OTRĘBA, Karina, CZUPRYŃSKA, Karolina, CZYŻNIEWSKA, Oliwia, DOMAŃSKI, Mikołaj, KOPCZYŃSKA, Barbara, SZAŁAJSKA, Julia, WIERZEJSKA, Natalia and HTTPS://ORCID.ORG/0009-0006-5373-400X, Maria. Precise Classification, and Accurate Diagnosis: Key Elements for Effective Treatment and Management of Headache Disorders. Journal of Education, Health and Sport. 2024;73:51718. eISSN 2391-8306. https://dx.doi.org/10.12775/JEHS.2024.73.51718 https://apcz.umk.pl/JEHS/article/view/51718

The journal has had 40 points in Minister of Science and Higher Education of Poland parametric evaluation. Annex to the announcement of the Minister of Education and Science of 05.01.2024 No. 32318. Has a Journal's Unique Identifier: 201159. Scientific disciplines assigned: Physical culture sciences (Field of medical and health sciences); Health Sciences (Field of medical and health sciences). Punkty Ministeriane 40 punktów. Załącznik do komunikatu Ministra Nauki i Szkolnictwa Wyższego z dnia 05.01.2024 Lp. 32318. Posiada Unikatowy Identyfikator Czasopisma: 201159. Przypisane dyscypliny naukowe: Nauki o kulture fryzyczej (Dizdzian nauk medycznych i nauk o zdrowiu), Nauki o zdrowiu, Dizdzizdzian nauk medycznych i nauk o zdrowiu), Nauki o zdrowiu, Dizdzizdzian nauk medycznych i nauk o zdrowiu, Dizdzizdzian nauk medycznych i nauko s zdrowiu Dizdzizdzian nauk medycznych i nauko s zdrowiu, Dizdzizdzian nauk medycznych i nauko zdrowiu, Dizdzizdzian nauk medycznych i nauko s zdrowiu, Dizdzizdzian nauk medycznych i nauko s zdrowiu, Dizdzizdzian nauk medycznych i nauko zdrowiu, Dizdzizdzian nauko zdrowiu, Dizdzizdzian nauk medzizdzie nauko tenze so druko zdrowiu, Dizdzizdzi nauku ku ku kuti

Precise Classification, and Accurate Diagnosis: Key Elements for Effective Treatment and Management of Headache Disorders

Karina Otręba, Medical University of Warsaw, Żwirki i Wigury 61, 02-091 Warsaw, Poland https://orcid.org/0009-0009-9655-5353, karina.zofia.otreba@gmail.com

Karolina Czupryńska, Medical University of Warsaw, Żwirki i Wigury 61, 02-091 Warsaw,

Poland

https://orcid.org/0009-0007-8932-2688, czuprynska.karolina@gmail.com

Oliwia Czyżniewska, School of Medicine, Collegium Medicum, University of Warmia and Mazury, Olsztyn, Poland

https://orcid.org/0009-0003-0388-0269, oliwia.czyzniewska@gmail.com

Mikołaj Domański, Medical University of Warsaw, Żwirki i Wigury 61, 02-091 Warsaw, Poland

https://orcid.org/0009-0004-1581-6640, mikolajdomanski@interia.pl

Barbara Kopczyńska, Medical University of Warsaw, Żwirki i Wigury 61, 02-091 Warsaw, Poland

https://orcid.org/0009-0007-5944-0165, kopczynskabasia@gmail.com

Julia Szałajska, Medical University of Warsaw, Żwirki i Wigury 61, 02-091 Warsaw, Poland https://orcid.org/0009-0007-8866-5419, juliaszalajska.mail@gmail.com

Natalia Wierzejska, Medical University of Warsaw, Żwirki i Wigury 61, 02-091 Warsaw, Poland https://orcid.org/0009-0006-5373-400X, nwierzejska1@gmail.com

Maria Wojcieszek, Medical University of Warsaw, Żwirki i Wigury 61, 02-091 Warsaw, Poland

https://orcid.org/0009-0008-3807-7317, mariajuliawojcieszek@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Introduction

Tension-type headaches (TTH) are a significant health concern that demands precise diagnostic approaches and effective management strategies. A nuanced understanding of their pathophysiology and diagnostic challenges is not just pivotal, but a responsibility we share, for enhancing patient care.

Aim

This article aims to explore the complex realm of TTH diagnosis and management, underlining the need for precision in diagnostics and the intricate balance of cost-effectiveness. It also delves into the diverse therapeutic options available for treating TTH, presenting a stimulating challenge for healthcare professionals, researchers, and medical students.

State of Knowledge

Initial diagnosis involves excluding secondary headaches by identifying red flags indicative of serious underlying conditions. A detailed medical history, complemented by patient-recorded pain diaries, enhances diagnostic accuracy. Ancillary procedures like neuroimaging, despite cost-effectiveness debates, are often preferred by clinicians to mitigate diagnostic risks. Validated tools such as the MIDAS and HIT-6 questionnaires provide valuable insights into headache-related disability. Differential diagnosis among headache types relies on recognizing distinctive clinical features.

Summary (Conclusions)

Effectively managing TTH necessitates a multifaceted approach, incorporating pharmacological, non-pharmacological, and lifestyle interventions tailored to individual

patient needs. Collaborative efforts spanning legal, technological, and research domains are crucial for advancing headache diagnostics and therapeutics. While technological advancements offer promise, interdisciplinary collaboration remains fundamental in optimizing patient care outcomes.

KEYWORDS: Headache; Tension-Type Headache; Classification; Diagnosis; Migraine Disorders; Cluster Headache

DEFINITIONS OF ABBREVIATIONS

- TTH Tension-type headache
- VAS Visual Analogue Scale
- IHS International Headache Society
- ICHD International Classification of Headache Disorders
- DSM-V Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition
- MIDAS Migraine Disability Assessment Questionnaire
- HIT-6 Headache Impact Test
- CT Computed Tomography
- MRI Magnetic Resonance Imaging
- MR angiography Magnetic Resonance Angiography
- CDS Clinical Decision Support tools
- AI Artificial Intelligence
- NSAIDs Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs
- HIV Human Immunodeficiency Virus
- CBT Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy
- APS American Pain Society
- TCAs Tricyclic Antidepressants
- FDA Food and Drug Administration

INTRODUCTION

Primary headaches, including migraine and tension-type headaches (TTH), are not just medical conditions but also widespread personal burdens, affecting a staggering 90% of individuals at some point in their lives [1]. These disorders impose significant distress, often

resulting in missed opportunities, decreased productivity, and a subsequent deterioration in quality of life. Recent data from a European project reveals the annual economic impact of migraine and TTH in adults aged 18-65 to be a staggering \in 111 billion and \in 21 billion, respectively, encompassing direct medical costs, lost productivity, and reduced quality of life. The substantial economic burden and patient distress associated with primary headaches highlight the pressing need for effective management strategies [2].

The pathophysiology of headaches is a complex and not fully understood phenomenon, often involving intricate origins that defy simple definitions. Headaches can be primary, lacking clear organic causes, and typically linked to lifestyle factors such as stress, sleep deprivation, or dietary choices, genetic predisposition, or secondary, emerging from underlying medical conditions such as brain tumors or infections [1]. This intricate nature of headaches presents a complex challenge for healthcare professionals and researchers, making their study and management daunting.

By exploring the diverse manifestations of primary headaches, particularly migraine and TTH, we can better understand their effects on individual patients and society. This understanding is crucial for developing more targeted and effective treatment approaches. While current treatment strategies can offer some relief, primary headaches remain a significant burden on individuals and healthcare systems. The substantial economic and personal toll associated with primary headaches underscores the critical need for more effective management approaches to improve patient well-being. This article underscores the transformative potential of precision diagnostic modalities, such as advanced neuroimaging techniques and genetic testing, in tailoring therapeutic regimens and optimizing patientcentric outcomes. We aim to inspire a greater understanding and recognition of headache disorders, ultimately improving patient care delivery and overall well-being. Through our dedication to precision and accuracy in classification and diagnosis, healthcare professionals can significantly impact patients' lives by tailoring treatment plans and optimizing outcomes.

DEFINITION, EPIDEMIOLOGY, AND CHARACTERISTICS OF TENSION-TYPE HEADACHES

Definition

Tension-type headache (TTH) is one of the most prevalent primary headache disorders. It is characterized by a non-pulsating, pressure-like pain that typically affects the entire head or neck region [3]. Despite its name, TTH is not directly caused by muscle tension. This misconception often leads to delayed or misdiagnosis, underscoring the crucial role of accurate classification and terminology in your work.

Characteristic Features of the Pain

TTH typically presents with milder pain intensity compared to migraine. The pain is often described as a sensation of pressure or tightness encompassing the entire head or resembling a circumferential band. Pain intensity typically falls within a moderate range on the visual analog scale (VAS) of 3 [3]. While the pain may impede daily activities, it usually does not disable individuals. The duration of TTH episodes varies widely, ranging from thirty minutes to several (up to seven) days. This variability in duration can be unpredictable, but your understanding and management of it can help you feel more prepared for the different scenarios you may encounter in your practice, ensuring better patient care.

Associated Symptoms

Unlike migraine, TTH rarely presents with symptoms such as nausea, vomiting, photophobia, or phonophobia. Certain patients may experience muscular tension in the cranial and cervical regions, particularly during heightened stress [5].

Alleviating Factors

Individuals afflicted with tension-type headaches often report that physical activity, including low-impact exercises such as walking, swimming, or yoga, and relaxation techniques such as deep breathing or progressive muscle relaxation, can reduce pain intensity. For instance, a 30-minute in a park or a 15-minute yoga session can significantly alleviate TTH symptoms. Even in pain, diverting the patient's attention to alternative activities, such as reading a book or listening to music, may be relieved. Additionally, scalp massage has been shown to provide relief for patients with TTH [6].

Risk Factors

Risk factors for TTH have been examined in various cross-sectional and longitudinal studies. A correlation has been established between educational attainment and the prevalence of episodic TTH. However, this association was inverted for chronic TTH, which exhibited higher incidence rates among cohorts with lower educational levels. Additional risk factors

for TTH include fatigue, difficulty in post-work relaxation, younger age, female gender, psychiatric comorbidities, and misuse of analgesic medications. Longitudinal investigations have revealed that frequent headaches during the prodromal phase and in the female gender were predictive of chronic TTH onset. Furthermore, the excessive use of analgesic medications has been linked to chronic headache persistence. Notably, an unfavorable prognosis for TTH has been associated with concurrent headaches, such as migraine, as well as sleep disturbances and personal adversities [7, 8].

Epidemiology

The lifetime prevalence of TTH can reach up to 89% and exhibits significant geographic disparities [9]. In 2017, global TTH incidence approximated 882 million cases, surpassing 2 billion in prevalence. Regional variations in TTH prevalence are substantial, with rates recorded at 10.8% in China, 36% in Jordan, 38.3% in the USA, and 86.6% in Denmark. Although the prevalence of episodic TTH has received limited scrutiny, estimates suggest a mean incidence of 8.4%. Chronic TTH demonstrates prevalence rates of approximately 2-3% [10]. TTH incidence peaks between 20 and 39 years of age, declining after that [10, 11]. The male-to-female ratio is 4:5, with gender differences emerging during adolescence [12, 13]. This global perspective underscores the importance of your work in understanding and managing this prevalent condition.

Clinical Manifestations

Despite its ubiquitous prevalence in the general populace, most individuals with TTH seldom seek medical intervention, restricting the availability of literature on TTH clinical manifestations. Data from a Danish population cohort indicate that TTH exhibits bilateral presentation in 90% of cases, characterized predominantly by a compressive or constrictive quality in 78%, with mild-to-moderate pain intensity in 99% of cases. Moreover, routine physical activity does not exacerbate symptoms in 74% of patients. In another study from the same cohort, headache episodes in individuals with frequent TTH persisted for durations ranging from 30 minutes to 24 hours in 83% of cases [7].

CLASSIFICATION OF TENSION-TYPE HEADACHES

This chapter will delve into the practical application of various classification systems in diagnosing tension-type headaches. We will focus on two central classification systems commonly used in clinical practice: the International Classification of Headache Disorders (ICHD) and the Diagnostic Criteria of DSM-V. These classifications, far from being theoretical constructs, are the tools that assist physicians in accurately determining the type of headache and establishing an appropriate treatment plan, making them invaluable in our daily practice.

Furthermore, we will delve into the practical implications of understanding the main subtypes of tension-type headaches, including episodic headaches, chronic headaches, and tension-type headaches with migraine. By analyzing the differences in clinical presentation, duration, and frequency of occurrence of these subtypes, we can gain a deeper understanding of each's unique characteristics. This knowledge is invaluable in tailoring treatment to the individual needs of the patient, enhancing the effectiveness of our clinical practice.

Diagnostic Criteria of ICHD

According to the ICHD, tension-type headaches can be categorized into primary or secondary, depending on the context of the headache's occurrence in a given patient. This classification is guided by three key principles, which serve as a comprehensive framework for understanding tension-related pain in different circumstances.

- 1. When a new headache with similar characteristics appears for the first time in close temporal association with another known headache-inducing disorder or meets other criteria indicative of an association with that disorder, it is classified as a secondary headache.
- 2. When an existing tension-type headache becomes chronic in close temporal association with such a disorder, an additional diagnosis of secondary headache is necessary, simultaneously with the primary diagnosis of tension-type headache.
- 3. Suppose an existing tension-type headache significantly worsens (usually twice or more) in close temporal association with such a disorder. In that case, an additional diagnosis of secondary headache is also necessary, provided there is convincing evidence of a relationship between these two conditions.

In the case of chronic tension-type headaches associated with medication overuse, it is often difficult to establish an exact temporal relationship. In such cases, both diagnoses, chronic tension-type headache, and headache associated with medication overuse, should be considered [33].

Subtypes of Tension-Type Headaches

The table (Table 1.) below presents various subtypes of tension-type headaches, considering their frequency of occurrence, presence or absence of pericranial tension, and pain characteristics [33].

Tension – type headache (TTH)			
Infrequent episodic	Frequent episodic	Chronic tension-type	Probable tension-
tension-type	tension-type	headache	type headache
headache	headache	- associated with	- infrequent episodic
- associated with	- associated with	pericranial tenderness	tension-type headache
pericranial tenderness	pericranial tenderness	- not associated with	- frequent episodic
- not associated with	- not associated with	pericranial tenderness	tension-type headache
pericranial tenderness	pericranial tenderness		- chronic tension-type headache

Table 1. Table describing types of tension-type headaches [33].

Infrequent episodic tension-type

Infrequent episodic tension-type headache is typically characterized by bilateral pressure or tightening, with mild to moderate intensity, lasting from **30 minutes to 7 days**. The pain does not worsen with routine physical activity and usually does not accompany nausea, although photophobia or phonophobia may occur [33].

Diagnostic criteria for infrequent episodic tension-type headache

General requirements	Infrequent episodic	Infrequent episodic
A. At least 10 episodes of headache occurring on <1 day/month on average (<12 days/year) and fulfilling criteria B-D	tension-type headache associated with pericranial tenderness	tension-type headache not associated with pericranial tnderness
 B. Lasting from 30 minutes to 7 days C. At least two of the following four characteristics: bilateral location pressing or tightenin (nonpulsating) quality mild or moderate intensity not aggravated by routine physical activity such as walking or climbing stairs D. Both of the following: 	A. Episodes fulfilling general requirements. Infrequent episodic tension-type besident B. Increased pericranial tenderness on manual palpation.	A. Episodes fulfilling general requirements.Infrequent episodic tension-type headacheB. There was no increase in pericranial tenderness.
 5. no nausea or vomiting 6. no more than one of photophobia or phonophobia E. Not better accounted for by another ICHD-3 diagnosis. 		

Table 2. Table describing the diagnostic criteria for infrequent episodic tension-type headache [33].

Frequent episodic tension-type headache

Episodes of frequent tension-type headaches, typically bilateral, are characterized by a sensation of pressure or squeezing ranging from mild to moderate and lasting from several minutes to several days. The pain does not worsen with routine physical activity, and nausea

is rarely present. However, there may be sensitivity to light (photophobia) or sound (phonophobia) [33].

Diagnostic criteria for frequent episodic tension-type headache

General requirements

<u>General requirements</u>	Frequent episodic	Frequent episodic
A. At least 10 episodes of headache	tension-type headache	tension-type headache
occurring on 1-14 days/month on	associated with	not associated with
average for >3 months (\geq 12 and <180	pericranial tenderness	pericranial tenderness
days/year) and fulfilling criteria B-D		
B. Lasting from 30 minutes to 7 days		A. Episodes fulfilling
C. At least two of the following four	A. Episodes fulfilling	general requirements.
characteristics:	general requirements.	Frequent episodic
1. bilateral location	Frequent episodic	tension-type headache
2. pressing or tightening (non-	tension-type headache.	B. No increase in
pulsating) quality	B. Increased pericranial	pericranial tenderness.
3. mild or moderate intensity	tenderness on manual	
4. not aggravated by routine	palpation.	
physical activity such as walking		
or climbing stairs		
D. Both of the following:		
5. no nausea or vomiting		
6. no more than one of photophobia		
or phonophobia		
E. Not better accounted for by another		
ICHD-3 diagnosis.		

Table 3. Table describing the diagnostic criteria for frequent episodic tension-type headache [33].

Chronic tension-type headache

Chronic tension-type headache is characterized by daily or near-daily episodes of moderate-intensity, pressing, or tightening pain on both sides of the head, lasting for several hours to several days or continuously. Pain does not worsen during routine physical activity, although it may be accompanied by mild nausea, photophobia, or phonophobia [33].

Diagnostic criteria for chronic tension-type headache

General requirements	Chronic tension-type	Chronic tension-type
A. Headache occurring on \geq 15 days/month on average for >3 months (\geq 180 days/year), fulfilling criteria B-D	headache associated with pericranial tenderness	headachenotassociatedwithpericranial tenderness
 B. Lasting hours to days, or unremitting C. At least two of the following four characteristics: bilateral location 	A.Episodesfulfillinggeneralrequirements.Chronictension-typeheadache.	A. Episodes fulfillinggeneral requirements.Chronic tension-typeheadache.
 pressing or tightening (non-pulsating) quality mild or moderate intensity not aggravated by routine physical activity such as walking or climbing stairs 	B. Increased pericranial tenderness on manual palpation.	B. No increase in pericranial tenderness.
 D. Both of the following: 5. no more than one of photophobia, phonophobia or mild nausea 6. neither moderate or severe nausea nor vomiting E. Not better accounted for by another ICHD-3 diagnosis. 		

11

Table 4. Table describing the diagnostic criteria for chronic tension-type headache [33].

Probable tension-type headache

A headache with features suggestive of a tension-type headache but not fulfilling all criteria for a specific subtype and not attributable to another headache disorder [33].

Diagnostic criteria for probable tension-type headache			
Probable infrequent episodic	Probable frequent episodic	Probable chronic tension-	
tension-type headache	tension-type headache	type headache	
A. One or more episodes of headache fulfilling all but one	A. Episodes of headache fulfilling all but one of criteria	A. Headache fulfilling all but one of criteria A-D for	
of criteria A-D for infrequent	A-D for frequent episodic	chronic episodic tension-type	
episodic tension-type	tension-type headache.	headache.	
headache.	B. Not fulfilling ICHD-3	B. Not fulfilling ICHD-3	
B. Not fulfilling ICHD-3	criteria for any other headache	criteria for any other headache	
criteria for any other headache	disorder.	disorder.	
disorder.	C. Not better accounted for by	C. Not better accounted for by	
C. Not better accounted for by	another ICHD-3 diagnosis.	another ICHD-3 diagnosis.	
another ICHD-3 diagnosis.			

Table 4. Table describing the diagnostic criteria for probable tension-type headache [33].

PSYCHOLOGICAL MECHANISMS OF TTH

Stress and psychological tension are widely recognized as triggering factors for tension-type headaches (TTH). However, the extent to which this relationship holds remains a subject of ongoing research. A comprehensive literature analysis reveals several key insights into the psychological mechanisms associated with TTH [14].

Personality Profiles and Stress Perception

Individuals suffering from TTH do not exhibit significant differences in overall personality profiles compared to the general population. However, a notable distinction lies in their stress perception and coping strategies. TTH patients experience more daily stressful events and attribute greater significance to them than healthy individuals. Heightened perception of stress is further intensified by an increased sensitivity to pain stimuli and a tendency to interpret physical symptoms as threats to one's physical well-being [15].

Attentional Focus and Coping Difficulties

TTH patients also demonstrate an increased tendency to focus attention on stressful events and attribute greater importance to them. Enhanced attentional focus may exacerbate the perceived impact of stressors, subsequently aggravating headache symptoms. Additionally, TTH patients often face difficulties in effectively coping with everyday life problems. These coping difficulties can hinder their ability to manage stress and contribute to the persistence of TTH [16].

Chronic TTH and Psychiatric Comorbidity

While the conclusions above primarily pertain to episodic TTH, research on chronic TTH suggests a higher prevalence of depression and anxiety. The relationship between TTH and psychiatric disorders remains a complex area of investigation. While psychiatric disorders may act as triggers for TTH, they may also be consequences of chronic pain and its associated limitations. Depression and anxiety are often comorbid conditions with TTH, further complicating the clinical picture [15,16].

Diagnostic Considerations

The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-V) does not include direct diagnostic criteria for tension-type headaches or other headaches. Instead, it places primary emphasis on diagnosing psychiatric and emotional disorders rather than physical complaints such as headaches. However, the DSM-V can be used to diagnose psychiatric disorders that may coexist with headaches, such as anxiety or depressive disorders. In cases where a patient manifests symptoms of tension-type headaches in the context of psychiatric disorders, the DSM-V can be used to diagnose these disorders and further evaluate the situation [17, 31].

The psychological mechanisms underlying tension-type headaches are complex and multifaceted. While personality profiles may not differ significantly from the general population, TTH patients exhibit heightened stress perception, increased sensitivity to pain, and difficulties with attentional focus and coping. Chronic TTH may be associated with a higher prevalence of depression and anxiety, further complicating the clinical presentation. Understanding the psychological factors involved in TTH is crucial for developing effective treatment strategies and improving patient outcomes [14, 15, 16].

HEADACHE DIAGNOSIS AND ANCILLARY PROCEDURES: PRECISION VS. COST

Excluding Secondary Headaches

The initial step excludes secondary (symptomatic) headaches, which could indicate underlying life-threatening conditions. Vigilance is crucial, particularly in identifying warning signs suggestive of potentially serious etiologies, warranting immediate diagnostic investigations (refer to Table 5).

Red flags for secondary headaches in clinical practice

- 1. systemic symptoms including fever (orange for isolated fever)
- 2. neoplasm history
- 3. neurologic deficit (including decreased consciousness)
- 4. sudden or abrupt onset
- 5. older age (onset after 65 years)
- 6. pattern change or recent onset of new headache
- 7. positional headache
- 8. precipitated by sneezing, coughing, or exercise
- 9. papilledema
- 10. progressive headache and atypical presentations
- 11. pregnancy or puerperium
- 12. painful eye with autonomic features
- 13. posttraumatic onset of headache
- 14. pathology of the immune system such as HIV
- 15. painkiller overuse or new drug at onset of headache.

Table 5. Table presenting red flags in secondary headache [21].

Comprehensive Medical History

Furthermore, conducting a comprehensive medical history is paramount to ascertain the nature of presenting symptoms and rule out any imminent risks to the patient's well-being. Equally imperative is conducting a meticulous interview to uncover any potential comorbid psychiatric conditions, such as depression or anxiety [15,18, 19].

Patient's Active Role

In clinical practice, pinpointing the precise characteristics of the headache during patient encounters can be challenging. However, by maintaining a pain diary, where you, as the patient, document the onset, duration, and characteristics of the headache and any medications used, you can play an active and invaluable role in your healthcare [20].

Reevaluation and Follow-Up

Following the exclusion of common and severe causes of secondary headaches, it becomes essential to reevaluate your clinical status, paying close attention to any atypical headache features and concurrent disorders [26].

Ancillary Diagnostic Procedures in Headache Evaluation

Ancillary diagnostic procedures, including neuroimaging (CT, MRI, and possibly CT angiography, MR angiography), lumbar puncture, Doppler ultrasound of the intracranial arteries (both cervical and vertebral), and blood laboratory tests, are employed based on the suspicion of secondary headaches and their potential etiology. Neuroimaging studies are particularly recommended when alarming symptoms are present [22, 27].

In recent years, there has been a notable surge in the utilization of imaging modalities for headache diagnosis despite ongoing debates regarding their cost-effectiveness. Studies indicate that despite the increased use of imaging studies, they often yield insignificant findings. Nevertheless, physicians frequently opt for additional imaging due to concerns about overlooking rare pathologies.

It's noteworthy that further imaging investigations are advisable only when alarming symptoms are evident, underscoring the importance of a comprehensive evaluation for each case. Despite potential cost-efficiency, physicians favor imaging to mitigate the risk of missing significant conditions. Additionally, considering the patient's medical history and alarming symptoms is paramount for an accurate headache diagnosis. Our study underscores the necessity of balancing cost-effectiveness with diagnostic precision, advocating for a reasonable approach to ordering imaging studies in headache diagnostics. This approach not only ensures the best possible care for the patient but also instills confidence in the healthcare professional's decision-making process [23].

MIDAS: A Simple Tool for Assessing Headache-Related Disability

As the focus intensifies on improving migraine care, the Migraine Disability Assessment Questionnaire (MIDAS) has emerged as a valuable tool. Its simplicity and accuracy make it extremely useful in assessing headache-related disability. Studies have confirmed its value and utility in this area, particularly in the context of migraine treatment.

The MIDAS questionnaire, a simple tool based on five questions about activity limitations due to migraine in the past three months, plays a significant role in the diagnostic process. Research has shown it to be consistent and reliable, with MIDAS results correlating with physicians' clinical assessments. The simplicity of MIDAS facilitates efficient communication during consultations, enabling doctors and patients to discuss the impact of migraines on daily life more effectively. Patients with migraines can look forward to a more personalized and effective treatment journey [24].

The Significance of the HIT-6 Questionnaire in Assessing the Impact of Headaches on Daily Life

The HIT-6, or Headache Impact Test, stands out as a widely used patient-reported outcome measure that uniquely assesses the negative impact of headaches on daily activities. This questionnaire was developed for the general population of individuals with headaches, a significant milestone achieved before the FDA established the currently applicable guidelines.

This narrative review embarked on an extensive examination of existing qualitative studies conducted in patients with migraines and headaches. The aim was to understand the significance and relevance of the HIT-6 in their lives. A total of 3227 articles were meticulously identified in the database and manual literature review. Among them, 12 contained qualitative information regarding patients' experiences with headaches, including eight about patients with migraines (both episodic and chronic). A total of 283 patient interviews were conducted. The analysis revealed that the items of the HIT-6 questionnaire are significant for the lives of patients with migraines, encompassing limitations in daily

activities, the need to lie down during headaches, feelings of fatigue, irritability, difficulties with concentration, and pain intensity assessment.

The conclusions drawn from this narrative literature review confirm the quality of studies regarding the significance of HIT-6 items in patients with migraines, underscoring its paramount importance in clinical research and clinical practice [25].

Differential Diagnosis of Migraine, Cluster Headache, and Tension-Type Headache

An accurate diagnosis is crucial for the effective treatment of migraine, cluster headaches, and tension-type headaches. These primary headache disorders differ in their pathophysiology, clinical presentation, and response to therapy. Recognizing these distinctions is essential for selecting the most appropriate treatment regimen and improving patient outcomes. This article compares these three common headache types to highlight their key differentiating features [28, 29, 30].

Characteristic	Migraine	Tension-Type Headache	Cluster Headache
Pain Character	Throbbing, unilateral	Pressing or tightening, bilateral	Dominantly retro- orbital, severe
Pain Intensity	Moderate to severe	Mild to moderate	Very severe
DurationofPainEpisodes	4 to 72 hours	30 minutes to 7 days	Short, 15 minutes to 180 minutes
Aggravation by Activity	Typically worsens with physical activity	Typically, it does not worsen with routine physical activity	Often remains unchanged
Associated Symptoms	Nausea, sensitivity to odors, light, sound	Rare, the possible muscle tension in head and neck	Often involves one eye, tearing, redness of conjunctiva
Pain Trigger Factors	Familial predisposition, environmental factors	Stress, fatigue, lack of sleep, diet	Specific triggers, e.g., alcohol
Risk Factors	Familial predisposition, environmental factors	Familial predisposition, psychological factors	Family history, smoking
Chronic Form	Present	Present	Present

Comparative Analysis

Frequency of Attacks	Variable, from sporadic	Variable, from sporadic	Cyclical periods of
	to frequent	to frequent	activity and remission
Onset Age	20-40 years	25-30 years	20-40 years
Average Frequency	18% globally, with a2.8:1 female-to-maleratio	Global frequency of 38%	Prevalence 0.1% - 0.4%

Table 6. Table comparing features of tension-type headaches with migraine and cluster headaches.

Migraine, cluster headache, and tension-type headache are three common primary headache disorders that can significantly impact patients' quality of life. Accurate diagnosis is essential for selecting the most appropriate treatment regimen and improving patient outcomes. This comparative analysis provides a framework for understanding the key differentiating features of these three headache types.

Diagnosing tension-type headaches poses several challenges and limitations. Firstly, the subjective nature of symptoms means that patients may experience a variety of pain sensations, and their descriptions can be subjective and variable, complicating the establishment of a precise diagnosis based solely on patient-reported symptoms. Additionally, the complexity of differential diagnosis also presents difficulties. Symptoms of tension-type headaches may overlap with those of other headache disorders, such as migraines or headaches associated with neurological conditions. Therefore, excluding other conditions requires time and the performance of appropriate diagnostic tests. Moreover, objective methods of diagnosing headaches have limitations. Imaging studies may only sometimes provide definitive information, and their results may be interpreted differently. Hence, a holistic approach, taking into account the entire clinical context of the patient, is necessary.

TREATMENT METHODS FOR TENSION-TYPE HEADACHES

Tension-type headaches, a common form of headache, significantly impact daily functioning and quality of life. This paper will discuss various effective treatment methods for tension-type headaches, encompassing pharmacological and non-pharmacological approaches and lifestyle modifications. While a comprehensive exploration of each approach is beyond the scope of this paper, these various therapeutic strategies and their effectiveness in alleviating this significant health concern will be examined. However, due to the breadth of the topic, a detailed discussion of therapeutic specifics will not be provided.

Pharmacotherapy

Analgesic Drugs

Analgesic medications, such as aspirin, paracetamol (acetaminophen), and nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) like ibuprofen or naproxen, are commonly used as first-line treatment for TTHs. Clinical studies have demonstrated the superior efficacy of these drugs compared to placebo in reducing pain intensity and the frequency of TTH episodes [30,34]. However, long-term use of NSAIDs may increase the risk of gastrointestinal and cardiovascular complications [37]. Therefore, carefully considering individual patient factors and potential side effects is crucial when selecting analgesic medications.

Antidepressant Drugs

Tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs), such as amitriptyline, are often employed to prevent chronic TTHs. Amitriptyline's mechanism of action involves blocking the reuptake of serotonin and norepinephrine, reducing excessive nerve activity (neuronal excitation) associated with TTHs. Evidence also suggests the effectiveness of other antidepressant drugs, such as venlafaxine and mirtazapine, in preventing TTHs [30,34].

Non-pharmacological Therapies

Relaxation Techniques

Relaxation training, cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), biofeedback, and mindfulness techniques have emerged as effective non-pharmacological therapies for TTH management. These approaches aim to alter reactions to stress and enhance coping skills with pain, thereby reducing the frequency and intensity of TTH episodes [34].

Psychotherapy

Psychotherapy, particularly CBT, can be a valuable non-pharmacological tool in addressing TTHs. It assists patients in identifying and managing stress, emotions, and negative thoughts that may contribute to headaches. By exploring psychological and emotional factors, psychotherapy can influence a reduction in TTH frequency and intensity [34].

Acupuncture

Acupuncture is a potential treatment option for preventing TTHs. However, the strength of this conclusion could be improved due to the generally low quality of scientific evidence. The

American Pain Society (APS) has emphasized the need for high-quality studies to assess the efficacy and safety of acupuncture compared to sham acupuncture. Nevertheless, some patients report benefits from regular acupuncture sessions in alleviating TTH symptoms [35].

Physiotherapy

Physical exercises, massage, and other physiotherapy techniques may help reduce muscle tension and improve blood circulation, potentially contributing to a lower frequency of headaches. Manual therapies applied to the suboccipital region for four weeks have shown positive improvements in some quality of life for patients with TTHs. However, the effectiveness of these techniques may vary depending on individual responses and specific case details. In some instances, further refinement of manual therapy or the use of alternative therapeutic methods may be necessary to achieve more complete improvement and headache symptom reduction. Continuous monitoring of the patient's condition and adjustments to the therapeutic plan are essential to ensure optimal treatment outcomes [34,36].

Lifestyle and Environmental Changes

Stress Management

Avoiding stressful situations and practicing relaxation techniques can reduce headache frequency. Minimizing stress-triggering factors can significantly lower the risk of TTH episodes [36].

Regular Meal Consumption and Hydration

Regular, healthy meals and adequate hydration can help prevent headaches. Fluid deficiency and irregular meals can lead to dehydration and nutrient deficiencies, increasing susceptibility to TTH episodes [36].

In conclusion, tension-type headaches can significantly impact daily functioning and quality of life. Fortunately, a range of effective treatment options, including pharmacological, non-pharmacological, and lifestyle modifications, can be employed to manage TTHs. Careful selection of treatment approaches based on individual patient factors and preferences is crucial to optimize outcomes. Further research is warranted to refine existing treatments and explore novel therapeutic strategies for TTHs, such as investigating the efficacy of mindfulness meditation or examining the impact of specific dietary patterns on TTH frequency.

SUMMARY

A comprehensive approach, which integrates legal, technological, and research endeavors, is essential to optimize the diagnosis and treatment of tension-type headaches. By aligning these multifaceted perspectives, we can effectively address the challenges inherent in diagnosing this condition, such as the subjective nature of symptom presentation and the intricate complexities of differential diagnosis. Our overarching objective is to elevate the standard of patient care by delivering precise diagnoses and tailoring individualized treatment strategies. We aim to streamline diagnosis and improve treatment effectiveness through initiatives like legal reforms and comparisons of diagnostic and treatment methods. Moreover, technological advancements, including clinical decision support tools and artificial intelligence algorithms, provide a promising avenue for further refining the diagnosis and management of headache disorders. However, it is essential to note that the success of these initiatives depends on each of us fostering collaboration across these interdisciplinary realms. Your expertise and dedication are critical to optimizing therapeutic outcomes and improving the quality of life for individuals with tension-type headaches [31, 32].

DISCLOSURE

Author's contribution:

Writing and Editing - Karina Otręba
Data Analysis - Oliwia Czyżniewska
Scientific Research - Mikołaj Domański
Planning and Structure - Karolina Czupryńska
Summary and Conclusions - Julia Szałajska
Literature Review - Barbara Kopczyńska
Graphic Design - Natalia Wierzejska
Proofreading - Maria Wojcieszek

All authors have read and agreed with the published version of the manuscript.

Financing statement: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflict of interest: The authors deny any conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Wöber C, Wöber-Bingöl C. Triggers of migraine and tension-type headache. Handb Clin Neurol. 2010;97:161-172. doi:10.1016/S0072-9752(10)97012-7.

2. Linde M, Gustavsson A, Stovner LJ, Steiner TJ, Barré J, Katsarawa Z, Lainez JM, Lampl C, Lanteri-Minet M, Rastenyte D, Ruiz de la Torre E, Tassorelli C, Andrée C, Eurolight project group. The cost of headache disorders in Europe: the Eurolight project. Eur J Neurol. 2012;19(5):703-711. doi:10.1111/j.1468-1331.2011.03612.x.

3. May A. Hints on Diagnosing and Treating Headache. Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2018;115(17):299-308. doi:10.3238/arztebl.2018.0299.

4. Ertsey C, Magyar M, Gyüre T, Balogh E, Bozsik G. A tenziós fejfájás és kezelése [Tension type headache and its treatment possibilities]. Ideggyogy Sz. 2019;72(1-2):13-21. doi:10.18071/isz.72.0013.

5. Bhoi SK, Jha M, Chowdhury D. Advances in the Understanding of Pathophysiology of TTH and its Management. Neurol India. 2021;69(Supplement):S116-S123. doi:10.4103/0028-3886.315986.

6. Bag B, Karabulut N. Pain-relieving factors in migraine and tension-type headache. Int J Clin Pract. 2005;59(7):760-763.

7. Ashina S, Mitsikostas DD, Lee MJ, Yamani N, Wang SJ, Messina R, Ashina H, Buse DC, Pozo-Rosich P, Jensen RH, Diener HC, Lipton RB. Tension-type headache. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2021;7(1):24. Published 2021 Mar 25. doi:10.1038/s41572-021-00257-2.

8. Chen Y. Advances in the pathophysiology of tension-type headache: from stress to central sensitization. Curr Pain Headache Rep. 2009;13(6):484-494. doi:10.1007/s11916-009-0078-x.

9. Lyngberg AC, Rasmussen BK, Jørgensen T, Jensen R. Has the prevalence of migraine and tension-type headache changed over a 12-year period? A Danish population survey. Eur J Epidemiol. 2005;20(3):243-249. doi:10.1007/s10654-004-6519-2.

10. Schwartz BS, Stewart WF, Simon D, Lipton RB. Epidemiology of tension-type headache. JAMA. 1998;279(5):381-383. doi:10.1001/jama.279.5.381.

11. Göbel H, Petersen-Braun M, Soyka D. The epidemiology of headache in Germany: a nationwide survey of a representative sample on the basis of the headache classification of the International Headache Society. Cephalalgia. 1994;14(2):97-106. doi:10.1046/j.1468-2982.1994.1402097.x.

12. Laurell K, Larsson B, Eeg-Olofsson O. Prevalence of headache in Swedish schoolchildren, with a focus on tension-type headache. Cephalalgia. 2004;24(5):380-388. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2982.2004.00681.x.

13. Barea LM, Tannhauser M, Rotta NT. An epidemiologic study of headache among children and adolescents of southern Brazil. Cephalalgia. 1996;16(8):545-523. doi:10.1046/j.1468-2982.1996.1608545.x.

14. Tension-type headache. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2021;7(1):23. Published 2021 Mar 25. doi:10.1038/s41572-021-00263-4.

15. Rasmussen BK. Migraine and tension-type headache in a general population: psychosocial factors. Int J Epidemiol. 1992;21(6):1138-1143. doi:10.1093/ije/21.6.1138.

16. Speciali JG, Eckeli AL, Dach F. Tension-type headache. Expert Review of Neurotherapeutics. 2008;8(5):839-853. doi:10.1586/14737175.8.5.839.

17. Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 5th ed. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association; 2013.

18. Holroyd KA, Stensland M, Lipchik GL, Hill KR, O'Donnell FS, Cordingley G. Psychosocial correlates and impact of chronic tension-type headaches. Headache. 2000;40(1):3-16. doi:10.1046/j.1526-4610.2000.00001.x.

19. Mitsikostas DD, Thomas AM. Comorbidity of headache and depressive disorders. Cephalalgia. 1999;19:211–217.

20. Russell MB, Rasmussen BK, Brennum J, Iversen HK, Jensen RA, Olesen J. Presentation of a new instrument: the diagnostic headache diary. Cephalalgia. 1992;12(6):369-374. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2982.1992.00369.x.

21. Do TP, Remmers A, Schytz HW, Schankin C, Nelson SE, Obermann M, Hansen JM, Sinclair AJ, Gantenbein AR, Schoonman GG. Red and orange flags for secondary headaches in clinical practice: SNNOOP10 list. Neurology. 2019;92(3):134-144. doi:10.1212/WNL.00000000006697.

22. Rożniecki JJ, Bodzioch M. Headaches. Medycyna Praktyczna. Published August 23, 2023. https://www.mp.pl/interna/chapter/B16.I.1.4. (accessed May 1, 2024). Polish. 23. Jordan JE, Flanders AE. Headache and Neuroimaging: Why We Continue to Do It. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2020;41(7):1149-1155. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A6591.

24. Stewart WF, Lipton RB, Dowson AJ, Sawyer J. Development and testing of the Migraine Disability Assessment (MIDAS) Questionnaire to assess headache-related disability. Neurology. 2001;56(6 Suppl 1):S20-S28. doi:10.1212/wnl.56.suppl_1.s20.

25 Houts CR, Wirth RJ, McGinley JS, Gwaltney C, Kassel E, Snapinn S, Cady R. Content Validity of HIT-6 as a Measure of Headache Impact in People With Migraine: A Narrative Review. Headache. 2020;60(1):28-39. doi:10.1111/head.13701.

26. Burch R. Migraine and Tension-Type Headache: Diagnosis and Treatment. Med Clin North Am. 2019;103(2):215-233. doi:10.1016/j.mcna.2018.10.003.

27. Jensen R. Diagnosis, epidemiology, and impact of tension-type headache. Curr Pain Headache Rep. 2003;7(6):455-459. doi:10.1007/s11916-003-0061-x.

28. Mier RW, Dhadwal S. Primary Headaches. Dent Clin North Am. 2018;62(4):611-628. doi:10.1016/j.cden.2018.06.006.

29. Pescador Ruschel MA, De Jesus O. Migraine Headache. In: StatPearls. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; August 23, 2023.

30. May A, Schwedt TJ, Magis D, Pozo-Rosich P, Evers S, Wang SJ. Cluster headache. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2018;4:18006. Published 2018 Mar 1. doi:10.1038/nrdp.2018.6.

31. Semenov IA. Tension-type headaches. Dis Mon. 2015;61(6):233-235. doi:10.1016/j.disamonth.2015.03.006.

32. Wang J, Huang Q, Li N, Tan G, Chen L, Zhou J. Triggers of migraine and tension-type headache in China: a clinic-based survey. Eur J Neurol. 2013;20(4):689-696. doi:10.1111/ene.12039.

33. Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS) The International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition. Cephalalgia. 2018;38(1):1-211. doi:10.1177/0333102417738202.

34. Wrobel Goldberg S, Silberstein S, Grosberg BM. Considerations in the treatment of tension-type headache in the elderly. Drugs Aging. 2014;31(11):797-804. doi:10.1007/s40266-014-0220-2.

35. Tao Q-F, Wang X-Y, Feng S-J, Xiao X-Y, Shi Y-Z, Xie C-R, Zheng H. Efficacy of acupuncture for tension-type headache prophylaxis: systematic review and meta-analysis with trial sequential analysis. J Neurol. 2023;270(7):3402-3412. doi:10.1007/s00415-023-11695-1.

36. Espí-López GV, Rodríguez-Blanco C, Oliva-Pascual-Vaca A, Molina-Martínez F, Falla D. Do manual therapy techniques have a positive effect on quality of life in people with tension-type headache? A randomized controlled trial. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med. 2016;52(4):447-456. 37. Masclee GCM, Valkhoff VE, Coloma PM, de Ridder M, Romio S, Schuemie MJ, Herings R, Gini R, Mazzagli G, Picelli G, Scotti L, Pedersen L, Kuipers EJ, van der Lei J, Sturkenboom MCJM. Risk of upper gastrointestinal bleeding from different drug combinations. Gastroenterology. 2014;147(4):784-e14. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2014.06.007.