches English language teaching at the tertiary level. Its integration into university curricula supports contemporary educational goals, including learner autonomy, inclusivity, and meaningful language use. Further empirical research is recommended to examine the long-term impact of TPR-based instruction on academic performance and professional language proficiency.

References

1. Derakhshan, A., Kruk, M., Mehdizadeh, M., & Pawlak, M. (2021). Activity-induced enjoyment and foreign language learning: The role of physical engagement. *System, 103*, 102634.

2. Gregersen, T., & MacIntyre, P. D. (2021). Language learning anxiety: Contemporary perspectives. *Foreign Language Annals, 54*(2), 243–256.

3. Macedonia, M. (2021). Embodied learning in second language acquisition: New insights. *Language Learning, 71*(1), 1–35.

4. Macedonia, M., & Mueller, K. (2020). Exploring the neural correlates of embodied foreign language learning. *Brain Sciences, 10*(4), 224.

5. Pinter, A. (2020). *Teaching young language learners* (2nd ed.). Oxford: Oxford University Press.

6. Repetto, C., Pedroli, E., & Macedonia, M. (2021). Enactment and memory in second language learning. *Frontiers in Psychology, 12*, 667382.

7. Sato, M., & Loewen, S. (2022). Do multimodal tasks facilitate second language learning? *Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 44*(3), 657–681.