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Abstract:
Eslicarbazepine acetate (Zebinix®, ESL), a voltage-gated sodium channel blocker, is a once-daily, orally administered anti-seizure medication available in the EU for use as monotherapy in adults with newly diagnosed partial-onset seizures and as adjunctive therapy in adults, adolescents, and children aged > 6 years with partial-onset seizures. It was approved by the European Medicines Agency and launched onto the European market in 2009.
This study aimed to assess the efficacy and safety of ESL in the treatment of focal-onset seizures. Our study material consisted of publications, which were found in PubMed, Google Scholar, and Embase databases. In order to find the proper publications, the search has been conducted with the use of a combination of keywords like: “eslicarbazepine acetate”, "focal-onset seizures treatment", "epilepsy treatment", "eslicarbazepine acetate pharmacokinetics". The first step was to find proper publications from the last 10 years. The second step was to carry out an overview of the found publications. Results of mentioned studies proved that for adults with medically uncontrolled partial-onset seizures, ESL monotherapy is well tolerated and effective over the long term, including the patients who transitioned from CBZ-CR monotherapy. Adjunctive ESL demonstrated a sustained therapeutic effect and was well-tolerated, safe, and efficacious during the treatment of adults with partial-onset seizures. Both 800 mg and 1200 mg once-daily doses were well tolerated. Moreover, significant improvements in depressive symptoms and quality of life domains were observed under long-term treatment with ESL.
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**INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE**

Eslicarbazepine acetate (Zebinix®, ESL), a voltage-gated sodium channel blocker, is a once-daily, orally administered anti-seizure medication available in the EU for use as monotherapy in adults with newly diagnosed partial-onset (focal-onset) seizures and as adjunctive therapy in adults, adolescents, and children aged > 6 years with partial-onset seizures [1,2]. It was approved by the European Medicines Agency and launched onto the European market in 2009 [3,4]. Together with carbamazepine (CBZ) and oxcarbazepine, ESL belongs to the dibenzazepine family; ESL has few, but some, drug-drug interactions [5,6]. It is a weak enzyme inducer and it inhibits cytochrome P450 2C19, but it affects a smaller assortment of enzymes than carbamazepine [6]. Some patients diagnosed with epilepsy may continue suffering from seizures despite treatment with antiepileptic drugs, either in monotherapy or polytherapy, therefore there remains the need to develop new effective and well-tolerated therapies [7].

This study aimed to assess the efficacy and safety of ESL in the treatment of focal-onset seizures. Our study material consisted of publications, which were found in PubMed, Google Scholar, and Embase databases. In order to find the proper publications, the search has been conducted with the use of a combination of keywords like: “eslicarbazepine acetate”, "focal-onset seizures treatment", "epilepsy treatment", "eslicarbazepine acetate pharmacokinetics". The first step was to find proper publications from the last 10 years. The second step was to carry out an overview of the found publications.
RESULTS

**Efficacy and safety of eslicarbazepine acetate monotherapy in adults with partial-onset seizures**

An open-label extension (OLE) study was conducted by Trinka E. et al. to assess the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of ESL monotherapy during long-term treatment. The study was performed in adults completing a phase 3, randomized, double-blind, noninferiority trial, during which they had received monotherapy with either once-daily ESL or twice-daily controlled-release carbamazepine (CBZ-CR) for newly diagnosed focal epilepsy. In the OLE study, all patients received ESL (800-1600 mg/d) for 2 years. The primary efficacy outcome was retention time (from baseline of the OLE study). Secondary efficacy assessments included seizure freedom rate (no seizures during the OLE study) and responder rate (≥50% seizure frequency reduction from baseline of double-blind trial). Safety assessments included evaluation of treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs).

Of 206 randomized patients, 96 who received ESL in the double-blind trial (ESL/ESL) and 88 who received CBZ-CR in the double-blind trial (CBZ-CR/ESL) were treated with ESL monotherapy (89.3% overall). The treatment retention time was similar between groups, with a low probability of ESL withdrawal overall (<0.07 at any time). After 24 months, the probability of ESL withdrawal was 0.0638 (95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.0292-0.1366) in the ESL/ESL group and 0.0472 (95% CI = 0.0180-0.1210) in the CBZ-CR/ESL group. Seizure freedom rates were 90.6% (ESL/ESL) and 80.7% (CBZ-CR/ESL; P = .0531). Responder rates remained >80% in both groups throughout the study. Incidence of serious TEAEs was similar between groups (7.3% vs 5.7%; 0% vs 1.1% possibly related), as were the incidences of TEAEs considered at least possibly related to treatment (17.7% vs 18.2%) and TEAEs leading to discontinuation (3.1% vs 4.5%). The types of TEAEs were generally consistent with the known safety profile of ESL [8].

Pazdera L. et al. conducted a study to evaluate the influence of prior use of CBZ and other antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) with a putatively similar mechanism of action (inhibition of voltage-gated sodium channels; VGSCs) on seizure outcomes and tolerability when converting to ESL, using data pooled from 2 controlled conversion-to-ESL monotherapy trials.

Adults with treatment-resistant partial-onset seizures were randomized 2:1 to ESL 1600 or 1200 mg once daily. The primary efficacy endpoint was study exit (meeting predefined exit criteria related to worsening seizure control) versus a historical control group. Other endpoints included changes in seizure frequency, responder rate, and tolerability. Endpoints were analyzed for subgroups of patients who received CBZ (or any VGSC inhibitor [VGSCi]) during baseline versus those who received other AEDs.

Of 365 patients in the studies, 332 were evaluable for efficacy. The higher risk of study exit in the subgroups that received CBZ (or any VGSCi) during baseline, versus other AEDs, was not statistically significant (hazard ratios were 1.49 for +CBZ vs -CBZ [P = .10] and 1.27 for +VGSCi vs. -VGSCi [P = .33]). Reductions in seizure frequency and responder rates were lower in patients who converted from CBZ or other VGSCi compared with those who converted from other AEDs. There were no notable differences in overall tolerability between subgroups, but the incidence of some adverse events (eg, dizziness, somnolence, nausea) differed between subgroups and/or between treatment periods.
Baseline use of CBZ or other major putative VGSC inhibitors did not appear to significantly increase the risk of study exit due to worsening seizure control or to increase the frequency of side effects when converting to ESL monotherapy. However, bigger improvements in efficacy may be possible in patients converting to ESL monotherapy from an AED regimen that does not include a VGSc [9].

Sperling M. et al. carried out post hoc pooled analysis of 2 randomized double-blind studies to assess the efficacy and safety of ESL monotherapy.

These studies included adults with partial-onset seizures medically uncontrolled by 1 or 2 AEDs. Following the baseline period (8 weeks), eligible patients were randomized 2:1 to receive ESL 1,600 mg or 1,200 mg once daily for 18 weeks; the primary endpoint was study exit by meeting predefined exit criteria (signifying worsening seizure control). In each study, treatment was considered effective if the upper 95% confidence limit for exit rate was lower than the historical control threshold (65.3%).

Pooled exit rates were as follows: ESL 1,600 mg = 20.6% (95% confidence interval: 15.6%-26.8%); ESL 1,200 mg = 30.8% (23.0%-40.5%). Use of 2 baseline AEDs or rescue medication, US location, epilepsy duration ≥20 years, and higher maximum baseline seizure frequency were associated with higher exit risks. Median percent reductions in standardized seizure frequency between baseline and the 18-week double-blind period were as follows: ESL 1,600 mg = 43.2%; ESL 1,200 mg = 35.7%; baseline carbamazepine use was associated with smaller reductions. Safety profiles were similar between ESL doses.

Exit rates for ESL monotherapy (1,600 mg and 1,200 mg once daily) were lower than the historical control threshold, irrespective of baseline AED use and region, with no additional safety concerns identified. Clinical factors and location clearly influence treatment responses in conversion-to-monotherapy trials [10].

**Efficacy and safety of eslicarbazepine acetate as adjunctive therapy in adults with partial-onset seizures**

This randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, parallel-group, phase III study was conducted by Sperling M. et al. at 173 centers in 19 countries, including the United States and Canada to evaluate the efficacy and safety of adjunctive ESL in patients with refractory partial-onset seizures. Eligible patients were aged ≥16 years and had uncontrolled partial-onset seizures despite treatment with 1-2 AEDs. After an 8-week baseline period, patients were randomized to once-daily placebo (n = 226), ESL 800 mg (n = 216), or ESL 1,200 mg (n = 211). Following a 2-week titration period, patients received ESL 800 or 1,200 mg once daily for 12 weeks. Seizure data were captured and documented using event-entry or daily entry diaries.

Standardized seizure frequency (SSF) during the maintenance period (primary end point) was reduced with ESL 1,200 mg (p = 0.004), and there was a trend toward improvement with ESL 800 mg (p = 0.06), compared with placebo. When data for titration and maintenance periods were combined, ESL 800 mg (p = 0.001) and 1,200 mg (p < 0.001) both reduced SSF. There were no statistically significant interactions between treatment response and geographical region (p = 0.38) or diary version (p = 0.76). Responder rate (≥50% reduction in SSF) was significantly higher with ESL 1,200 mg (42.6%, p < 0.001) but not ESL 800 mg (30.5%, p = 0.07) than placebo (23.1%). Incidence of TEAEs and TEAEs
leading to discontinuation increased with ESL dose. The most common TEAEs were dizziness, somnolence, nausea, headache, and diplopia [11].

The aim of the study performed by Costa R. et al. was to evaluate the safety, tolerability, and efficacy of ESL as adjunctive therapy in patients aged ≥ 65 years with focal-onset seizures (FOS). This was an international, multicentre, open-label, non-controlled, single-arm, post-European approval commitment study with flexible doses of ESL between 400 and 1200 mg/day. Seventy-two elderly patients with at least two FOS in the prior 4 weeks, and treated with one or two AEDs, were enrolled. The study consisted of an 8-week baseline, followed by a 26-week treatment period during which the investigator was allowed to up- or down-titrate the ESL dose, and a 4-week follow-up period. Safety and tolerability were assessed as well as mental sedation, cognitive mental state, and suicidal ideation. Efficacy was assessed based on patient diaries regarding the absolute and relative changes in seizure frequency, change in intellectual impairment, and quality of life.

Overall, 47 (65.3%) patients experienced 152 TEAEs. The most frequent were dizziness (12.5%), somnolence (9.7%), fatigue, convulsion, and hyponatremia (8.3% each). All patients that experienced hyponatremia (6/72) recovered without sequelae. Three patients died during the study (due to cardiac failure, glioblastoma multiforme, and ischaemic stroke, all considered unrelated to ESL). Overall, 16 (22.2%) patients discontinued prematurely due to TEAEs. The incidences of clinically significant findings were low for vital signs, ECG, physical and neurological examinations. No TEAEs of hypothyroidism were reported; however, 24 (33.3%) patients presented post-baseline shifts from normal to decreased free T4 levels (not clinically significant). ESL decreased standardized seizure frequency from a mean of 4.8 seizures at baseline to 3.6 seizures at endpoint (p > 0.05); and mean number of days with seizures significantly decreased from 4.1 (baseline) to 2.8 at endpoint (p = 0.0408) [12].

The aim of the study conducted by Hufnagel A. et al. was to evaluate the long-term safety, tolerability, and efficacy of once-daily ESL as adjunctive therapy in adults with partial-onset seizures. They performed a one-year OLE study with ESL in patients who completed a randomized, double-blind placebo-controlled trial. Starting dose was 800 mg once daily, for 4 weeks; thereafter, the dose could be individualized within the 400-1,200 mg range. Doses of concomitant antiepileptic drugs were to be kept stable.

Overall, 325 patients were enrolled (intent-to-treat population); 223 (68.6%) patients completed 1-year of treatment. ESL median dose was 800 mg once daily. Compared to the baseline period of the double-blind study completed prior to this OLE study, median seizure frequency decreased by 32% in weeks 1-4, and between 37% and 39% thereafter. The responder rate (seizure reduction ≥ 50%) was 37% during weeks 1-4 and thereafter ranged between 38% and 42% per 12-week interval. The proportion of seizure-free patients per 12-week interval ranged between 5% and 11%. Improvements from baseline in several Quality of Life in Epilepsy Inventory-31 (QOLIE-31) and Montgomery Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) scores were observed. Adverse events (AEs) were reported by 83% of patients. AEs occurring in ≥ 10% of patients were dizziness, headache, and somnolence. AEs were usually of mild to moderate intensity [13].
Social cognition and cognitive functions in patients treated with eslicarbazepine acetate

Abraira L. et al. conducted a prospective single-center study with patients aged between 18 and 65 years with focal seizures treated with ESL to analyze the impact of treatment with ESL on social cognition and prefrontal cognitive functions in adults with focal epilepsy. The patients were evaluated in their baseline visit and at six months after starting ESL treatment by means of tasks designed for theory of mind, executive and attentional functions, auditory-verbal memory, quality of life, and anxiety and depression.

Forty-one patients were treated with ESL, and 30 completed the follow-up. A significant improvement was observed in the theory of mind tasks. In the analysis stratified by sex, the men showed greater improvement. A cognitive improvement was observed in the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test, Symbol Digit, Backward Digit Span, and Stroop tests. No differences were found in the Quality of Life in Epilepsy-31 Inventory or in the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. These results were independent of the reduction in the number of seizures and the ESL dosage [14].

Effects of eslicarbazepine acetate on cardiac repolarization

The study conducted by Vaz-Da-Silva M. et al. investigated the effect of ESL on cardiac repolarization in healthy adult volunteers. A randomized, placebo/active-controlled, 4-period crossover study was conducted in 67 participants. In 3 periods, participants received once-daily doses of ESL 1200 mg, ESL 2400 mg, and placebo for 5 days; in 1 period, participants received a placebo on days 1 to 4 and a 400-mg moxifloxacin single dose on day 5. In each period, 24-hour 12-lead Holter monitoring was performed on days -1 (baseline) and 5. There was no clinically relevant effect of ESL 1200 mg and 2400 mg versus placebo on cardiac depolarization or repolarization as measured by the QRS or QTc intervals, respectively. Mean PR interval increased following ESL 1200 mg and 2400 mg, but there was no participant with a PR interval above the upper limit of the normal range (200 ms). The upper bound of the 95% confidence interval for the placebo-corrected change from baseline of the individually corrected QT interval (QTcI) following administration of ESL 1200 mg and ESL 2400 mg was <10 ms at every time point. Moxifloxacin caused an increase in QTcI above the 10-ms threshold for clinical significance at several time points, demonstrating assay sensitivity [15].

Effect of eslicarbazepine acetate on serum lipids and the pharmacokinetics of statins

To evaluate the effects of ESL on lipid metabolism and to determine whether reduced statin exposure during ESL therapy has clinical consequences Mintzer S. et al. conducted a post-hoc analysis of pooled data for serum lipids (laboratory values) from three phase III, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials of adjunctive ESL therapy (400, 800, or 1200 mg once daily) in patients with treatment-refractory partial-onset seizures. Changes from baseline in serum lipid levels were analyzed according to the use of statins and/or enzyme-inducing antiepileptic drugs (EIAEDs) during the baseline period.

In total, 426 and 1021 placebo- and ESL-treated patients, respectively, were included in the analysis. With regard to the changes from baseline in serum concentrations, there were statistically significant differences between the placebo and ESL 1200 mg once a day (QD) groups, for both total cholesterol (TC) and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), but
the effect sizes were small (+4.1 mg/dL and +1.8 mg/dL, respectively). A small but significant difference in low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C; -5.0 mg/dL) was observed between the ESL 400 mg QD group and the placebo group. In patients not taking a concomitant EIAED, there were no changes with ESL 400 mg QD, but modest and statistically significant increases in cholesterol fractions (TC, LDL-C, and HDL-C) with ESL 800 mg QD (<6 mg/dL) and ESL 1200 mg QD (<10 mg/dL). ESL had no consistent effect on lipids in patients taking a concomitant EIAED. In patients taking statins during baseline, there were no clinically relevant changes in serum lipids during use of ESL, although the subgroups were small [16].

Single-center, two-way cross-over, randomized, open-label study in 24 healthy volunteers was performed by Falcao A. et al. to investigate the effect of ESL on the pharmacokinetics of simvastatin (SMV), a known CYP3A4 substrate, in healthy subjects. The volunteers received an oral single dose of SMV 80mg on two occasions (once administered alone and once after treatment with an oral once-daily dose of 800mg of ESL for 14 days), separated by a wash-out period of 3 weeks or more. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test for differences between Test (SMV under co-administration with ESL) and Reference (SMV administered alone) treatments for AUC0-∞, AUC0-t, and Cmax of SMV and SMV-acid.

Mean systemic exposure (AUC) measurements for both SMV and SMV-β-hydroxyacid (SMV-acid) were up to 54% lower during ESL use. The Test/Reference geometric mean ratios (GMR) (90% CI) for the AUC0-t of SMV and SMV-acid were 46% (38%; 55%) and 49% (44%; 55%), respectively. Mean peak concentrations (Cmax) of both SMV and SMV-acid were reduced by 60% and 41%, respectively, when SMV was administered with ESL [17].

Patients with partial-onset seizures and comorbid cardiovascular disease may concomitantly receive ESL, an antiepileptic drug, and rosuvastatin, an HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor. The study conducted by Gidal et al. evaluated the effect of multiple-dose ESL on the pharmacokinetic (PK) parameters of a single dose of rosuvastatin in healthy subjects.

This was a Phase I, single-center, fixed-sequence, open-label study. Healthy subjects received two treatments, in sequence. Treatment A: a single 40mg oral dose of rosuvastatin on Day 1, followed by a washout period (Days 1-4); treatment B: titration of ESL (400-800mg once daily) on Days 5-18, followed by ESL 1200mg once daily on Days 19-35, with a single dose of rosuvastatin (40mg) on Day 32. Subjects then entered a 2-week follow-up period. Plasma concentrations of rosuvastatin were quantified for PK analyses. Safety and tolerability were assessed throughout the study.

Thirty-three healthy subjects were enrolled and 30 completed the study. Mean rosuvastatin (standard deviation) t1/2 was similar when rosuvastatin was used concomitantly with ESL and when it was used alone. The geometric least-squares mean ratios (90% confidence intervals) of rosuvastatin exposure levels between rosuvastatin used concomitantly with ESL and rosuvastatin used alone were as follows: Cmax, 64.0% (55.9-73.3%); AUC(0-∞), 63.0% (57.1-69.4%); and AUC(0-last), 60.9% (55.2-67.1%). Concomitant use of ESL and rosuvastatin was generally well tolerated.

Rosluvastatin exposure was 36-39% lower with steady-state administration of ESL, potentially due to reduced oral bioavailability of rosuvastatin [18].
CONCLUSIONS

1. For adults with medically uncontrolled simple partial seizures, ESL monotherapy is well tolerated and effective over the long term, including the patients who transitioned from CBZ-CR monotherapy.

2. Adjunctive ESL demonstrated a sustained therapeutic effect and was well-tolerated, safe, and efficacious during the treatment of adults with simple partial seizures. Both 800 mg and 1200 mg once-daily doses were well tolerated. Moreover, significant improvements in depressive symptoms and quality of life domains were observed under long-term treatment with ESL.

3. ESL could improve some aspects of the theory of mind in patients with epilepsy, especially in men and independently of the control of seizures, with no changes in quality of life, anxiety, or depression.

4. The administration of both 1200 mg and 2400 mg of ESL did not induce a clinically significant prolongation of the QTcI interval.

5. A significant effect of repeated ESL administration on the pharmacokinetics of SMV and rosuvastatin was observed. If a clinically significant change in lipids is noted, dose adjustment of SMV and rosuvastatin may be required when used concomitantly with ESL.
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