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Abstract. We are concerned with the multiplicity of positive solutions for the following Kirchhoff type problem:

$$
\begin{cases}-\left(\varepsilon^{2} a+\varepsilon b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u+u=Q(x)|u|^{p-2} u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \\ u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right), \quad u>0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}\end{cases}
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ is a parameter, $a, b>0$ are constants, $p \in(2,6)$, and $Q \in$ $C\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is a nonnegative function. We show how the profile of $Q$ affects the number of positive solutions when $\varepsilon$ is sufficiently small.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions to the following nonlinear problem of Kirchhoff type:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\varepsilon^{2} a+\varepsilon b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u+u=Q(x)|u|^{p-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}  \tag{P}\\
u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ is a parameter, $a, b>0$ are constants, $p \in(2,6)$, and $Q$ is a nonnegative continuous function satisfying

[^0](Q) $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} Q(x)=Q_{\infty}>0$ and there exist some points $x^{1}, \ldots, x^{k}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that $Q\left(x^{i}\right)$ are the strict maxima and satisfy
$$
Q\left(x^{i}\right)=Q_{m}:=\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}} Q(x)>Q_{\infty} \quad \text { for all } i=1, \ldots, k .
$$

Problem (P) is a particular case of the following Dirichlet problem of Kirchhoff type:

$$
\begin{cases}-\left(a+b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=f(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a smooth domain. Such problems are often referred to be nonlocal because of the presence of the Kirchhoff term $\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \Delta u$ which implies that (1.1) is no longer a pointwise identity. This phenomenon provokes some mathematical difficulties that make the study of such problems particularly interesting. Various results on the existence of positive solutions, multiple solutions, sign-changing solutions, ground states have been obtained, see for example [4], [6], [7], [11], [13], [16]-[18], [20], [25], [27] and the references therein.

Recently, there has been increasing interest in studying the following perturbed Kirchhoff type equation (see [12, 10, 9, 23] and the references therein):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\varepsilon^{2} a+\varepsilon b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u+V(x) u=f(x, u) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}  \tag{1.2}\\
u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $V \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}\right), f \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}\right), a, b>0$ are constants, and $\varepsilon$ is a positive parameter. First, it is important to consider the following autonomous problem with $\varepsilon=1$ and $V(x) \equiv \nu \in \mathbb{R}$ in (1.2):

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(a+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u+\nu u=f(u) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

He and Zou in [12] established the existence of ground state solution to (1.2) under the condition that $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition ((AR) in short)

$$
\text { there exists } \quad \mu>4 \text { such that } 0<\mu \int_{0}^{u} f(s) d s \leq f(u) u
$$

$f(u)=o\left(u^{3}\right)$ as $u \rightarrow 0, f(u) /|u|^{q} \rightarrow 0$ as $|u| \rightarrow \infty$ for some $3<q<5$ and $f(u) / u^{3}$ is increasing for $u>0$. Ye in [26] extended the above result to the case without the (AR) condition under the conditions that $f$ is superlinear, subcritical and $f(u) / u$ is increasing for $u>0$. Wang et al. [23], He et al. [10] proved the existence of ground state solution to (1.3) with critical nonlinearity, i.e. $f(u) \sim \lambda|u|^{p-2} u+|u|^{4} u$ for some $4<p<6, \lambda>0$. Latter, He and Li [9] filled the gap when $f(u)=\lambda|u|^{p-2} u+|u|^{4} u$ where $2<p \leq 4$. Besides, for
$\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small, by using Lusternik-Schnirelmann theory, multiplicity of positive solutions to (1.2) has been obtained by employing the topology of the set where the potential $V$ attains its global or local minimum, see [10], [12], [23] and the references therein.

Making the change of variable $u_{\varepsilon}:=u(\varepsilon x)$, we can rewrite (P) as the following equivalent equation:
$\left(\mathrm{P}_{\varepsilon}\right) \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}-\left(a+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u_{\varepsilon}+u_{\varepsilon}=Q_{\varepsilon}(x)\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{p-2} u_{\varepsilon} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \\ u_{\varepsilon} \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right),\end{array}\right.$
where $Q_{\varepsilon}(x)=Q(\varepsilon x)$. Throughout this paper, we denote by $H:=H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ the usual Sobolev space equipped with the inner product and norm

$$
(u, v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} a \nabla u \nabla v+u v d x, \quad\|u\|=(u, u)^{1 / 2}
$$

Define the energy functional $I_{\varepsilon}: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
I_{\varepsilon}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{b}{4}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q_{\varepsilon}(x)|u|^{p} d x
$$

The functional $I_{\varepsilon}$ is well defined for every $u \in H$ and belongs to $C^{1}(H, \mathbb{R})$. Clearly, weak solutions to $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ correspond to the critical points of $I_{\varepsilon}$ and for any $\varphi \in H$, we have

$$
\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(u), \varphi\right\rangle=(u, \varphi)+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \nabla u \nabla \varphi d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q_{\varepsilon}(x)|u|^{p-2} u \varphi d x .
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\varepsilon}(u):=\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=\|u\|^{2}+b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q_{\varepsilon}|u|^{p} d x . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, all critical points of $I_{\varepsilon}$ belong to the Nehari manifold

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\varepsilon}:=\left\{u \in H \backslash\{0\}: G_{\varepsilon}(u)=0\right\} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, we mainly show how the profile of $Q$ affects the number of positive solutions to $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\varepsilon}\right)$. To the authors' knowledge, there is no result on this topic for Kirchhoff type problems.

To make use of the profile of $Q$, we need the barycenter map. The barycenter map is a continuous map $\beta: H \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ which is equivalent to the action of the group of Euclidean motions in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. That is, for any given $z \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, t \neq 0$ and $u \in H \backslash\{0\}$, we have

$$
\beta(u)=\beta(|u|), \quad \beta(u(x-z))=\beta(u)+z, \quad \beta(t u)=\beta(u),
$$

and if $u$ is radially symmetric function, $\beta(u)=0$. Such a map was constructed and used by Cerami and Passaseo in [3], etc.

When $a=1, b=0,\left(\mathrm{P}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ reduces to the following semilinear equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+u=Q_{\varepsilon}(x)|u|^{p-2} u, \quad u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $N \geq 3,2<p<2 N /(N-2)$, and $Q \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ satisfying condition (Q), Cao and Noussair in [2] established the existence of both positive and nodal solutions to (1.6) for sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$, which is affected by the shape of the graph of $Q$. Motivated by [2], we can first choose $k$ open balls $\left\{B\left(x^{i}, r^{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ with center $x^{i}$ and radius $r^{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q\left(x^{i}\right)>Q(x) \text { for all } x \in \overline{B\left(x^{i}, r^{i}\right)} \backslash\left\{x^{i}\right\}, i=1, \ldots, k, \\
B\left(x^{i}, r^{i}\right) \cap B\left(x^{j}, r^{j}\right)=\emptyset \text { for } i \neq j
\end{gathered}
$$

We then seek a minimizer $u^{i}$ of the energy functional $I_{\varepsilon}$ over a suitable subset of the Nehari manifold $M_{\varepsilon}$ with the constraint $\beta\left(u^{i}\right) \in B_{\varepsilon}^{i}$ as $\varepsilon>0$ is sufficiently small, where $B_{\varepsilon}^{i}:=B\left(x^{i} / \varepsilon, r^{i} / \varepsilon\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$. Since $\left\{B_{\varepsilon}^{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ are disjoint from each other, we can assert that $k$ minimizers $\left\{u^{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ are distinct solutions to $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\varepsilon}\right)$.

For $4 \leq p<6$, we shall seek the minimizer of $I_{\varepsilon}$ on $\overline{M_{\varepsilon}^{i}}:=M_{\varepsilon}^{i} \cup \partial M_{\varepsilon}^{i}$ directly as $\varepsilon>0$ is sufficiently small, where

$$
M_{\varepsilon}^{i}:=\left\{u \in M_{\varepsilon}: \beta(u) \in B_{\varepsilon}^{i}\right\}, \quad \partial M_{\varepsilon}^{i}:=\left\{u \in M_{\varepsilon}: \beta(u) \in \partial B_{\varepsilon}^{i}\right\} .
$$

We obtain the following result:
Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $4 \leq p<6$ and condition (Q) is satisfied. Then there exists $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ has at least $k$ positive solutions for every $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$.

When $2<p<4$, due to the Kirchhoff term $\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{2}$ being homogeneous of degree 4 , the energy functional $I_{\varepsilon}$ is unbounded from below in $M_{\varepsilon}$. We shall overcome this obstacle by adding the following constraint:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(4-p) b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{2}<(p-2)\|u\|^{2} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, for any $u \in M_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies (1.7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\varepsilon}(u)=J(u):=\frac{p-2}{2 p}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{(p-4) b}{4 p}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{2}>\frac{p-2}{4 p}\|u\|^{2} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $I_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded from below and coercive. Therefore, we can establish the boundedness of the minimizing sequence of $I_{\varepsilon}$.

The idea of adding constraint (1.7) derives from Sun et al. [21], where they studied the multiplicity of positive solutions for the following SchrödingerPoisson system:

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u+\lambda u+K(x) \phi u=Q(x)|u|^{p-2} u & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}  \tag{1.9}\\ -\Delta \phi=K(x) u^{2} & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}\end{cases}
$$

where $2<p<6, \lambda>0, Q$ satisfies condition $(\mathrm{Q})$, and $K \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ is assumed to satisfy certain conditions. They proved the existence of at least $k$ positive solutions to (1.9) for $\lambda>0$ sufficiently large. Their results extended the earlier one in Chen et al. [5] in which a related problem for (1.9) with $4 \leq p<6$ and $K \equiv 1$ was considered. To deal with the case $2<p<4$, Sun et al. in [21] developed a variational method to minimize the energy functional of (1.9) on a certain set which can be regarded as a filtration of the Nehari manifold with some nice properties. However, the constraint condition in [21] does not work for Kirchhoff type problems. In addition, the limiting equation in [21] is the following semilinear equation:

$$
-\Delta u+u=Q_{\max }|u|^{p-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

while the associated limiting equation of our problem is (1.3), and the Kirchhoff term $b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \Delta u$ may cause some technical difficulties.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\varepsilon}^{i}:=\left\{u \in M_{\varepsilon}: K(u)<0, \beta(u) \in B_{\varepsilon}^{i}\right\}, \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, k$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(u):=(4-p) b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{2}-(p-2)\|u\|^{2} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\overline{N_{\varepsilon}^{i}}$ the closure of $N_{\varepsilon}^{i}$ in $H$, then $\overline{N_{\varepsilon}^{i}}=N_{\varepsilon}^{i} \cup \partial \overline{N_{\varepsilon}^{i}} \cup \partial \widehat{N}_{\varepsilon}^{i}$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial \bar{N}_{\varepsilon}^{i}:=\left\{u \in M_{\varepsilon}: K(u)<0, \beta(u) \in \partial B_{\varepsilon}^{i}\right\},  \tag{1.12}\\
& \partial \widehat{N}_{\varepsilon}^{i}:=\left\{u \in M_{\varepsilon}: K(u)=0, \beta(u) \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon}^{i}}\right\}, \tag{1.13}
\end{align*}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, k$.
In the following, we shall seek a minimizer of $I_{\varepsilon}$ on $\overline{N_{\varepsilon}^{i}}$ for $2<p<4$ as $\varepsilon>0$ is sufficiently small. To rule out the case that the minimizer of $I_{\varepsilon}$ on $\overline{N_{\varepsilon}^{i}}$ is located on the boundary of $N_{\varepsilon}^{i}$, we require $b>0$ to be suitably small. We mention that the limitation of $b$ is also crucial in dealing with the autonomous problem. Our main results in this direction can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.2. Let $2<p<4$, suppose that condition (Q) is satisfied, and $0<b<b_{0}(b), b_{0}(b)$ is defined in (2.5). Then there exists $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ has at least $k$ positive solutions for every $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$.

The paper is organized as follows. After proving several lemmas about the autonomous problem in Section 2, we establish some energy estimates in Section 3, and demonstrate proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 by variational method in Section 4.

## 2. The autonomous problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\nu}\right)$

We start this section by consider following autonomous equation:

$$
-\left(a+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u+u=Q_{\nu}|u|^{p-2} u, \quad u \in H
$$

where $a, b>0,2<p<6, \nu \in\{m, \infty\}, Q_{m}$ and $Q_{\infty}$ are defined in condition (Q). Since $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\nu}\right)$ is variational, its solutions are critical points of the energy functional given by

$$
I_{\nu}^{b}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{b}{4}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q_{\nu}|u|^{p} d x
$$

The Nehari manifold $M_{\nu}^{b}:=\left\{u \in H: G_{\nu}^{b}(u)=0\right\}$ contains all solutions to $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\nu}\right)$, where

$$
G_{\nu}^{b}(u):=\|u\|^{2}+b|\nabla u|_{2}^{4}-Q_{\nu}|u|_{p}^{p}
$$

As mentioned in Section 1, the existence of the ground states to $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\nu}\right)$ has been obtained in [26].

Lemma 2.1 (Theorem 1.1 in [26]). ( $\mathrm{P}_{\nu}$ ) has a radially symmetric and positive ground state solution $w_{b, \nu} \in H$ with the least energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\nu}(b):=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\nu}} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{\nu}^{b}(\gamma(t))>0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{\nu}^{b}=\left\{\gamma \in C([0,1], H): \gamma(0)=0, I_{\nu}^{b}(\gamma(1))<0\right\} \neq \emptyset$.
Remark 2.2. By definition of $c_{\nu}(b)$, it is easy to see that $c_{m}(b)<c_{\infty}(b)$.
Let $U$ be the unique positive radial solution of the well-known scalar field equation (see [1], [8], [15])

$$
-a \Delta U+U=Q_{m} U^{p-1} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

We can obtain the following uniqueness result.
Lemma 2.3. Let $u \in H \backslash\{0\}$ be any given positive solution to $\left(\mathrm{P}_{m}\right)$, then there exists $z \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, such that

$$
u(x)=U(\mu x-z), \quad \text { where } \mu=\frac{-b|\nabla U|_{2}^{2}+\sqrt{b^{2}|\nabla U|_{2}^{4}+4 a^{2}}}{2 a} .
$$

Proof. Let $1 / \mu^{2}=1+b|\nabla u|_{2}^{2} / a$. Obviously, $v(x)=u(x / \mu)$ solves the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-a \Delta v+v=Q_{m} v^{p-1} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the uniqueness results of (2.2), there exists $z \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that $v(x)=U(x-z)$. Therefore, we obtain that $u(x)=U(\mu x-z)$, then

$$
|\nabla u|_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla U|^{2} d x
$$

It yields a quadratic equation of $\mu$

$$
a \mu^{2}+b|\nabla U|_{2}^{2} \mu-a=0
$$

which implies that

$$
\mu=\frac{-b|\nabla U|_{2}^{2}+\sqrt{b^{2}|\nabla U|_{2}^{4}+4 a^{2}}}{2 a} .
$$

Remark 2.4. It follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 that $w_{b}:=w_{b, m}$ in Lemma 2.1 is unique, and $w_{b}(x)=U(\mu x)$.

Remark 2.5. Note that the uniqueness of positive solution to a Kirchhoff type equation in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ has also been established recently by Xie et al. in [25] and Huang et al. in [14].

If $4<p<6$, He and Zou in [12] (Proposition 2.4, Lemma 4.2 therein) proved that the mountain pass solution $w_{b}$ minimizes the energy functional $I_{m}^{b}$ on $M_{m}^{b}$. For the reader's convenience we give an alternative approach to this result here for $4 \leq p<6$ by considering the following minimization problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{c}(b)=\inf _{u \in M_{m}^{b}} I_{m}^{b}(u) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.6. Let $4 \leq p<6$, then all the minimizing sequences of (2.3) are relatively compact and $\widehat{c}(b)$ is achieved. Moreover, $\widehat{c}(b)=c_{m}(b)$.

However, when $2<p<4, I_{m}^{b}$ is unbounded from below on $M_{m}^{b}$. By considering the following minimization problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{c}(b)=\inf _{u \in N_{m}^{b}} I_{m}^{b}(u), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we shall prove that, under the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
b<b_{0}(a, p, b):=\frac{(p-2)^{2} a^{2}}{8 p(4-p) \widetilde{c}(b)} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w_{b}$ minimizes the energy functional $I_{m}^{b}$ on $N_{m}^{b}:=\left\{u \in M_{m}^{b} \mid K(u)<0\right\}$, where $K$ is defined in (1.11).

Proposition 2.7. Suppose that $2<p<4$ and $b>0$ satisfies condition (2.5) then all the minimizing sequences of (2.4) are relatively compact, and $\widetilde{c}(b)$ is achieved. Moreover, $\widetilde{c}(b)=c_{m}(b)$.

Remark 2.8. If $b>0$ is sufficiently small, then (2.5) holds. Indeed, let $g(t, b)=\left(t^{2}-t^{p}\right)\|U\|^{2}+t^{4} b|\nabla U|_{2}^{4}$, we have

$$
g(1,0)=0, \quad \frac{d g}{d t}(1,0)=(2-p)\|U\|^{2} \neq 0
$$

Applying the implicit function theorem, there exist a small $\delta>0$ and a continuous differentiable function $t(b):(0, \delta) \rightarrow(1,1+\varepsilon)$ such that $g(t(b), b)=0$ and $K(t(b) U)<0$, for some sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$. Thus
$\widetilde{c}(b) \leq I_{m}^{b}(t(b) U)=\frac{p-2}{2 p}\|t(b) U\|^{2}-\frac{p-4}{4 p} b|t(b) \nabla U|_{2}^{4}<\frac{p-2}{2 p}\|t(b) U\|^{2} \leq\|U\|^{2}$,
which implies $b_{0}(a, p, b)$ is bounded away from zero as $b>0$ is sufficiently small.
Before proving Proposition 2.6 and 2.7, we first establish several lemmas.
Lemma 2.9. Suppose that $2<p<4, A, B, C>0$ and

$$
f(t)=A+B t^{2}-C t^{p-2}, \quad h(t)=\frac{p-2}{2 p} A t^{2}-\frac{4-p}{4 p} B t^{4},
$$

then
(a) if $A+B<C$, there exist $t_{ \pm}>0$ such that $0<t_{-}<1<t_{+}, f\left(t_{ \pm}\right)=0$, $f^{\prime}\left(t_{-}\right)<0, f^{\prime}\left(t_{+}\right)>0$;
(b) if $(A /((4-p) / 2))^{(4-p) / 2}(B /((p-2) / 2))^{(p-2) / 2}<C$, there exist $t^{ \pm}>0$ such that $f\left(t^{ \pm}\right)=0, f^{\prime}\left(t^{-}\right)<0, f^{\prime}\left(t^{+}\right)>0$;
(c) if $A+B=C$ and $B<((p-2) /(4-p)) \cdot A$, there exist $t^{ \pm}>0$ such that $f\left(t^{ \pm}\right)=0, f^{\prime}\left(t^{-}\right)<0, f^{\prime}\left(t^{+}\right)>0 ;$
(d) $h$ is increasing in the interval $\left[0, t_{2}\right), t_{2}=\sqrt{(p-2) A /((4-p) B)}$.

Proof. (a) Note that $f(0)=A>0, f(1)=A+B-C<0$ and $f(t) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, so we can find the desired $t_{ \pm}>0$.
(b) Since

$$
f^{\prime}(t)=t\left(2 B-\frac{(p-2) C}{t^{4-p}}\right) \quad \text { for } t>0
$$

then $f$ has only one critical point (minimum point)

$$
t_{1}=\left(\frac{p-2}{2} \cdot \frac{C}{B}\right)^{1 /(4-p)}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(t_{1}\right)= & A-\frac{4-p}{2}((p-2) / 2)^{(p-2) /(4-p)} \frac{C^{2 /(4-p)}}{B^{(p-2) /(4-p)}} \\
= & \frac{4-p}{2} \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{p-2}{2}\right)^{(p-2) /(4-p)}}{B^{(p-2) /(4-p)}} \\
& \cdot\left\{\left[\left(\frac{A}{(4-p) / 2}\right)^{(4-p) / 2}\left(\frac{B}{(p-2) / 2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2}\right]^{2 /(4-p)}-C^{2 /(4-p)}\right\}<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f(0)=A>0, f(t) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, then there exist $0<t^{-}<t_{1}<t^{+}$ such that $f\left(t^{ \pm}\right)=0, f^{\prime}\left(t^{-}\right)<0$ and $f^{\prime}\left(t^{+}\right)>0$.
(c) By Young's inequality,

$$
\left(\frac{A}{(4-p) / 2}\right)^{(4-p) / 2}\left(\frac{B}{(p-2) / 2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2} \leq A+B
$$

and the equality holds if and only if $(4-p) B=(p-2) A$.
Since $B<(p-2) A /(4-p)$, we have

$$
\left(\frac{A}{(4-p) / 2}\right)^{(4-p) / 2}\left(\frac{B}{(p-2) / 2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2}<A+B=C
$$

By the results of (b), we can also find the desired $t^{ \pm}>0$.
(d) Since

$$
h^{\prime}(t)=\frac{t}{p}\left((p-2) A-(4-p) B t^{2}\right) \quad \text { for } t>0
$$

then $h$ has only one (maximum) critical point $t_{2}=\sqrt{(p-2) A /((4-p) B)}$ hence $h^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left[0, t_{2}\right)$.

The next lemma contains the statement of the main properties of $M_{m}^{b}$ and $N_{m}^{b}$.

Lemma 2.10.
(a) Suppose that $2<p<6$, then there exist constants $\eta_{0}>0$ and $\eta_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|>\eta_{0}, \quad|u|_{p}^{p}>\eta_{1} \quad \text { for all } u \in M_{m}^{b} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Suppose that $4 \leq p<6$, then $M_{m}^{b}$ is a $C^{1}$-manifold. If $u$ is a critical point of $I_{m}^{b}$ constrained on $M_{m}^{b}$, then $u$ is a critical point of $I_{m}^{b}$ on $H$.
(c) Suppose that $2<p<4$, then $N_{m}^{b}$ is a nonempty $C^{1}$-manifold. If $u$ is a critical point of $I_{m}^{b}$ constrained on $N_{m}^{b}$, then $u$ is a critical point of $I_{m}^{b}$ on $H$.

Proof. (a) Let $u \in M_{m}^{b}$, then $G_{m}^{b}(u)=0$ and

$$
\frac{1}{C}|u|_{p}^{2} \leq\|u\|^{2}+b|\nabla u|_{2}^{4}=Q_{m}|u|_{p}^{p} \leq C Q_{m}\|u\|^{p}
$$

for some $C>0$. Thus, we can find $\eta_{0}>0$ and $\eta_{1}>0$ satisfying (2.6).
(b) Obviously, $G_{m}^{b}(u)$ and $K(u)$ are $C^{1}$-functionals in $H$. Note that for all $u \in M_{m}^{b}, 4 \leq p<6$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\left(G_{m}^{b}\right)^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle & =2\|u\|^{2}+4 b|\nabla u|_{2}^{4}-p Q_{m}|u|_{p}^{p}  \tag{2.7}\\
& =(2-p)\|u\|^{2}+(4-p) b|\nabla u|_{2}^{4}<0 . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $u$ be a critical point of $I_{m}^{b}$ constrained on $M_{m}^{b}$, then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\left(I_{m}^{b}\right)^{\prime}(u)=\lambda\left(G_{m}^{b}\right)^{\prime}(u)$. Hence

$$
0=G_{m}^{b}(u)=\left\langle\left(I_{m}^{b}\right)^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=\lambda\left\langle\left(G_{m}^{b}\right)^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle
$$

It follows from (2.7) that $\lambda=0$, then $\left(I_{m}^{b}\right)^{\prime}(u)=0$.
(c) Let $w_{b, \infty}$ be the unique positive radially symmetric ground state solution to problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\infty}\right)$, which satisfies $\left\|w_{b, \infty}\right\|^{2}+b\left|\nabla w_{b, \infty}\right|_{2}^{4}=Q_{\infty}\left|w_{b, \infty}\right|_{p}^{p}<$ $Q_{m}\left|w_{b, \infty}\right|_{p}^{p}$. Set $A=\left\|w_{b, \infty}\right\|^{2}, B=b\left|\nabla w_{b, \infty}\right|_{2}^{4}, C=Q_{m}\left|w_{b, \infty}\right|_{p}^{p}$, then $A+B<C$. Consider the function $f(t)=A+B t^{2}-C t^{p-2}$, by Lemma 2.9 (a) there exists $t \in(0,1)$ such that $f(t)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(t)<0$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{m}^{b}\left(t w_{b, \infty}\right) & =t^{2} f(t)=0 \\
K\left(t w_{b, \infty}\right) & =(2-p) t^{2} f(t)+t^{3} f^{\prime}(t)<0,
\end{aligned}
$$

it yields that $t w_{b, \infty} \in N_{m}^{b}$, which implies that $N_{m}^{b}$ is not an empty set.
Since $N_{m}^{b}$ is relatively open in $M_{m}^{b}$, if $u$ is a critical point of $I_{m}^{b}$ constrained on $N_{m}^{b}$, there also exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\left(I_{m}^{b}\right)^{\prime}(u)=\lambda\left(G_{m}^{b}\right)^{\prime}(u)$. Note that

$$
\left\langle\left(G_{m}^{b}\right)^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=K(u)<0 \quad \text { for } u \in N_{m}^{b},
$$

we can obtain the statement for $N_{m}^{b}$ by a similar argument as in (b).
Remark 2.11. Let $u \in M_{m}^{b}$, then $K(u)=(4-p) b|\nabla u|_{2}^{4}-(p-2)\|u\|^{2}<0$ for $4 \leq p<6$. Therefore, $M_{m}^{b}$ and $N_{m}^{b}$ coincide when $4 \leq p<6$. Thus, we can treat (2.3) and (2.4) in a unified framework by considering the minimization problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(b)=\inf _{u \in N_{m}^{b}} I_{m}^{b}(u) \quad \text { for } 2<p<6 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Propositions 2.6 and 2.7. 1. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset N_{m}^{b}$ be a minimizing sequence of (2.9), namely, $G_{m}^{b}\left(u_{n}\right)=0, K\left(u_{n}\right)<0$ and $I_{m}^{b}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c(b)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since

$$
I_{m}^{b}\left(u_{n}\right)=\frac{p-2}{4 p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{4 p} K\left(u_{n}\right) \geq \frac{p-2}{4 p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2},
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{4 p c(b)}{p-2}+o(1) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \in H, u_{n} \rightarrow u$ almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L_{\text {loc }}^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ for $2 \leq q<6$. By Lemma 2.10 (a), it yields that $\left|u_{n}\right|_{p}^{p}>\eta_{1}>0$. From the Lions Concentration-Compactness Lemma (e.g. [24]), we further assume that $u \neq 0$.

Denote $v_{n}=u_{n}-u$. By using the Brezis-Lieb Lemma [24], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{m}^{b}\left(u_{n}\right)=G_{m}^{b}(u)+G_{m}^{b}\left(v_{n}\right)+2 b|\nabla u|_{2}^{2}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|_{2}^{2}+o(1)=0 . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(b)+o(1)=I_{m}^{b}\left(u_{n}\right)=\frac{p-2}{2 p}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{(p-4) b}{4 p}|\nabla u|_{2}^{4}+J_{0}\left(u, v_{n}\right), \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{0}\left(u, v_{n}\right) & =\frac{p-2}{2 p}\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{(p-4) b}{4 p}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|_{2}^{4}+\frac{(p-4) b}{2 p}|\nabla u|_{2}^{2}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|_{2}^{2}  \tag{2.13}\\
& \geq \begin{cases}0, & 4 \leq p<6 \\
\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{(p-2) a}{2 p}-\frac{b(4-p)}{2 a p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}\right), & 2<p<4 .\end{cases} \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $b$ satisfies condition (2.5) when $2<p<4$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(p-2) a}{2 p}-\frac{b(4-p)}{2 a p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \geq \frac{(p-2) a}{2 p}-\frac{b(4-p)}{2 a p} \cdot \frac{4 p c(b)}{p-2}+o(1)>0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (2.10) is used. Combining (2.12), (2.13) and (2.15) together, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(b) \geq \frac{p-2}{2 p}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{p-4}{4 p} b|\nabla u|_{2}^{4}=I_{m}^{b}(u) . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. We next prove that $G_{m}^{b}(u) \geq 0$. Arguing by contradiction, we assume that $G_{m}^{b}(u)<0$. In the following, we shall prove that there exists a positive number $t>0$ such that $t u \in N_{m}^{b}$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{m}^{b}(u) & =\frac{p-2}{2 p}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{(p-4) b}{4 p}|\nabla u|_{2}^{4}  \tag{2.17}\\
& >\frac{p-2}{2 p}\|t u\|^{2}+\frac{(p-4) b}{4 p}|t \nabla u|_{2}^{4}=I_{m}^{b}(t u) .
\end{align*}
$$

Once such $t>0$ is found, by the definition of $c(b)$, we have $c(b) \leq I_{m}^{b}(t u)<$ $I_{m}^{b}(u)$, which contradicts (2.16).

If $4 \leq p<6$,

$$
G_{m}^{b}(t u)= \begin{cases}t^{2}\|u\|^{2}+t^{4} b|\nabla u|_{2}^{4}-t^{p} Q_{m}|u|_{p}^{p}, & 4<p<6  \tag{2.18}\\ t^{2}\|u\|^{2}+t^{4}\left(b|\nabla u|_{2}^{4}-Q_{m}|u|_{p}^{p}\right), & p=4\end{cases}
$$

Since $b|\nabla u|_{2}^{4}-Q_{m}|u|_{p}^{p}<-\|u\|^{2}<0$, there exists a $0<t<1$ such that $G_{m}^{b}(t u)=0$.
Moreover,
$I_{m}^{b}(t u)=\frac{(p-2) t^{2}}{2 p}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{(p-4) t^{4}}{4 p} b|\nabla u|_{2}^{4}<\frac{p-2}{2 p}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{p-4}{4 p} b|\nabla u|_{2}^{4}=I_{m}^{b}(u)$.
On the other hand, if $2<p<4$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(t)=\|u\|^{2}+t^{2} b|\nabla u|_{2}^{4}-t^{p-2} Q_{m}|u|_{p}^{p}, \\
& h(t)=\frac{(p-2) t^{2}}{2 p}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{(4-p) t^{4}}{4 p} b|\nabla u|_{2}^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $G_{m}^{b}(u)<0$, applying Lemma 2.9 (a) with $A=\|u\|^{2}, B=b|\nabla u|_{2}^{4}$ and $C=Q_{m}|u|_{p}^{p}$, we can find $0<t_{-}<1$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{m}^{b}\left(t_{-} u\right) & =t_{-}^{2} f\left(t_{-}\right)=0 \\
K\left(t_{-} u\right) & =(2-p) t_{-}^{2} f\left(t_{-}\right)+t_{-}^{3} f^{\prime}\left(t_{-}\right)<0 \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, $h$ increases in the interval $\left[0, t_{2}\right]$,

$$
t_{2}=\left(\frac{p-2}{4-p} \cdot \frac{\|u\|^{2}}{b|\nabla u|_{2}^{4}}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

By (2.5) and (2.10),

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{2}=\left(\frac{a^{2}}{b} \cdot \frac{p-2}{4-p} \cdot \frac{\|u\|^{2}}{a^{2}|\nabla u|_{2}^{4}}\right)^{1 / 2} \geq \frac{a(p-2)}{2 \sqrt{b p(4-p) c(b)}}>1>t_{-}, \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $h\left(t_{-}\right)<h(1)$ and (2.17) holds.
3. A similar argument as in part 2. shows that $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} G_{m}^{b}\left(v_{n}\right) \geq 0$. Due to (2.11), we have $G_{m}^{b}(u)=0, G_{m}^{b}\left(v_{n}\right)=o(1)$ and $|\nabla u|_{2}^{2}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|_{2}^{2}=o(1)$. Since $u \neq 0$, we obtain that $\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|_{2}^{2}=o(1)$ and $\left|v_{n}\right|_{2}^{2}=Q_{m}\left|v_{n}\right|_{p}^{p}+o(1)$. Using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality

$$
\left|v_{n}\right|_{2}^{2}=Q_{m}\left|v_{n}\right|_{p}^{p}+o(1) \leq C Q_{m}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|_{2}^{(3 p-6) / 2}\left|v_{n}\right|_{2}^{(6-p) / 2}+o(1),
$$

we deduce that $\left\|v_{n}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which implies that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges to $u$ in $H$.
4. It remains to show that $c(b)=c_{m}(b)$, where $c_{m}(b)$ is defined in (2.1). If $u \geq 0$, by Lemma 2.3, Remark 2.4, we have $K\left(w_{b}\right)=K(u)<0$ and $c_{m}(b)=$ $I_{m}^{b}\left(w_{b}\right)=I_{m}^{b}(u)=c(b)$. While, if $u^{ \pm} \neq 0$, multiplying on both sides of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{m}\right)$ by $u^{ \pm}$, we get $G_{m}^{b}\left(u^{ \pm}\right)=\left\|u^{ \pm}\right\|^{2}+b\left|\nabla u^{ \pm}\right|_{2}^{4}-Q_{m}\left|u^{ \pm}\right|_{p}^{p}=-b\left|\nabla u^{\mp}\right|_{2}^{4}<0$. By Lemma 2.9 (a) and a similar argument as (2.19), there exist $t^{ \pm} \in(0,1)$ such that $t^{ \pm} u^{ \pm} \in N_{m}^{b}$ and

$$
J\left(u^{ \pm}\right)>J\left(t^{ \pm} u^{ \pm}\right)=I_{m}^{b}\left(t^{ \pm} u^{ \pm}\right) \geq c(b)
$$

where $J$ is defined in (1.8). Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c(b)=I_{m}^{b}(u) & =J\left(u^{+}\right)+J\left(u^{-}\right)+\frac{(p-4) b}{2 p}\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|_{2}^{2}\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|_{2}^{2} \\
& >2 c(b)+\frac{(p-4) b}{2 p}\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|_{2}^{2}\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $4 \leq p<6$, we directly have a contradiction. On the other hand, if $2<p<4$, by (2.5) and (2.10),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(4-p) b}{2 p}\left|\nabla u^{+}\right|_{2}^{2}\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{(4-p) b}{8 p}|\nabla u|_{2}^{4} \leq \frac{c(b)}{4} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $c(b)=I_{m}^{b}(u)>7 c(b) / 4$ which derives a contradiction.

## 3. Energy estimates

In this section, in order to rule out the case that the minimizer of $I_{\varepsilon}$ on $\overline{N_{\varepsilon}^{i}}$ is located on the boundary of $N_{\varepsilon}^{i}$, we shall establish some energy estimates for
perturbation problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ by considering the following minimization problems in $N_{\varepsilon}^{i}, \partial \overline{N_{\varepsilon}^{i}}$ and $\partial \widehat{N}_{\varepsilon}^{i}$ for $I_{\varepsilon}$ :

$$
\gamma_{\varepsilon}^{i}=\inf _{u \in N_{\varepsilon}^{i}} I_{\varepsilon}(u), \quad \bar{\gamma}_{\varepsilon}^{i}=\inf _{u \in \partial \bar{N}_{\varepsilon}^{i}} I_{\varepsilon}(u) \quad \text { and } \quad \widehat{\gamma}_{\varepsilon}^{i}=\inf _{u \in \partial \hat{N}_{\varepsilon}^{i}} I_{\varepsilon}(u)
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, k$, where $N_{\varepsilon}^{i}, \partial \overline{N_{\varepsilon}^{i}}$ and $\partial \widehat{N}_{\varepsilon}^{i}$ are defined in (1.10)-(1.13). In fact, $N_{\varepsilon}^{i}$ is not an empty set. Let $w_{b, \infty}^{i}(x)=w_{b, \infty}\left(x-x^{i} / \varepsilon\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|w_{b, \infty}^{i}\right\|^{2}+b\left|\nabla w_{b, \infty}^{i}\right|_{2}^{4} & =Q_{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left|w_{b, \infty}^{i}\right|^{p} \\
& <\int_{B(0,1 / \sqrt{\varepsilon})} Q\left(\varepsilon x+x^{i}\right)\left|w_{b, \infty}\right|^{p} d x<\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q_{\varepsilon}\left|w_{b, \infty}^{i}\right|^{p} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

for sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$. Similarly as the proof of the non-emptiness of $N_{m}^{b}$, we can find $t_{\varepsilon}^{i}>0$ such that $t_{\varepsilon}^{i} w_{b, \infty}^{i} \in N_{\varepsilon}^{i}$.

For $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small, we define a cutoff function $\psi_{\varepsilon}(x) \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3},[0,1]\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\varepsilon}(x)=1 & \text { in } B\left(0, \varepsilon^{-1 / 2}\right), \\
\psi_{\varepsilon}(x)=0 & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash B\left(0, \varepsilon^{-1 / 2}+1\right), \\
\left|\Delta \psi_{\varepsilon}(x)\right| \leq 3 & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $w_{\varepsilon, i}(x)=w_{b}\left(x-x^{i} / \varepsilon\right) \psi_{\varepsilon}\left(x-x^{i} / \varepsilon\right)$ where $w_{b}=w_{b, m}$ denotes the radially symmetric and positive ground state in Lemma 2.1. We start with the following upper bound for $\gamma_{\varepsilon}^{i}$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $2<p<6$ and suppose that (2.5) holds if $2<p<4$. Then, for any $\delta>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{\varepsilon}^{i} \leq c_{m}(b)+\delta \quad \text { for } \varepsilon>0 \text { is sufficiently small. } \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. 1. We first compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|w_{\varepsilon, i}\right|_{2}^{2} & =\left|w_{b} \psi_{\varepsilon}\right|_{2}^{2}=\left|w_{b}\right|_{2}^{2}+o_{\varepsilon}(1), \\
\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon, i}\right|_{2}^{2} & =\left|\nabla w_{b} \psi_{\varepsilon}+w_{b} \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon}\right|_{2}^{2} \\
& =\left|\nabla w_{b} \psi_{\varepsilon}\right|_{2}^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} w_{b}^{2} \psi_{\varepsilon} \Delta \psi_{\varepsilon} d x=\left|\nabla w_{b}\right|_{2}^{2}+o_{\varepsilon}(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q_{\varepsilon}(x) & w_{\varepsilon, i}^{p} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q\left(\varepsilon x+x^{i}\right) w_{b}^{p} \psi_{\varepsilon}^{p} d x \\
= & \int_{B(0,1 / \sqrt{\varepsilon})} Q\left(\varepsilon x+x^{i}\right) w_{b}^{p} d x \\
& \quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash B(0,1 / \sqrt{\varepsilon})} Q\left(\varepsilon x+x^{i}\right) w_{b}^{p} \psi_{\varepsilon}^{p} d x=Q_{m}\left|w_{b}\right|_{p}^{p}+o_{\varepsilon}(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It yields that $\left\|w_{\varepsilon, i}\right\|^{2}=\left\|w_{b}\right\|^{2}+o_{\varepsilon}(1), b\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon, i}\right|_{2}^{4}=b\left|\nabla w_{b}\right|_{2}^{4}+o_{\varepsilon}(1)$ and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon, i}^{p} d x=Q_{m}\left|w_{b}\right|_{p}^{p}+o_{\varepsilon}(1) .
$$

2. We claim that there exists $t_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that $t_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon, i} \in N_{\varepsilon}^{i}$ and $t_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

If $4 \leq p<6$, similarly to (2.18), there exists $0<t_{\varepsilon}<1$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =G_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon, i}\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
& = \begin{cases}t_{\varepsilon}^{2}\left\|w_{\varepsilon, i}\right\|^{2}+t_{\varepsilon}^{4} b\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon, i}\right|_{2}^{4}-t_{\varepsilon}^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon, i}^{p} d x, & 4<p<6, \\
t_{\varepsilon}^{2}\left\|w_{\varepsilon, i}\right\|^{2}+t_{\varepsilon}^{4}\left(b\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon, i}\right|_{2}^{4}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon, i}^{p} d x\right), & p=4 .\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 2.10 (a), there exists $\eta_{2}>0$ such that $\left\|t_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon, i}\right\|>\eta_{2}$. Since $\left\{\left\|w_{\varepsilon, i}\right\|\right\}$ is bounded, we obtain that $t_{\varepsilon}$ must converge to $t \in(0,+\infty)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (3.2), we have

$$
t^{2}\left\|w_{b}\right\|^{2}+t^{4} b\left|\nabla w_{b}\right|_{2}^{4}-t^{p} Q_{m}\left|w_{b}\right|_{p}^{p}=0, \quad 4 \leq p<6 .
$$

Combining with $\left\|w_{b}\right\|^{2}+b\left|\nabla w_{b}\right|_{2}^{4}-Q_{m}\left|w_{b}\right|_{p}^{p}=0$, it yields that $t=1$.
If $2<p<4$, from Proposition 2.7, we can deduce that

$$
K\left(w_{b}\right)=(4-p) b\left|\nabla w_{b}\right|_{2}^{4}-(p-2)\left\|w_{b}\right\|^{2}<0 .
$$

By Young's inequality, we have

$$
\left(\frac{\left\|w_{b}\right\|^{2}}{(4-p) / 2}\right)^{(4-p) / 2}\left(\frac{b\left|\nabla w_{b}\right|_{2}^{4}}{(p-2) / 2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2}<\left\|w_{b}\right\|^{2}+b\left|\nabla w_{b}\right|_{2}^{4}=Q_{m}\left|w_{b}\right|_{p}^{p}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\left\|w_{\varepsilon, i}\right\|^{2}}{(4-p) / 2}\right)^{(4-p) / 2}\left(\frac{b\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon, i}\right|_{2}^{4}}{(p-2) / 2}\right)^{(p-2) / 2}<\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon, i}^{p} d x \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small. Let $A=\left\|w_{\varepsilon, i}\right\|^{2}, B=b\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon, i}\right|_{2}^{4}, C=$ $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon, i}^{p} d x$ and

$$
f(t)=A+B t^{2}+C t^{p-2}
$$

applying Lemma $2.9(\mathrm{~b})$, there exist $0<t_{\varepsilon}^{-}<t_{1}<t_{\varepsilon}^{+}$such that $f\left(t_{\varepsilon}^{ \pm}\right)=0$, $f^{\prime}\left(t_{\varepsilon}^{-}\right)<0$ and $f^{\prime}\left(t_{\varepsilon}^{+}\right)>0$. Similarly to (2.19), we deduce that $G_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{\varepsilon}^{ \pm} w_{\varepsilon, i}\right)=0$, $K\left(t_{\varepsilon}^{-} w_{\varepsilon, i}\right)<0$ and $K\left(t_{\varepsilon}^{+} w_{\varepsilon, i}\right)>0$. From $G_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{\varepsilon}^{ \pm} w_{\varepsilon, i}\right)=0$, it is easy to see that $t_{\varepsilon}^{ \pm}$ must converge to $t^{ \pm} \in(0,+\infty)$, which implies that $K\left(t^{-} w_{b}\right) \leq 0, K\left(t^{+} w_{b}\right) \geq 0$. It follows from $K\left(w_{b}\right)<0$ that $t_{\varepsilon}^{-} \rightarrow t^{-}=1$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
3.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{\varepsilon}^{i} \leq I_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon, i}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} t_{\varepsilon}^{2}\left\|w_{\varepsilon, i}\right\|^{2}+\frac{b}{4} t_{\varepsilon}^{4}\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon, i}\right|_{2}^{4}-\frac{1}{p} t_{\varepsilon}^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q_{\varepsilon}(x) w_{\varepsilon, i}^{p} d x \\
& =I_{m}^{b}\left(w_{b}\right)+o_{\varepsilon}(1)=c_{m}(b)+o_{\varepsilon}(1)<c_{m}(b)+\delta,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small.

Lemma 3.2. Let $2<p<6$ and suppose that (2.5) holds if $2<p<4$. Then, there exists $\eta_{3}>0$, independent of $\varepsilon$ such that

$$
\bar{\gamma}_{\varepsilon}^{i}>c_{m}(b)+\eta_{3} \quad \text { for } \varepsilon>0 \text { sufficiently small. }
$$

Proof. Assume to the contrary that there exists a sequence $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}$ with $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\gamma}_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{i}=c_{m}(b)$. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \overline{\partial N_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{i}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \leq c_{m}(b) \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first claim that there exists a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ such that $0<t_{n}<1, t_{n} u_{n} \in N_{m}^{b}$ and $I_{m}^{b}\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right)<I_{m}^{b}\left(u_{n}\right)$.

If $4 \leq p<6$, since $b\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|_{2}^{4}-Q_{m}\left|u_{n}\right|_{p}^{p}=-\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}<0$, similarly to (2.18) the above claim holds.

If $2<p<4$, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{n}(t):=\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+t^{2} b\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|_{2}^{4}-t^{p-2} Q_{m}\left|u_{n}\right|_{p}^{p}, \\
& h_{n}(t):=\frac{(p-2) t^{2}}{2 p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{(p-4) t^{4}}{4 p} b\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|_{2}^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $G\left(u_{n}\right)=0$ and $K\left(u_{n}\right)<0$, Lemma 2.9 (c) yields that there exists a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}$ such that $0<t_{n}<1, f_{n}\left(t_{n}\right)=0$ and $f_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)<0$. Similarly to (2.19), we have $t_{n} u_{n} \in N_{m}^{b}$. By (3.4), we can deduce that

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{4 p c_{m}(b)}{p-2}+o(1)
$$

By Proposition 2.7, $c_{m}(b)=\widetilde{c}(b)$. Similarly to (2.20), we have $h_{n}(t)<h_{n}(1)$, i.e. $I_{m}^{b}\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right)<I_{m}^{b}\left(u_{n}\right)$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{m}(b) & \leq I_{m}^{b}\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|t_{n} u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{b}{4}\left|t_{n} \nabla u_{n}\right|_{2}^{4}-\frac{1}{p} Q_{m}\left|t_{n} u_{n}\right|_{p}^{p} \\
& <\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{b}{4}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|_{2}^{4}-\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} d x=I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq c_{m}(b)+o(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $\left\{t_{n} u_{n}\right\}$ are minimizing sequences of (2.9). Moreover $t_{n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{m}\left|u_{n}\right|_{p}^{p}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p} d x+o(1) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Propositions 2.6, 2.7, there exists a sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that $u_{n}(x+$ $\left.z_{n}\right) \rightarrow w_{b}$ in $H$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Note that $\beta\left(u_{n}\right) \in \partial B_{\varepsilon_{n}}^{i}$, it follows from the properties of barycenter map that $\left\{\varepsilon_{n} z_{n}\right\}$ converges to a point $z \in \partial B\left(x^{i}, r^{i}\right)$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q_{\varepsilon_{n}}(x) u_{n}^{p}(x) d x & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q\left(\varepsilon_{n} x+\varepsilon_{n} z_{n}\right) u_{n}^{p}\left(x+z_{n}\right) d x=Q(z)\left|w_{b}\right|_{p}^{p} \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_{m}\left|u_{n}\right|_{p}^{p} & =Q_{m}\left|w_{b}\right|_{p}^{p}>Q(z)\left|w_{b}\right|_{p}^{p},
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts (3.5). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.
Lemma 3.3. Let $2<p<4$ and suppose that (2.5) holds. Then

$$
\widehat{\gamma}_{\varepsilon}^{i} \geq 2 c_{m}(b) \quad \text { for all } \varepsilon>0
$$

Proof. For any $u \in \partial \widehat{N}_{\varepsilon}^{i}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{\varepsilon}(u)=\frac{p-2}{4 p}\|u\|^{2}, \\
(p-2) a|\nabla u|_{2}^{2} \leq(p-2)\|u\|^{2}=(4-p) b|\nabla u|_{2}^{4} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By Lemma 2.10 (a), $\|u\|>\eta_{2}>0$, which implies that

$$
|\nabla u|_{2}^{2} \geq \frac{(p-2) a}{(4-p) b}
$$

It follows from (2.5) that

$$
I_{\varepsilon}(u) \geq \frac{(p-2)^{2} a^{2}}{4 b p(4-p)}>2 c_{m}(b)
$$

Corollary 3.4. Let $2<p<6$ and suppose that (2.5) holds if $2<p<4$. Then

$$
\gamma_{\varepsilon}^{i}<\min \left\{c_{\infty}(b), \bar{\gamma}_{\varepsilon}^{i}, \widehat{\gamma}_{\varepsilon}^{i}\right\} \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, k,
$$

for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small.

## 4. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Motivated by Tarantello [22] (also see Ni and Takagi [19]), we have the following result.

Lemma 4.1. For $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small, $u \in N_{\varepsilon}^{i}$, there exist $\sigma>0$ and a function $t^{*}: B(0, \sigma) \subset H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $t^{*}(0)=1$ and $t^{*}(v)(u-v) \in N_{\varepsilon}^{i}$ for all $v \in B(0, \sigma)$ and, for all $\phi \in H$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\left(t^{*}\right)^{\prime}(0), \phi\right\rangle & =\left.\frac{d t^{*}(s \phi)}{d s}\right|_{s=0} \\
& =\frac{2(u, \phi)+4 b|\nabla u|_{2}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \nabla u \nabla \phi d x-p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q_{\varepsilon}|u|^{p-2} u \phi d x}{(4-p) b|\nabla u|_{2}^{4}-(p-2)\|u\|^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. For $u \in N_{\varepsilon}^{i}$, define $F_{u}: \mathbb{R} \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
F_{u}(t, v)=G_{\varepsilon}(t(u-v))=t^{2}\|u-v\|^{2}+t^{4} b|\nabla u-\nabla v|_{2}^{4}-t^{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q_{\varepsilon}|u-v|^{p} d x .
$$

Then, $F_{u}(1,0)=G_{\varepsilon}(u)=0$ and

$$
\frac{\partial F_{u}}{\partial t}(1,0)=2\|u\|^{2}+4 b|\nabla u|_{2}^{4}-p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q_{\varepsilon}(x)|u|^{p} d x=K(u)<0 .
$$

According to the implicit function theorem, there exist $\sigma>0$ and a differentiable function $t^{*}: B(0, \sigma) \subset H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $t^{*}(0)=1, F_{u}\left(t^{*}(v), v\right)=G\left(t^{*}(v)(u-\right.$ $v))=0$ for all $v \in B(0, \sigma)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\left(t^{*}\right)^{\prime}(0), \phi\right\rangle & =\left.\frac{d t^{*}(s \phi)}{d s}\right|_{s=0} \\
& =\frac{2(u, \phi)+4 b|\nabla u|_{2}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \nabla u \nabla \phi d x-p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} Q_{\varepsilon}|u|^{p-2} u \phi d x}{(4-p) b|\nabla u|_{2}^{4}-(p-2)\|u\|^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\phi \in H$. Furthermore, due to continuity of the functional $K, \beta$ and $t^{*}(u)$, we have $K\left(t^{*}(v)(u-v)\right)<0$ and $\beta\left(t^{*}(v)(u-v)\right) \in B_{\varepsilon}^{i}$ for all $v \in B(0, \sigma) \subset H$. Thus, $t^{*}(v)(u-v) \in N_{\varepsilon}^{i}$ for all $v \in B(0, \sigma) \subset H$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $2<p<6$ and condition (2.5) hold if $2<p<4$. Then, for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small, there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset N_{\varepsilon}^{i}$ such that

$$
I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{n}\right)=\gamma_{\varepsilon}^{i}+o(1) \quad \text { and } \quad I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=o(1) \quad \text { in } H^{-1} .
$$

Proof. Let $\overline{N_{\varepsilon}^{i}}$ be the closure of $N_{\varepsilon}^{i}$. By Corollary 3.4, we have

$$
\gamma_{\varepsilon}^{i}=\inf _{u \in \overline{N_{\varepsilon}^{i}}} I_{\varepsilon}(u), \quad \text { for } 2<p<6
$$

Applying the Ekeland variational principle, there exists a minimizing sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \overline{N_{\varepsilon}^{i}}$ such that $I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{n}\right)<\gamma_{\varepsilon}^{i}+1 / n$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq I_{\varepsilon}(w)+\frac{1}{n}\left\|w-u_{n}\right\| \quad \text { for all } w \in \overline{N_{\varepsilon}^{i}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Corollary 3.4, we assume that $u_{n} \in N_{\varepsilon}^{i}$ for $n$ sufficiently large. Applying Lemma 4.1 with $u=u_{n}$, we obtain the function $t_{n}^{*}: B\left(0, \sigma_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$for some $\sigma_{n}>0$ such that $t_{n}^{*}(v)\left(u_{n}-v\right) \in N_{\varepsilon}^{i}$ for all $v \in B\left(0, \sigma_{n}\right)$. Let $s<\sigma_{n}, v \in H$ and $\|v\|=1$, we have $t_{n}^{*}(s v)\left(u_{n}-s v\right) \in N_{\varepsilon}^{i}$. It follows from (4.1) that

$$
I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{n}\right)-I_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{n}^{*}(s v)\left(u_{n}-s v\right)\right)<\frac{1}{n}\left\|t_{n}^{*}(s v)\left(u_{n}-s v\right)-u_{n}\right\| .
$$

Since $t_{n}^{*}(s v) \rightarrow t_{n}^{*}(0)=1$ as $s \rightarrow 0$, we have $I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{n}\right)-I_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{n}^{*}(s v)\left(u_{n}-s v\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow 0$. Therefore
$\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{n}\right)-I_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{n}^{*}(s v)\left(u_{n}-s v\right)\right)}{s}=\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right),-\left\langle\left(t_{n}^{*}\right)^{\prime}(0), v\right\rangle u_{n}+v\right\rangle=\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle$.
On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{n}\right)-I_{\varepsilon}\left(t_{n}^{*}(s v)\left(u_{n}-s v\right)\right)}{s} \leq \lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left\|t_{n}^{*}(s v)\left(u_{n}-s v\right)-u_{n}\right\|}{s n} \\
& \quad \leq \lim _{s \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{t_{n}^{*}(s v)-1}{s}\right) \frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}{n}+\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} t_{n}^{*}(s v) \frac{\|v\|}{n}=\left\langle\left(t_{n}^{*}\right)^{\prime}(0), v\right\rangle \frac{\left\|u_{n}\right\|}{n}+\frac{1}{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\{\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right\}$ is bounded, we have

$$
\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle=o(1)\left\langle\left(t_{n}^{*}\right)^{\prime}(0), v\right\rangle+o(1)
$$

By Lemma 4.1, $\left\langle\left(t_{n}^{*}\right)^{\prime}(0), v\right\rangle \leq\left\|u_{n}\right\| /\left|K\left(u_{n}\right)\right|$. Once we prove that $\left\{\left|K\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right\}$ is bounded away form zero, we get

$$
\left\langle I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), v\right\rangle=o(1) \quad \text { for all } v \in H \text { and }\|v\|=1
$$

Note that, if $4 \leq p<6,\left|K\left(u_{n}\right)\right|=(p-2)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+(p-4) b\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|_{2}^{4}>C \eta_{2}>0$. On the other hand, if $2<p<4$, suppose that $\left\{K\left(u_{n}\right)\right\}$ converges to zero,

$$
(p-2) a\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|_{2}^{2} \leq(p-2)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}=(4-p) b\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|_{2}^{4}+o(1),
$$

which implies $\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|_{2}^{2} \geq(p-2) a /((4-p) b)+o(1)$. By Lemma 3.1, let $\delta=$ $c_{m}(b) / 4$ in (3.1),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{5}{4} c_{m}(b)+\frac{1}{n} & >\gamma_{\varepsilon}^{i}+\frac{1}{n}>I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{n}\right)=\frac{p-2}{4 p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+o(1) \\
& \geq \frac{(p-2)^{2} a^{2}}{4 b p(4-p)}+o(1)>2 c_{m}(b)+o(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

which derives a contradiction. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.2.
Lemma 4.3. Fix $i_{0} \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset N_{\varepsilon}^{i_{0}}$ be a $(\mathrm{PS})_{c}$ sequence, namely $I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c, I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{-1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, up to a subsequence, either $u_{n}$ is strongly convergent in $H$, or there exist a function $u \in H$, an integer $l>0, l$ functions $\left\{W_{j}\right\}, W_{j} \neq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$ and $l$ sequences $\left\{y_{n}^{j}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ for $1 \leq j \leq l$, such that
and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|y_{n}^{j}\right| \rightarrow+\infty, \quad\left|y_{n}^{j}-y_{n}^{i}\right| \rightarrow+\infty, \quad i \neq j, \\
& u_{n}(x)-\sum_{j=1}^{l} W_{j}\left(x-y_{n}^{j}\right) \rightarrow u(x) \quad \text { in } H . \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{n}\right)= & J(u)+\sum_{j=1}^{l} J\left(W_{j}\right)+\frac{p-4}{2 p}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{l} b|\nabla u|_{2}^{2}\left|\nabla W_{j}\right|_{2}^{2}\right)  \tag{4.4}\\
& +\frac{p-4}{2 p}\left(\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq l} b\left|\nabla W_{i}\right|_{2}^{2}\left|\nabla W_{j}\right|_{2}^{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $J$ is defined in (1.8).

Proof. Similarly to (2.10),

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{4 p c}{p-2}+o(1)
$$

Let $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \in H, u_{n} \rightarrow u$ almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow u \in L_{\text {loc }}^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ for $q \in(2,6)$. Set $\widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}=u_{n}-u$, by the Brezis-Lieb Lemma, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
-\left(a+b|\nabla u|_{2}^{2}+b\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}\right|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta u+u=Q_{\varepsilon}(x)|u|^{p-2} u+o(1) & \text { in } H^{-1}  \tag{4.5}\\
-\left(a+b\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta \widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}+\widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}=Q_{\infty}\left|\widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}\right|^{p-2} \widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}+o(1) & \text { in } H^{-1} \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Define

$$
\delta:=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{B_{R}(y)}\left|\widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}\right|^{2} d x .
$$

If $\delta=0$, by the Lions Lemma we have $\widetilde{u}_{n}^{1} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. It follows from (4.6) that $\left\|\widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}\right\|^{2} \leq Q_{\infty}\left|\widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}\right|_{p}^{p} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which implies that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $H$.

If $\delta>0$, by the Concentration-Compactness Argument, there exists a sequence $\left\{y_{n}^{1}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that $\left|y_{n}^{1}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ and $\widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}\left(x+y_{n}^{1}\right) \rightharpoonup W_{1} \neq 0$ in $H$. Set $\widetilde{u}_{n}^{2}:=\widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}\left(x+y_{n}^{1}\right)-W_{1}$,

$$
\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}\right|_{2}^{2}=\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}^{2}\right|_{2}^{2}+\left|\nabla W_{1}\right|_{2}^{2}+o(1) .
$$

Similarly to (4.5) and (4.6), $W_{1}$ and $\widetilde{u}_{n}^{2}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left(a+b|\nabla u|_{2}^{2}+b\left|\nabla W_{1}\right|_{2}^{2}+b\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}^{2}\right|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta W_{1}+W_{1}=Q_{\infty}\left|W_{1}\right|^{p-2} W_{1}+o(1) \text { in } H^{-1} \text {, } \\
& -\left(a+b|\nabla u|_{2}^{2}+b\left|\nabla W_{1}\right|_{2}^{2}+b\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}^{2}\right|_{2}^{2}\right) \Delta \widetilde{u}_{n}^{2}+\widetilde{u}_{n}^{2}=Q_{\infty}\left|\widetilde{u}_{n}^{2}\right|^{p-2} \widetilde{u}_{n}^{2}+o(1) \text { in } H^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By iterating the above procedure, we construct sequences $\left\{W_{j}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}^{j}\right\}$ such that $G_{\infty}^{b}\left(W_{j}\right)<0$, however, the iteration must terminate at some finite index $l$. In fact, similarly to the proof of that in Propositions 2.6, 2.7 and Lemma 2.10 (a), we can find $t_{j} \in(0,1)$ such that $t_{j} W_{j} \in N_{\infty}^{b}$ and $\left\|W_{j}\right\|>\left\|t_{j} W_{j}\right\| \geq \widetilde{\eta}_{0}$, for some $\widetilde{\eta}_{0}>0$. It follows from $K\left(u_{n}\right)<0$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c+1 & \geq I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{n}\right) \geq \frac{p-2}{4 p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& \geq \frac{p-2}{4 p}\left(\|u\|^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{l}\left\|W_{j}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\widetilde{u}_{n}^{l+1}\right\|^{2}\right)+o(1) \geq \frac{(p-2) l \widetilde{\eta}_{0}^{2}}{4 p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
u_{n}(x)-\sum_{j=1}^{l} W_{j}\left(x-y_{n}^{j}\right) \rightarrow u(x) \quad \text { in } H,
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{n}\right)= & \frac{p-2}{2 p}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+\frac{(p-4) b}{4 p}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|_{2}^{4} \\
= & J(u)+\frac{p-2}{2 p}\left\|\widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}\right\|^{2}+\frac{(p-4) b}{4 p}\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}\right|_{2}^{4}+\frac{(p-4) b}{2 p}|\nabla u|_{2}^{2}\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}\right|_{2}^{2} \\
= & J(u)+J\left(W_{1}\right)+\frac{p-2}{2 p}\left\|\widetilde{u}_{n}^{2}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\frac{(p-4) b}{4 p}\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}^{2}\right|_{2}^{4}+\frac{(p-4) b}{2 p}\left|\nabla W_{1}\right|_{2}^{2}\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}^{2}\right|_{2}^{2} \\
& +\frac{(p-4) b}{2 p}|\nabla u|_{2}^{2}\left|\nabla W_{1}\right|_{2}^{2}+\frac{(p-4) b}{2 p}|\nabla u|_{2}^{2}\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}^{2}\right|_{2}^{2} \\
= & J(u)+\sum_{j=1}^{l} J\left(W_{j}\right)+\frac{p-4}{2 p}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{l} b|\nabla u|_{2}^{2}\left|\nabla W_{j}\right|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{p-4}{2 p}\left(\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq l} b\left|\nabla W_{i}\right|_{2}^{2}\left|\nabla W_{j}\right|_{2}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Fix $i_{0} \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset N_{\varepsilon}^{i_{0}}$ be a sequence satisfying

$$
I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{n}\right)=\gamma_{\varepsilon}^{i_{0}}+o(1), \quad I_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=o(1) \quad \text { in } H^{-1}
$$

By Lemma 4.3, if $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is not compact, there exist $u,\left\{W_{j}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}^{j}\right\}, j=1, \ldots, l$, satisfying (4.2), (4.4) and

$$
u_{n}=u+\sum_{j=1}^{l} W_{j}\left(x-y_{n}^{j}\right)+o(1) \quad \text { in } H
$$

Case 1. $u \neq 0$. Similarly to (2.10), by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{4 p \gamma_{\varepsilon}^{i_{0}}}{p-2}+o(1) \leq \frac{4 p\left(c_{m}(b)+\delta\right)}{p-2}+o(1) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}=u_{n}-u$, by (4.5) and (4.6) we have $G_{\varepsilon}(u)<0$ and $G_{\infty}^{b}\left(\widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}\right)<0$. Using Lemma 2.9 (a) and (2.19), there exist $t_{0}, t_{n}^{1} \in(0,1)$, such that $t_{0} u \in N_{\varepsilon}^{i_{0}}$, $t_{n}^{1} \widetilde{u}_{n}^{1} \in N_{\infty}^{b}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(u)>J\left(t_{0} u\right) \geq c_{m}(b), \quad J\left(\widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}\right)>J\left(t_{n}^{1} \widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}\right) \geq c_{\infty}(b) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{n}\right)=J(u)+J\left(\widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}\right)+\frac{(p-4) b}{2 p}|\nabla u|_{2}^{2}\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}\right|_{2}^{2},
$$

if $4 \leq p<6$, we directly have $I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{n}\right)>c_{m}(b)+c_{\infty}(b)$ which contradicts to $I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{n}\right)<c_{m}(b)+\delta$, for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small.

On the other hand, if $2<p<4$, by (2.5) and (4.7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(4-p) b}{2 p}|\nabla u|_{2}^{2}\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}\right|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{(4-p) b}{8 p}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|_{2}^{4} \leq \frac{\left(c_{m}(b)+\delta\right)^{2}}{4 c_{m}}+o(1)<\frac{c_{m}}{2} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a small $\delta>0$ and $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$. Thus, by (4.8),

$$
c_{m}+o(1)=I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{n}\right)=J(u)+J\left(\widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}\right)-\frac{(4-p) b}{2 p}|\nabla u|_{2}^{2}\left|\nabla \widetilde{u}_{n}^{1}\right|_{2}^{2} \geq \frac{3 c_{m}}{2}
$$

which derives a contradiction.
Case 2. $\quad u=0$. If $l \geq 2$, denote $\widetilde{u}_{n}^{2}=u_{n}\left(x+y_{n}^{1}\right)-W_{1}$. We see that $\left\|\widetilde{u}_{n}^{2}\right\|$ is bounded away form zero. Following the argument as in case 1 , we can get the contradiction similarly. At last we analyze the case $l=1$, i.e. $u_{n}=W_{1}\left(x-y_{n}^{1}\right)+o(1)$, for some $\left|y_{n}^{1}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Note that the barycenter of $u_{n}$ is bounded in $B_{\varepsilon}^{i_{0}}$ for a fixed $\varepsilon>0$, while $\left|\beta\left(W_{1}\left(x-y_{n}^{1}\right)\right)\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which leads to a contradiction. Therefore, $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $H$ for some $u \neq 0$. By Corollary 3.4, we know that $u \in N_{\varepsilon}^{i_{0}}$ is the minimizer of $I_{\varepsilon}$ in $N_{\varepsilon}^{i_{0}}$
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