HŁADKI, Michał, SIMACHI, Michalina, JANISZEWSKA, Michalina, IMBIRSKA, Beata, PRAGER-ZIMNY, Marta, CYRULIK, Michalina, RAMLAU, Natalia, KOLENDA, Dominika, FISCHER, Zuzanna and PODOLAK, Marcin. Tension-Type Headache. Systematic review of the most widespread primary headache disorder. Journal of Education, Health and Sport. 2025;85:66853. eISSN 2391-8306.

https://doi.org/10.12775/JEHS.2025.85.66853 https://apcz.umk.pl/JEHS/article/view/66853

The journal has had 40 points in Minister of Science and Higher Education of Poland parametric evaluation. Annex to the announcement of the Minister of Education and Science of 05.01.2024 No. 32318. Has a Journal's Unique Identifier: 201159. Scientific disciplines assigned: Physical culture sciences (Field of medical and health sciences). Health Sciences (Field of medical and health sciences). Punkty Ministerialne 40 punktów. Zalącznik do komunikatu Ministra Nauki i Szkolnictwa Wyszego z dnia o801.2024 Lp. 32318. Posiada Unikatowy Identyfikator Czasopisma: 201159. Przypisane dyscypliny naukowe: Nauki o kulturze fizycznej (Dziedzina nauk medycznych i nauk o zdrowiu, Nauki o zdrowiu, Tha unauko ezdrowiu, Nauki o zdrowiu, Tha unauko ezdrowiu, Nauki o zdrowiu, Nauki o zdrowiu, Tha unauko zdrowiu, Nauki o zdrowiu, Tha unauko zdrowiu, Nauki o zdrowiu

Tension-Type Headache. Systematic review of the most widespread primary headache disorder

Michał Hładki

Medical Center HCP 28 czerwca 1956 r. nr 194, 61-485 Poznań https://orcid.org/0009-0000-2420-2203 hladki.mt@gmail.com

Michalina Simachi

University Hospital in Poznań Przybyszewskiego 49, 60-355 Poznań https://orcid.org/0009-0002-9137-0535 skrzypem97@gmail.com

Michalina Janiszewska

University Hospital in Poznań Przybyszewskiego 49, 60-355 Poznań https://orcid.org/0009-0001-1321-8565 janiszewska.michalina@gmail.com

Beata Imbirska

Regional Hospital in Poznań, Juraszów 7/19, 60-479 Poznań https://orcid.org/0009-0002-2941-3418 beata.imb@gmail.com

Marta Prager - Zimny

University Hospital in Poznań Przybyszewskiego 49, 60-355 Poznań https://orcid.org/0009-0000-6412-3745 marta.prager98@gmail.com

Michalina Cyrulik

University Hospital in Poznań Przybyszewskiego 49, 60-355 Poznań https://orcid.org/0009-0008-9174-801X michalina.cyrulik@onet.pl

Natalia Ramlau

University Hospital in Poznań Przybyszewskiego 49, 60-355 Poznań https://orcid.org/0009-0006-3595-1529 naramlau@gmail.com

Dominika Kolenda

S.T. Dąbrowski Hospital in Puszczykowo Józefa Ignacego Kraszewskiego 11, 62-040 Puszczykowo https://orcid.org/0009-0007-9243-6723 dominika.kolenda98@gmail.com

Zuzanna Fischer

Hospital in Ostrow Wielkopolski Limanowskiego 20-22, 63-400 Ostrów Wielkopolski https://orcid.org/0009-0008-3530-5660 zuzannakrysciak@gmail.com

Marcin Podolak

Medical Center HCP 28 czerwca 1956 r. nr 194, 61-485 Poznań https://orcid.org/0009-0000-2839-728X marcin.podolak2@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Introduction

Tension-type headache (TTH) is a primary headache disorder defined by the International Classification of Headache Disorders 3rd edition (ICHD-3) and is one of the most common types of headache worldwide. It is characterised by a bilateral, pressing or tightening pain of mild to moderate intensity and, unlike migraine, it is not accompanied by nausea or vomiting. It is commonly regarded as a non-harmful condition, however its significant prevalence in the general population establishes a substantial global health burden.

Aim of the study

The purpose of this study was to review and summarize the available knowledge on the epidemiology, physiology, diagnosis, and clinical recognition of tension-type headache, and to discuss the difficult problems related to this disorder.

Materials and methods

The data used for this review were collected from PubMed, Google Scholar, and the International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition. Literature search was carried out using the keywords "Tension-Type Headache", "Primary Headache Disorders" and "Migraine Disorders".

Conclusion

Tension-type headache is the most common primary headache disorder in the general population, however it is underdiagnosed in clinical practice and research. Its complex, multifactorial pathophysiology underscores the need for independent therapeutic strategies for the patients, also for further research of the mechanistic cause of the disease. A correct distinction from migraine is indispensable to make a good diagnosis, especially because of the presence of overlapping symptomatology. The systematic application of headache diaries is important for clinical evaluation, although further validation and normalization of these are required to enhance uniformity in clinical and research settings. The integration of non-pharmacological treatments as adjunctive strategies should be further explored, especially in patients with chronic TTH. In paediatric population, where the clinical presentation may differ, diagnostic criteria require validation to ensure accurate recognition and management. Ultimately, further research is needed to refine our understanding of TTH and enhance patient care across diverse age groups and clinical settings.

Keywords

Tension-Type Headache; Headache Disorders, Primary; Migraine Disorders.

Epidemiology

Global burden assessments, such as those conducted by the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) study, have demonstrated that tension-type headache (TTH) affects nearly two billion individuals worldwide, with approximately 9.75 million cases reported in Poland (1). In this way, it contributes greatly to the global burden, measured by years lived with disability (YLDs), but when calculated per patient, it is lower compared to migraine (2). It is estimated that approximately 78% of the general population will experience TTH at some point during their lifetime; nevertheless, it remains the most underrecognized and underestimated primary headache disorder (3,4). The economic burden is high because of the fact, that many individuals with TTH do not seek medical help due to the typically mild and moderate nature of most episodes. But because of the fact, that TTH is so common, it leads to big overall losses in job productivity (1). Gender differences in the epidemiology of TTH appear to be modest when compared to other headache disorders such as migraine, which shows a strong female predominance. Nevertheless, the gender disparity is markedly less pronounced than in migraine, with reported female-to-male ratios frequently approaching 1.2:1 (5,6).

Pathophysiology

Theories explaining the pathophysiological basis of TTH can be categorized into classical and more recent concepts. It is now understood, that TTH results from a many interactions of peripheral and central mechanisms, rather than just from stress or prolonged muscle contraction (7). The pathophysiological process of TTH can be divided into a series of successive stages, which begin at the peripheral level and ultimately lead to changes within the central nervous system and central sensitization (8). For many years, increased tension and contraction of the pericranial muscles were considered as a key mechanism in the development of TTH (9). However, electromyographic (EMG) studies have shown that muscle tension in TTH patients is not consistently elevated and does not demonstrate a strong association with headache occurrence (10). Similar to increased tension and contraction of the pericranial muscles, myofascial tenderness has been considered a significant mechanism in the development of TTH. This symptom itself is observed in the majority of patients with TTH (11). Myofascial tenderness is present not only during headache episodes but also, in some patients, between attacks (12,13). For many years, it remained unclear whether tenderness preceded the headache or results from it (14). Today, however, it is known that it is associated with mechanisms of peripheral and central sensitization - a process that plays a key role in the pathophysiology of TTH.

At the most fundamental level, the peripheral mechanism of TTH is believed to involve the activation of hyperexcitable afferent nociceptive neurons located in the muscles of the head and neck (15). This process is triggered by physical stressors such as sustained or repetitive muscle activity, poor posture, or mechanical overload, which activate muscle nociceptors by mechanical, chemical, or ischemic stimuli (16). The activation of these nociceptors leads to the local release of inflammatory mediators - including substances like bradykinin, serotonin, prostaglandin E2, and calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP), which further lower the threshold for pain and promote peripheral sensitization (16).

Once established, the peripheral nociceptive input undergoes amplification through the process of peripheral sensitization, then the nociceptors in the afflicted muscles become more and more responsive to stimuli that would normally be subthreshold for pain induction (16). The persistent nociceptive signal is transmitted via thinly myelinated Aδ fibers and unmyelinated C fibers to the dorsal horn of the spinal cord and the spinal nucleus of the trigeminal nerve (17). The convergence of these impulses leads to the summation of sensory input, forming the basis for the second key stage in the pathophysiology of TTH (18). Under normal conditions, such convergence is regulated by descending inhibitory pathways. However, repetitive and prolonged nociceptive input may overwhelm these mechanisms, resulting in central sensitization (8). Central sensitization is characterized by a lowered activation threshold of neurons in the dorsal horn and higher neural centres, which means that even painless stimuli can cause exaggerated pain response (18).

The specific genetic factors contributing to the development of TTH remain unidentified (19). However, some studies suggest a potential role of the serotonin transporter gene polymorphism (5-HTTLPR), particularly in individuals with chronic tension-type headache (CTTH) (20,21). Additionally, polymorphisms in the catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) gene have been associated with increased sensitivity to pressure-induced pain, which may have connection with symptom severity in TTH, especially in CTTH (22). Further research is required to explore these genetic associations and their potential impact on the clinical presentation and phenotype of TTH.

The pathophysiology of TTH is recognized as a complex process, initiated by peripheral nociceptive input. This input contributes to sensitization at the peripheral level, which, in turn, promotes central nervous system hyperexcitability and dysfunction of descending inhibitory pathways (17,18). Ongoing research in this area is important to create better treatment strategies that could help manage TTH more effectively and precisely.

Diagnostic Criteria According to ICHD-3

The diagnostic framework for TTH has evolved over the past decades. Initial efforts to classify headache disorders culminated in the publication of the first edition of the International Classification of Headache Disorders (ICHD) by the International Headache Society (IHS) in 1988 (23). Subsequent revision - ICHD-2 in 2004 and the beta version of ICHD-3 in 2013 - reflected growing clinical evidence and feedback from practitioners worldwide (24,25). The current and definitive version, ICHD-3, was released in 2018 and provides the most comprehensive and clinically validated criteria to date (26). An short overview and comparison of the diagnostic frameworks for TTH will be presented in this section.

Three main phenotypes of tension-type headache can be distinguished: infrequent episodic TTH, frequent episodic TTH, and chronic TTH. Diagnosis requires that all criteria from A to E are fulfilled. Additionally, depending on the presence or absence of pericranial tenderness, each phenotype may be further subclassified into forms with or without pericranial tenderness.

Table 1. Comparison of Diagnostic Criteria for Tension-Type Headache			
Infrequent episodic TTH	Frequent episodic TTH	Chronic TTH	
_	A. At least 10 episodes of headache occurring on 114 days/month on average for >3 months (12 and <180 days/year) and fulfilling criteria B–D	days/month on average for >3 months (180 days/year),	
B. Lasting from 30 minutes to seven days	B. Lasting from 30 minutes to seven days	B. Lasting hours to days, or unremitting	
C. At least two of the following four characteristics: 1. bilateral location 2. pressing or tightening (non-pulsating) quality 3. mild or moderate intensity 4. not aggravated by routine physical activity such as walking or climbing stairs	C. At least two of the following four characteristics: 1. bilateral location 2. pressing or tightening (non-pulsating) quality 3. mild or moderate intensity 4. not aggravated by routine physical activity such as walking or climbing stairs	C. At least two of the following four characteristics: 1. bilateral location 2. pressing or tightening (non-pulsating) quality 3. mild or moderate intensity 4. not aggravated by routine physical activity such as walking or climbing stairs	
D. Both of the following: 1. no nausea or vomiting 2. no more than one of photophobia or phonophobia	D. Both of the following: 1. no nausea or vomiting 2. no more than one of photophobia or phonophobia	D. Both of the following: 1. no more than one of photophobia, phonophobia or mild nausea 2. neither moderate or severe nausea nor vomiting	
E. Not better accounted for by another ICHD-3 diagnosis.	E. Not better accounted for by another ICHD-3 diagnosis	E. Not better accounted for by another ICHD-3 diagnosis.	
Developed based on: Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS). The International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition. Cephalalgia. 2018 Jan 1;38(1):1–211.			

The primary criteria distinguishing the phenotypes of TTH are the frequency and duration of headache episodes (criteria A and B). Criteria C refer to the pain characteristics, which are consistent across all three TTH phenotypes. Criteria D address features typical of migraine, the presence of which excludes a diagnosis of TTH. Criteria E pertain to other headache disorders classified in the ICHD-3, which likewise preclude a definitive diagnosis of TTH.

If one of the criteria from A to E is not fulfilled, a diagnosis of probable TTH can be made. The table below summarizes the diagnostic criteria for the various subtypes of probable TTH.

Table 2. Comparison of Diagnostic Criteria for Probable TTH			
Probable infrequent episodic TTH	Probable frequent episodic TTH	Probable chronic TTH	
headache fulfilling all but one of criteria A–D for infrequent	A. Episodes of headache fulfilling all but one of criteria A–D for frequent episodic tension-type headache	one of criteria A-D for	
_	B. Not fulfilling ICHD-3 criteria for any other headache disorder	_	
C. Not better accounted for by another ICHD-3 diagnosis.	C. Not better accounted for by another ICHD-3 diagnosis	C. Not better accounted for by another ICHD-3 diagnosis	
Developed based on: Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache			

Developed based on: Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS). The International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition. Cephalalgia. 2018 Jan 1;38(1):1–211.

Distinguishing Tension-Type Headache from Migraine

The most common diagnostic challenge among primary headache disorders is distinguishing between TTH and mild forms of migraine without aura (26). This distinction is particularly difficult, as patients with frequent headaches may meet criteria for both diagnoses. Pain intensity in TTH is typically described as mild to moderate and lasts from 30 minutes to 24 hours, whereas migraine pain is moderate to severe in intensity and typically lasts from 4 to 72 hours (26,27). In individuals with coexisting TTH and migraine, headache intensity and frequency may be higher compared to those without migraine (28). Regarding localization, TTH pain is generally bilateral, pressing or tightening in quality, and not aggravated by physical activity (19,29).

In contrast, migraine pain is most often unilateral and pulsating in nature (27,26). However the common perception of migraine as a unilateral headache disorder, approximately 40% of patients report bilateral pain, whereas strictly unilateral headache is reported by around 26% (30,31). Phonophobia and photophobia are markedly more characteristic of migraine than of TTH, as are nausea and vomiting. However mild nausea may occasionally accompany CTTH (26,32). Autonomic symptoms such as eye redness and tearing are observed in migraine, but are absent in TTH (33,34).

The Role of Headache Diaries

The use of headache diaries represents a key component in the management of patients with migraine and other headache disorders. These tools offer a good method for patients to record headache episodes and associated characteristics. In recent years, digital formats - particularly mobile applications - have gained prominence, enhancing accessibility and patient engagement in routine monitoring (35,36). Particularly important are responses to questions such as the frequency of headache days, the frequency of medication use, and the degree of impairment in daily functioning (35). Headache diaries enhance patient engagement by empowering individuals with information about their own symptom patterns. This increased self-awareness can lead to improved adherence to treatment regimens and active participation in selfmanagement strategies (37,38). Moreover, headache diaries have demonstrated significant value in non-pharmacological approaches, such as psychotherapy (39). A major limitation however, lies in the heterogeneity of existing headache diaries. Standardized headache diaries should include clear definitions of what constitutes a headache episode, uniform pain intensity scales, and consistent protocols for documenting potential triggers or associated symptoms. Such standardization would facilitate more reliable comparisons across studies and ultimately contribute to more effective patient care (37,40).

Neuroimaging

Routine neuroimaging in TTH has been widely debated, as numerous studies have demonstrated a very low efficiency for detecting clinically significant intracranial pathology in patients without focal neurologic signs or other "red flags" (41,42). Given the high prevalence of TTH in the general population and the low incidence of abnormal radiologic findings, imaging is typically reserved for selected cases. Numerous guidelines based on systematic reviews and meta-analyses indicate that CT imaging in patients with TTH and a normal neurologic examination rarely reveals clinically significant abnormalities (42,43). Nevertheless, advanced MRI techniques have provided evidence of subtle structural brain alterations in TTH patients, including increased grey matter volume in specific cortical areas and a higher prevalence of white matter hyperintensities (44,45). The implications of these findings suggest that chronic TTH might be linked to repetitive nociceptive input affecting brain regions involved in pain processing, as mentioned in the pathophysiology section of this article (46).

Tension-Type Headache in the Paediatric Population

TTH in children is defined similarly to adult population - as a bilateral, pressing or tightening pain of mild to moderate intensity and is not aggravated by physical activity (26,47). However, the clinical presentation in this population often differs from textbook definitions. Headache episodes may be shorter in duration compared to adults, and symptom reporting tends to be more heterogeneous - mostly due to age-related and developmental factors (47,48). The phenotype may resemble migraine, as the pain is non-pulsating and less frequently accompanied by nausea, vomiting, or marked photophobia; nonetheless, a certain degree of sensitivity to light or sound may be present in some cases (47,49). For the majority of paediatric patients, tension-type headaches are commonly precipitated by situations associated with psychological stress and heightened anxiety (50). Studies have also indicated a slight female predominance among adolescents with TTH (51). This gender difference is less pronounced than in migraine and may be associated with hormonal influences that become more prominent during puberty (51). Unfortunately, current diagnostic criteria such as those outlined in the ICHD-3 are extrapolated from adult populations and may not fully capture the unique characteristics of TTH in children. Consequently, ongoing efforts are focused on refining these criteria and developing age-specific diagnostic tools that incorporate inputs from headache diaries, objective physical assessments, and input from caregivers to ensure accurate diagnosis and effective management (47).

Future directions in research and therapy

Future research directions are rapidly evolving, driven understanding of multifactorial pathogenesis of TTH, which encompasses peripheral neuromuscular dysfunction and central sensitization processes and neuroplastic changes (46). To advance this understanding, there is a growing emphasis on developing refined experimental models, such as chronic animal models, that accurately reproduce the prolonged peripheral nociceptive input and central alterations observed in patients, thereby facilitating the discovery and evaluation of new therapeutic targets (52). Also advances in genetic research are beginning to shed light on predispositions linked to serotonergic dysregulation and other molecular alterations, thereby opening the door to personalized medicine approaches, that may improve therapy according to an individual's neurochemical and genetic profile (20,21,53). There is a growing interest in nonpharmacological methods as a complementary approach to the treatment of tension-type headache (53). Manual therapy, muscle endurance exercises, postural correction training, and interventions targeting myofascial trigger points are all being investigated for their potential to reduce abnormal peripheral nociceptive input, and consequently, to decrease the frequency of TTH episodes (19,53,54). Psychological interventions and biofeedback have also been reported to contribute to the modulation of pain pathways and to enhance overall quality of life in individuals with TTH (54). Moreover, there are promising reports regarding the use of botulinum toxin type A and vitamin D; however, their efficacy has not yet been conclusively established (46). Ultimately, the integration of interdisciplinary approaches, including basic science research, high-quality clinical trials and innovate treatment techniques, has the potential to transform the management of TTH into a precise model of care, that comprehensively addresses the biological, social, and psychological dimension of this disorder.

Summary

Despite its high prevalence, TTH is not widely recognised as a serious medical concern. Nevertheless, a deeper understanding of this disorder is essential, as it may lead to improved treatment outcomes and more comprehensive, multidisciplinary approach - especially in patients with CTTH. While future prospects are promising, further research is still necessary to fully understand this complex and often overlooked disorder.

1. Patient consent:

Not applicable.

2. Data were obtained from

PubMed, Google Scholar

3. Author Contributions:

- 1. Conceptualization: Michał Hładki
- 2. Methodology: Michalina Simachi, Marcin Podolak
- 3. Software: Michalina Janiszewska
- 4. Check: Beata Imbirska, Zuzanna Fischer
- 5. Formal Analysis: Marta Prager Zimny
- 6. Investigation: Michalina Cyrulik, Natalia Ramlau
- 7. Resources: Dominika Kolenda
- 8. Data Curation: Zuzanna Fischer, Michalina Janiszewska
- 9. Writing Rough Preparation: Michał Hładki
- 10. Writing Review & Editing: Marta Prager Zimny, Michalina Simachi
- 11. Visualization: Marcin Podolak, Dominika Kolenda
- 12. Supervision: Beata Imbirska, Natalia Ramlau
- 13. Project Administration: Michał Hładki

All authors have read and agreed with the published version of the manuscript.

4. Funding:

This research received no external funding.

5. Ethical Assessment and Institutional Review Board Statement:

Not applicable. As this article involves a review and synthesis of existing literature, rather than original research involving human subjects, ethical assessment and institutional review board statements are not applicable.

6. Data availability statement:

Not applicable.

7. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References:

- 1. Stovner LJ, Nichols E, Steiner TJ, Abd-Allah F, Abdelalim A, Al-Raddadi RM, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of migraine and tension-type headache, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet Neurol. 2018 Nov 1;17(11):954–76.
- 2. Xu R, Zhang R, Dong L, Xu X, Fan X, Zhou J. An analysis of the burden of migraine and tension-type headache across the global, China, the United States, India and Japan. Front Pain Res [Internet]. 2025 Feb 3 [cited 2025 Jun 16];6. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/pain-research/articles/10.3389/fpain.2025.1539344/full
- 3. Lyngberg AC, Rasmussen BK, Jørgensen T, Jensen R. Has the prevalence of migraine and tension-type headache changed over a 12-year period? A Danish population survey. Eur J Epidemiol. 2005 Jan 1;20(3):243–9.
- 4. Bendtsen L, Jensen R. Tension-type headache: the most common, but also the most neglected, headache disorder. Curr Opin Neurol. 2006 Jun;19(3):305.
- 5. Jensen RH. Tension-Type Headache The Normal and Most Prevalent Headache. Headache J Head Face Pain. 2018;58(2):339–45.
- 6. Onan D, Younis S, Wellsgatnik WD, Farham F, Andruškevičius S, Abashidze A, et al. Debate: differences and similarities between tension-type headache and migraine. J Headache Pain. 2023 Jul 21;24(1):92.
- 7. Calabria MD. The history of tension-type headache: a bibliographical journey from Arnold P. Friedman to today.
- 8. Yu S, Han X. Update of chronic tension-type headache. Curr Pain Headache Rep. 2015 Jan;19(1):469.
- 9. Ostfeld AM. The Ad Hoc Committee on Headache Classification. Cephalalgia Int J Headache. 1993 Apr;13 Suppl 12:11–2.
- 10. Wittrock DA. The Comparison of Individuals With Tension-Type Headache and Headache-Free Controls on Frontal EMG Levels: A Meta-Analysis. Headache J Head Face Pain. 1997;37(7):424–32.
- 11. Bendtsen L. Central sensitization in tension-type headache--possible pathophysiological mechanisms. Cephalalgia Int J Headache. 2000 Jun;20(5):486–508.
- 12. Jensen R. Peripheral and central mechanisms in tension-type headache: an update. Cephalalgia Int J Headache. 2003;23 Suppl 1:49–52.
- 13. Jensen R. Pathophysiological mechanisms of tension-type headache: a review of epidemiological and experimental studies. Cephalalgia Int J Headache. 1999 Jul;19(6):602–21.
- 14. Ashina S, Bendtsen L, Ashina M. Pathophysiology of tension-type headache. Curr Pain Headache Rep. 2005 Dec;9(6):415–22.
- 15. Cathcart S, Winefield AH, Lushington K, Rolan P. Stress and tension-type headache mechanisms. Cephalalgia Int J Headache. 2010 Oct;30(10):1250–67.
- 16. Bendtsen L, Ashina S, Moore A, Steiner TJ. Muscles and their role in episodic tension-type headache: implications for treatment. Eur J Pain Lond Engl. 2016 Feb;20(2):166–75.
- 17. Alnaim MMA, Bukhamsin SAA, AlBurayh YA, Alshadly MRS, Almaslamani KWM, Alatawi WLS, et al. Causes and Treatment of Tension Headache: A Review. J Pharm Res Int. 2021 Dec 13;288–93.

- 18. Arendt-Nielsen L, Castaldo M, Mechelli F, Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C. Muscle Triggers as a Possible Source of Pain in a Subgroup of Tension-type Headache Patients? Clin J Pain. 2016 Aug;32(8):711–8.
- 19. Ashina S, Mitsikostas DD, Lee MJ, Yamani N, Wang SJ, Messina R, et al. Tension-type headache. Nat Rev Dis Primer. 2021 Mar 25;7(1):24.
- 20. Park JW, Kim JS, Lee HK, Kim YI, Lee KS. Serotonin transporter polymorphism and harm avoidance personality in chronic tension-type headache. Headache. 2004;44(10):1005–9.
- 21. Terrazzino S, Tassorelli C, Sances G, Allena M, Viana M, Monaco F, et al. Association of haplotype combination of serotonin transporter gene polymorphisms with monthly headache days in MOH patients. Eur J Neurol. 2012 Jan;19(1):69–75.
- 22. Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, Ambite-Quesada S, Palacios-Ceña M, Guillem-Mesado A, Guerrero-Peral Á, Pareja JA, et al. Catechol-O-Methyltransferase (COMT) rs4680 Val158Met Polymorphism is Associated With Widespread Pressure Pain Sensitivity and Depression in Women With Chronic, but not Episodic, Tension-Type Headache. Clin J Pain. 2019 Apr;35(4):345–52.
- 23. Lance JW, Olesen J. Preface to the First Edition (1988). Cephalalgia. 2004 May 1;24(1 suppl):8–8.
- 24. Headache Classification Subcommittee of the International Headache Society. The International Classification of Headache Disorders: 2nd edition. Cephalalgia Int J Headache. 2004;24 Suppl 1:9–160.
- 25. Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS). The International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition (beta version). Cephalalgia Int J Headache. 2013 Jul;33(9):629–808.
- 26. Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS) The International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition. Cephalalgia. 2018 Jan 1;38(1):1–211.
- 27. Pescador Ruschel MA, De Jesus O. Migraine Headache. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 [cited 2025 Jun 9]. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK560787/
- 28. Rasmussen BK, Jensen R, Schroll M, Olesen J. Interrelations between migraine and tension-type headache in the general population. Arch Neurol. 1992 Sep;49(9):914–8.
- 29. Bentivegna E, Luciani M, Paragliola V, Baldari F, Lamberti PA, Conforti G, et al. Recent advancements in tension-type headache: a narrative review. Expert Rev Neurother. 2021 Jul;21(7):793–803.
- 30. Rasmussen BK, Olesen J. Migraine with aura and migraine without aura: an epidemiological study. Cephalalgia Int J Headache. 1992 Aug;12(4):221–8; discussion 186.
- 31. Da Silva AN, Tepper SJ, Evans RW. Side-locked and side shifting primary headaches. Headache. 2012;52(7):1178–83.
- 32. Russell MB, Levi N, Saltyte-Benth J, Fenger K. Tension-type headache in adolescents and adults: a population based study of 33,764 twins. Eur J Epidemiol. 2006;21(2):153–60.
- 33. Obermann M, Yoon MS, Dommes P, Kuznetsova J, Maschke M, Weimar C, et al. Prevalence of trigeminal autonomic symptoms in migraine: a population-based study. Cephalalgia Int J Headache. 2007 Jun;27(6):504–9.

- 34. Gupta R, Bhatia MS. A report of cranial autonomic symptoms in migraineurs. Cephalalgia Int J Headache. 2007 Jan;27(1):22–8.
- 35. Dodick DW, Tepper SJ, Lipton RB, Buse DC, Stewart WF, Bayliss M, et al. Improving Medical Communication in Migraine Management: A Modified Delphi Study to Develop a Digital Migraine Tracker. Headache. 2018 Oct;58(9):1358–72.
- 36. Noutsios CD, Boisvert-Plante V, Perez J, Hudon J, Ingelmo P. Telemedicine Applications for the Evaluation of Patients with Non-Acute Headache: A Narrative Review. J Pain Res. 2021;14:1533–42.
- 37. Stinson JN, Huguet A, McGrath P, Rosenbloom B, Soobiah C, White M, et al. A Qualitative Review of the Psychometric Properties and Feasibility of Electronic Headache Diaries for Children and Adults: Where We Are and Where We Need to Go. Pain Res Manag. 2013;18(3):369541.
- 38. Minen M, George A, Lebowitz N, Katara A, Snyder I. Headache providers' perspectives of headache diaries in the era of increasing technology use: a qualitative study. Front Neurol. 2024 Jan 23;14:1270555.
- 39. Lee HJ, Lee JH, Cho EY, Kim SM, Yoon S. Efficacy of psychological treatment for headache disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Headache Pain. 2019 Feb 14;20(1):17.
- 40. Anheyer D, Klose P, Lauche R, Saha FJ, Cramer H. Yoga for Treating Headaches: a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. J Gen Intern Med. 2020 Mar 1;35(3):846–54.
- 41. Jordan JE, Flanders AE. Headache and Neuroimaging: Why We Continue to Do It. Am J Neuroradiol. 2020 Jul 1;41(7):1149–55.
- 42. Kuruvilla DE, Lipton RB. Appropriate Use of Neuroimaging in Headache. Curr Pain Headache Rep. 2015 May 28;19(6):17.
- 43. Mitsikostas DD, Ashina M, Craven A, Diener HC, Goadsby PJ, Ferrari MD, et al. European headache federation consensus on technical investigation for primary headache disorders. J Headache Pain. 2016 Feb 9;17(1):5.
- 44. Chen WT, Chou KH, Lee PL, Hsiao FJ, Niddam DM, Lai KL, et al. Comparison of gray matter volume between migraine and "strict-criteria" tension-type headache. J Headache Pain. 2018 Jan 15;19(1):4.
- 45. Honningsvåg LM, Hagen K, Håberg A, Stovner LJ, Linde M. Intracranial abnormalities and headache: A population-based imaging study (HUNT MRI). Cephalalgia. 2016 Feb 1;36(2):113–21.
- 46. Bentivegna E, Luciani ,Michelangelo, Paragliola ,Vincenzo, Baldari ,Francesco, Lamberti ,Piera A, Conforti ,Giulia, et al. Recent advancements in tension-type headache: a narrative review. Expert Rev Neurother. 2021 Jul 3;21(7):793–803.
- 47. Baglioni V, Orecchio S, Esposito D, Faedda N, Natalucci G, Guidetti V. Tension-Type Headache in Children and Adolescents. Life. 2023 Mar;13(3):825.
- 48. Pacheva I, Milanov I, Ivanov I, Stefanov R. Evaluation of diagnostic and prognostic value of clinical characteristics of Migraine and Tension type headache included in the diagnostic criteria for children and adolescents in International Classification of Headache Disorders second edition. Int J Clin Pract. 2012;66(12):1168–77.
- 49. Anttila P, Metsähonkala L, Aromaa M, Sourander A, Salminen J, Helenius H, et al. Determinants of Tension-Type Headache in Children. Cephalalgia. 2002 Jun 1;22(5):401–8.

- 50. Raucci U, Della Vecchia N, Ossella C, Paolino MC, Villa MP, Reale A, et al. Management of Childhood Headache in the Emergency Department. Review of the Literature. Front Neurol. 2019;10:886.
- 51. Onofri A, Pensato U, Rosignoli C, Wells-Gatnik W, Stanyer E, Ornello R, et al. Primary headache epidemiology in children and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Headache Pain. 2023 Feb 14;24(1):8.
- 52. Fu G jing, Wang liu ding, Chi X su, Liang X, Wei J jing, Huang Z hong, et al. Research Progress on the Experimental Model and Underlying Mechanistic Studies of Tension-Type Headaches. Curr Pain Headache Rep. 2024 May 1;28(5):439–51.
- 53. Repiso-Guardeño Á, Moreno-Morales N, Labajos-Manzanares MT, Rodríguez-Martínez MC, Armenta-Peinado JA. Does Tension Headache Have a Central or Peripheral Origin? Current State of Affairs. Curr Pain Headache Rep. 2023 Nov 1;27(11):801–10.
- 54. Onan D, Younis S, Wellsgatnik WD, Farham F, Andruškevičius S, Abashidze A, et al. Debate: differences and similarities between tension-type headache and migraine. J Headache Pain. 2023 Jul 21;24(1):92.