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Abstract: The aim of this study is to present data on the amphorae and stoppers of Adriatic production coming from Cyprus, in particular from Nea Paphos. Three kinds of wine amphorae, Greco-Italic, Lamboglia 2 and Dressel 6A, closely related to each other due to a common element – a ceramic disk, which they were sealed with – appear in small amounts on sites from the late Hellenistic to the early Roman period. They are also attested at Maloutena in Nea Paphos. Analysis of these imports was carried out in order to define the quantity and variety of the material. The preliminary results were incorporated into the present paper.
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This paper is the first attempt to present the Adriatic wine amphorae and stoppers discovered during the research of the Polish Archaeological Mission of the University of Warsaw at Nea Paphos, and to collect data on comparable finds from other sites on Cyprus. Preliminary research on discussed assemblage were conducted in the 2018 season. They aimed at identifying the quantity, variety and state of preservation of the material collected during previous fieldworks. The finds from 1985–1995 and 2016 were included in the analysis.¹ The artefacts came from various contexts within the ‘Hellenistic’ House, Villa of Theseus and House of Aion.

The ‘Hellenistic’ House, in fact built at an early Roman-era date (late first and early second century AD),² was destroyed in the second century AD (as a result of the earthquake

¹ The material from different seasons shows a huge difference in the form of documentation, what is also reflected in the variety and quantity of the stored finds.

² In the light of recent research, the residence is dated to early Roman period (Meyza et al. 2017). Initially this residence was dated to Hellenistic period, and hence the name. For dating, see also: Papuci-Władyka, Miszk 2020: 96.
most probably from Hadrian’s time), and the Villa of Theseus was erected on part of its ruins.\(^3\) The multi-phase villa in the fourth century AD became the largest residential complex known so far from Roman Cyprus. The residence was used until the fifth century AD.\(^4\) The last above-mentioned complex was the late Roman House of Aion, located to the east of the Villa of Theseus, and dated between the mid-fourth and fifth centuries AD.\(^5\)

**ADRIATIC WINE AMPHORAE AND STOPPERS**

The general aim of the paper is analysis of some selected, closely related in terms of form and development types of amphorae and stoppers from among all available Adriatic pottery.\(^6\) These are the Greco-Italic, Lamboglia 2, and Dressel 6A wine amphorae, and some related transitional types.\(^7\) All of them were sealed by ceramic stoppers in a form of a disk with a centrally placed knob.\(^8\) Adriatic stoppers reflected a very uniform craft tradition in the method of hermetically sealing the amphorae.\(^9\)

Kiln and workshop sites, where the described above amphorae and stoppers were produced, are known from the Italian coast.\(^10\) According to some scholars, these vessels could have been produced also in central Dalmatia, in the areas where the Greek settlements appeared early (islands Vis/Issa and Hvar/Pharos).\(^11\) The lack of pottery-workshop sites of this type of amphorae on the eastern coast of the Adriatic does not necessarily indicate that these vessels were not produced there.\(^12\)

---

\(^3\) Meyza 2010: 37.
\(^4\) Papuci-Władyka, Miszk 2020: 95.
\(^6\) In amphorae produced in the Adriatic Sea region one can also include other types of transport containers that will not be discussed in the present paper. These are among others flat bottomed amphorae (Forlimpopoli), Dressel 2–4, ovoid amphorae, Dressel 6B or anfore collo ad imbuto (Cipriano, Mazzocchin 2017) on the western coast, and on the eastern one, in the region of Dalmatia Cirkvenica, flat bottomed amphorae (Lipovac Vrkljan 2011). On Adriatic amphorae, see also: Carre, Monsieur, Pesavento Mattioli 2014; Carre, Pesavento Mattioli 2003a; 2003b; Cipriano, Mazzocchin 2017; 2018; 2019; Manacorda, Pallecchi 2012; Maritan, Mazzoli, Mazzocchin 2019; Pesavento Mattioli, Carre 2009; Toniolo 2000.
\(^7\) Amphorae of transitional types are known from both sides of Adriatic Sea, e.g. amphorae Greco-Italic/Lamboglia 2 are attested on shipwreck near islet Saplun, Croatia (Radić 2003: 158) and in workshop of Acquabona near Potenza Picena, Italy (Carre, Monsieur, Pesavento Mattioli 2014: 421), while amphorae Lamboglia 2/ Dressel 6A were found in Fosso F. Biago, Fermo (Cipriano, Mazzocchin 2017: 37).
\(^8\) For a general, although not completely up-to-date, overview of ceramic stoppers to Adriatic amphora, see: Bajtler 2015: 76–79.
\(^9\) Lindhagen 2020: 343.
\(^12\) Agatharchides (second century BC) wrote about excellent wine from Issa (Lindhagen 2009: 92), and Strabo (64 BC–AD 21) described the Dalmatian islands as rich in wine as olives (Strabo., Geog. VII.5.10). Another region where such a cultivation could take place is the valley of the Neretva River that cuts deep into the land and reaches an important commercial emporium Narona (from first century BC known as Roman colony Colonia Iulia Narona) (Lindhagen 2009: 94). The river in antiquity was navigable and, according to Pseudo-Scylax (mid-fourth
All these types of amphorae were made of so-called Adriatic fabric. In general, it was characterised by pale light yellow through light brown to light pink colour, often a soft surface with red-brown inclusions and an admixture of silver or gold mica, limestone and sand. The Adriatic fabric shows some differences between production centres. In some cases, it was possible to observe large red lumps and smears, which are an element of the matrix and the result of the presence of \textit{terra rossa}, while others were pale with very fine admixture and almost no red inclusions.

On the Adriatic coast, Greco-Italic amphorae were produced from c. 300 BC until 125 BC. The earliest attested finds from Italian workshops belonged to the late Greco-Italic form, confirmed in at least seven workshops. These amphorae were characterised by a triangular rim and a more elongated shape compared to earlier variants. The Lamboglia 2 amphorae, which in the Adriatic area were produced c. 125–25 BC, evolved directly from late Greco-Italic form. It was characterised by a bag-shaped body with maximum diameter in the lower part and carination on the lower part of the shoulders, thick walls, a long cylindrical neck with a characteristic triangular to square rim, massive oval-shaped handles and a distinct, short foot. The main production area is the central and northern part of the western coast of the Adriatic (Veneto, Marche, Abruzzo) and Apulia in the south. The Lamboglia 2 type was replaced by the Dressel 6A amphorae, which directly evolved from the previous form. It was a more massive and larger container with a pear-shaped body, a massive square rim, round handles and a long, distinct foot. This type of amphora was produced in Middle Adriatic and \textit{Picenum} area, from c. 25 BC until c. AD 25/50.

---

13 Lindhagen 2009: 86.
14 Presence of \textit{terra rossa} was confirmed at Istria and it was used in production of Istrian amphorae from Fažana workshop: Bezeczky et al. 2019: cat. nos 9–22; Szakmány, Józsa 2019: 189; Szakmány \textit{et al.} 2020. Lindhagen presented a very characteristic fabric of two bad mixed clays (red and yellow) from Greco-Italic amphora found at Ošanići, Bosnia and Herzegovina (Lindhagen 2020: Fig. 1). A very similar fabric was described by Verena Gassner, as coming from Southern Illyria (Apollonia?) and/or the Apulian Coast (Gassner 2011: 4). For other archaeometric analysis on Adriatic amphorae, see: Daszkiewicz \textit{et al.} 2007; Machut \textit{et al.} 2015; Maritan, Mazzoli, Mazzocchin 2019; Menchelli \textit{et al.} 2008; Sondi, Slovenec 2003; Zupančič, Horvat, Bole 1998.
15 Forms similar to MGS V and VI (Panella 2010: 13; Lindhagen 2020: 344). These abbreviations refer to typology proposed by Christian Vandermersch, dividing the Greco-Italic amphorae into the types MGS I–VI (Magna Graecia and Sicily I–VI) (Vandermersch 1994). Form MGS V roughly corresponds with Daniele Manacorda \textit{Greco-italica antica} and form MGS VI is similar to Manarcorda \textit{Greco-italica tarda} (Van Limbergen 2018: 205).
16 Van Limbergen 2018: 205–206. Greco-Italic amphorae were probably produced also on the island Vis/Issa (Lindhagen 2020).
19 Carre, Monsieur, Pesavento Mattioli 2014: 422–223; Cipriano, Mazzocchin 2019: 235; Maritan, Mazzoli, Mazzocchin 2019: 207. The possibility of Northern-Italic origin is also discussed, but this hypothesis is mainly based on inscriptions from amphorae (Maritan, Mazzoli, Mazzocchin 2019).
Ceramic stoppers were made of the same fabric as the above-mentioned amphorae and were always of a similar size. Most of them took the form of disks about 9–10 cm in diameter and 1–2 cm in thickness. They were produced on a potter’s wheel or pressed out of a one- or two-pieces mould. As potters did not pay a lot of attention to the production of stoppers, some of them were not moulded properly and had finger dents or fingerprints on the surface. Mould-made stoppers had also decorations or inscriptions. Decorations consisted mostly of geometric and linear motives. Sometimes rosettes, solar motives, anchors or animal figures also are attested. Inscriptions were mostly in Latin, but some also in Greek. The most common were single letters but sporadically entire names are attested. With the current state of knowledge, it is impossible to match a specific stopper to a given type of amphora.

RESULTS
The present study, as mentioned above, includes material from seasons 1985–1995 and 2016. A total number of seventy-six amphorae and stoppers’ fragments were documented, including those discussed in detail in the present paper (see below, Catalogue) – six stoppers and twenty-five amphorae diagnostic elements (one stamped amphorae). The Villa of Theseus yielded in total thirteen fragments, while from the ‘Hellenistic’ House were fifty-three fragments and from the House of Aion ten. Most of the studied material came from the ‘Hellenistic’ House, mainly from the 2016 season (forty-one fragments), when a circular pool with amphorae Dressel 6A used as an element of its construction was found.

FABRIC
The fabric of the studied fragments generally corresponds well to Adriatic fabric. The surface is soft, and in some cases it flaked when rubbed. The fabric is usually light yellow-brown, light orange or slightly pinkish in colour. In the case of light yellow examples, a light pink core is attested. A very characteristic feature was the presence of red-brown inclusions of iron oxides (small, dark brown particles) and large, red fractions, often turning into smears, which were part of the matrix of terra rossa. The admixture was generally well sorted, fine and usually sparse. Quartz (sand), silver mica (rarely gold), limestone and black small inclusions appeared most often. Some variations in the fabric among the fragments were observed, which probably reflect different production centres in the Adriatic region.

---

21 Bajtler 2013: 77.
22 Bajtler 2015: 74.
23 Romaniuk 2017.
24 Based on petrographic analyses, Bezeczky characterised several basic types of fabrics found in Adriatic products. For description of fabric and photos of Lamboglia 2 amphorae found in Ephesus, see: Bezeczky 2013: 117–118, Pls 75–76, 89, 96, 99; for Dressel 6A amphorae, found in Ephesus, see: Bezeczky 2013: 123, Pls 76, 89, 94, 96, 99–100; for Dressel 6B amphorae, from Fažana workshop, see: Bezeczky et al. 2019:
The *terra rossa* was found in case of four specimens of Dressel 6A and in the majority of Lamboglia 2 examples, which yielded even smears (Fig. 1). Most of the Dressel 6A fragments, however, was produced in a light, dusty fabric with few admixtures (Fig. 2).

**Amphorae**

The earliest form that was identified in the presented set is the late type of Greco-Italic amphorae (cat. nos 1–2; see below, Catalogue and Fig. 4), although it cannot be ruled out that these were fragments of early Lamboglia 2 amphorae. Both examples are fragmentarily preserved rims. Their triangular in cross-section rim was traditionally ascribed to the Greco-Italic forms, but the transitional types and the early Lamboglia 2 forms also had such a shape of rim.

Seven fragments (cat. nos 3–9; see below Figs 4–5), including six rims and one handle fragment, were identified as representing the classic form of Lamboglia 2. Two rims were slender and slightly triangular in cross-section, which could indicate that they belonged to earlier variants (cat. nos 3, 5). The remaining four specimens were massive and square in cross-section (cat. nos 4, 6–7, 9). The only fragment which does not preserve a rim was assigned to this group on the basis of the shape of the handle: slender and oval in cross-section (cat. no. 8).

Among the analysed amphorae, the Dressel 6A type was the most numerous. A total of thirteen fragments were identified (cat. nos 10–22; see below Figs 5–7), including two with at least two distinctive features, six rims, three handles and two foots. The Dressel 6A

---

*Pls 18–19; Szakmány, Józsa 2019; for stoppers found in Ephesus, see: Bezeczky 2013: 148, 148, Pls 80–81. The presence of *terra rossa* as part of the matrix was confirmed for amphorae produced in Istria (ante Dressel 6B and Dressel 6B). Products in a light, smooth and delicate fabric with a small admixture probably came from northern Italy. For the western Adriatic coast clays with numerous fine admixtures and the presence of micro-fossils were defined (Bezeczky 2013: 117–118).*
amphorae presented a large range of variants. Three rims, massive and square in cross-section, are closely associated with Lamboglia 2 and could have belonged to the early forms of Dressel 6A (cat. nos 10, 12, 18). The remaining rims were elongated, some even slightly semicircular and glued to the neck (cat. nos 13–14, 16–17, 20). Almost all documented handles were preserved in fragments. They were characterised by a round or almost round cross-section and a massive structure (cat. nos 11, 13, 16, 19, 21). Both foots (cat. nos 15, 22) were massive, and one was almost completely preserved. An unusual variant was the amphora cat. no. 16. Almost the entire its upper part was preserved and it clearly reflect characteristics of the Dressel 6A type. However, it was smaller than the classic examples and the walls were very thick, on average 5mm thicker than in other examples.

Three handle fragments (cat. nos 29–31; see below Fig. 8) were defined as Adriatic forms only on the basis of the fabric, since they were too small to be classified typologically.

**Amphorae stoppers**

A total of six amphorae stoppers were documented (cat. nos 23–28; see below Figs 7–8). Three of them were complete and the other three approximately half-preserved. All stoppers were produced in a one-piece mould. They were characterised by the shape of a flat disk with a centrally placed knob (preserved only on cat. nos 24–25, 28). On two stoppers there were traces of decorations and probably signs/letters. The linear motif, present on the stopper cat. no. 24, was a simple decoration consisting of four lines radiating at regular intervals from the knob. The signs/letters marked on cat. no. 25 are, unfortunately, illegible since the stopper is only half-preserved.

**DISCUSSION**

Compared to the finds of other amphorae from the same site, the Adriatic amphorae and the accompanying stoppers are not numerous. This observation relates not only to Nea Paphos itself, but also throughout Cyprus. Due to the manner of publication and discrepancy between different, sometimes outdated, applied classification system, identification of particular amphora as representing one of the above-mentioned types is often difficult.

The largest number of Adriatic amphorae on Cyprus was discovered in Nea Paphos. In addition to the specimens presented here in detail from the ‘Hellenistic’ House, the Villa of Theseus and the House of Aion, such amphorae were documented on agora, in the

---

25 For example, the type Dressel 6 is applied in several publications, which describes the amphorae of the Adriatic provenance (among others: Connelly 2010; Hayes 1991: 88). It was defined by Henrich Dressel in the late nineteenth century, who was not able to distinguish between the Dressel 6A and Dressel 6B types and perceived them as one (Dressel 1899: Table II; Bezeczky 2013: 122). Another typological inaccuracy is the use of an out-of-date Peacock and Williams typology (Peacock, Williams 1986) which describes the Lamboglia 2, Dressel 6A and Dressel 6B amphorae collectively as Class 8 (A-B forms) and defines their origin as Istrían (Hayes 1991: 88; Kaldeli 2008: 112; Peacock Williams 1986: 98–101).
House of Dionysos, from the House of Orpheus, Saranda Kolones and outside the Nea Paphos, in Amathus and in Cypriot coastal waters (Table 1).

The largest collection of Adriatic amphorae fragments of Dressel 6A type comes from the House of Dionysos, the vast majority from a deposit dated 1–15/20 AD. Some Dressel 6A fragments and stoppers were collected also in various other deposits throughout the house (e.g. in the Fish-tank dated to early first century AD). From the House of Dionysos, in total, John W. Hayes listed more than 160 fragments of amphorae and stoppers of Adriatic origin. Subsequent finds were much less numerous. A set of eighteen fragments was documented from the agora of the Nea Paphos, including sixteen referred to as Lamboglia 2 or Dressel 6A. These were found in late Hellenistic and early Roman contexts. Another, similarly numerous, collection came from the ‘Hellenistic’ House. It consisted of twenty-two fragments of amphorae and stoppers. From the Villa of Theseus, thirteen fragments of different types of amphorae were identified, and seven from the House of Aion. Few Adriatic amphorae fragments are known from the Saranda Kolones (four amphorae fragments and one stopper) and from the House of Orpheus (three fragments).

Outside the Nea Paphos, the Adriatic amphorae are even more sporadic. Anthi Kaldeli mentions Istrian Class 8 amphorae from Amathus, specifically from agora and Palea Lemesos, as well as Dressel 6A fragments from agora. An underwater find of an intact amphora, now in the Larnaca District Museum, is known from Cape Greco. Although, it was described in the publication as Dressel 6, it is most probably a Middle-Adriatic ovoid olive oil amphora. The most interesting find is the Kiti N1 shipwreck, discovered off the coast of Cape Kiti. The shipwreck contained a cargo of amphorae of Adriatic production. Thirty-two fragments of Dressel 6A amphorae and three stoppers were identified, one

---

26 House of Dionysos was built at the end of second century AD and abandoned in third century AD (Papuci-Wladyka, Miszk 2020: 94).
28 Term ‘stopper’ was also applied to a very different type of artefact, which were small vases (Hayes 1991: 74).
31 For more information about excavations at agora, see: Papuci-Wladyka 2020a.
32 Dobosz 2020: 345.
33 For material not presented in this paper, see: Meyza, Bagińska 2013: 137; Meyza et al. 2017: 423, Fig. 24.1–3; Sztetyło 2010: no. 187.
34 For material not presented in this paper, see: Meyza, Bagińska 2013: 137; Sztetyło 1991: 101, nos 246, 247.
35 For material not presented in this paper, see: Meyza, Bagińska 2013: 137.
36 Hayes 2003: 464, inv. no. 4091/21; 470, inv. no. 115, Fig. 9; 472, inv. nos 140–141, Fig. 14; 477–478, inv. no. 172. Saranda Kolones is a thirteenth-century fortress that was built in an area with ancient pottery deposits (Hayes 2003: 449).
37 Demesticha 2015: 64. House of Orpheus is a Roman residence dated to the end of the second and early third century AD (Kaldeli 2009: 370).
39 Demesticha 2015: 64.
40 Connelly 2010: 190; for analogies, see: Cipriano 2009: Fig. 7.
of which was recorded still in situ, in the container’s neck.\textsuperscript{41} Most probably an another complete amphora of the same type discovered during earlier archaeological investigations in the very same area also belonged to this cargo.\textsuperscript{42}

Stamp impressions were noted on twelve Adriatic amphorae from Cyprus, all of Dressel 6A type. The most numerous type of stamp impressions was with inscription T.H.B (\textit{Fig. 3}), which appeared on as many as nine specimens. This was an abbreviation of \textit{T. Helvius Basila}, a legate of Tiberius and Claudius, possibly an owner of property in Calabria.\textsuperscript{43} This type of stamp impression was widely distributed in Rome and the Cisalpine region, as well as in Greek and African areas.\textsuperscript{44} On Cyprus, amphorae fragments with such impressions were found in the Villa of Theseus and in ‘Hellenistic’ House (three specimens in each case), in the House of Dionysos (two specimens) and in the Kiti N1 shipwreck (one specimen).

Two more stamped amphorae fragments were found in the House of Dionysos, both probably with the same inscription SAFINIAEPICE.\textsuperscript{45} These stamps represented Safinia Picens/Picentina and it is possible that the Dressel 6A amphorae stamped this way were produced at Picenum. Outside Italy, besides two fragments from House of Dionysos, they are attested only in Carthage.\textsuperscript{46}

The last kind of stamp impression on Adriatic amphora from Cyprus belonged to P.HER.PHAE, and was discovered in the Saranda Kolones. Only a drawing of it was published,\textsuperscript{47} therefore it was impossible to verify if it was correctly read. It is probably associated with another individual attested on stamps, M.HER.PHAE, a member of the \textit{Herennii family} – M. Herennius Phaedimus. \textit{Herennia} stamps appeared in various variants on Dressel 6A amphorae, which were found in great numbers in northern Italy. The place of their production has so far not been confirmed, but possibly this was Picenum.\textsuperscript{48}

Most of the Adriatic pottery from Nea Paphos was found in a secondary context, not reflecting the actual date of this material. The only part of the site, as far as the present author is aware, where Adriatic amphorae were recorded in their original context is agora. Lamboglia 2/Dressel 6A were found during archaeological works there in the third

\begin{itemize}
  \item Demesticha 2015: 63–64, Table 3.
  \item Demesticha 2015: 64, Fig. 5.2–5.3; Engvig, Beichmann 1984: 181–182, Fig. 16.
  \item Bezeczky 2013: 120, 122.
  \item Toniolo 1991: 187.
  \item One of them, according to the present author analysis of available photo, was misread.
  \item Van Limbergen 2018: 211.
  \item Hayes 2003: 470, no. 115, Fig. 9.
  \item More about gens \textit{Herennia}: Cipriano 2016: 148–150.
\end{itemize}
phase of amphorae circulation, i.e. from the end of second century to the end of the first century bc.\(^{49}\) Generally, the periodisation system adopted for the Paphos Agora Project reflects changes in the types of Adriatic amphorae: the late Hellenistic period (late second century until c. 30 BC) corresponds to dating of late Greco-Italic and Lamboglia 2 types and early Roman I period (27 BC–AD 68) fits well to the dating of Dressel 6A.\(^{50}\)

Most of the finds from other parts of the site came from secondary archaeological contexts. The ‘Hellenistic’ House, the Villa of Theseus and the House of Aion – hence the find spot of the material studied here – are later than the analysed Adriatic amphorae. Therefore, the fragments of Adriatic amphorae must have been associated with earlier constructions.\(^{51}\) The Maloutena Adriatic amphorae were often used as construction material (hence the fragments often show traces of mortar), e.g. for a pool\(^{52}\) or in various earth fillings. Some Adriatic amphorae and stoppers were found in sealed deposits, sometimes very precisely dated, such as those from the House of Dionysos with material from the beginning of the first century century AD.\(^{53}\) Other deposits, in contrast, contained material very broadly dated, as in the case of deposits 3 and 4 of the Saranda Kolones dated to the second century BC–first century century AD.\(^{54}\)

Compared to other types of imported amphorae of the same age,\(^{55}\) Adriatic amphorae are quite rare on Cyprus, including the Nea Paphos. This could indicate that Adriatic wine on Cyprus played a less important role than goods from other parts of the Mediterranean or local products. The island lay on a very important sea route to Egypt,\(^{56}\) and the Nea Paphos – strategically located on the west coast – could have been a stopover along the way. Interesting, then, is the presence of the Kiti N1 shipwreck found off the southern coast of Cyprus. As Stella Demesticha points out, this is evidence of an unfortunate accident that drove the ship eastward, ending its voyage on the Cape Kiti reefs, rather than the regular route that Adriatic products were transported.\(^{57}\)

---

\(^{49}\) Dobosz 2020: 349. For Paphos Agora Project, Agata Dobosz proposed a periodisation system of amphorae circulation.

\(^{50}\) Papuci-Władyka 2020b: 80, Table 1.

\(^{51}\) For example, from the so-called Early Roman House, dated to the end of the first century century BC (Papuci-Władyka, Miszk 2020: 96).


\(^{54}\) Hayes 2003: 448.


\(^{56}\) Adriatic wine was exported to Egypt, what is corroborated, e.g. by the QB1 shipwreck, discovered near Fort Qaitbay (Alexandria). It contained mainly a cargo of Adriatic amphorae Lamboglia 2 and Dressel 6A (Boichot 2016; Empereur 1999: 546–547).

\(^{57}\) Demesticha 2015: 70.
CONCLUSIONS

Although the issue of Adriatic transport pottery is a recurrent element of publications on amphorae from particular sites on Cyprus, and they are usually discussed in the context of imports from the western part of the Mediterranean basin, amphorae and stoppers of Adriatic production known from the island have never been the subject of individual study. The present research is based only on restricted material, so the conclusions can only be preliminary. The largest amount of Adriatic wine containers on Cyprus was confirmed for Nea Paphos, which, as the capital of Roman Cyprus, had numerous commercial connections with the most important Mediterranean ports. Nonetheless, Adriatic amphorae, and thus the wine transported in them, did not play a particularly significant role among the imported goods. On the island, especially in Nea Paphos, they seem to appear more due to geography, as this was a strategic location on the sea route to Egypt. They create, however, a visible share of amphorae from the western part of the Mediterranean basin.

The changes in popularity and types of Adriatic wine amphorae could be somehow correlated with political events taking place then on Cyprus. In 58 BC the island was included into the Roman Empire as part of the Cilicia Province. These events coincided with a change in the types of amphorae produced on the Adriatic coast. At some time in the last quarter of first century BC, the Lamboglia 2 amphora was replaced with the Dressel 6A type. The appearance of Nea Paphos in the sphere of direct Roman influence probably caused an inflow of a greater number of Adriatic products. This process could be observed in the increasing number of Adriatic amphorae: while the Greco-Italic type is very rare, the Dressel 6A type is most abundant (Table 1).

Further works on the Adriatic amphorae from Nea Paphos most probably will not bring a drastic change in the general picture of the presence of these containers on the island, but they may provide new data about the specific types of amphorae (and variants of fabrics) imported to Cyprus.
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Table. 1. Inventory of Adriatic wine amphorae and stoppers from Cyprus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>site / localisation</th>
<th>late Greco-Italic / Lamboglia 2</th>
<th>Lamboglia 2</th>
<th>Dressel 6A</th>
<th>stoppers</th>
<th>others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nea Paphos,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villa of Theseus</td>
<td>2 pcs</td>
<td></td>
<td>8 pcs</td>
<td>2 pcs</td>
<td>4 pcs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(published here)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(3 pcs with T.H.B stamp, from which one probable Dressel 6A; altogether 5 pcs published here)</td>
<td>(published here)</td>
<td>in Adriatic fabric (1 pc published here)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nea Paphos,</td>
<td>1 pc</td>
<td>4 pcs</td>
<td>12 pcs</td>
<td>4 pcs</td>
<td>39 pcs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>’Hellenistic’ House</td>
<td>(published here)</td>
<td>(published here)</td>
<td>(3 pcs with T.H.B stamp, 1 of them only probably on Dressel 6A; altogether 5 pcs published here)</td>
<td>(published here)</td>
<td>in Adriatic fabric (1 pc published here)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nea Paphos,</td>
<td>1 pc</td>
<td>1 pc</td>
<td>4 pcs</td>
<td>5 pcs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House of Aion</td>
<td>(published here)</td>
<td>(published here)</td>
<td>(1 pc south of HA; 3 pcs published here)</td>
<td>in Adriatic fabric (1 pc published here)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nea Paphos,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 pcs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>agora</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>in Adriatic fabric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nea Paphos,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>House of Dionysos</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11 pcs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c. 157 pcs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2 pcs with T.H.B stamp, 1 pc with S[?]-AFINIAEPIC, 1 with CEINIIEPICE stamp, probably should be: FINIAEPICE)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

60 Dobosz 2020: 345.
61 Hayes 1991: 88, Fig. 39:1–3, Pl. 22:7, 11–12.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>site / localisation</th>
<th>late Greco-Italic / Lamboglia 2</th>
<th>Lamboglia 2</th>
<th>Dressel 6A</th>
<th>stoppers</th>
<th>others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nea Paphos, Saranda Kolones</td>
<td>2 pcs</td>
<td>7 pcs</td>
<td>187 pcs</td>
<td>18 pcs</td>
<td>51 pcs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nea Paphos, House of Orpheus</td>
<td>3 pcs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Kaldeli mentioned as Class 8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nea Paphos, Total</td>
<td>2 pcs</td>
<td>7 pcs</td>
<td>187 pcs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amathus, agora</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 pcs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amathus, Palea Lemesos</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mentioned Istrian Class 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

64 Hayes 2003: 470, inv. no. 115, Fig. 9.
65 Hayes 2003: 472, inv. nos 140–141, Fig. 14.
68 Demesticha 2015: 64.
69 Kaldeli 2008: 114.
70 Demesticha 2015: 64.
71 Kaldeli 2008: 115.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>site / localisation</th>
<th>late Greco-Italic / Lamboglia 2</th>
<th>Lamboglia 2</th>
<th>Dressel 6A</th>
<th>stoppers</th>
<th>others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kiti N1 shipwreck</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>33 pcs</td>
<td>3 pcs</td>
<td>(1 of them <em>in situ</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1 almost intact amphora with T.H.B stamp)*3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Greco</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 pc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>intact Middle-Adriatic ovoid amphora*5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus, Total</td>
<td>2 pcs</td>
<td>7 pcs</td>
<td>224 pcs</td>
<td>21 pcs</td>
<td>52 pcs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16 pcs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*3 Demesticha 2015: 61, 63–64, Table 3, Fig. 5.2–3; Engvig, Beichmann 1984: 181–182, Fig. 16.
*4 Demesticha 2015: 63–64, Table 3.
*5 Connelly 2010: 190.
CATALOGUE

The catalogue presents only those fragments that preserved distinctive elements allowing for typological classification of the vessels or classification as stoppers. However, the gradual evolution of discussed wine amphorae, where one type developed from another, which is confirmed by the existence of transitional types, hampers typological classification of badly preserved fragments. Therefore, some specimens could represent one of the two following types. The inventory numbers given in the catalogue were introduced when particular pieces were included into database of Adriatic amphorae and stoppers.
1. Greco-Italic MGS VI or early Lamboglia 2 amphora, rim fragment; inv. no. 48; context: the House of Aion (1990); fabric: visible inclusions – sand; colour: section pink (7.5YR 7/4), surface pale yellow (5Y 8/3) and very pale brown (10YR 7/4); dimensions: w. 10cm, h. 5.8cm, wall th. 1.4–1.6cm; date: second half of second century BC.

2. Greco-Italic MGS VI or early Lamboglia 2 amphora, rim fragment; inv. no. 58; context: the ‘Hellenistic’ House (1990); fabric: visible fine inclusions – sand and red-brown particles; colour: section and surface pale yellow (5Y 8/3); dimensions: w. 14.4cm, h. 6.3cm; date: second half of second century BC.

3. Lamboglia 2 amphora, rim and neck fragment; inv. no. 56; context: the Villa of Theseus (1987); fabric: visible red lumps as a part of matrix (*terra rossa*) and inclusions – silver mica, black and red-brown particles; colour: surface very pale brown (10YR 8/4), section reddish yellow (5YR 7/6); dimensions: w. 9.3cm, h. 9.3cm, rim th. 2.6cm, wall th. 1.6cm; date: second half of second century – late first century BC.

4. Lamboglia 2(?) amphora, rim fragment; inv. no. 51; context: the Villa of Theseus (1994); fabric: visible fine inclusions – silver mica, black and red-brown particles and sand; colour: section and surface pink and reddish (7.5YR 7/4 and 7/6); dimensions: w. 11.3cm, h. 5.1cm; date: second half of second century – late first century BC(?).

5. Lamboglia 2 amphora, rim fragment; inv. no. 62; context: the ‘Hellenistic’ House (1987); fabric: visible red lumps as a part of matrix (*terra rossa*) and fine inclusions – sand, silver mica and red-brown particles; colour: reddish yellow (5YR 7/6); dimensions: w. 12.8cm, h. 6cm, rim th. 2.9cm; date: second half of second century – late first century BC.

6. Lamboglia 2(?) amphora, rim fragment; inv. no. 61; context: ‘Hellenistic’ House (1990); fabric: visible fine inclusions – red-brown and black particles, limestone; colour: section and surface reddish yellow section (7.5YR 6/6 - 7/6); dimensions: w. 7.7cm, h. 4.2cm, rim th. 2.1cm, wall th. 1.8cm; date: second half of second century – late first century BC(?).

7. Lamboglia 2 amphora, rim fragment; inv. no. 7; context: the ‘Hellenistic’ House (2016); fabric: visible red lumps as a part of matrix (*terra rossa*) and fine inclusions – black and red-brown particles, silver mica; colour: surface very pale brown (10YR 8/4), section very pale brown (10YR 7/4) and pink (7.5YR 7/4); dimensions: h. 3.9cm, wall th. 1.8cm; date: second half of second century – late first century BC.
8. Lamboglia 2 amphora, handle and body fragment; inv. no. 19; context: the ‘Hellenistic’ House (2016); fabric: visible red lumps and smears as a part of matrix (*terra rossa*) and fine inclusions – limestone, silver mica, black and red-brown particles; colour: surface very pale brown (10YR 8/3), section reddish yellow (5YR 7/6), dimensions: handle cross-section 3.7 x 2.9cm, wall th. 2.0cm; date: second half of second century – late first century BC.

9. Lamboglia 2 amphora, rim; inv. no. 49; context: the House of Aion (1990); fabric: visible red lumps and smears as a part of matrix (*terra rossa*) and fine inclusions – silver mica, limestone, black and red-brown particles; colour: section reddish yellow (5YR 6/6), surface reddish yellow (7.5YR 7/6); dimensions: rim d. 14.5cm, rim th. 1.9cm, neck d. 11cm, wall th. 1.5cm; date: second half of second century – late first century BC.

10. Dressel 6A amphora, rim fragment; inv. no. 6; context: the ‘Hellenistic’ House (2016); fabric: visible fine inclusions – red-brown and black particles, silver mica; colour: section and surface very pale brown (10YR 8/3); dimensions: d. 17.1cm, rim th. 3.2cm; date: late first century BC – mid first century AD; references: Meyza *et al.* 2017: 423, Fig. 24.3.

11. Dressel 6A amphora, handle fragment; inv. no. 41; context: the ‘Hellenistic’ House (2016); fabric: visible fine inclusions: red-brown and black particles, silver mica; colour: section and surface very pale brown (10YR 8/3); dimensions: d. 4.7cm; date: late first century BC – mid first century AD.

12. Dressel 6A amphora, rim fragment, stamped with T.H.[B], *T. H(elvi) B(asilae)* (see Fig. 3); inv. no. 54; context: the Villa of Theseus (1985); fabric: visible fine inclusions – red-brown and black particles, silver mica; colour: section very pale brown (10YR 7/4), surface pink (7.5YR 8/4); dimensions: w. 12.8cm, h. 4.4cm, rim th. 3.3cm; date: first half of first century AD; references: Sztetyło 1991: 101, no. 247.

13. Dressel 6A amphora, rim and handle fragment; inv. no. 63; context: the Villa of Theseus (1986); fabric: visible red lumps as a part of matrix (*terra rossa*) and inclusions – silver mica, black and red-brown particles; colour: section reddish yellow (5YR 7/6), surface very pale brown (10YR 7/4); dimensions: handle d. 5.2cm, rim th. 2.1cm, wall th. 1.5–2.1cm; date: late first century BC – mid first century AD.

14. Dressel 6A amphora, rim fragment; inv. no. 52; context: the Villa of Theseus (1994); fabric: visible fine inclusions – black and red-brown particles, silver mica; colour: surface and section pink (5YR 7/4); dimensions: w. 11.5cm, h. 7cm, rim th. 3.2cm; date: late first century BC – mid first century AD.
15. Dressel 6A amphora, foot; inv. no. 71; context: the Villa of Theseus (1995); fabric: visible red lumps as a part of matrix (*terra rossa*) and fine inclusions – black and red-brown particles; colour: section very pale brown (10YR 8/4); dimensions: h. 14 cm; d. 3.8 and 6.3 cm (lowest and highest part respectively); date: late first century BC – mid first century AD.

16. Dressel 6A (small version?), rim with neck and handle fragment; inv. no. 77; context: the Villa of Theseus (1995); fabric: visible fine red lumps and smears as a part of matrix (*terra rossa*) and fine inclusions – silver mica, sand and red-brown particles; colour: section reddish yellow (7.5YR 6/6 and 5YR 6/6), surface pink (7.5YR 7/4); dimensions: h. 29 cm, rim d. 12–14.3 cm, rim th. 2.7 cm, wall th. 2.5 cm, handle d. 3.7 cm; date: late first century BC – mid first century AD.

17. Dressel 6A amphora, rim fragment; inv. no. 50; context: the ‘Hellenistic’ House (1994); fabric: visible fine inclusions – black and red-brown particles; colour: surface and section very pale brown (10YR 8/3); dimensions: h. 12 cm, w. 11.3 cm, rim th. 3 cm, wall th. 1.5 cm; date: late first century BC – mid first century AD.

18. Dressel 6A amphora, rim; inv. no. 8; context: the ‘Hellenistic’ House (2016); fabric: visible red lumps as a part of matrix (*terra rossa*) and inclusions – silver mica, black and red-brown particles; colour: surface and section very pale brown (10YR 8/3 and 8/4); dimensions: d. 17.5 cm, wall th. 2.1 cm, rim th. 3.1 cm; date: late first century BC – mid first century AD; references: Meyza *et al.* 2017: 423, Fig. 24.1.

19. Dressel 6A amphora, body and handle fragment; inv. no. 37; context: the ‘Hellenistic’ House (2016); fabric: visible fine inclusions – silver mica, black and red-brown particles; colour: section pink (7.5YR 8/4), surface very pale brown (10YR 8/3); dimensions: w. 10.5 cm, h. 7.4 cm, wall th. 1.9 cm, handle d. 4.3 cm; date: late first century BC – mid first century AD.
20. Dressel 6A amphora, rim fragment; inv. no. 55; context: the House of Aion (1990); fabric: visible fine inclusions – sand, black and red-brown particles; colour: surface and section very pale brown (10YR 7/4 and 8/4); dimensions: h. 10cm, w. 7.5cm, rim th. 3.5cm, wall th. 1.8cm; date: late first century BC – mid first century AD.

21. Dressel 6A amphora, handle fragment; inv. no. 66; context: the House of Aion (1990); fabric: visible fine inclusions – black and red-brown particles, silver mica; colour: surface and section very pale brown (10YR 8/3); dimensions: h. 8.7cm, d. 5.1cm; date: late first century BC – mid first century AD.

22. Dressel 6A amphora, foot; inv. no. 70; context: the House of Aion (1990); fabric: visible fine inclusions: silver mica, black and red-brown particles; colour: section very pale brown (10YR 7/4), surface pink (7.5YR 8/4); dimensions: d. 4.0 and 7.3cm (lowest and highest part respectively), h. 24.5cm; date: late first century BC – mid first century AD.

23. Stopper, intact; inv. no. 47; context: the Villa of Theseus (1994); fabric: visible inclusions – sand, black particles; colour: surface white and pale yellow (2.5Y 8/2 and 8/3); dimensions: d. 9.3cm, th. 2.5cm.

24. Stopper, intact, decoration consisting of four lines radiating at regular intervals from the knob; inv. no. 1; context: the Villa of Theseus (1995); fabric: visible inclusions – sand, limestone, black particles; colour: surface very pale brown (10YR 8/3); dimensions: d. 9.5cm, th. 1.9–2.3cm.

25. Stopper, fragment; inv. no. 2; context: the ‘Hellenistic’ House (1989); fabric: visible red smears as a part of matrix (terra rossa) and fine inclusions: silver mica and black particles; colour: section white (5Y 8/2), surface light gray (5Y 7/2), possibly overfired; dimensions: d. 8.8cm, th. 1.6cm.

26. Stopper, fragment; inv. no. 3; context: the ‘Hellenistic’ House (1991); fabric: visible pale red smears as a part of matrix (terra rossa) and inclusions – black and red-brown particles, silver mica, limestone; colour: surface and section very pale brown (10YR 8/3); dimensions: d. c. 9.1cm, th. 2.3cm.

27. Stopper, fragment; inv. no. 5; context: the ‘Hellenistic’ House (1995); fabric: visible dark red smears as a part of matrix (terra rossa) and inclusions: silver mica, black and red-brown particles; colour: section very pale brown (10YR 7/4); dimensions: d. c. 8.6cm, th. 2.0cm.
28. Stopper, intact; inv. no. 23; context: the ‘Hellenistic’ House (2016); fabric: visible red lumps and smears as a part of matrix (*terra rossa*) and inclusions – sand, black and red-brown particles; colour: section pink (5YR 8/3), surface white (2.5Y 8/2), possibly overfired; dimensions: d. 8.8–9.7cm (irregular shape), th. 1.7–2.1cm; references: Meyza *et al.* 2017: 423, Fig. 24.2.

29. Adriatic amphora, handle fragment; inv. no. 67; context: the House of Aion (1990); fabric: visible inclusions – sand, black and red-brown particles; colour: section pink (5YR 8/4), surface very pale brown (10YR 8/3); dimensions: h. 6.0cm; d. 4.7cm.

30. Adriatic amphora, handle fragment; inv. no. 18; context: the ‘Hellenistic’ House (2016); fabric: visible fine inclusions – black and red-brown particles; colour: section pale yellow (5Y 8/4), surface pale yellow (2.5Y 8/4); dimensions: cross-section 3.4 x 4.9cm.

31. Adriatic amphora, handle and body fragment; inv. no. 65; context: the Villa of Theseus (1988); fabric: visible fine inclusions – silver mica, black and red-brown particles; colour: surface and section reddish yellow (7.5YR 7/6); dimensions: h. 9.3cm, w. 8.7cm, wall th. 1.8cm, handle cross-section 3.7 x 4.6cm.
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