BOCHENEK, Hubert, BIELICKA, Anna, BZOMA, Michal, GUGULSKA, Julia, CZEREPAK, Irmina, KAPIJ, Marcin, NIEWCZAS, Karolina and BRZOZOWSKA, Adrianna. Dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in patients with chronic coronary artery disease (CAD) - current state of knowledge and perspective for further research. Journal of Education. Journal of Education, Health and Sport. 2025;78:57718. eISSN 2391-8306.

https://doi.org/10.12775/JEHS.2025.78.57718 https://apcz.umk.pl/JEHS/article/view/57718

The journal has had 40 points in Minister of Science and Higher Education of Poland parametric evaluation. Annex to the announcement of the Minister of Education and Science of 05.01.2024 No. 32318. Has a Journal's Unique Identifier: 201159. Scientific disciplines assigned: Physical culture sciences (Field of medical and health sciences); Health Sciences (Field of medical and health sciences).

Punkty Ministerialne 40 punktów. Załącznik do komunikatu Ministra Nauki i Szkolnictwa Wyższego z dnia 05.01.2024 Lp. 32318. Posiada Unikatowy Identyfikator Czasopisma: 201159. Przypisane dyscypliny naukowe: Nauki o kulturze fizycznej (Dziedzina nauk medycznych i nauk o zdrowiu); Nauki o zdrowiu (Dziedzina nauk medycznych i nauk o zdrowiu). © The Authors 2025;

This article is published with open access at Licensee Open Journal Systems of Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun, Poland

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author (s) and source are credited. This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non commercial license Share alike.

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/) which permits unrestricted, non commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Received: 11.01.2025. Revised: 07.02.2025. Accepted: 07.02.2025. Published: 10.02.2025.

Dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in patients with chronic coronary artery disease (CAD) - current state of knowledge and perspective for further research

Hubert Bochenek¹, Anna Bielicka², Michał Bzoma¹, Julia Gugulska¹, Irmina Czerepak¹, Marcin Kapij³, Karolina Niewczas¹, Adrianna Brzozowska¹

- 1. Faculty of Medicine, Medical University of Warsaw, Żwirki i Wigury 61, 02-091
- 1. Warsaw, Poland
- 2. Non-public Health Care Facility "Dekamed", Lipińska 99, 05-200 Wołomin
- 3. Faculty of Nursing, University of Applied Sciences in Nysa, Kornela Ujejskiego 12, 48-300 Nysa, Poland

Hubert Bochenek [HB]:

hubert1484@gmail.com,

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0002-7221-2793

Anna Bielicka [AB]:

anna.maria.skoczek@gmail.com,

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0002-3461-4812

Michał Bzoma [MB]:

bzoma.michal27@gmail.com,

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0008-9165-8735

Julia Gugulska [JG]:

julia.pia.gugulska@gmail.com,

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0007-8333-5066

Irmina Czerepak [IC]:

chlorowcopochodna@gmail.com,

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0009-9964-3439

Marcin Kapij [MK]:

marcinkapij@gmail.com,

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0004-7028-7198

Karolina Niewczas[KN]:

karolina.niewczas.kn@gmail.com,

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0009-5007-0123

Adrianna Brzozowska [ABr]:

adrianna.a272@gmail.com,

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0009-2625-4766

Abstract

Introduction and purpose: aa Chronic coronary artery disease (CAD) is a prevalent condition affecting millions of people worldwide, significantly reducing quality of life and increasing the risk of myocardial infarction (MI). Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), often combined with stent implantation, is a cornerstone of CAD treatment. However, it induces a prothrombotic state, necessitating dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) to mitigate the risk of thrombosis and MI. Despite its established role, the optimal duration and specific composition of DAPT still remain under investigation. This article aims to summarise current knowledge on DAPT and highlight gaps requiring further research.

Description of the state of knowledge: DAPT typically involves acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) 75 mg and clopidogrel 75 mg for 6 months after PCI. While this is effective in reducing thrombotic events, it also increases bleeding risk. Current European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines recommend tailoring DAPT duration and composition based on individual patient risk factors for bleeding and ischemia. Alternatives include shortening DAPT to 1–3 months for patients with high bleeding risk or intensifying it with stronger antiplatelet agents

like ticagrelor or prasugrel for those at high ischemic risk. However, evidence supporting these alternatives remains limited, and require further investigation. Emerging options, such as vorapaxar, present additional potential, but also require further validation.

Summary: CAD management through PCI relies heavily on effective DAPT. Current strategies emphasize balancing ischemic and bleeding risks in optimising treatment. Although significant progress has been made, optimal DAPT regimens for specific patient groups remain uncertain, particularly in cases of shortened or intensified therapy. Continued research is crucial to refine treatment protocols and improve patient outcomes.

Key words: Chronic Coronary Artery Disease (CAD), Dual Antiplatelet Therapy (DAPT), Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (PCI), Antiplatelet Medications

INTRODUCTION

Chronic coronary disease is a widespread pathology common all over the world. It is estimated that 2 million people in Poland and 16.8 million people in the United States suffer from it. [1] CAD is a disease that decreases the quality of life, mostly by causing lower physical efficiency, fatigue and chest pain on exertion. Moreover, CAD is a state that highly increases the risk of myocardial infarction (MI), which is one of the most common causes of death (about 20 thousand people in Poland every year). [2] Therefore, prevention and therapeutic methods for CAD are still developed. One of the therapeutic methods that has a well established and important place in CAD treatment is percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), often combined with stent implantation. [3] This method allows to enter an occluded coronary artery with a thin catheter and widen it, often leaving in that place a stent that prevents future reocclusion. However, manipulations inside coronary arteries and leaving a stent, which is a foreign body, generate a prothrombotic state in the coronary vessels and raise a risk of thrombosis and MI. [4] Therefore, after PCI procedure a specific antiplatelet treatment is introduced. It has a proven effect on lowering the risk of MI. [5] It is called dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT). Although applying DAPT to the patients with CAD that underwent PCI is a standard, the optimal time of treatment and set of antiplatelet medications are still researched.

DESCRIPTION OF THE STATE OF KNOWLEDGE

Basic information on DAPT

DAPT is a treatment applied to all patients after a PCI procedure. Its role is to prevent thrombotic events in the operated artery, because the risk of thrombosis is elevated in this

vessel and may lead to MI and event death. [4] The risk of thrombosis is highest directly after the procedure and decreases with time. [6] The basic model of DAPT after PCI in CAD is an administration of 75mg acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) and 75mg of clopidogrel for 6 months after the PCI procedure. [7] However, the actual length of DAPT and set of antiplatelet drugs may vary and should fit the patient's specific risk factors. DAPT prevents thrombotic events, but also increases the risk of bleeding, which is its most important adverse effect [8, 9]. Therefore, in patients with important bleeding risk factors standard DAPT may cause net harm. In such situations it seems reasonable to deescalate a scheme of treatment. However, there are also some patients that have high ischaemic risk factors and may benefit from extensifying the basic pattern. Then, introducing a specific scheme of DAPT is based mainly on the balance between the patient's individual risk of both bleeding and thrombosis and ishaemia. [10, 11]

Current guidelines and state of knowledge

The European Society of Cardiology (ESC) regularly publishes guidelines of CAD treatment that also include recommendations about using DAPT in patients after PCI. The latest edition of the guidelines was published in August 2024 and includes a summary of a lot of research conducted in recent years. It is a basic and fundamental document that should be considered by every cardiologist in Europe in choosing a specific treatment for their patients. According to these guidelines, specific DAPT treatment should be based on the presence or absence of high bleeding risk factors and high ischaemic risk factors in specific patients. The criteria of these states are presented in Table 1. [7]

High bleeding risk criteria	High ischaemic risk criteria	
For high bleeding risk at least 1 major criterium or at least 2 minor criteria must be present	For high ischaemic risk at least 1 criterium must be present	
Major criteria • Expected long-term oral anticoagulant therapy • Chronic kidney diesease (eGFR <30 ml/min/1,73m²)	General criteria Diabetes requiring treatment Recurrent MI Atherosclerosis of multiple vascular beds (e.g., CAD and PAD)	

- Hemoglobin <11 g/dL
- Thrombocytes $< 100 \text{ x } 1000/\mu\text{L}$
- Serious operation or injury in 30 days pre-PCI
- Moderate or severe ischemic stroke in 6 months
- Spontaneous bleeding requiring transfusion or hospitalization in 6 months or at any time, if recurrent
- Active neoplastic disease in 12 months
- Post-traumatic intracranial hemorrhage in 12 months
- History of spontaneous intracranial hemorrhage
- Intracerebral arteriovenous malformation (AVM)
- Chronic bleeding diathesis
- Cirrhosis with portal hypertension
- Major non-deferrable surgery in a patient receiving two antiplatelet drugs

Minor criteria

- Age \Rightarrow = 75
- Chronic kidney disease (eGFR 30-59 ml/min/1,73m²)
- Hemoglobin <11-12,9 g/dL male or <11-11,9 g/dL female
- Chronic use of NSAIDs or glucocorticoids
- Spontaneous bleeding requiring hospitalization and/or blood transfusion in the last 12 months

- Multivessel coronary artery disease
- Premature (age <45) or accelerated (new lesions within 2 years) coronary artery disease
- Concomitant systemic inflammatory and/or prothrombotic disease (e.g.HIV, systemic lupus erythematosus, chronic arthritis, antiphospholipid syndrome)

Procedural criteria

- At least 3 stents or treatment of at least 3 atherosclerotic lesions
- Total stent length > 60 mm
- Complex revascularization i.e. PCI (left main coronary artery, bifurcation with double stenting technique, chronic total occlusion, single patent vessel)
- History of stent thrombosis during antiplatelet therapy

(not meeting the major criterion)

 Any ischemic stroke ever experienced (not meeting the major criterion)

Table 1. The European Society of Cardiology (ESC) criteria for high bleeding risk and high ischaemic risk in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).

According to the recommendations, patients without any risk factors mentioned above should get basic DAPT containing ASA 75mg and clopidogrel 75mg for 6 months (class I/A). [12-16] However, there is also a possibility to administer ASA 75mg and clopidogrel 75mg for a shorter period of time, that is 1-3 months, in patients with confirmed low ischaemic risk. [17-20] Nevertheless, these recommendations are weak (IIb/B) and need conducting more research in the context of efficacy and safety.

Patients with no high bleeding risk, but with high ischaemic risk are recommended to get typical DAPT of ASA 75mg and clopidogrel 75mg for 6 months. [12-16] However, there is also a possibility to administer ASA 75mg and ticagrelor 60mg or prasugrel 10mg in this group of patients for 1 - 6 months (class IIb/C). [21-26] Ticagrelor and prasugrel are stronger antiplatelet drugs comparing to clopidogrel and are routinely used in the treatment of acute coronary syndromes like MI. It is believed that in this group of patients stronger antiplatelet activity may bring more benefit, as the initial risk of bleeding is low. Nevertheless, the quality of evidence is still low and this strategy needs further evaluation.

In patients that are assessed as high bleeding risk patients DAPT containing ASA 75mg and clopidogrel 75mg should be shortened and last for 1-3 months (class I/A). This treatment is recommended regardless of the presence of high ischaemic risk. This strategy allows to significantly decrease a risk of major or life-threatening bleeding and brings positive net outcomes for the patient. [27, 28]

The summary of present ESC guidelines are presented in Table 2.

	No high bleeding risk	High bleeding risk
No ischaemic risk	ASA 75mg + clopidogrel 75mg, 6 months (class I/A) Alternative: ASA 75mg + clopidogrel 75mg, 1 - 3 months (class IIb/B)	ASA 75mg + clopidogrel 75mg, 1 - 3 months (class I/A)
Ischaemic risk	ASA 75mg + clopidogrel 75mg, 6 months Alternative: ASA 75mg + ticagrelor 60mg or prasugrel 10mg, 1 - 6 months (class IIb/C)	

Table 2. Summary of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) guidelines on dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).

Gaps in knowledge and future perspective

Although there is already a lot of research and data on the optimal DAPT in patients with CAD after PCI, there are still many gaps in evidence and knowledge that need future examination and evaluation.

One of the main research area is shortening DAPT in patients with neither high bleeding risk nor high ischaemic risk. Now such treatment modification possibility is included in the guidelines, but only as an alternative and in class IIb/B. The research conducted in this area suggests that such treatment scheme is non-inferior compared to standard treatment lasting 6 months. [17-20] Nevertheless, the trials had some limitations, for example different stent models in two groups of patients or different treatment time. Therefore more well projected trials should be performed to confirm the value of such treatment.

There also comes a question if escalating DAPT in patients with high thrombotic risk and without high bleeding risk is legitimate. The guidelines allow the use of ticagrelor or

prasugrel instead of clopidogrel in such patients, however the class of these recommendations is only IIb/C. ALPHEUS and SASSAICA trials that compared intensified treatment with the standard variant showed the use of ticagrelor or prasugrel was not superior to clopidogrel in preventing ischemic events. However, bleeding risk was also comparable. Therefore the net effect of intensive antiplatelet treatment was similar to the standard one. [21-25] In the PATH-PCI trial patients were tested for platelet activity before introducing the treatment. An analysis of the trial showed that intensification of antiplatelet treatment may be beneficial in a subgroup of patients that had increased initial platelet activity compared to the lower platelet activity group. [26] Therefore, whether use of ticagrelor or prasugrel can be beneficial in patients undergoing PCI for CAD with a high thrombotic and low bleeding risk warrants further study.

An additional antiplatelet treatment option that was not included in the 2024 European guidelines but appears in the 2023 American guidelines (IIb) is vorapaxar. [29] This medication belongs to the class of inhibitors of the PAR-1 receptor for thrombin present on platelets. Vorapaxar is also available in Europe, including Poland, and may be added to ASA (acetylsalicylic acid) or clopidogrel in patients with a history of MI, but without a history of stroke, transient ischemic attack (TIA) or intracranial bleeding. However, data on the efficacy and safety of its use remain limited, and the American guidelines emphasize that the benefits of using vorapaxar remain unclear. [30-33] Therefore, the possibility of using this agent in patients after PCI requires further studies.

CONCLUSIONS

CAD is a very common disease that affects the quality and duration of life of many million people among the whole world. Therefore, the effort to prevent and treat this disease remains a very important aim for global health. One of the well-established treatment methods is PCI. However, the efficacy of this way of treatment is strongly dependent on the antiplatelet treatment following the procedure called DAPT. There are several DAPT schemes differing in both length of treatment and antiplatelet medications that are administered. An optimal DAPT pattern is still a subject of intensive research, however according to the current evidence, it is crucial to assess initial ischaemic and bleeding risk of the patient before making a decision about the best treatment. Although an optimal treatment in some clinical situations is already well established and based on extensive and high quality research, there are still many situations in which an optimal pattern is unclear and further research is essential in these areas.

Declarations

Funding:

This Research received no external funding.

Author contributions:

All authors contributed to the article.

Conceptualization, HB; methodology, HB; software, HB, ABi, MB; check, JG, IC; formal analysis, MK, KN; investigation, HB, ABr; resources, HB, MK, ABr; data curation, MB, JG; writing-rough preparation, HB, MB, KN; writing - review and editing, HB, Abi, MB, JG, IC, MK, KN, ABr; visualization, KN, ABr; supervision, IC; project administration, HB *All authors have read and agreed with the published version of the manuscript.*

Conflict of Interest Statement:

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Financial Disclosure:

The study did not receive any funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement:

Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement:

Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement:

Not applicable.

References

- 1. Cassar A, Holmes DR, Jr., Rihal CS, et al. Chronic coronary artery disease: diagnosis and management. Mayo Clin Proc. 2009; 84: 1130-1146.
- 2. Maroszyńska-Dmoch E, Wożakowska-Kapłon B. Choroba wieńcowa w populacji młodych dorosłych: skala problemu, czynniki ryzyka i rokowanie przegląd literatury. Folia Cardiologica. 2014; 9: 267-274.
- 3. Boden WE, O'Rourke RA, Teo KK, et al. Optimal medical therapy with or without PCI for stable coronary disease. N Engl J Med. 2007; 356: 1503-1516.
- 4. Huczek Z. Ryzyko zakrzepicy ostrej, podostrej i późnej w stentach wieńcowych. Choroby Serca i Naczyń. 2016; 13: 464-466.
- 5. Valgimigli M, Bueno H, Byrne RA, et al. 2017 ESC focused update on dual antiplatelet therapy in coronary artery disease developed in collaboration with EACTS: The Task Force for dual antiplatelet therapy in coronary artery disease of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and of the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). Eur Heart J. 2018; 39: 213-260.

- 6. Chau KH, Kirtane AJ, Easterwood RM, et al. Stent Thrombosis Risk Over Time on the Basis of Clinical Presentation and Platelet Reactivity: Analysis From ADAPT-DES. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2021; 14: 417-427.
- 7. Vrints C, Andreotti F, Koskinas KC, et al. 2024 ESC Guidelines for the management of chronic coronary syndromes. Eur Heart J. 2024; 45: 3415-3537.
- 8. Deshpande NV, Admane P, Mardikar HM. Bleeding on dual antiplatelet therapy: real-life challenges. European Heart Journal Supplements. 2018; 20: B1-B9.
- 9. Valgimigli M, Cao D, Angiolillo DJ, et al. Duration of Dual Antiplatelet Therapy for Patients at High Bleeding Risk Undergoing PCI. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2021; 78: 2060-2072.
- 10. Angiolillo DJ, Galli M, Collet JP, et al. Antiplatelet therapy after percutaneous coronary intervention. EuroIntervention. 2022; 17: e1371-e1396.
- 11. Capodanno D, Mehran R, Krucoff MW, et al. Defining Strategies of Modulation of Antiplatelet Therapy in Patients With Coronary Artery Disease: A Consensus Document from the Academic Research Consortium. Circulation. 2023; 147: 1933-1944.
- 12. Gwon H-C, Hahn J-Y, Park KW, et al. Six-Month Versus 12-Month Dual Antiplatelet Therapy After Implantation of Drug-Eluting Stents. Circulation. 2012; 125: 505-513.
- 13. Han Y, Xu B, Xu K, et al. Six Versus 12 Months of Dual Antiplatelet Therapy After Implantation of Biodegradable Polymer Sirolimus-Eluting Stent. Circulation: Cardiovascular Interventions. 2016; 9: e003145.
- 14. Hong S-J, Shin D-H, Kim J-S, et al. 6-Month Versus 12-Month Dual-Antiplatelet Therapy Following Long Everolimus-Eluting Stent Implantation: The IVUS-XPL Randomized Clinical Trial. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions. 2016; 9: 1438-1446.
- 15. Schulz-Schüpke S, Byrne RA, ten Berg JM, et al. ISAR-SAFE: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of 6 vs. 12 months of clopidogrel therapy after drug-eluting stenting. European Heart Journal. 2015; 36: 1252-1263.
- 16. Valgimigli M, Campo G, Monti M, et al. Short- Versus Long-Term Duration of Dual-Antiplatelet Therapy After Coronary Stenting. Circulation. 2012; 125: 2015-2026.
- 17. Feres F, Costa RA, Abizaid A, et al. Three vs Twelve Months of Dual Antiplatelet Therapy After Zotarolimus-Eluting Stents: The OPTIMIZE Randomized Trial. JAMA. 2013; 310: 2510-2522.
- 18. Hong S-J, Kim J-S, Hong SJ, et al. 1-Month Dual-Antiplatelet Therapy Followed by Aspirin Monotherapy After Polymer-Free Drug-Coated Stent Implantation: One-Month DAPT Trial. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions. 2021; 14: 1801-1811.
- 19. Kim B-K, Hong M-K, Shin D-H, et al. A New Strategy for Discontinuation of Dual Antiplatelet Therapy: The RESET Trial (REal Safety and Efficacy of 3-month dual antiplatelet Therapy following Endeavor zotarolimus-eluting stent implantation). Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2012; 60: 1340-1348.
- 20. Valgimigli M, Gragnano F, Branca M, et al. P2Y12 inhibitor monotherapy or dual antiplatelet therapy after coronary revascularisation: individual patient level meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. BMJ. 2021; 373: n1332.
- 21. Isshiki T, Kimura T, Ogawa H, et al. Prasugrel, a third-generation P2Y12 receptor antagonist, in patients with coronary artery disease undergoing elective percutaneous coronary intervention. Circ J. 2014; 78: 2926-2934.
- 22. Koshy AN, Giustino G, Sartori S, et al. Ticagrelor or prasugrel versus clopidogrel in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention for chronic coronary syndromes. Eurointervention. 2023; 18: 1244-+.
- 23. Lattuca B, Mazeau C, Cayla G, et al. Ticagrelor vs Clopidogrel for Complex Percutaneous Coronary Intervention in Chronic Coronary Syndrome. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2024; 17: 359-370.

- 24. Mehilli J, Baquet M, Hochholzer W, et al. Randomized Comparison of Intensified and Standard P2Y(12)-Receptor-Inhibition Before Elective Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: The SASSICAIA Trial. Circ Cardiovasc Interv. 2020; 13: e008649.
- 25. Silvain J, Lattuca B, Beygui F, et al. Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in elective percutaneous coronary intervention (ALPHEUS): a randomised, open-label, phase 3b trial. Lancet. 2020; 396: 1737-1744.
- 26. Zheng YY, Wu TT, Yang Y, et al. Personalized antiplatelet therapy guided by a novel detection of platelet aggregation function in stable coronary artery disease patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: a randomized controlled clinical trial. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Pharmacother. 2020; 6: 211-221.
- 27. Costa F, Montalto C, Branca M, et al. Dual antiplatelet therapy duration after percutaneous coronary intervention in high bleeding risk: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. European Heart Journal. 2022; 44: 954-968.
- 28. Valgimigli M, Frigoli E, Heg D, et al. Dual Antiplatelet Therapy after PCI in Patients at High Bleeding Risk. New England Journal of Medicine. 2021; 385: 1643-1655.
- 29. Virani SS, Newby LK, Arnold SV, et al. 2023 AHA/ACC/ACCP/ASPC/NLA/PCNA Guideline for the Management of Patients With Chronic Coronary Disease: A Report of the American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2023; 148: e9-e119.
- 30. Bohula EA, Aylward PE, Bonaca MP, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Vorapaxar With and Without a Thienopyridine for Secondary Prevention in Patients With Previous Myocardial Infarction and No History of Stroke or Transient Ischemic Attack. Circulation. 2015; 132: 1871-1879.
- 31. Bonaca MP, Scirica BM, Braunwald E, et al. Coronary stent thrombosis with vorapaxar versus placebo: results from the TRA 2° P-TIMI 50 trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014; 64: 2309-2317.
- 32. Morrow DA, Braunwald E, Bonaca MP, et al. Vorapaxar in the Secondary Prevention of Atherothrombotic Events. New England Journal of Medicine. 2012; 366: 1404-1413.
- 33. Scirica BM, Bonaca MP, Braunwald E, et al. Vorapaxar for secondary prevention of thrombotic events for patients with previous myocardial infarction: a prespecified subgroup analysis of the TRA 2°P-TIMI 50 trial. Lancet. 2012; 380: 1317-1324.