

The journal has had 40 points in Ministry of Education and Science of Poland parametric evaluation. Annex to the announcement of the Minister of Education and Science of December 21, 2021. No. 32343. Has a Journal's Unique Identifier: 201159. Scientific disciplines assigned: Physical Culture Sciences (Field of Medical sciences and health sciences); Health Sciences (Field of Medical Sciences and Health Sciences). Punkty Ministerialne z 2019 - aktualny rok 40 punktów. Załącznik do komunikatu Ministra Edukacji i Nauki z dnia 21 grudnia 2021 r. Lp. 32343. Posiada Unikatowy Identyfikator Czasopisma: 201159. Przynależność dyscypliny naukowej: Nauki o kulturze fizycznej (Dziedzina nauk medycznych i nauk o zdrowiu); Nauki o zdrowiu (Dziedzina nauk medycznych i nauk o zdrowiu). © The Authors 2022; This article is published with open access at License Open Journal Systems of Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun, Poland Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author (s) and source are credited. This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non commercial license Share alike. (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted, non commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper. Received: 08.10.2022. Revised: 05.11.2022. Accepted: 15.11.2022.

Polyols - What do we know about their impact on the gut microbiome?

Magda Wojtuś¹, Sebastian Tomaszuk¹, Karolina Wąsik¹

¹Medical University of Lublin, Aleje Raławickie Street 1, 20-059 Lublin, Poland

Magda Wojtuś; magdaawojtus@gmail.com; ORCID 0000-0003-4299-2143;

Sebastian Tomaszuk; sebastiantomaszuk@gmail.com; ORCID 0000-0002-1572-5181;

Karolina Wąsik; wasik.karolina.0@gmail.com; ORCID 0000-0003-2817-0848

Summary:

Introduction and purpose:

Even though sweeteners' popularity is increasing as they are approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and generally considered to be safe, their whole impact on the human body is still confusing. Polyols are among one of the most used sweeteners, therefore in this review we will focus on their impact on the gut microbiome as this community of various bacteria influences many aspects of overall health.

Brief description of the state of knowledge:

The current conclusions mostly present prebiotic benefits of polyols and their ability to increase the number of Bifidobacteria, there is research supporting the evidence of it conducted on isomalt, maltitol, lactitol and xylitol. It is possible, as most of them are able to reach the colon. We also investigate other ways sweeteners are shaping the gut microbiome such as multiplication of short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) or decreasing the numbers of *Clostridium difficile*.

Conclusions:

The impact of polyols on the gut microbiome has many knowledge gaps. More long-term studies are needed in order to consider the individual diversity of the participants' gut microbiota. In order to conduct more valuable conclusions dietary and lifestyle habits should be taken into consideration. All things considered, there is not enough data to clearly determine polyols' role in modifying the gut microbiota.

Key words: sweeteners; polyols; microbiota

1.Introduction

The increased prevalence of obesity and its metabolic comorbidities have led to a growing consumption of sugar-free products, where sugars are replaced by low-calorie sweeteners [1]. Because they can affect body weight, glucose tolerance, appetite and taste sensitivity, they are consumed both by people with diabetes and the general population [2]. They are added to a wide variety of food, drinks, drugs and hygiene products, thus it can be assumed that each of us uses artificial sweeteners knowingly or not [3]. Although they are commonly accepted and considered safe and well tolerated, their impact on the composition of the gut microbiota is still unclear and controversial [4]. Sweeteners can be classified by their origin being either natural or synthetic. An important part of natural sweeteners are sugar alcohols, the so-called polyols [5]. Because of the poor absorption of the majority of polyols in a small intestine, the main part of them reaches the colon and is fermented by the microbiome, which plays a significant role in human health and disease. It may affect metabolism, immunity, growth and fermentation of undigested carbohydrates. Diet can rapidly modulate and alter the composition and function of the microbiome [1]. This review critically discusses the evidence supporting the effects of polyols on the intestinal microbiome.

2.State of knowledge

Polyols are a specific group of sugar alcohols that are formed via the catalytic hydrogenation of carbohydrates. They are naturally present in certain fruits, vegetables and fungi and are also added to foods as sweeteners in products such as chewing gum, candies, and beverages [6]. Polyols can be considered a better alternative to other sweeteners, because they provide fewer calories per gram while also not increasing blood glucose response [5,7]. The interest in polyols arises also because of their low-insulinemic, low-digestible and osmotic qualities [8]. They are also non cariogenic [9]. The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has currently approved the use of eight different polyols, which include erythritol, hydrogenated starch hydrolysates, isomalt, lactitol, maltitol, mannitol, sorbitol and xylitol [6]. Despite many advantages, they have also been shown to spark gastrointestinal symptoms and exert an influence on laxative effects when consumed in excess [10].

2.1 Maltitol

Maltitol (E-965) is a sweetener reached by the hydrolysis, reduction and hydrogenation of starch. Its sweetness resembles the flavor of sugar in 90% and for this reason it is the sugar of choice for use in the production of no-sugar-added-labeled chocolate [11]. Maltitol is fermented in the colon and is characterized by the very slow digestion rate [12]. Therefore, it is expected that it could be fermented by the gut microbiota.

Studies on the impact of maltitol on the human microbiome are limited and further research is needed to determine its role [9]. However, current conclusions highlight prebiotic benefits of maltitol usage.

For example, in a human study 40 volunteers were divided into three groups and obtained to eat either a chocolate containing 22.8 g of maltitol or one with maltitol and polydextrose or chocolate enriched with maltitol and starch for 14 consecutive days. After that time the doses of the chocolate were increased twice every 2 weeks over a 6 weeks period. At the end of the study numbers of faecal Bifidobacteria significantly increased in all three groups. Chocolate with addition of polydextrose had a significant impact also on the level of faecal lactobacilli, faecal propionate and butyrate. Consumers tolerated all the chocolates with no significant change in bowel habit or intestinal symptoms even at a highest dose [13].

2.2 Lactitol

Lactitol (E-421) is a disaccharide analogue of lactose usually used in combination with other sweeteners because of its limited sweetness. Lactitol is fermented in the lower gut as it can not be absorbed in the small intestine, because of its lack of the appropriate β -galactosidase [14]. When consumed in higher dosage, for example 74 g a day, this sweetener can cause a laxative effect [15]. Impact of lactitol on the gut microbiota still has not been determined, however current studies mentioned its prebiotic properties.

For example in rats, lactitol caused the rise of the production of butyrate and IgA secretion with no signs of mucosal inflammation [16].

Li et al. studied the effect of lactitol on the gut microbiota in constipated patients. After two weeks protocol they noted a significant decrease in symptoms. Analysis of the faecal flora showed an increase of Actinobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bifidobacteriales, Bifidobacteriaceae and Bifidobacterium. These results showed that lactitol may be considered a good prebiotic option for patients with constipation [17].

Another study by Finney et al. aimed at a group of 75 non-adapted healthy adults showed that low doses, 10 g a day, of lactitol have beneficial effects on the gut microbiota and, as previously mentioned paper also noted an increase of Bifidobacteria [18].

In a study by Ouwehand et al. which was conducted on elderly consuming a combination of lactitol and Lactobacillus acidophilus NCFM twice a day for fourteen days, showed a significant increase in faecal L. acidophilus NCFM levels. This study also highlighted improvement markers of the intestinal microbiota composition and mucosal function after the treatment [19].

Ballongue et al. examined the effects of lactitol on a group of healthy volunteers. After nine weeks of treatment numbers of bacterial populations of Bacteroides, Clostridium, coliforms, and Eubacterium were decreased. Lactitol also caused a decrease in fecal pH [20].

2.3 Sorbitol

Sorbitol (E-420) is also known as D-glucitol and is obtained by the hydrogenation of glucose with subsequent purification [9]. It may cause osmotic diarrhea if consumed in higher doses (20-50g) [21]. Many symptoms such as abdominal pain, bloating, and diarrhea have been observed in particular in children [22].

Badiga et al. also concluded that many diabetics are intolerant to sorbitol and regular sorbitol consumption may explain the diarrhea for no apparent reason in some diabetics [23]. Those negative effects of sorbitol usage are caused by an osmotic load sorbitol created in the gastrointestinal tract. The tract causes bigger concentration of the water in the colon and results in greater laxative effect when compared to other polyols [9]. However, it is yet to be known why some people react poorly to sorbitol.

Hattori et al. showed that sorbitol induced diarrhea may be contained by the gut microbiome. *Escherichia coli* made it possible to degrade sorbitol and consequently suppress sorbitol-induced diarrhea [24].

2.4 Xylitol

Xylitol (E-967) is produced by the hydrogenation of D-xylose and is the sweetest of all polyols with sweetness equivalent to sucrose [25]. It is mostly digested in the intestine by bacteria [8]. Only a small part is absorbed by the small intestine and metabolized by the liver [26]. This polyol tends to be well tolerated. Nevertheless, higher doses, 50 g a day, have been associated with digestive symptoms such as bloating and diarrhea [27]. Apart from microorganism production it can also be extracted from natural sources such as corn or the bark of birch trees [6]. Effects of xylitol on human microbiota are currently not widely researched and lots of studies were conducted on mice.

Uebanso et al. studied the effects of consuming xylitol on gut microbiota in mice. Researchers concluded that moderate doses of xylitol consumption, when added to high-fat diets, caused a decrease in Bacteroidetes proportion and increase in Firmicutes proportion [28].

Moreover, there were studies on mice which showed that xylitol rebalance the gut microbiome in a negative way. Tamura et al. acknowledged that xylitol affects the gut microbiota of mice and a group with a diet not enriched with xylitol have had higher bacteroidetes levels in comparison to the xylitol group [29].

Additionally, Nabeer et al. showed on a hamster model that the combination of lactobacilli and xylitol had some protective effect against *Clostridium difficile* infection [30].

Studies conducted on mice, rats and men showed that xylitol caused a clear shift in the rodent faecal microbial population from Gram-negative to Gram-positive bacteria. In humans this change was observed after a single 30 g dose [31].

Studies on humans tend to show prebiotic properties of xylitol. For example, Xiang et al. revealed that xylitol consumption increased synthesis of propionate in the colon [32]. Similar findings have been published by Sato et al. Researchers evaluated butyrate production in *in vitro* human faecal cultures. Xylitol turned out to increase butyrate production [33].

2.5 Erythritol

Erythritol (E-968) is a natural sweetener as a four-carbon alcohol with no optical activity [1]. Mass production of erythritol is demanding and differs from production of other polyols [34]. On the industrial scale it is obtained through fermentation of yeasts, where the main primary carbon source that is formed is glucose. The main disadvantage of such a process is the cost of production which makes the products relatively expensive compared to other products made of table sugar. Even though, consumption of erythritol is growing, especially because it has a positive effect on metabolism conditions [35,36]. Erythritol is absorbed in up to 90% in the gastrointestinal tract and excreted in the urine in the same metabolic form [37]. The impact of erythritol on the gut microbiome is ambiguous.

Study shows that erythritol significantly decreases the glucose levels of serum, liver, and kidney in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. In addition, erythritol decreases the indicator of oxidative stress as 5-hydroxymethylfurfural [38].

Another study that analyzed the impact of erythritol on mice with metabolic problems show that consumption of erythritol can increase short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) including those of acetic acid, propanoic acid, and butanoic acid. It also indicates that mice which consumed erythritol had lower body weight, better glucose tolerance and lesser fat deposition in the liver compared to the control group [39]. This shows the potential of erythritol in preventing metabolic diseases.

The study conducted by Mahalak et al. with humans *in vitro* microbial community did not find any impact on the bacterial growth. Furthermore, none of the negative impact of steviol glycosides and erythritol on the gut microbial community was found. However, the same study shows that butyric and pentanoic acid production can be extended during the test on the human gut microbial community [40].

2.6 Isomalt

Isomalt (E-953) is a mixture of alpha-D-glucopyranosido-1,6-sorbitol (GPS) and alpha-D-glucopyranosido-1,6-mannitol (GPM) made from sucrose. Isomalt is 0.5 – 0.6 times as sweet as sucrose. It is obtained by enzymatic conversion and hydrogenation process. Isomalt, like other polyols, is commonly used in the food industry as a table sugar replacement. Due to the fact that isomalt is stable in high-temperature and does not have any aftertaste, it can be used in bakery products [41]. According to that, only a low level of isomalt is absorbed in a small intestinal and the main part reaches the colon where 90 % is fermented. Due to this fact isomalt might have an impact on the gut microbiota [8,11].

The double-blind, placebo-controlled, cross-over study conducted by Gostner et al. was focused on the effects of isomalt on the intestinal microflora. The nineteen healthy participants consumed 30 g isomalt or 30 g sucrose everyday for four weeks. After that the faecals samples were analyzed. The results showed that consumption of

isomalt causes an increase of Bifidobacteria and decrease of bacterial β -glucosidase in contrast to consumption of sucrose. In this study the author suggested that high butyrate synthesis was correlated with an increase of Bifidobacteria [42]. Therefore, using isomalt as a prebiotic deserves attention [43,44].

2.7 Mannitol

Mannitol (E-421) is one of the most abundant polyols in nature. It can be biosynthesized by diverse organisms like bacteria, yeasts, fungi, algae and lichens [45]. This polyol can be used as a sweetener, in pharmaceutical and medicine industries. Its properties are really close to sucrose and it has a desirable cooling effect which is useful in masking bitter tastes [46]. Mannitol is expected to have some benefits as an antioxidant and non-metabolizable sweetener [47]. However, we have no data determining the effects of mannitol intake on the gut microbiota.

2.8 Hydrogenated starch isolates

Hydrogenated starch isolates are made from a connection of polyhydric alcohols such as sorbitol, maltitol and higher-order sugar alcohols [48]. Sometimes they are being listed as a maltitol syrup, hydrogenated glucose syrup, polyglycitol, polyglucitol, or simply HSH [9]. They are mainly used as viscosity or bodying agents, humectants, crystallization modifiers and rehydration aids so their impact on the gut microbiome is not the subject of the studies [48].

Conclusions:

WHO in their latest nutrition recommendation advises limitation of monosaccharides to 10%, so the sweeteners can be helpful in order to make a target and therefore attract global attention. Besides low caloric of sweeteners, we can also expect other health benefits as the majority of polyols are absorbed partly in a small intestinal, so that most of them reach the colon and are fermented by microbiome. In this way, a certain amount of polyols stimulate the growth of bacteria. If a compound induces the growth of beneficial microorganisms as Bifidobacteria, it is called a prebiotic. Some studies show that polyols like isomalt, maltitol, xylitol and lactitol may cause such action, resulting in being considered as a prebiotic. Additionally, a diet with some polyols may increase the SCFAs. For example, erythritol has a positive impact on the production of acetic, propanoic and butanoic acids. Also, diet with maltitol and polydextrose has a significant impact not only on the level of faecal propionate and butyrate acids, but on faecal lactobacilli as well.

On the other hand, the effect of polyols is not limited to increasing the number of specific bacteria in the microbiota, but also reducing the amount of some pathogenic bacteria. The study on a group of healthy volunteers showed that the consumption of lactitol decreased the numbers of Clostridium. Similar effect as protective against Clostridium Difficile was observed using lactobacilli and xylitol in study on a hamster model. Some other authors also describe not only a lower number of Clostridium, but also Bacteroides, coliforms and Eubacterium after a lactitol treatment. Therefore, lactitol and xylitol may have a positive effect in chronic disease caused by Clostridium.

Based on the literature review, our knowledge about the impact of polyols on gut microbiome is still unclear. Thus, we have no data determining the effects of mannitol, sorbitol and hydrogenated starch isolates intake on the gut microbiota. Because of many variables that may change the composition of the gut microbiome, such as place to live, age, lifestyle or even different types of child delivery it is difficult to form or conduct studies with repeatable results. Moreover, there are not enough relevant studies available in the literature. Thus, further investigation and broader analysis is crucial in order to evaluate the impact of polyols on the intestinal flora.

References:

1. Francisco Javier Ruiz-Ojeda, Julio Plaza-Díaz, Maria Jose Sáez-Lara, Angel Gil, Effects of Sweeteners on the Gut Microbiota: A Review of Experimental Studies and Clinical Trials, *Advances in Nutrition*, Volume 10, Issue suppl_1, January 2019, Pages S31–S48, <https://doi.org/10.1093/advances/nmy037>
2. Wang QP, Browman D, Herzog H, Neely GG. Non-nutritive sweeteners possess a bacteriostatic effect and alter gut microbiota in mice. *PLoS One*. 2018 Jul 5;13(7):e0199080. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0199080. PMID: 29975731; PMCID: PMC6033410.
3. Weihrauch MR, Diehl V. Artificial sweeteners--do they bear a carcinogenic risk? *Ann Oncol*. 2004 Oct;15(10):1460-5. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdh256. PMID: 15367404.
4. Ardalan MR, Tabibi H, Ebrahimzadeh Attari V, Malek Mahdavi A. Nephrotoxic Effect of Aspartame as an Artificial Sweetener: a Brief Review. *Iran J Kidney Dis*. 2017 Oct;11(5):339-343. PMID: 29038387.
5. Grembecka M. Natural sweeteners in a human diet. *Rocz Panstw Zakl Hig*. 2015;66(3):195-202. PMID: 26400114.
6. T.Rice, E.Zannini, E. Arendt, A.Coffey A review of polyols – biotechnological production, food applications, regulation, labeling and health effects.

7. Grembecka M. Sugar alcohols—their role in the modern world of sweeteners: a review. *Eur Food Res Technol* 2015;241:1–14.
8. Livesey G. Health potential of polyols as sugar replacers, with emphasis on low glycaemic properties. *Nutr Res Rev.* 2003;16(2):163–91
Dec;16(2):163-91. doi: 10.1079/NRR200371. PMID: 19087388.
9. F. Ruiz-Ojeda, J. Plaza-Díaz, M. Jose Sáez-Lara, A. Gil Effects of Sweeteners on the Gut Microbiota: A Review of Experimental Studies and Clinical Trials
10. A. Lenhart, W. Chey A Systematic Review of the Effects of Polyols on Gastrointestinal Health and Irritable Bowel Syndrome.
11. Carocho M, Morales P, Ferreira ICFR. Sweeteners as food additives in the XXI century: a review of what is known, and what is to come. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2017;107(Part A):302–17.
12. Joshi K, Kumari A, Arora S, Singh AK. Development of an analytical protocol for the estimation of maltitol from yoghurt, burfi and flavoured milk. *Food Sci Technol* 2016;70:41, e45.
13. Beards E, Tuohy K, Gibson G. A human volunteer study to assess the impact of confectionery sweeteners on the gut microbiota composition. *Br J Nutr* 2010;104:701–8.
14. Piva A, Panciroli A, Meola E, Formigoni A. Lactitol enhances short-chain fatty acid and gas production by swine cecal microflora to a greater extent when fermenting low rather than high fiber diets. *Nutrient Metab* 1996;126(1):280–9.
15. Patil DH, Grimble GK, Silk DB. Lactitol, a new hydrogenated lactose derivative: intestinal absorption and laxative threshold in normal human subjects. *Br J Nutr.* 1987 Mar;57(2):195-9. doi: 10.1079/bjn19870025. PMID: 3552029.
16. Peuranen S, Tiihonen K, Apajalahti J, Kettunen A, Saarinen M, Rautonen N. Combination of polydextrose and lactitol affects microbial ecosystem and immune responses in rat gastrointestinal tract. *Br J Nutr* 2004;91:905–14
17. Li XQ, Zhang XM, Wu X, Lan Y, Xu L, Meng XC, Li JN. Beneficial effects of lactitol on the composition of gut microbiota in constipated patients. *J Dig Dis.* 2020 Aug;21(8):445-453. doi: 10.1111/1751-2980.12912. PMID: 32483935.
18. Finney M, Smullen J, Foster HA, Brokx S, Storey DM. Effects of low doses of lactitol on faecal microflora, pH, short chain fatty acids and gastrointestinal symptomology. *Eur J Nutr* 2007;46:307–14.
19. Arthur C Ouwehand I, Kirsti Tiihonen, Markku Saarinen, Heli Putaala, Nina Rautonen Influence of a combination of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* NCFM and lactitol on healthy elderly: intestinal and immune parameters.
20. Ballongue J, Schumann C, Quignon P. Effects of lactulose and lactitol on colonic microflora and enzymatic activity. *Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl* 1997;222:41–4.
21. Hyams JS. Sorbitol intolerance: an unappreciated cause of functional gastrointestinal complaints. *Gastroenterology* 1983;84:30–3.
22. Jain NK, Rosenberg DB, Ulahannan MJ, Glasser MJ, Pitchumoni CS. Sorbitol intolerance in adults. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 1985 Sep;80(9):678-81. PMID: 4036946.
23. M S Badiga, N K Jain, C Casanova & C S Pitchumoni (1990) Diarrhea in diabetics: the role of sorbitol., *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, 9:6, 578-582, DOI: 10.1080/07315724.1990.10720412
24. Hattori K, Akiyama M, Seki N, Yakabe K, Hase K, Kim Y-G. Gut Microbiota Prevents Sugar Alcohol-Induced Diarrhea. *Nutrients.* 2021; 13(6):2029. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13062029>
25. Bond M., Dunning N. Xylitol. In: Mitchell H., editor. *Sweeteners and Sugar Alternatives in Food Technology.* Blackwell Publishing; Oxford, UK: 2006. pp. 295–324
26. Lang K. Xylitol, its metabolism and clinical use. *Wien Klin Wochenschr.* 1971;49(5):233–45
27. Storey D., Lee A., Bornet F., Brouns F. Gastrointestinal tolerance of erythritol and xylitol ingested in a liquid. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2007;61:349–354. doi: 10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602532
28. Uebanso T, Kano S, Yoshimoto A, Naito C, Shimohata T, Mawatari K, et al. Effects of Consuming Xylitol on Gut Microbiota and Lipid Metabolism in Mice. *Nutrients.* 2017 Jul;9(7):9.
29. Tamura M, Hoshi C, Hori S. Xylitol affects the intestinal microbiota and metabolism of daidzein in adult male mice. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2013 Dec 10;14(12):23993-4007. doi: 10.3390/ijms141223993. PMID: 24336061; PMCID: PMC3876090.
30. Naaber P, Mikelsaar RH, Salminen S, Mikelsaar M. Bacterial translocation, intestinal microflora and morphological changes of intestinal mucosa in experimental models of *Clostridium difficile* infection. *J Med Microbiol* 1998;47(7):591–8.
31. Salminen S, Salminen E, Koivistoinen P, Bridges J, Marks V. Gut microflora interactions with xylitol in the mouse, rat and man. *Food Chem Toxicol* 1985;23:985–90
32. Xiang, S., Ye, K., Li, M. et al. Xylitol enhances synthesis of propionate in the colon via cross-feeding of gut microbiota. *Microbiome* 9, 62 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-021-01029-6>

33. Sato T, Kusuhara S, Yokoi W, Ito M, Miyazaki K. Prebiotic potential of L-sorbose and xylitol in promoting the growth and metabolic activity of specific butyrate-producing bacteria in human fecal culture. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2017;93(1):fiw227
34. Daza-Serna L, Serna-Loaiza S, Masi A, Mach RL, Mach-Aigner AR, Friedl A. From the culture broth to the erythritol crystals: an opportunity for circular economy. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*. 2021 Jun;105(11):4467-4486. doi: 10.1007/s00253-021-11355-2. Epub 2021 May 27. PMID: 34043080; PMCID: PMC8195806.
35. Ahuja K, Rawat A (2020) Erythritol market size by form (powder, granular), by application (beverage, bakery, confectionery & dairy products, personal care, pharmaceutical), regional outlook, application potential, price trends, competitive market share & forecast, 2020 – 2026. Delaware, USA. Report ID: GMI4591.
36. Mooradian AD, Smith M, Tokuda M. The role of artificial and natural sweeteners in reducing the consumption of table sugar: A narrative review. *Clin Nutr ESPEN*. 2017 Apr;18:1-8. doi: 10.1016/j.clnesp.2017.01.004. Epub 2017 Feb 4. PMID: 29132732.
37. Munro, I.C.; Bernt, W.O.; Borzelleca, J.F.; Flamm, G.; Lynch, B.S.; Kennepohl, E.; Bar, E.A.; Modderman, J. Erythritol: An Interpretive Summary of Biochemical, Metabolic, Toxicological and Clinical Data. *Food Chem. Toxicol*. 1998, 36, 1139–1174.
38. Erythritol Attenuates the Diabetic Oxidative Stress through Modulating Glucose Metabolism and Lipid Peroxidation in Streptozotocin-Induced Diabetic Rats. Takako Yokozawa, Hyun Young Kim, and Eun Ju Cho *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 2002 50 (19), 5485-5489 DOI: 10.1021/jf020168
39. Kawano R, Okamura T, Hashimoto Y, Majima S, Senmaru T, Ushigome E, Asano M, Yamazaki M, Takakuwa H, Sasano R, Nakanishi N, Hamaguchi M, Fukui M. Erythritol Ameliorates Small Intestinal Inflammation Induced by High-Fat Diets and Improves Glucose Tolerance. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2021 May 24;22(11):5558. doi: 10.3390/ijms22115558. PMID: 34074061; PMCID: PMC8197374.
40. Mahalak KK, Firman J, Tomasula PM, Nuñez A, Lee JJ, Bittinger K, Rinaldi W, Liu LS. Impact of Steviol Glycosides and Erythritol on the Human and *Cebus apella* Gut Microbiome. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2020 Nov 18;68(46):13093-13101. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.9b06181. Epub 2020 Jan 7. PMID: 31869223.
41. Sentko, A. and Willibald-Ettle, I. (2012). Isomalt. In *Sweeteners and Sugar Alternatives in Food Technology* (eds K. O'Donnell and M.W. Kearsley).
42. Gostner A, Blaut M, Schäffer V, Kozianowski G, Theis S, Klingenberg M, Dombrowski Y, Martin D, Ehrhardt S, Taras D, Schwiertz A, Kleessen B, Lührs H, Schaubert J, Dorbath D, Menzel T, Scheppach W. Effect of isomalt consumption on faecal microflora and colonic metabolism in healthy volunteers. *Br J Nutr*. 2006 Jan;95(1):40-50. doi: 10.1079/bjn20051589. PMID: 16441915.
43. Wieërs G, Belkhir L, Enaud R, Leclercq S, Philippart de Foy JM, Dequenne I, de Timary P, Cani PD. How Probiotics Affect the Microbiota. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol*. 2020 Jan 15;9:454. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2019.00454. PMID: 32010640; PMCID: PMC6974441.
44. Scott KP, Martin JC, Duncan SH, Flint HJ. Prebiotic stimulation of human colonic butyrate-producing bacteria and bifidobacteria, in vitro. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*. 2014 Jan;87(1):30-40. doi: 10.1111/1574-6941.12186. Epub 2013 Aug 28. PMID: 23909466.
45. Martínez-Miranda JG, Chairez I, Durán-Páramo E. Mannitol Production by Heterofermentative Lactic Acid Bacteria: a Review. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol*. 2022 Jun;194(6):2762-2795. doi: 10.1007/s12010-022-03836-5. Epub 2022 Feb 23. PMID: 35195836.
46. Martău GA, Coman V, Vodnar DC. Recent advances in the biotechnological production of erythritol and mannitol. *Crit Rev Biotechnol*. 2020 Aug;40(5):608-622. doi: 10.1080/07388551.2020.1751057. Epub 2020 Apr 16. PMID: 32299245.
47. Wisselink, H. W., Weusthuis, R. A., Eggink, G., Hugenholtz, J., & Grobber, G. J. (2002). Mannitol production by lactic acid bacteria: a review. *International Dairy Journal*, 12(2-3), 151-161.
48. Modderman JP. Safety assessment of hydrogenated starch hydrolysates. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol*. 1993 Aug;18(1):80-114. doi: 10.1006/rtph.1993.1047. PMID: 8234920.