Kocjan Janusz. Sense of coherence as a predictor of physical and psychosocial health among cardiac patients. Journal of Education, Health and Sport. 2015;5(6):241-250. ISSN 2391-8306. DOI 10.5281/zenodo.18558 http://ojs.ukw.edu.pl/index.php/johs/article/view/2015%3B5%286%29%3A241-250 https://pbn.nauka.gov.pl/works/565789 http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18558 ISSN 1429-9623 2300-665X. 2011 2014 Formerly Journal Health Sciences. 1 Archives of http://journal.rsw.edu.pl/index.php/JHS/issue/archive Deklaracja. Specifik i zavatość merytoryczna czasopisma nie ulega zmianie. Zgodnie z informacją MNiSW z dnia 2 czerwca 2014 r., że w roku 2014 nie będzie przeprowadzana ocena czasopism naukowych; czasopismo o zmienionym tytułe otrzymuje tyle samo punktów co na wykazie czasopism naukowych z dnia 31 grudnia 2014 r. The journal has had 5 points in Ministry of Science and Higher Education of Poland parametric evaluation. Part B item 1089. (31.12.2014). © The Author (s) 2015; This article is glustributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial Lice, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited. This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted, non commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted, non commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited. This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted, non commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper. Received: 21.04.2015. Revised 28.05.2015. Accepted: 10.06.2015. Deklaracja. SENSE OF COHERENCE AS A PREDICTOR OF PHYSICAL AND PSYCHOSOCIAL

Janusz Kocjan

HEALTH AMONG CARDIAC PATIENTS

Medical University of Silesia, Faculty of Medicine

Summary

INTRODUCTION: According to salutogenic concept, a strong sense of coherence is associated with physical and psychological health. Global life orientation is a measure of an individual's ability to balance needs and resources of the body in confrontation with stress.

AIM OF THE STUDY: The aim of the present study was to assess relationship between sense of coherence (SOC) with health-related quality of life (HRQoL), especially with physical (PC) and mental (MC) component of health in patients undergoing cardiac treatment.

MATERIAL AND METHODS: 144 patients in age of 40-84 (mean age: $63,35 \pm 10,71$ years) were examined. The following scale were used: SOC-29 (*Sense of Coherence*) and SF-36 (*Short Form Health Survey*). Statistical analyses included perform descriptive statistics, calculate correlation between variables and comparision in groups. Levels of p<0,05 were accepted as being statistically significant values.

RESULTS: The median score for SOC and its individuals components were as follows: SOC: 121,50; sense of comprehensibility: 46,00; sense of meaningfulness: 40,00; sense of manageability: 39,00. Correlation between SOC and all components with MC were noted (0,20 < r < 0,30; p < 0,02). In case of PC, correlations were weaker (0,17 < r < 0,23), but statistically significant (p < 0,05). Differences between group with low and strong SOC revealed in factors: physical functioning, role limitations due to physical health, pain, health-general, energy/fatigue, role limitations due to emotional problems as well as in MC and PC components (0,0000 .

CONCLUSIONS: Sense of Coherence has an impact both for MC as well as PC. Strong SOC is associated with better HRQoL.

KEY WORDS: Sense of coherence, SOC-29, health-related quality of life, cardiac patients.

INTRODUCTION

The concept of sense of coherence (SOC) was put forward by Aaron Antonovsky to explain why some people become ill under stress and others stay healthy. According to him, SOC is a global orientation that expresses the extent to which one has a pervasive, enduring though dynamic feeling of confidence that: (1) the stimuli, deriving from ones internal and external environment in the course of living are structured, predictable and explicable; (2) the resources are available for one to meet the demands posed by these stimuli; (3) these demands are challenges, worthy of investment and engagement. Thus, SOC consist of three main components: sense of comprehensibility, sense of manageability and sense of meaningfulness. [1,2].

Having a strong SOC is considered as a major coping resource for maintaining good health. Coping includes the ability to mobilise resources in order to manage the situation as well as the ability to regulate emotions in the situation [3]. Therefore, individuals with a strong SOC, relative to those with a weak SOC, are more likely to define stimuli as non-stressors, to assume that they will adapt automatically to the demand and have more confidence in their ability to victory over stressors [2,4]. Because of this, SOC seems to be more than just a coping approach, but also a factor that leads an individual to engage in healthy behaviors [4].

There exists no universally accepted definition of quality of life (QOL) in literature. Various definitions say, that the QoL is a personal well-being or satisfaction with life [5] as well as positive mental health [6], physical and material well-being, relations with other people, personal development and fulfilment [7], a degree of goodness [8] and is related to health (HRQOL). Based on the salutogenic theory, "QoL is the total existence of an individual, a group or a society describing the essence of existence as measured objectively and perceived subjectively by the individual, group or society" [9]. This concept combines the global, external, interpersonal and personal resources of an individual, group or society [9,10].

Although numerous studies have reported positive relationships between SOC and HRQOL [11-13], to my knowledge only three study has examined the relationship between SOC and HRQOL among cardiac patients [14-16]. Based on a review of the previous research, the objectives of the present study were: (1) to add new knowledge about SOC; (2) to evaluate SOC level and QoL in patients undergoing cardiac treatment; (3) to determine relationships between SOC

and QoL, especially in physical and mental SF-36 subdimensions.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

During a 8 months period (1 november 2014 - 30 June 2015) cardiac patients during their hospital stay at Upper-Silesian Medical Center in Katowice were included in this study. The patients received verbal information about the study and they gave their consent.

Participants

134 cardiac patients (mean age: $63,35 \pm 10,71$ years; 55,97% males) were examined. Criteria of exclusion from study were as follows: severe cognitive impairment, sight loss, that made impossible complete the survey and refusal to participate.

Measures

Two measures were used in this study: The Sense of Coherence Scale (*SOC-29*) and SF-36 (*36-item Short Form Health Survey*).

SOC-29 was used to estimate the patient's sense of coherence. Each of 29 items were rated on a 7- point scale (1=never, 7=always). A higher SOC score indicates better capacity to respond adequately to stressful situations [17,18]. In original version, Antonovsky did not define boundaries for a normal and low SOC, but only talked about high and low SOC [17]. In the present study, SOC was recoded as a binary variable, where a score of 1 or 2 represents strong SOC and a score of 3–7 was a weak SOC. The validity and internal consistency of the questionnaire was high [19].

The SF-36 questionnaire was developed in the USA for use in the Rand Corporation Health Insurance Experiment [20]. 36 item questionnaire measures quality of life across eight domains, which are both physically and emotionally based. Following domain are assessed: physical functioning, role limitations due to physical health, role limitations due to emotional problems, energy/fatigue, emotional well-being, social functioning, pain, general health. Each of the eight domains of the SF-36, measures an aggregate percentage score. The percentage scores range from 0% (lowest or worst possible level of functioning) to 100% (highest or best possible level of functioning) [21,22].

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the statistical software STATISTICA StatSoft 10.0. Descriptive statistics: mean (M), median (Me) and standard deviation (SD) were performed. Differences between groups were assessed using the nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test. Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) was used for correlation analysis. A 5% significance level was applied in the statistical tests.

RESULTS

The table 1 presents descriptive statistics of the sense of coherence of 134 respondents. In detailed results, highest scored were reported in sense of comprehensibility (mean 47,30; SD 7,94) and the lowest in sense of meaningfulness (mean 40,36; SD 7,16). Baseline values of health-related quality of life were demonstrated in table 2.

SOC – 29 components	Μ	Me	SD
Sense of comprehensibility	47,30	46,00	7,94
Sense of manageability	40,64	40,00	8,75
Sense of meaningfulness	40,36	39,00	7,16
Sense of Coherence	124,00	121,50	16,22

Μ

61,18

60,54

55,62

Me

61,00

62,00

57,00

SD

23,59

24,57

27,43

 Table 1. SOC-29: sense of coherence – descriptive statistics

Notes: M - mean; Me - median; SD - standard deviation

Health components and factors

physical functioning 58,50 59,00 25,60 role limitations due to physical health 38,00 38,45 24,89 42.80 43.00 15,84 pain general health 34,21 35.00 13,88 Physical Component of health [PH] 43,49 45.00 19,98 emotional well-being 54,78 54,00 26,94 energy / fatigue 46,00 47,00 18,72

 Table 2. SF-36: Health self-assessment – descriptive statistics

Notes: M – mean; Me – median; SD – standard deviation

role limitations due to emotional problems

Mental Component of health [MC]

social functioning

In Table 3 summarizes differences between patients with strong and low SOC, in the context of SF-36 health components and factors.

Health components and factors	Mean ± SD		р
	Low SOC	Strong SOC	
physical functioning	47,60 ± 16,34	61,40 ± 21,23	0,0000
role limitations due to physical health	32,72 ± 14,21	39,16 ± 20,01	0,0392
pain	35,45 ± 15,86	46,00 ± 21,14	0,0016

 Table 3. SF-36: Health self-assessment – descriptive statistics

general health	$26,31 \pm 11,47$	$38,53 \pm 18,22$	0,0009
Physical Component of health [PH]	$35,52 \pm 17,96$	$46,27 \pm 19,36$	0,0174
emotional well-being	$52,74 \pm 20,06$	$55,37 \pm 20,67$	0,6977
energy / fatigue	$41,00 \pm 19,77$	$52,00 \pm 19,84$	0,0049
role limitations due to emotional problems	$53,12 \pm 18,60$	$63,74 \pm 19,99$	0,0101
social functioning	$58,61 \pm 21,42$	$62,58 \pm 18,85$	0,5422
-			
Mental Component of health[MC]	$51,36 \pm 23,07$	$58,42 \pm 22,58$	0,0236
•			

The relationships between SOC and the SF-36 subdimensions were showed in table 4.

SOC – 29 components	SF-36 factors and components	r - coefficient	p - value
Sense of comprehensibility	emotional well-being	0,22	0,031
	pain	0,14	0,045
	РС	0,17	0,034
	MC	0,26	0,016
Sense of manageability	pain	0,19	0,044
	energy / fatigue	0,31	0,358
	РС	0,19	0,412
	MC	0,24	0,011
Sense of meaningfulness	social functioning	0,29	0,049
	РС	0,21	0,046
	MC	0,20	0,019
Sense of Coherence	emotional well-being	0,41	0,024
	pain	0,37	0,017
	energy / fatigue	0,26	0,020
	role limitations due to emotional problems	0,30	0,032
	social functioning	0,28	0,046
	PC	0,24	0,038
	MC	0,30	0,008

 Table 4. Correlation coefficient between SOC-29 and SF-36

DISCUSSION

The sense of coherence is a theoretical formulation that provides a central explanation for the role of stress in human functioning. Salutogenesis seeks to explain why people stay healthy, as opposed to a pathogenic model, which focuses on what makes people ill [23]. The core of the salutogenic paradigm focuses on successful coping through the selection of realistic coping strategies and emerges from generalized resistance resources, which are posited to be major psychosocial resources such as: social support, ego strength, a stable system of values, and many other things that are effective in avoiding and combatting a wide range of stressors [2]. Antonovsky's theory met with a lot of criticism. According to many researchers the concept is psychometrically unclear, confounded with emotions, full of contradictions, lacking in evidence of stability over time, and deficient in predicting physical health status [24].

Despite that Antonovsky's addressed his work in the field of health psychology, behavioral medicine, and the sociology of health [2], the SOC is a widely applied concept in medicine. Numerous studies have shown that SOC is positively associated with health behaviours [25,26], physical and psychological health [27], health-related quality of life [14-16,28-31] and predicts good health [32,33].

SOC has often been related with the ability to cope with stressful life events, such as severe disease [34]. The present study demonstrated that individuals with cardiac problems experience a poorer subjective sense of coherence (table 1) – comparing results to the another studies in general population [35,36]. Furthermore, Antonovsky claimed that the three SOC subdimensions are interrelated and dynamic. This means that a patient with a low SOC total score may have a high score on one or two subdimensions [2]. Data contains in table 1 supported this hypothesis.

This study also revealed significant differences between people with strong and low sense of coherence. Individuals with strong SOC presented less functional and mental impairments than persons with low values of global life orientation (table 3). The moderate association between SOC and health-related quality of life was recorded (table 4). This findings is consistent with previous studies which have found a deterioration in QoL with decreasing of SOC and his components [14-16,28-31].

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, higher Sense of Coherence had a positive association with better healthrelated quality of life.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author have no conflict of interests to report. This research was designed and performed in the time of work in Student Scientific Society. The author would like to thank the following persons for including patients in the study: Prof. Katarzyna Mizia-Stec from Upper-Silesian Medical Center in Katowice, Poland and Andrzej Knapik from Medical University of Silesia.

REFERENCES

1. Antonovsky A. Health, Stress and Coping. Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, 1979.

2. Antonovsky, A, Unraveling the Mystery of Health. How People Manage Stress and Stay Well. Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, 1987.

3. Lazarus R, Folkman S. Stress, Appraisal, and Coping. Springer, New York, 1984.

4. Chumbler NR, Rittman M, Van Puymbroeck M, Vogel WB, Qin H. The sense of coherence, burden and depressive symptoms in informal caregivers during the first month after stroke. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 2004, 19: 944-953.

5. Fayers P M, Machin D. Quality of life. assessment, analysis and interpretation. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2000

6. Kovess-Masfety V, Murray M, Gureje O. Evolution of our understanding of positive mental health. In: Herrman H, Saxena S, Moodie R, eds. Promoting mental health. Concepts, emerging evidence, Practice. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2005; pp. 35–45.

Flanagan JC: A research approach to improving our quality of life. American Psychologist 1978,
 33: 138-147.

8. Bowling A. Measuring health. A review of quality of life measurement scales. 2nd edn. Maidenhead: Open University Press, 1997.

9. Lindström B. The essence of existence. On the quality of life of children in the Nordic countries - theory and practice in public health. [doctoral thesis]. Göteborg: Nordic School of Public Health, 1994.

10. Lindström B. Quality of life: A model for evaluating health for all. Conceptual considerations and policy implications. Soz Praventivmed 1992; 37: 301-306.

11. Nahlen C, Saboonchi F. Coping, sense of coherence and the dimensions of affect in patients with chronic heart failure", European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing, 2009.

12. Kennedy P, Lude P, Elfstrom ML, Smithson E. Sense of coherence and psychological outcomes in people with spinal cord injury: Appraisals and behavioural responses, British Journal of Health Psychology 2009.

13. Eriksson M, Lindström B. Lilja J. A sense of coherence and health. Salutogenesis in a societal

context: Åland, a special case? Journal of Epidemiology and Community health 2007; 61(8): 684-688.

14. Silarova B, Nagyova I, Rosenberger J, Studencan M, et al. Sense of coherence as an independent predictor of health-related quality of life among coronary heart disease patients. Qual Life Res 2012; (10): 1863-1871

15. Kattainen E, Merilainen P, Sintonen H. Sense of coherence and health-related quality of life among patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting or angioplasty. Eur J Cardiovasc Nursing 2006; 5: 21-30.

16. Ekman I, Fagerberg B, Lundman B: Health-related quality of life and sense of coherence among elderly patients with severe chronic heart failure in comparison with healty controls. Heart Lung 2002; 31(2): 94-101.

17. Antonovsky A. The structure and properties of the sense of coherence scale. Soc Sci Med 1993;36: 725–733.

18. Koniarek J, Dudek B, Makowska Z. Kwestionariusz Orientacji Życiowej. Adaptacja The Sense of Coherence Questionnaire (SOC) A. Antonovsky'ego. Przegląd Psychologiczny 1993; 36: 491-502.

19. Eriksson M, Lindstrom B. Validity of Antonovsky' sense of coherence scale: A systematic review. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health 2005; 59: 460–466

20. Ware JE, Brook RH, Williams KN, et al. Conceptualisation and Measurement of Health for Adults in the Health Insurance Study, Vol 1. Model of Health and Methodology. Santa Monica, CA: Rand Corp; 1980.

21. Ware JE, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. Med Care 1992; 30(6): 473-483.

22. Żołnierczyk-Zreda D, Wrześniewski K, Bugajska J, Jędryka-Góral A. Polska wersja Kwestionariusza SF36v2 do badania jakości życia. Centralny Instytut Ochrony Pracy – Państwowy Instytut Badawczy, Warszawa, 2009.

23. Antonovsky A. Can attitudes contribute to health? Advances 1992; 8: 33-49.

24. Eriksson M. Unravelling the mystery of salutogenesis. The evidence base of the salutogenic reasearch as measured by Antonovsky's Sense of Coherence Scale. Folkhälsan Research Centre, Health promotion research programme, Turku, 2007.

25. Bernabe E, Kivimäki M, Tsakos G, Suominen-Taipale, et al. The relationship among sense of coherence, socio-economic status, and oral health-related behaviours among Finnish dentate adults. European Journal of Oral Sciences 2009; 117(4): 413-418.

26. Savolainen J, Suominen-Taipale A, Uutela A, Aromaa A, et al. Sense of coherence associates with oral and general health behaviours. Community Dental Health 2009; 26(4): 197-203.

27. Suominen S, Blomberg H, Helenius H, Koskenvuo M. Sense of coherence and health - does the association depend on resistance resources? A study of 3115 adults in Finland. Psychology & Health 1999; 14(5): 937-948.

28. Jakobsson L: Indwelling catheter treatment and health-related quality of life in men with prostate cancer in comparison with men with benign prostatic hyperplasia. Scand J Caring Sci 2002; 16: 264-271.

29. Helvik AS, Engedal K, Selbæk G. Sense of coherence and quality of life in older in-hospital patients without cognitive impairment - a 12 month follow-up study. BMC Psychiatry 2014; 14: 82.

30. Chumbler NR, Kroenke K, Outcalt S, Bair MJ, et al. Association between sense of coherence and health-related quality of life among primary care patients with chronic musculoskeletal pain. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes 2013, 11: 216.

31. Drageset J, Nygaard HA, Eide GE, Bondevik M, et al. Sense of coherence as a resource in relation to health-related quality of life among mentally intact nursing home residents - a questionnaire study. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes 2008; 6: 85.

32. Kouvonen AM, Väänänen A, Woods SA, Heponiemi T, et al. Sense of coherence and diabetes: a prospective occupational cohort study", BMC Public Health 2008; 8: 46.

33. Sagy S, Antonovsky A. Coping with retirement: Does the sense of coherence matter less in the Kibbutz? International Journal of Health Sciences 1990; 1: 233-242.

34. Langius A, Björvell H, Antonovsky A. The sense of coherence concept and its relation to personality traits in Swedish samples. Scand J Caring Sci 1992; 6: 165–171.

35. Polewka A, Chrostek-Maj J, Kroch S. Psychosocial aspects of the suicidal attempts of Polish females. Archives of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy 2001; 3: 51–60.

36. Zhang J, Vitaliano PP, Lutgendorf SK, et al. Sense of coherence buffers relationships of chronic stress with fasting glucose levels. J Behav Med 2001; 24: 33–55.