

RAZIK, Michał, BATOR, Piotr, ROZWADOWSKA, Patrycja, RAMIAN, Jan, RYBAK, Jakub, MAGIERA, Barbara, MAGIERA, Karol and RAZIK, Wiktor. Fertility Preservation Strategies in Cancer Patients: A Comprehensive Review. *Journal of Education, Health and Sport*. 2024;61:129-139. eISSN 2391-8306. <https://dx.doi.org/10.12775/JEHS.2024.61.008>  
<https://apcz.umk.pl/JEHS/article/view/48362>  
<https://zenodo.org/records/10673559>

The journal has had 40 points in Minister of Science and Higher Education of Poland parametric evaluation. Annex to the announcement of the Minister of Education and Science of 05.01.2024 No. 32318. Has a Journal's Unique Identifier: 201159. Scientific disciplines assigned: Physical culture sciences (Field of medical and health sciences); Health Sciences (Field of medical and health sciences). Punkty Ministerialne 40 punktów. Załącznik do komunikatu Ministra Nauki i Szkolnictwa Wyższego z dnia 05.01.2024 Lp. 32318. Posiada Unikatowy Identyfikator Czasopisma: 201159. Przepisane dyscypliny naukowe: Nauki o kulturze fizycznej (Dziedzina nauk medycznych i nauk o zdrowiu); Nauki o zdrowiu (Dziedzina nauk medycznych i nauk o zdrowiu). © The Authors 2024; This article is published with open access at Licensee Open Journal Systems of Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun, Poland  
Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author (s) and source are credited. This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non commercial license Share alike. (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted, non commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.  
The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.  
Received: 26.01.2023. Revised: 17.02.2024. Accepted: 19.02.2024. Published: 19.02.2024.

## **Fertility Preservation Strategies in Cancer Patients: A Comprehensive Review**

Michał Razik, Piotr Bator, Patrycja Rozwadowska, Jan Ramian, Jakub Rybak,  
Barbara Magiera, Karol Magiera, Wiktor Razik

### **Michał Razik**

michrazik@gmail.com

Faculty of Medical Sciences in Katowice, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland

ORCID: 0009-0005-8930-8961

### **Piotr Bator**

piotrator9@icloud.com

Faculty of Medical Sciences in Katowice, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland

ORCID: 0009-0009-3300-0078

### **Patrycja Rozwadowska**

patrycja.roz98@gmail.com

Faculty of Medical Sciences in Katowice, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland

ORCID: 0000-0001-8541-2108

### **Jan Ramian**

ramianjann@gmail.com

Faculty of Medical Sciences in Katowice, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland

ORCID: 0009-0002-1956-241X

**Jakub Rybak**

rybakjak@gmail.com

Faculty of Medical Sciences in Katowice, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland

ORCID: 0009-0005-0481-1569

**Barbara Magiera**

barbaramgra@gmail.com

Prof. K. Gibiński University Clinical Center of the Medical University of Silesia in Katowice,  
Medyków 14, 40-752 Katowice

ORCID: 0009-0001-9180-9942

**Karol Magiera**

magierakarol0@gmail.com

Faculty of Medical Sciences in Katowice, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland

ORCID: 0009-0004-8621-9755

**Wiktor Razik**

wiktor.razik@onet.pl

Faculty of Medical Sciences in Katowice, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland

ORCID: 0009-0005-7370-7933

**Abstract**

**Introduction and purpose.** Preserving fertility is crucial for cancer patients, aligning with advancements in oncology. As survival rates improve, addressing treatment-related late effects gains importance. Many young patients, aware of therapy toxicity, consider future conception chances, impacting treatment decisions. With a trend toward delayed childbirth and a growing population facing unplanned family planning disruptions, the demand for fertility preservation is expected to rise. This paper provides a brief review of available methods for preserving fertility in cancer patients.

**State of knowledge.** Freezing semen in liquid nitrogen vapor is a standard method for preserving reproductive potential in male cancer patients. Shielding during total-body radiation limits testicular volume reduction, indicating less damage to the germinal

epithelium. Hormone suppression treatments are not protective in male cancer patients. Oocyte and embryo cryopreservation are considered secure methods before anticancer treatments, with oocyte cryopreservation being preferred for post-pubertal women. Ovarian tissue cryopreservation remains an alternative method without preliminary treatment, suitable when time is insufficient for ovarian stimulation. Ovarian transposition beyond the intended radiation area, performed laparoscopically, can retain ovarian function. GnRH agonist administration before and during chemotherapy aims to minimize the likelihood of premature ovarian insufficiency.

**Summary.** Advancements in fertility preservation for cancer patients, ranging from traditional cryopreservation to innovative methods like ovarian tissue preservation, highlight a commitment to empowering individuals facing cancer diagnoses. Ongoing research expands possibilities, ensuring a diverse range of strategies that offer tangible and hopeful prospects at the intersection of cancer and reproductive health.

**Keywords:** cancer; fertility; pregnancy

## **Introduction**

Preserving fertility in cancer patients has become a paramount concern in the realm of contemporary oncology. Along with developing effective treatment methods and improving survival rates in most malignancies, health care is faced with treatment-related late effects [1]. Thus, the need has increased to improve the quality of life and return patients to normal functioning after achieving long-term remissions. Aware of the toxicity of therapy, many young people at the time of diagnosis are faced with chances of conception in the future. This may significantly influence their choices and adherence to the proposed anticancer treatments [2]. Given the increasing tendency to postpone childbirth and the growing population of individuals who have not finalized their family planning when diagnosed, there is anticipated growth in the need for fertility preservation.

## **Gonadotoxicity of anticancer treatments**

Both the proposed anticancer therapies, as well as the type of cancer, and the overall condition of the patient may induce treatment-related gonadal failure and infertility. of treatment-related azoospermia and infertility in male patients [3]. Factors that put patients at the highest risk of azoospermia in male and amenorrhoea in female include: conditioning radiotherapy (RT) and/or chemotherapy (ChT) for haematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT), RT to a field including the testicles or ovaries, as well as ChT with alkylating agents (e.g., cyclophosphamide, procarbazine) [4].

### **1. Fertility preservation in male patients**

#### **1.1. Sperm cryopreservation**

Sperm cryopreservation is a standard-of-care method to preserve reproductive potential in adult or adolescent male cancer patients. This strategy involves freezing semen samples mainly in liquid nitrogen vapor for later reuse in various assisted reproductive techniques (e.g., intrauterine insemination, in vitro fertilization, intracytoplasmic sperm injection) [5]. On the basis of numerous studies the efficacy has been estimated at the aggregate rate of around 49% [6]. It has also been noted that long-term storage of cryopreserved sperm does not come at the expense of deterioration of semen quality and, therefore, worse outcomes. As potential genetic abnormalities may occur in sperm after exposure to ChT or RT, sperm cryopreservation should be offered before treatment initiation [5].

#### **1.2. Gonadal shielding during RT**

Gonadal shielding during total-body RT has been shown to contribute to limiting the reduction in testicular volume, which indirectly indicates less damage to the germinal epithelium [7]. Therefore, it should be used whenever possible to minimize radiation exposure.

### **1.3. Medical gonadoprotection**

Hormone suppression treatments such as a gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonist, with or without androgens, antiandrogens or progestins, are not protective in male cancer patients [8].

## **2. Fertility preservation in female patients**

### **2.1. Oocyte and embryo cryopreservation**

Cryopreserving oocytes and embryos prior to starting anticancer treatments is a secure and effective practice. While embryo cryopreservation is a well-established and reliable method, it necessitates the involvement of sperm and the availability of a partner or donor [9]. In contrast, oocyte cryopreservation can be performed independently, making it the preferred choice for the majority of post-pubertal women [10]. For oocyte and embryo cryopreservation, ~2 weeks of ovarian stimulation with gonadotropins is required, followed by follicle aspiration [11]. The success of generating a future pregnancy through oocyte and embryo cryopreservation is closely linked to the quantity of mature oocytes obtained following ovarian stimulation. This may be reduced in women with poor ovarian reserve due to age, previous diseases or ovarian surgery [12]. Recent findings indicated that the cumulative live birth rate ranged from 43.4% to 61.9%, with variations influenced by age and the quantity of cryopreserved oocytes [13]. The use of ovarian stimulation may result in side effects from the medication and potential complications during the oocyte retrieval process, such as bleeding from the ovary and the risk of pelvic infection [14]. These should be considered especially in relation to the overall picture of the patient and the conditions that may predispose to the occurrence of the complications. In estrogen-sensitive tumors, it is advisable to lower estradiol levels during ovarian stimulation. This can be accomplished through co-treatment with aromatase inhibitors (such as letrozole at a dosage of 2.5 mg twice daily) [15]. Combining ovarian stimulation with the cryopreservation of ovarian tissue could enhance the success rate for women undergoing highly gonadotoxic treatments.

## **2.2. Ovarian tissue cryopreservation**

Cryopreserving ovarian tissue serves as an alternative method for preserving fertility prior to undergoing gonadotoxic treatments. Typically, laparoscopic procedures under general anesthesia are employed for the performance of ovarian cortex biopsies or unilateral ovariectomies [16]. No preliminary treatment is necessary, allowing the procedure to be completed promptly, and chemotherapy can commence the following day if needed [17]. At present, transplantation, whether performed orthotopically or heterotopically, is the sole clinically utilized method to restore ovarian function and fertility through the use of cryopreserved ovarian tissue [18]. The study results showed that ovarian function was restored in an average of 95% of patients undergoing the procedure [19]. Ovarian tissue cryopreservation is suitable in situations where the time frame before initiating anticancer treatments is insufficient for ovarian stimulation prior to oocyte or embryo cryopreservation [20]. In special cases the procedure can be performed following an initial, low-intensity ChT to mitigate the potential presence of neoplastic cells in the ovaries [21].

## **2.3. Ovarian transposition and gonadal shielding during RT**

The practice of routinely employing ovarian transposition beyond the intended RT area is a common technique aimed at reducing the exposure of ovarian follicles to radiation [22]. While it is feasible to perform the procedure using either laparotomic or laparoscopic methods, the preference is generally for laparoscopy [23]. This choice is made to expedite recovery and prevent any delays in the administration of RT. One study has shown that patients who undergo surgery and radiation therapy typically experience a retention of ovarian function at a rate of around 63.6% [24]. Limited data exist regarding pregnancy rates, with observed variations ranging from 15% to 80% [25]. The use of lead blocks for gonadal shielding during RT decreases the anticipated radiation dose. [26]. However, it is necessary to maintain an appropriate margin to reduce the impact of inner organ movement.

## **2.4. Medical gonadoprotection**

The objective of medical gonadoprotection during ChT is to minimize the likelihood of premature ovarian insufficiency (POI) and the consequential impacts on fertility and endocrine functions [27]. Hence, this approach could also be beneficial for individuals who

do not intend to conceive and are not concerned about preserving fertility. To induce temporary ovarian suppression during chemotherapy, a GnRH agonist is administered, commencing at least one week before the onset of systemic cytotoxic therapy and sustained throughout the treatment period [28]. It has been suggested that in a group of women diagnosed with estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer prolonged application of GnRH agonist up to 5 years post-diagnosis may serve as adjuvant endocrine therapy [29]. It is essential to note that for individuals concerned about preserving fertility using a GnRH agonist should not be viewed as a substitute for cryopreservation techniques.

## **Summary**

The evolving landscape of fertility preservation methods in cancer patients reflects a commitment to enhancing the reproductive choices of individuals facing cancer diagnoses. From conventional cryopreservation techniques to innovative approaches like ovarian tissue preservation, these methods underscore the resilience of the human spirit and the collaborative efforts of medical science. As research continues to push boundaries, the integration of these diverse strategies ensures that fertility preservation remains a tangible and hopeful prospect for those navigating the complex intersection of cancer and reproductive health.

## **Author's contribution**

Conceptualization: MR, PB; methodology: MR, PB; software: MR, PB, PR, JR; check: MR, PB, PR, JR; formal analysis: MR, PB, PR, JR; investigation: MR, PB, PR, JR, JR, BM, KM, WR; resources: MR, PB, PR, JR; data curation: MR, PB, PR, JR, JR, BM, KM, WR; writing - rough preparation: MR, PB, PR, JR, JR, BM, KM, WR; writing - review and editing: MR, PB, PR, JR, JR, BM, KM, WR; visualization: MR, PB; supervision: MR, PB; project administration: MR, PB. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding Statement:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Acknowledgements:** Not applicable.

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Jordan K, Aapro M, Kaasa S, Ripamonti CI, Scotté F, Strasser F, Young A, Bruera E, Herrstedt J, Keefe D, Laird B, Walsh D, Douillard JY, Cervantes A. European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) position paper on supportive and palliative care. *Ann Oncol.* 2018; 29(1): 36-43. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdx757.
2. Polland A, Berookhim BM. Fertility concerns in men with genitourinary malignancies: Treatment dilemmas, fertility options, and medicolegal considerations. *Urol Oncol.* 2016; 34(9): 399-406. doi: 10.1016/j.urolonc.2016.05.007.
3. Poorvu PD, Frazier AL, Feraco AM, Manley PE, Ginsburg ES, Laufer MR, LaCasce AS, Diller LR, Partridge AH. Cancer Treatment-Related Infertility: A Critical Review of the Evidence. *JNCI Cancer Spectr.* 2019; 3(1): pkz008. doi: 10.1093/jncics/pkz008.
4. Lee SJ, Schover LR, Partridge AH, Patrizio P, Wallace WH, Hagerty K, Beck LN, Brennan LV, Oktay K; American Society of Clinical Oncology. American Society of Clinical Oncology recommendations on fertility preservation in cancer patients. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006; 24(18): 2917-31. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2006.06.5888.
5. Nangia AK, Krieg SA, Kim SS. Clinical guidelines for sperm cryopreservation in cancer patients. *Fertil Steril.* 2013; 100(5): 1203-9. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2013.08.054.
6. Ferrari S, Paffoni A, Filippi F, Busnelli A, Vegetti W, Somigliana E. Sperm cryopreservation and reproductive outcome in male cancer patients: a systematic review. *Reprod Biomed Online.* 2016; 33(1): 29-38. doi: 10.1016/j.rbmo.2016.04.002.



7. Ishiguro H, Yasuda Y, Tomita Y, Shinagawa T, Shimizu T, Morimoto T, Hattori K, Matsumoto M, Inoue H, Yabe H, Yabe M, Shinohara O, Kato S. Gonadal shielding to irradiation is effective in protecting testicular growth and function in long-term survivors of bone marrow transplantation during childhood or adolescence. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2007; 39(8): 483-90. doi: 10.1038/sj.bmt.1705612.
8. Shetty G, Meistrich ML. Hormonal approaches to preservation and restoration of male fertility after cancer treatment. *J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr.* 2005; (34): 36-9. doi: 10.1093/jncimonographs/lgi002.
9. Rajabi Z, Aliakbari F, Yazdekhashti H. Female Fertility Preservation, Clinical and Experimental Options. *J Reprod Infertil.* 2018; 19(3): 125-132.
10. Argyle CE, Harper JC, Davies MC. Oocyte cryopreservation: where are we now? *Hum Reprod Update.* 2016; 22(4): 440-9. doi: 10.1093/humupd/dmw007.
11. von Wolff M, Germeyer A, Liebenthron J, Korell M, Nawroth F. Practical recommendations for fertility preservation in women by the FertiPROTEKT network. Part II: fertility preservation techniques. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2018; 297(1): 257-267. doi: 10.1007/s00404-017-4595-2.
12. Ozcan MC, Snegovskikh V, Adamson GD. Oocyte and embryo cryopreservation before gonadotoxic treatments: Principles of safe ovarian stimulation, a systematic review. *Womens Health (Lond).* 2022; 18: 17455065221074886. doi: 10.1177/17455065221074886.
13. Cobo A, García-Velasco JA, Remohí J, Pellicer A. Oocyte vitrification for fertility preservation for both medical and nonmedical reasons. *Fertil Steril.* 2021; 115(5): 1091-1101. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2021.02.006.
14. Bodri D, Guillén JJ, Polo A, Trullenque M, Esteve C, Coll O. Complications related to ovarian stimulation and oocyte retrieval in 4052 oocyte donor cycles. *Reprod Biomed Online.* 2008; 17(2): 237-43. doi: 10.1016/s1472-6483(10)60200-3.

15. Bülow NS, Dreyer Holt M, Skouby SO, Birch Petersen K, Englund ALM, Pinborg A, Macklon NS. Co-treatment with letrozole during ovarian stimulation for IVF/ICSI: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Reprod Biomed Online*. 2022; 44(4): 717-736. doi: 10.1016/j.rbmo.2021.12.006.
16. Jadoul P, Guilmain A, Squifflet J, Luyckx M, Votino R, Wyns C, Dolmans MM. Efficacy of ovarian tissue cryopreservation for fertility preservation: lessons learned from 545 cases. *Hum Reprod*. 2017; 32(5): 1046-1054. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dex040.
17. Donnez J, Dolmans MM. Fertility Preservation in Women. *N Engl J Med*. 2017; 377(17): 1657-1665. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1614676.
18. Amorim CA, Leonel ECR, Afifi Y, Coomarasamy A, Fishel S. Cryostorage and retransplantation of ovarian tissue as an infertility treatment. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2019; 33(1): 89-102. doi: 10.1016/j.beem.2018.09.002.
19. Gellert SE, Pors SE, Kristensen SG, Bay-Bjørn AM, Ernst E, Yding Andersen C. Transplantation of frozen-thawed ovarian tissue: an update on worldwide activity published in peer-reviewed papers and on the Danish cohort. *J Assist Reprod Genet*. 2018; 35(4): 561-570. doi: 10.1007/s10815-018-1144-2.
20. Dolmans MM, Donnez J. Fertility preservation in women for medical and social reasons: Oocytes vs ovarian tissue. *Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol*. 2021; 70: 63-80. doi: 10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2020.06.011.
21. ESHRE Guideline Group on Female Fertility Preservation; Anderson RA, Amant F, Braat D, D'Angelo A, Chuva de Sousa Lopes SM, Demeestere I, Dwek S, Frith L, Lambertini M, Maslin C, Moura-Ramos M, Nogueira D, Rodriguez-Wallberg K, Vermeulen N. ESHRE guideline: female fertility preservation. *Hum Reprod Open*. 2020; 2020(4): hoaa052. doi: 10.1093/hropen/hoaa052.
22. Turkgeldi L, Cutner A, Turkgeldi E, Al Chami A, Cassoni A, Macdonald N, Mould T, Nichol A, Olaitan A, Saridogan E. Laparoscopic Ovarian Transposition and Ovariopexy for

Fertility Preservation in Patients Treated with Pelvic Radiotherapy with or without Chemotherapy. *Facts Views Vis Obgyn.* 2019; 11(3): 235-242.

23. Arian SE, Goodman L, Flyckt RL, Falcone T. Ovarian transposition: a surgical option for fertility preservation. *Fertil Steril.* 2017; 107(4): e15. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2017.01.010.

24. Jung W, Kim YH, Kim KS. Ovarian Function Preservation in Patients With Cervical Cancer Undergoing Hysterectomy and Ovarian Transposition Before Pelvic Radiotherapy. *Technol Cancer Res Treat.* 2021; 20: 15330338211042140. doi: 10.1177/15330338211042140.

25. Morice P, Thiam-Ba R, Castaigne D, Haie-Meder C, Gerbaulet A, Pautier P, Duvillard P, Michel G. Fertility results after ovarian transposition for pelvic malignancies treated by external irradiation or brachytherapy. *Hum Reprod.* 1998; 13(3): 660-3. doi: 10.1093/humrep/13.3.660.

26. Duncan FE, Kimler BF, Briley SM. Combating radiation therapy-induced damage to the ovarian environment. *Future Oncol.* 2016; 12(14): 1687-90. doi: 10.2217/fon-2016-0121.

27. Arecco L, Ruelle T, Martelli V, Boutros A, Latocca MM, Spinaci S, Marrocco C, Massarotti C, Lambertini M. How to Protect Ovarian Function before and during Chemotherapy? *J Clin Med.* 2021; 10(18): 4192. doi: 10.3390/jcm10184192.

28. Blumenfeld Z. Fertility Preservation Using GnRH Agonists: Rationale, Possible Mechanisms, and Explanation of Controversy. *Clin Med Insights Reprod Health.* 2019; 13: 1179558119870163. doi: 10.1177/1179558119870163.

29. Lambertini M, Richard F, Nguyen B, Viglietti G, Villarreal-Garza C. Ovarian Function and Fertility Preservation in Breast Cancer: Should Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone Agonist be administered to All Premenopausal Patients Receiving Chemotherapy? *Clin Med Insights Reprod Health.* 2019; 13: 1179558119828393. doi: 10.1177/1179558119828393.