

The journal has had 40 points in Ministry of Education and Science of Poland parametric evaluation. Annex to the announcement of the Minister of Education and Science of December 21, 2021. No. The journal has had 40 points in Ministry of Education and Science of Poland parametric evaluation. Annex to the announcement of the Minister of Education and Science of December 21, 2021. No. 32343. Has a Journal's Unique Identifier: 201159. Scientific disciplines assigned: Physical Culture Sciences (Field of Medical sciences and health sciences); Health Sciences (Field of Medical Sciences and Health Sciences).

Punkty Ministerialne z 2019 - aktualny rok 40 punktów. Załącznik do komunikatu Ministra Edukacji i Nauki z dnia 21 grudnia 2021 r. Lp. 32343. Posiada Uniwersytecki Identyfikator Czasopisma: 201159. Przypisane dyscypliny naukowe: Nauki o kulturze fizycznej (Dziedzina nauk medycznych i nauk o zdrowiu); Nauki o zdrowiu (Dziedzina nauk medycznych i nauk o zdrowiu).

© The Authors 2022;

This article is published with open access at Licensee Open Journal Systems of Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń, Poland

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited. This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non commercial license Share alike. (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted, non commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Received: 16.07.2022. Revised: 17.07.2022. Accepted: 31.07.2022.

Alveolar osteitis: the current state of knowledge

Aleksandra Dryla¹, Michał Szymoniuk¹, Kamil Rogatko², Halina Pieciewicz-Szczęsna³

¹Student Scientific Association at Department of Epidemiology and Clinical Research Methodology, Medical University of Lublin, ul. Radziwiłłowska 11, 20-080 Lublin; drylaaleksandra@gmail.com, ORCID ID:0000-0002-3603-4355 (A.D.); michmatsz@gmail.com, ORCID ID: 0000-0001-6061-8089 (M.S.)

²Chair and Department of Oral Surgery, Medical University of Lublin, ul. Chodźki 6, 20-093 Lublin; rogatko.kamil@gmail.com, ORCID ID: 0000-0001-6313-0759 (K.R.)

³Chair and Department of Epidemiology, Medical University of Lublin, ul. Radziwiłłowska 11, 20-080 Lublin; halpiec@gmail.com, ORCID ID: 0000-0002-0573-7226 (H.P.-S.)

Abstract

Introduction and purpose:

Alveolar osteitis, also known as dry socket is a common complication after tooth extraction, especially third molar extraction. Taking into consideration only third molar extractions, the prevalence of dry socket reaches even 45%. The aim of this literature review was to describe current knowledge about etiology, risk factors, treatment, and prevention of dry socket.

State of knowledge:

The symptoms of alveolar osteitis most frequently are reported between the first and third post-extraction days and they include discomfort, lancing, and intense pain which radiates to the neck and ear. The etiopathogenesis of dry socket remains unclear. However, the currently accepted hypothesis describes a loss of formed after an extraction blood clot from the alveolar socket as the main cause of this pathology. Several factors may increase the risk of dry socket and include smoking, oral hygiene, female gender, oral contraceptive drugs, and anesthesia. In the treatment of alveolar osteitis, irrigation of the socket with chlorhexidine gluconate, iodopovidone, or physiological saline followed by filling the socket with intra-alveolar dressing constitute a current fundamental procedure. Plenty of substances are currently used as an intra-alveolar dressing. Part of them exhibits only pain-decreasing features, whereas some drugs can also stimulate the regeneration of treated tissue. In the prevention, the use of alveolar osteitis warm saline, antibiotics, chlorhexidine, ozone gas, or autologous platelet therapy may be useful maneuvers.

Conclusion:

This literature review summarizes the current state of knowledge about causes, risk factors, and therapeutic and preventive methods with regard to alveolar osteitis.

Keywords: dry socket; tooth extraction

1. Introduction

Dental extractions, especially extractions of wisdom teeth are a common practice. Complications following the extractions include iatrogenic and inflammatory sequelae (e.g. dry socket) [1–5].

Dry socket was described by Blum as “post-operative pain in and around the extraction site, which increases in severity at any time between one and three days after the extraction, accompanied by a partially or totally disintegrated blood clot within the alveolar socket, with or without halitosis” [6].

The prevalence of dry socket is recorded from 1% to 4% [7], but considering the frequency of dry socket after mandibular third molar extraction, it may even be 45% [8].

The symptoms most frequently are reported between the first and third post-extraction days [2,9,10] and they include discomfort, lancing, and intense pain which radiates to the neck and ear [11]. Moreover, the pain enhances during suction or chewing [6,12–17]. In the course of dry socket covering of the alveolar socket with a yellow-gray layer consisting of necrotic tissue and erythema of surrounding mucosa are often observed. What is more, halitosis can also coexist [18].

There are three types of alveolar osteitis: dry, granulomatous, and marginal superficial. The first two types are the most popular. The dry is observed when no clots form and in the granulomatous essence is an infection that coexists with the degradation of the clot [19]. Marginal superficial is temporary and does not need treatment.

In this literature review we summarized current knowledge about etiology, risk factors, treatment, and prevention of dry socket.

2. Pathogenesis of dry socket

The etiology of dry socket still remains unclear. Currently, enhanced fibrinolytic activity is considered as the main factor of this condition by many authors [11,16,20–23]. That leads to premature loss of formed after an extraction blood clot from the alveolar socket and impairs wound healing [16]. However, the cause responsible for increased fibrinolysis has not been well-known yet. Theories, which have been proposed for an explanation for that phenomenon include excessive bone trauma and bacterial etiology. It has been suggested that necrosis of the osteoblast due to excessive bone trauma destabilizes blood clot integration [21,24]. That destabilization is probably caused by the post-traumatic release of plasminogen activators (PAs) from bone surrounding the extraction socket [22]. On the other hand, some bacteria such as *Treponema denticola* and *Bacteroides oralis*, associated with acute necrotizing ulcerative gingivitis and periodontal disease demonstrated the ability to induce fibrinolysis in vitro [21,25,26]. Such activity is also presented by bacteria from *Streptococcus*, *Fusobacterium*, and *Peptococcus* species, which have been observed in the third molar extraction socket during advanced periodontitis [21]. Activation of fibrinolysis by bacteria acts through different interactions with plasminogen, which lead to its activation [22]. A recent study by Shen et al. identified specific microbial pattern present in dry socket, which included *Parvimonas*, *Peptostreptococcus*, *Prevotella*, *Fusobacterium*, *Slackia*, *Oribacterium*, and *Solobacterium* and it differed considerably from a pattern in extraction sockets without

postoperative complications [21]. Furthermore, a case-control study conducted by Aguilar-Duran et al. [27] also demonstrated a significant difference in the composition of microbiota in dry socket compared with the control group. These studies suggest an important role of a bacterial factor in the pathogenesis of dry socket and should be considered appropriately in prevention and treatment planning.

Some proteins, such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α), osteocalcin (OCN), and Runt-related transcription factor 2 (Runx2) may be engaged in the process of bone healing after tooth extraction. It has been observed that the level of TNF- α , a pro-inflammatory cytokine, was increased in an animal model of the post-extraction socket with a delayed healing process [28]. That overexpression was also associated with bone resorption and a decrease in new bone formation [29]. Moreover, TNF- α increases pain sensitivity through the direct impact on nociceptive neurons [30,31]. However, apart from TNF- α , many other pro-inflammatory cytokines may influence the intensity of pain in alveolar osteitis. On the other hand, Runx2 constitutes one of the pivotal regulators of osteoblastogenesis. Runx2 is responsible for the upregulation of genes promoting osteoblasts differentiation such as genes of type 1 collagen (COL-I), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), osteopontin (OPN) and mentioned before OCN [32]. As a matter of fact, lower expression of Runx2 and OCN have been observed in animal models of dry socket [28]. Thus, decreased levels of Runx2 and OCN can affect the process of bone formation. Therefore, these molecules may be used as indicators in the evaluation of dry socket to better understand its pathophysiology.

3. Risk factors

Several factors may increase the risk of dry socket. Some of them are modifiable, thanks to which reducing the risk of this complication is possible.

Oral hygiene

There was found that bacterial infections might increase the likelihood of developing dry socket [33,34]. Egauvoen [35] came to the conclusion that the risk of dry socket was higher in patients with poor oral hygiene. Chuang et al. [36] came to a similar claim. Moreover, Parthasarathi et al. [10] pointed to the evidence that periodontal diseases which led to extraction improved the risk of dry socket. It might be caused by the presence of bacteria.

Smoking

Al-Belasy [37] found that the riskiest is smoking on the same day as extraction, smoking the day after is safer. What is more, people who smoke more per day have a greater risk of dry socket. Halab et al. [38] observed that smoking 5 or more cigarettes per day has an important influence on occurring of dry socket. Beit [39] also showed that smoking increases the risk of dry socket. Moreover, nicotine has an influence on the release of catecholamine, microvascular occlusion and reduced tissue perfusion [40]. Smoking-related factors such as heat and suction might delay healing [41].

Gender

Many research works found a correlation between gender and a higher risk of dry socket. It is suggested that women are more vulnerable to this complication after extraction [35,42–45]. On the other hand, many authors showed that there is no connection between gender and the development of dry socket [2,9,46–48]. Patients who had menstrual periods had a lower risk of dry socket [49]. This discrepancy may be due to factors such as smoking,

antibiotic prophylaxis, and level of estrogen [14,46,49].

Oral contraceptives

Some authors do not find a correlation between OC and dry socket [2,9,10,34], but some authors showed that women taking OC have a higher risk of post-extraction complications [49,50]. Bienek et al. [45] found that women who were using OCs had an increased likelihood of AO. A similar conclusion came from Xu JL et al. in a meta-analysis that showed a 2-fold higher risk of alveolar osteitis. Research taken by Almeida et al. [51] suggested a greater likelihood of AO among females who were using OC. Oral contraceptives include estrogen, which might raise fibrinolysis of plasma [13,16].

Anesthesia

Eshghpour and Nejat [52] observed that epinephrine might increase fibrinolysis and weaken healing. If extraction is complicated and lengthier and needs more anesthesia more vasoconstrictor is needed, which led to a higher risk of AO [53].

Other risk factors

Some studies find a link between excessive curettage [33], root fracture, alveolar bone fracture [51] and the development of dry socket. Moreover, surgical extraction has a higher risk of AO than non-surgical cases [48]. Also, the experience of surgeons may have an influence on complications after extraction, it is related to faster and less traumatic operations [9,42,54–56]. Surgeries that were performed by dental students had more complications than extractions that were taken by dentists. What is more, the mandible has a greater bone density which may result in a greater risk of the dry socket in this area [57]. Moreover, mental stress can be also classified as a risk factor that increases the likelihood of developing a dry socket because of the possibility of fibrinolytic activity [58].

4. Current methods in the treatment of dry socket

In the treatment of alveolar osteitis, irrigation the socket by chlorhexidine gluconate, iodopovidone or physiological saline followed by filling the socket with intra-alveolar dressing constitutes a current fundamental procedure [59–62]. Irrigation of the socket removes necrotic tissue, clot debris, bacterial material, or food particles from the socket [63]. The irrigation procedure has a key role but is insufficient treatment for pain relief without intra-alveolar dressing [64,65].

The intra-alveolar dressing should be resorbable and durable in the same time, which should ensure the protection of bone from bacterial infiltration, food entering, and painful mechanical stimuli [24]. The most commonly used medicament for this purpose is Alvogyl®, which contains eugenol - for analgesic action, iodoform - for antimicrobial action, and butamben - for anesthetic action, as active ingredients. [66] Alvogyl gives a soothing effect and rapidly relieves pain symptoms [64,66]. The reduction of pain by eugenol results from the inhibition of TNF- α and the modulation of the glutamatergic receptors and opioid system [67]. Other substances currently used for intra-alveolar dressing in the treatment of dry socket include hyaluronic acid, vitamin C dressings, turmeric acid, honey, rifampicin, and penghawar jambi [62,68–72].

However, the above-mentioned methods of treatment do not demonstrate regenerative character, but only ensure pain relief and protection of wound. Currently, there are many

therapeutic approaches, which focus on the regenerative aspect of dry socket treatment. These methods, enhancing the natural regenerative properties of cells, include platelet-rich fibrin or plasma (PRF/PRP) therapy, concentrated growth factor (CGF) therapy, low-level laser therapy (LLLT), and low-intensity pulsed ultrasound therapy (LIPUS) [63,73–76].

Autologous platelet therapy has constantly gained popularity in the last decades in many medical fields, such as maxillofacial surgery or esthetic medicine [77,78]. Primarily, platelet-rich plasma (PRP) was used in this method of treatment. Rutkowski et al. [79] demonstrated PRP applied at the extraction site as a potentially effective agent in the prevention of dry socket. However, this application should be more investigated in further clinical trials [80]. Platelet-rich fibrin PRF is the next-generation agent representing autologous platelet treatment. The PRF therapy enhances tissue healing and significantly decreases pain symptoms occurring in alveolar osteitis. The increase of tissue healing is achieved through releasing of growth factors including interleukin-1 (IL-1), fibroblast growth factor (FGF), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), and transforming growth factor (TGF), and TNF- α , which increase angiogenic and fibroblastic activity [6,62,63]. On the other hand, pain control is obtained through the secretion of some molecules such as opioid peptides, interleukins, and insulin-like growth factor type 1 (IGF-1) [81]. The newest autologous plasma therapy agent – CGF also acts through the secretion of multiple growth factors, which may provide tissue regeneration [82]. In a recent study, Keshini et al. [66] evaluated the outcome of treatment of alveolar osteitis with PRF dressing compared with conventional Alvogyl dressing. In a group of patients treated with PRF, improved wound healing has been observed and pain control was comparable with that achieved in the group treated with Alvogyl. The effectiveness of dry socket treatment with PRF has been proven by other clinical studies [83–86]. Moreover, PRF is inexpensive, biocompatible, and simple to obtain method of treatment, because it is derived from the patient's own blood [87]. Therefore PRF represents a viable treatment alternative for conventional medications used in dry socket therapy.

LLLT is another potential method of treatment that may significantly decrease the pain in dry socket. In stomatology, used wavelengths range from 635 to 950 nm [88]. LLLT acts through photochemical reaction, which promotes the production of PDGF, the proliferation of fibroblasts, collagen production, and bone regeneration without thermal tissue damage [7,89,90].

Given the significant role of a bacterial factor in the pathogenesis of dry socket, antibacterial agents also should be considered in the therapy of this pathology. Indeed, many of them are used in the clinical management of dry socket and include tetracycline, metronidazole, azithromycin, amoxicillin, and lincomycin [11]. These drugs can be used both for treatment and prevention of dry socket. The preventive aspect of antibacterial agents has been discussed in section 5. of this paper. It has been demonstrated that some antimicrobials, such as tetracycline exhibits also have proangiogenic features. Tetracycline can stimulate new bone formation, and osteoclast activity suppression, enhance the expression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and promote revascularization of tissues [91]. These additional characteristics favor tetracycline among other antimicrobials in terms of regenerative aspects of alveolar osteitis therapy. Recently, Cebi evaluated the outcomes of dry socket treatment with intra-alveolar irrigation with clindamycin and rifampicin compared with sterile saline [62]. The use of clindamycin and rifampicin was superior to the use of physiological saline in terms of alveolar mucosa healing. Moreover, clindamycin demonstrated a greater reduction of pain than rifampicin and saline. Antibiotics for dry socket treatment are often administered locally into the alveolar socket, in the foam form. The systemic route of administration should be limited to patients with immunosuppression [13,16,63,83,92]. However, the use of systemic antibiotics should not replace the intra-alveolar antibacterial treatment [63].

5. Prevention

There are several ways to prevention from the development of dry socket. The most popular methods are included: chlorhexidine, antibiotics, and warm saline mouth rinse. Ozone gas, platelet-rich plasma, and platelet-rich fibrin due to their properties may be applied to prophylaxis.

Chlorhexidine

Chlorhexidine is available as a solution and gel.

Shepherd [93] and Sridhar et al. [94] in their works concluded that using CHX gluconate solution before and after surgical extraction has a preventive effect on the development of dry socket. Metin et al. [95] showed that satisfactory is using 0.2% CHX solution only after extraction for 7 days. Similar results were published by Caso et al. [92]. According to Delilbasi et al. [96], the efficiency of chlorhexidine solution can be improved by adding amoxicillin with clavulanic acid.

CHX gluconate gel 0.2% is characterized by higher bioadhesive properties and is more effective than CHX gluconate solution 0.12% Hita-Iglesias et al. [97]. What is more, the results of the meta-analysis showed that using topical metronidazole and CHX gel is effective in reducing AO [98].

Warm saline mouth rinse

It has been proven that warm saline mouth rinse has the same effectiveness as 0.12% CHX solution in the prevention of AO after third molar extraction ($P=0.648$) [99].

Antibiotics

Arteagoitia et al. [100] showed that amoxicillin with clavulanic acid has an influence on reducing the occurrence of dry socket. Moreover, it was proved that application only amoxicillin does not reduce the incidence of AO. However, Olusanya et al. [101] claimed that amoxicillin may be efficient not only in reducing AO but also in the prevention of trismus and swelling.

Sanchis et al. [102] found that using tetracycline has no influence on the development of AO. On the other hand, Hedström and Sjögren [25] concluded that tetracycline might decrease the risk of dry socket. What is more, it was concluded that tetracycline shows proangiogenic effects and encourages osteoblastic bone formation [91,103]. Øyri et al. [104] presented in their clinical trial that patients after third molar extraction with an oxytetracycline-impregnated drain had about 6 times less incidence of dry socket than the control group.

Both metronidazole [105] and azithromycin [106] do not have a significant influence on reducing the appearance of AO. However, Bascones-Martinez et al. [107] found that azithromycin might reduce alveolar osteitis more effectively than saline in the population of women using OCs and tobacco.

Ozone gas

It has been proven that O₃ has properties to stimulate angiogenesis [108,109]. Moreover, ozone gas has an influence on oxygen metabolism and the antioxidant defense system [110]. It has been found that O₃ has a bactericidal action [111,112]. Thanks to its properties and effect ozone gas is recommended to improve healing and reduce the risk of DS [113].

Platelet-rich plasma (PRP)

PRP encourages angiogenesis, chemotaxis, and cell proliferation because of including growth factors [114,115]. What is more, PRP contains intra- and extra-platelet components. All of these elements help tissue regeneration [116,117]. Rutkowski et al. [79] concluded that using PRP may reduce complications in the form of a dry socket. However, Barona-Dorado et al. [80] suggested that more clinical trials are needed.

Platelet-rich fibrin (PRF)

PRF which contains leukocytes, cytokines, and platelets, improves clot formation [118,119]. Eshghpour et al. [120] found that PRF has usage in reducing the development of DS.

6. Conclusion

This literature review summarizes the current state of knowledge about causes, risk factors, and therapeutic and preventive methods with regard to alveolar osteitis. A dry socket is one of the most common complications after tooth extraction, especially the third mandibular molar. Currently, enhanced fibrinolytic activity is considered the main factor of this condition. There are known risk factors that increase the likelihood of this complication occurring. Patients should be informed about them and pay particular attention to those that are affected. Especially when it comes to smoking, rinsing the mouth intensively, or taking oral contraceptives. There are several ways to prevent and treat. Preventive prescription of antibiotics is not recommended due to the numerous side effects. PRF appears to be the best option for prevention and treatment. Due to the lack of generalized treatment guidelines, the choice of the appropriate method depends on the dentist after considering the advantages and disadvantages of each technique of treatment. Further research is necessary to unify and find the best treatment option.

References

1. Heng CK, Badner VM, Clemens DL, Mercer LT, Mercer DW. The relationship of cigarette smoking to postoperative complications from dental extractions among female inmates. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2007 Dec;104(6):757–62.
2. Bui CH, Seldin EB, Dodson TB. Types, frequencies, and risk factors for complications after third molar extraction. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2003;61(12):1379–89.
3. Peñarrocha-Diago M, Sanchis JM, Sáez U, Gay C, Bagán J V. Oral hygiene and postoperative pain after mandibular third molar surgery. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2001;92(3):260–4.
4. Santana-Santos T de, Souza-Santos JA de, Martins-Filho PR, Silva LC da, Silva ED de O e, Gomes AC. Prediction of postoperative facial swelling, pain and trismus following third molar surgery based on preoperative variables. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal.* 2013;18(1):e65.
5. Rakhshan V. Common risk factors for postoperative pain following the extraction of wisdom teeth. *J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2015;41(2):59.
6. Blum IR. Contemporary views on dry socket (alveolar osteitis): a clinical appraisal of standardization, aetiopathogenesis and management: a critical review. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2002;31(3):309–17.
7. Eshghpour M, Ahrari F, Najjarkar NT, Khajavi MA. Comparison of the effect of low level laser therapy with alvogyl on the management of alveolar osteitis. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal.*

2015 May 1;20(3):e386–92.

- 8. Khan BT, Kiani MN, Saeed MH Bin, Khan AZ. Risk factors assessment for dry sockets: A logistic regression analysis study. *J Oral Maxillofac Surgery, Med Pathol.* 2015 Nov 1;27(6):753–6.
- 9. Larsen PE. Alveolar osteitis after surgical removal of impacted mandibular third molars. Identification of the patient at risk. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1992;73(4):393–7.
- 10. Parthasarathi K, Smith A, Chandu A. Factors affecting incidence of dry socket: a prospective community-based study. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2011 Jul;69(7):1880–4.
- 11. Saghiri MA, Asatourian A, Sheibani N. Angiogenesis and the prevention of alveolar osteitis: a review study. *J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2018 Jun 30;44(3):93.
- 12. Cardoso CL, Rodrigues MTV, Ferreira O, Garlet GP, De Carvalho PSP. Clinical concepts of dry socket. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2010;68(8):1922–32.
- 13. Kolokythas A, Olech E, Miloro M. Alveolar osteitis: a comprehensive review of concepts and controversies. *Int J Dent.* 2010;2010:1–10.
- 14. Haraji A, Rakhshan V. Single-dose intra-alveolar chlorhexidine gel application, easier surgeries, and younger ages are associated with reduced dry socket risk. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2014 Feb;72(2):259–65.
- 15. Haraji A, Rakhshan V, Khamverdi N, Alishahi H. Effects of intra-alveolar placement of 0.2% chlorhexidine bioadhesive gel on dry socket incidence and postsurgical pain: a double-blind split-mouth randomized controlled clinical trial. *J Orofac Pain.* 2013;27(3):256–62.
- 16. Noroozi AR, Philbert RF. Modern concepts in understanding and management of the “dry socket” syndrome: comprehensive review of the literature. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2009 Jan;107(1):30–5.
- 17. Bloomer CR. Alveolar osteitis prevention by immediate placement of medicated packing. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2000;90(3):282–4.
- 18. Swanson AE. A double-blind study on the effectiveness of tetracycline in reducing the incidence of fibrinolytic alveolitis. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 1989;47(2):165–7.
- 19. De Melo Júnior EJM, Raposo MJ, Lisboa Neto JA, Diniz MFA, Marcelino Júnior CAC, Sant’Ana AEG. Medicinal plants in the healing of dry socket in rats: microbiological and microscopic analysis. *Phytomedicine.* 2002;9(2):109–16.
- 20. Taberner-Vallverdú M, Sánchez-Garcés MÁ, Gay-Escoda C. Efficacy of different methods used for dry socket prevention and risk factor analysis: A systematic review. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal.* 2017 Nov 1;22(6):e750.
- 21. Shen LH, Xiao E, Wang EB, Zheng H, Zhang Y. High-Throughput Sequencing Analysis of Microbial Profiles in the Dry Socket. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2019 Aug 1;77(8):1548–56.
- 22. Zahid T, Ghafoor S. Molecular events in the clinicopathological diagnosis of alveolar osteitis. *J Pak Med Assoc.* 2021 Feb 1;71(2 A):508–13.
- 23. Nieścior H, Sereda D, Rzucidło I, Szymoniuk M, Lider K. Alveolar Osteitis, czyli suchy zębodół jako powikłanie poekstrakcyjne [Alveolar Osteitis (dry socket) as a post-extraction complication]. In: Interdyscyplinarność, czyli współczesne wyzwanie dla naukowców. Waleńczów: Wydawnictwo Naukowe INTELLECT; 2020. p. 166–172. Polish.
- 24. Mamoun J. Dry Socket Etiology, Diagnosis, and Clinical Treatment Techniques. *J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2018;44(2):52.
- 25. Hedström L, Sjögren P. Effect estimates and methodological quality of randomized controlled trials about prevention of alveolar osteitis following tooth extraction: a systematic review. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2007 Jan;103(1):8–15.
- 26. Newman MG, Takei HH, Klokkevold PR, Carranza FA. Newman and Carranza’s clinical periodontology / [edited by] Michael G. Newman, Henry H. Takei, Perry R. Klokkevold, Fermin A Carranza. 2019;1–994.
- 27. Aguilar-Durán L, Figueiredo R, Seminago R, Roig FJ, Llorens C, Valmaseda-Castellón E. A metagenomic study of patients with alveolar osteitis after tooth extraction. A preliminary case-control study. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2019 Nov 1;23(11):4163–72.
- 28. Cardoso CL, Ferreira Júnior O, de Carvalho PSP, Dionísio TJ, Cestari TM, Garlet GP. Experimental dry socket: microscopic and molecular evaluation of two treatment modalities. *Acta Cir Bras.* 2011 Sep;26(5):365–72.

29. Williams A, Wang ECY, Thurner L, Liu CJ. Review: Novel Insights Into Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptor, Death Receptor 3, and Progranulin Pathways in Arthritis and Bone Remodeling. *Arthritis Rheumatol* (Hoboken, N.J.). 2016 Dec 1;68(12):2845.

30. Hall BE, Zhang L, Sun ZJ, Utreras E, Prochazkova M, Cho A, Terse A, Arany P, Dolan JC, Schmidt BL, Kulkarni AB. Conditional TNF- α Overexpression in the Tooth and Alveolar Bone Results in Painful Pulpitis and Osteitis. *J Dent Res*. 2016 Feb 1;95(2):188.

31. Rozas P, Lazcano P, Piña R, Cho A, Terse A, Pertusa M, Madrid R, Gonzalez-Billault C, Kulkarni AB, Utreras E. Targeted overexpression of tumor necrosis factor- α increases cyclin-dependent kinase 5 activity and TRPV1-dependent Ca²⁺ influx in trigeminal neurons. *Pain*. 2016 Jun 1;157(6):1346–62.

32. Kim HJ, Kim WJ, Ryoo HM. Post-Translational Regulations of Transcriptional Activity of RUNX2. *Mol Cells*. 2020 Feb 2;43(2):160.

33. Birn H. Etiology and pathogenesis of fibrinolytic alveolitis (“dry socket”). *Int J Oral Surg*. 1973 Jan 1;2(5):211–63.

34. Momeni H, Shahnaseri S, Hamzeheil Z. Evaluation of relative distribution and risk factors in patients with dry socket referring to Yazd dental clinics. *Dent Res J (Isfahan)*. 2011 Dec;8(Suppl1):S84.

35. Egauvoen I. Incidence of alveolar osteitis in two Johannesburg hospitals, South Africa. 2016.

36. Chuang SK, Perrott DH, Susarla SM, Dodson TB. Risk factors for inflammatory complications following third molar surgery in adults. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2008 Nov;66(11):2213–8.

37. Al-Belasy FA. The Relationship of “Shisha” (Water Pipe) Smoking to Postextraction Dry Socket. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2004;62(1):10–4.

38. Halab D, Escobar J, Muoz C, Uribe S. Logistic regression analysis of risk factors for the development of alveolar osteitis. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2012 May;70(5):1040–4.

39. Beit* ZK, Beit* ZK. Incidence and Risk Factors of Dry Socket Following Tooth Extraction in Syrian Private University Clinics. *J Pharm Biomed Sci*. 2017 Apr 17;7(4).

40. Cryer PE, Haymond MW, Santiago J V., Shah SD. Norepinephrine and epinephrine release and adrenergic mediation of smoking-associated hemodynamic and metabolic events. *N Engl J Med*. 1976 Sep 9;295(11):573–7.

41. Rakhshan V. Common risk factors of dry socket (alveolitis osteitis) following dental extraction: A brief narrative review. *J Stomatol Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2018 Nov 1;119(5):407–11.

42. Benediktsdóttir IS, Wenzel A, Petersen JK, Hintze H. Mandibular third molar removal: risk indicators for extended operation time, postoperative pain, and complications. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 2004 Apr;97(4):438–46.

43. MacGregor AJ. Aetiology of dry socket: a clinical investigation. *Br J Oral Surg*. 1968;6(1):49–58.

44. Field EA, Speechley JA, Rotter E, Scott J. Dry socket incidence compared after a 12 year interval. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 1985;23(6):419–27.

45. Bienek DR, Filliben JJ. Risk assessment and sensitivity meta-analysis of alveolar osteitis occurrence in oral contraceptive users. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 2016 Jun 1;147(6):394–404.

46. Nusair YM, Abu Younis MH. Prevalence, clinical picture, and risk factors of dry socket in a Jordanian Dental Teaching Center. *J Contemp Dent Pract*. 2007 Mar 1;8(3):53–63.

47. Baqain ZH, Karaky AA, Sawair F, Khaisat A, Duaibis R, Rajab LD. Frequency estimates and risk factors for postoperative morbidity after third molar removal: a prospective cohort study. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2008;66(11):2276–83.

48. Chandran S, Alaguvvelrajan M, Karthikeyan A, Ganesan K, Faiz MK, Krishna Vallabhaneni SS. Incidence of Dry Socket in South Chennai Population: A Retrospective Study. *J Int Oral Heal*. 2016;8(1):119–22.

49. Eshghpour M, Rezaei NM, Nejat A. Effect of menstrual cycle on frequency of alveolar osteitis in women undergoing surgical removal of mandibular third molar: a single-blind randomized clinical trial. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2013 Sep;71(9):1484–9.

50. Eshghpour M, Moradi A, Nejat AH. Dry Socket following Tooth Extraction in an Iranian Dental Center: Incidence and Risk Factors. *J Dent Mater Tech*. 2013 Sep 1;2(3):86–91.

51. Almeida LE, Pierce S, Klar K, Sherman K. Effects of oral contraceptives on the prevalence of alveolar osteitis after mandibular third molar surgery: a retrospective study. *Int J Oral Maxillofac*

Surg. 2016 Oct 1;45(10):1299–302.

52. Eshghpour M, Nejat AH. Dry socket following surgical removal of impacted third molar in an Iranian population: incidence and risk factors. *Niger J Clin Pract.* 2013 Oct;16(4):496–500.

53. Meechan JG, Venchard GR, Rogers SN, Hobson RS, Prior I, Tavares C, Melnicenko S. Local anaesthesia and dry socket. A clinical investigation of single extractions in male patients. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 1987;16(3):279–84.

54. Johnson WS, Blanton EE. An evaluation of 9-aminoacridine/Gelfoam to reduce dry socket formation. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1988;66(2):167–70.

55. Phillips C, White RP, Shugars DA, Zhou X. Risk factors associated with prolonged recovery and delayed healing after third molar surgery. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2003;61(12):1436–48.

56. Capuzzi P, Montebugnoli L, Vaccaro MA. Extraction of impacted third molars. A longitudinal prospective study on factors that affect postoperative recovery. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol.* 1994;77(4):341–3.

57. Oginni FO. Dry socket: a prospective study of prevalent risk factors in a Nigerian population. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2008 Nov;66(11):2290–5.

58. Gersel-Pedersen N. Blood fibrinolytic activity before and after oral surgery. *Int J Oral Surg.* 1977 Feb 1;6(1):42–7.

59. Bowe House Officer DC, Rogers S, Stassen LF. The management of dry socket alveolar osteitis The management of dry socket/ alveolar osteitis Introduction/review of literature. *J Ir Dent Assoc.* 2011;57(6):305–10.

60. Daly B, Sharif MO, Newton T, Jones K, Worthington H V. Local interventions for the management of alveolar osteitis (dry socket). *Cochrane database Syst Rev.* 2012 Dec 12;12.

61. Taberner-Vallverdú M, Nazir M, Sánchez-Garcés MÁ, Gay-Escoda C. Efficacy of different methods used for dry socket management: A systematic review. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal.* 2015 Sep 1;20(5):e633–9.

62. Çebi AT. Evaluation of the effects of intra-alveolar irrigation with clindamycin, rifampicin and sterile saline in alveolar osteitis treatment. *J Stomatol Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2020 Dec 1;121(6):680–3.

63. Garola F, Gilligan G, Panico R, Leonardi N, Piemonte E. Clinical management of alveolar osteitis. A systematic review. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal.* 2021 Nov 1;26(6):e691.

64. Kamal A, Salman B, Razak NHA, Samsudin AR. A Comparative Clinical Study between Concentrated Growth Factor and Low-Level Laser Therapy in the Management of Dry Socket. *Eur J Dent.* 2020 Oct 1;14(4):613.

65. Kaya GŞ, Yapiç G, Savaş Z, Güngörümüş M. Comparison of alvogyl, SaliCept patch, and low-level laser therapy in the management of alveolar osteitis. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2011 Jun;69(6):1571–7.

66. Keshini MP, Shetty SK, Sundar S, Chandan SN, Manjula S. Assessment of Healing Using Alvogyl and Platelet Rich Fibrin in Patients with Dry Socket - An Evaluative Study. *Ann Maxillofac Surg.* 2020 Jul 1;10(2):320.

67. Dal Bó W, Luiz AP, Martins DF, Mazzardo-Martins L, Santos ARS. Eugenol reduces acute pain in mice by modulating the glutamatergic and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α) pathways. *Fundam Clin Pharmacol.* 2013 Oct;27(5):517–25.

68. Dubovina D, Mihailović B, Bukumirić Z, Vlahović Z, Miladinović M, Miković N, Lazić Z. The use of hyaluronic and aminocaproic acid in the treatment of alveolar osteitis. *Vojnosanit Pregl.* 2016 Nov 1;73(11):1010–5.

69. Lone PA, Ahmed S wakeel, Prasad V, Ahmed B. Role of turmeric in management of alveolar osteitis (dry socket): A randomised clinical study. *J Oral Biol Craniofacial Res.* 2018 Jan 1;8(1):44.

70. Ansari A, Joshi S, Garad A, Mhatre B, Bagade S, Jain R. A Study to Evaluate the Efficacy of Honey in the Management of Dry Socket. *Contemp Clin Dent.* 2019;10(1):52.

71. Halberstein RA, Abrahamsohn GM. Clinical management and control of alveolalgia (“dry socket”) with vitamin C. *Am J Dent.* 2003 Jun 1;16(3):152–4.

72. Supe NB, Choudhary SH, Yamyar SM, Patil KS, Choudhary AK, Kadam VD. Efficacy of Alvogyl (Combination of Iodoform + Butylparabenobenzoate) and Zinc Oxide Eugenol for Dry Socket. *Ann Maxillofac Surg.* 2018;8(2):193.

73. Singh Parihar A, Pathak R. Effect of low level laser therapy (LLLT) on post extraction wound healing. *J Adv Med Dent Sci Res* [Vol. 2018];

74. Xu Y, Qiu J, Sun Q, Yan S, Wang W, Yang P, Song A. One-Year Results Evaluating the Effects of Concentrated Growth Factors on the Healing of Intrabony Defects Treated with or without Bone Substitute in Chronic Periodontitis. *Med Sci Monit*. 2019;25:4384–9.

75. Masuki H, Okudera T, Watanebe T, Suzuki M, Nishiyama K, Okudera H, Nakata K, Uematsu K, Su CY, Kawase T. Growth factor and pro-inflammatory cytokine contents in platelet-rich plasma (PRP), plasma rich in growth factors (PRGF), advanced platelet-rich fibrin (A-PRF), and concentrated growth factors (CGF). *Int J Implant Dent*. 2016 Dec;2(1).

76. Tanaka E, Kuroda S, Horiuchi S, Tabata A, El-Bialy T. Low-intensity pulsed ultrasound in dentofacial tissue engineering. *Ann Biomed Eng*. 2015 Apr 1;43(4):871–86.

77. Karimi K, Rockwell H. The Benefits of Platelet-Rich Fibrin. *Facial Plast Surg Clin North Am*. 2019 Aug 1;27(3):331–40.

78. Nieścior H, Bator D, Wójcik M, Szarpak J, Dąbrowska J, Sereda D. Dry socket - clinical procedures review. *J Educ Heal Sport*. 2020 Sep 8;10(9):235–40.

79. Rutkowski JL, Fennell JW, Kern JC, Madison DE, Johnson DA. Inhibition of alveolar osteitis in mandibular tooth extraction sites using platelet-rich plasma. *J Oral Implantol*. 2007 Jan 1;33(3):116–21.

80. Barona-Dorado C, González-Regueiro I, Martín-Ares M, Arias-Irimia O, Martínez-González JM. Efficacy of platelet-rich plasma applied to post-extraction retained lower third molar alveoli. A systematic review. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal*. 2014;19(2):e142.

81. Dohan Ehrenfest DM, Andia I, Zumstein MA, Zhang CQ, Pinto NR, Bielecki T. Classification of platelet concentrates (Platelet-Rich Plasma-PRP, Platelet-Rich Fibrin-PRF) for topical and infiltrative use in orthopedic and sports medicine: current consensus, clinical implications and perspectives. *Muscles Ligaments Tendons J*. 2014;4(1):3.

82. Jin R, Song G, Chai J, Gou X, Yuan G, Chen Z. Effects of concentrated growth factor on proliferation, migration, and differentiation of human dental pulp stem cells in vitro. *J Tissue Eng*. 2018 Dec 1;9.

83. Chakravarthi S. Platelet rich fibrin in the management of established dry socket. *J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2017;43(3):160.

84. Chenchev I, Ivanova V, Dobreva D, Neychev D. TREATMENT OF DRY SOCKET WITH PLATELET-RICH FIBRIN. *J IMAB – Annu Proceeding Sci Pap*. 2017 Sep 27;23(3):1702–5.

85. Bhujbal R, Malik NA, Kumar N, KV S, Parkar MI, MB J. Comparative evaluation of platelet rich plasma in socket healing and bone regeneration after surgical removal of impacted mandibular third molars. *J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects*. 2018 Sep 26;12(3):153.

86. Paul S, Choudhury R, Kumari N, Rastogi S, Sharma A, Singh V, Laskar S, Dubey T. Is treatment with platelet-rich fibrin better than zinc oxide eugenol in cases of established dry socket for controlling pain, reducing inflammation, and improving wound healing? *J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2019 Apr 30;45(2):76–82.

87. Martínez-Zapata MJ, Martí-Carvajal A, Solà I, Bolíbar I, Ángel Expósito J, Rodriguez L, García J. Efficacy and safety of the use of autologous plasma rich in platelets for tissue regeneration: a systematic review. *Transfusion*. 2009 Jan;49(1):44–56.

88. Luke AM, Mathew S, Altawash MM, Madan BM. Lasers: A Review With Their Applications in Oral Medicine. *J lasers Med Sci*. 2019;10(4):324–9.

89. Kesler G, Shvero DK, Tov YS, Romanos G. Platelet derived growth factor secretion and bone healing after Er:YAG laser bone irradiation. *J Oral Implantol*. 2011 Mar;37 Spec No(SPEC ISSUE):195–204.

90. Hamad SA, Naif JS, Abdullah MA. Effect of Diode Laser on Healing of Tooth Extraction Socket: An Experimental Study in Rabbits. *J Maxillofac Oral Surg*. 2016 Sep 1;15(3):308–14.

91. Mathe Z, Dupraz P, Rinsch C, Thorens B, Bosco D, Zbinden M, Morel P, Berney T, Pepper MS. Tetracycline-regulated expression of VEGF-A in beta cells induces angiogenesis: improvement of engraftment following transplantation. *Cell Transplant*. 2006;15(7):621–36.

92. Caso A, Hung LK, Beirne OR. Prevention of alveolar osteitis with chlorhexidine: a meta-analytic review. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 2005;99(2):155–9.

93. Shepherd J. Pre-operative chlorhexidine mouth rinses reduce the incidence of dry socket. *Evid*

Based Dent. 2007;8(2):43.

94. Sridhar V, Wali GG, Shyla HN. Evaluation of the perioperative use of 0.2% chlorhexidine gluconate for the prevention of alveolar osteitis after the extraction of impacted mandibular third molars: a clinical study. *J Maxillofac Oral Surg.* 2011 Jun 1;10(2):101–11.

95. Metin M, Tek M, Şener I. Comparison of two chlorhexidine rinse protocols on the incidence of alveolar osteitis following the surgical removal of impacted third molars. *J Contemp Dent Pract.* 2006 May 1;7(2):79–86.

96. Delilibasi C, Saracoglu U, Keskin A. Effects of 0.2% chlorhexidine gluconate and amoxicillin plus clavulanic acid on the prevention of alveolar osteitis following mandibular third molar extractions. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2002;94(3):301–4.

97. Hita-Iglesias P, Torres-Lagares D, Flores-Ruiz R, Magallanes-Abad N, Basallote-Gonzalez M, Gutierrez-Perez JL. Effectiveness of chlorhexidine gel versus chlorhexidine rinse in reducing alveolar osteitis in mandibular third molar surgery. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2008 Mar;66(3):441–5.

98. Kaur J, Raval R, Bansal A, Kumawat V. Repercussions of intraalveolar placement of combination of 0.2% chlorhexidine & 10 Mg metronidazole gel on the occurrence of dry sockets- A randomized control trial. *J Clin Exp Dent.* 2017;9(2):e284.

99. Osunde OD, Anyanechi CE, Bassey GO. Prevention of alveolar osteitis after third molar surgery: Comparative study of the effect of warm saline and chlorhexidine mouth rinses [Internet]. Vol. 20, Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice. 2017 [cited 2022 Jul 8]. p. 470–3. Available from: <https://www.njcponline.com/article.asp?issn=1119-3077;year=2017;volume=20;issue=4;spage=470;epage=473;aulast=Osunde>

100. Arteagoitia MI, Barbier L, Santamaría J, Santamaría G, Ramos E. Efficacy of amoxicillin and amoxicillin/clavulanic acid in the prevention of infection and dry socket after third molar extraction. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal.* 2016 Jul 1;21(4):e494.

101. Olusanya A, Arotiba J, Fasola O, Akadiri A. Prophylaxis versus pre-emptive antibiotics in third molar surgery: a randomised control study. *Niger Postgr Med J.* 2011;18:105–10.

102. Sanchis JM, Sáez U, Peñarrocha M, Gay C. Tetracycline compound placement to prevent dry socket: a postoperative study of 200 impacted mandibular third molars. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2004;62(5):587–91.

103. Rawal SY, Rawal YB. Non-antimicrobial properties of tetracyclines--dental and medical implications. *West Indian Med J.* 2001 Jun 1;50(2):105–8.

104. Öyri H, Jónsdóttir O, Jensen JL, Björnland T. The use of a tetracycline drain reduces alveolar osteitis: a randomized prospective trial of third molar surgery under local anesthetics and without the use of systemic antibiotics. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol.* 2019 Sep 1;128(3):205–12.

105. Bergdahl M, Hedström L. Metronidazole for the prevention of dry socket after removal of partially impacted mandibular third molar: a randomised controlled trial. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2004 Dec;42(6):555–8.

106. Ishihama K, Kimura T, Yasui Y, Komaki M, Ota Y. Azithromycin as prophylaxis for the prevention of postoperative infection in impacted mandibular third-molar surgery. *J Infect Chemother.* 2006;12(1):31–5.

107. Bascones-Martinez A, Reche I, Manso F, González-Moles M, Bravo M. Prevention of alveolar osteitis with azithromycin in women according to use of tobacco and oral contraceptives. *Quintessence Int.* 2007;38:295–300.

108. Linetskiy S V, Hubálková H, Staňková H, Šmucler R, Mazánek J. Ozone and Its Usage in General Medicine and Dentistry. *Prague Med Rep.* 2008;109(1):5–13.

109. Gupta G, Mansi B. Ozone therapy in periodontics. *J Med Life.* 2012 Feb 2;5(1):59.

110. Adeyemo W, Ogunlewe M, Ladeinde A, Abib G, Gbotolorun O, Olojede O. Prevalence and surgical morbidity of impacted mandibular third molar removal in the aging population: A retrospective study at the Lagos University Teaching Hospital. *Afr J Med Med Sci.* 2006;35:479–83.

111. Tuncay O, Dinçer AN, Kuştarci A, Er O, Dinç G, Demirbuga S. Effects of ozone and photo-activated disinfection against *Enterococcus faecalis* biofilms in vitro. *Niger J Clin Pract.* 2015

Nov 1;18(6):814–8.

- 112. Boch T, Tennert C, Vach K, Al-Ahmad A, Hellwig E, Polydorou O. Effect of gaseous ozone on *Enterococcus faecalis* biofilm-an in vitro study. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2016 Sep 1;20(7):1733–9.
- 113. Ahmed J, Ahmed E, Sejfija O, Agani Z, Hamiti V. Efficiency of gaseous ozone in reducing the development of dry socket following surgical third molar extraction. *Eur J Dent.* 2016;10(3):381.
- 114. Del Fabbro M, Bortolin M, Taschieri S. Is autologous platelet concentrate beneficial for post-extraction socket healing? A systematic review. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2011 Sep;40(9):891–900.
- 115. Anitua E, Andia I, Ardanza B, Nurden P, Nurden AT. Autologous platelets as a source of proteins for healing and tissue regeneration. *Thromb Haemost.* 2004 Jan;91(1):4–15.
- 116. Marx RE. Platelet-rich plasma: evidence to support its use. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2004;62(4):489–96.
- 117. Martinez-Zapata MJ, Martí-Carvajal AJ, Solà I, Expósito JA, Bolíbar I, Rodríguez L, García J, Zaror C. Autologous platelet-rich plasma for treating chronic wounds. *Cochrane database Syst Rev.* 2016 May 25;2016(5).
- 118. Dohan DM, Choukroun J, Diss A, Dohan SL, Dohan AJJ, Mouhyi J, Gogly B. Platelet-rich fibrin (PRF): a second-generation platelet concentrate. Part II: platelet-related biologic features. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2006;101(3).
- 119. Choukroun J, Diss A, Simonpieri A, Girard MO, Schoeffler C, Dohan SL, Dohan AJJ, Mouhyi J, Dohan DM. Platelet-rich fibrin (PRF): a second-generation platelet concentrate. Part IV: clinical effects on tissue healing. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2006;101(3).
- 120. Eshghpour M, Dastmalchi P, Nekooei AH, Nejat A. Effect of platelet-rich fibrin on frequency of alveolar osteitis following mandibular third molar surgery: A double-blinded randomized clinical trial. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2014;72(8):1463–7.