Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis Volume 47, No. 2, 2016, 511–528 DOI: 10.12775/TMNA.2016.011

O 2016 Juliusz Schauder Centre for Nonlinear Studies Nicolaus Copernicus University

PULLBACK ATTRACTORS FOR A NON-AUTONOMOUS SEMILINEAR DEGENERATE PARABOLIC EQUATION

Xin Li — Chunyou Sun — Feng Zhou

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the pullback attractors for a nonautonomous semilinear degenerate parabolic equation $u_t - \operatorname{div}(\sigma(x)\nabla u) + f(u) = g(x,t)$ defined on a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with smooth boundary. We provide that the usual $(L^2(\Omega), L^2(\Omega))$ pullback \mathscr{D}_{λ} -attractor indeed can attract the \mathscr{D}_{λ} -class in $L^{2+\delta}(\Omega)$, where $\delta \in [0, \infty)$ can be arbitrary.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following non-autonomous degenerate parabolic equation:

(1.1)
$$\begin{cases} u_t - \operatorname{div}(\sigma(x)\nabla u) + f(u) = g(x,t) & \text{in } \Omega \times (\tau, +\infty), \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (\tau, +\infty), \\ u|_{t=\tau} = u_\tau \in L^2(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N $(N \geq 3)$ with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, the diffusion coefficient σ , the nonlinearity f and the external force g satisfying the following conditions:

(C1) $\sigma(x)$ is a non-negative measurable function such that $\sigma \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ and for some $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, $\liminf_{x \to z} |x - z|^{-\alpha} \sigma(x) > 0$ for every $z \in \overline{\Omega}$.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35K65, 35B40, 35B41.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ Non-autonomous; degenerate parabolic equation; pullback attractor.

(C2) The function $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies, for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

(1.2)
$$\alpha_1 |s|^p - \alpha_2 \le f(s)s \le \alpha_3 |s|^p + \alpha_4, \quad p \ge 2,$$

(1.3)
$$f(0) = 0, \quad f'(s) \ge -l,$$

where α_i , i = 1, 2, 3, 4 are positive constants.

(C3) $g \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega))$ satisfies

(1.4)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{\lambda s} \|g(s)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} ds < +\infty,$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is the first eigenvalue of the operator $-\operatorname{div}(\sigma(x)\nabla \cdot)$ in Ω with the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition.

Assumption (C1) indicates that the function $\sigma(\cdot)$ may be extremely irregular, for example, $\sigma(\cdot)$ could be non-smooth, such as $\sigma(x) = |x-z|^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in (0,2)$ and every $z \in \overline{\Omega}$. The physical motivation of assumption on the diffusion variable $\sigma(\cdot)$ is to model the "perfect insulator" or "perfect conductor" of the media somewhere, see [1], [2], [4], [9], [10] for detailed discussions.

For equation (1.1) with degeneracy, the existence and uniqueness of solutions have been studied extensively, see for example, [4], [5], [14], [15] for the elliptic case and [8], [15], [18] for the parabolic problem.

The main purpose of this paper is to consider the dynamics of the dissipative dynamical systems, using the so-called pullback attractor ([6], [7], [11]), generated by the weak solutions of (1.1).

Before we continue with the setting of the problem, let us introduce a notation that will be used in the sequel.

Let R_{λ} be the set of all functions $\rho \colon \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$ such that

$$e^{\lambda \tau} \rho^2(\tau) \to 0 \quad \text{as } \tau \to -\infty,$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is the first eigenvalue of the operator $-\operatorname{div}(\sigma(x)\nabla \cdot)$ in Ω with the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition; and the attraction universe

(1.5) \mathscr{D}_{λ} be the class of all families $\widehat{D} = \{D(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}, D(t) \subset L^{2}(\Omega)\},$ such that $D(t) \subset \{u \in L^{2}(\Omega) : \|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq \rho_{\widehat{D}}(t)\}$ for some $\rho_{\widehat{D}} \in R_{\lambda}.$

Under assumptions (C1)–(C3), the existence of a pullback \mathscr{D}_{λ} -attractor as well as analysis of its properties in the phase space $L^2(\Omega)$ for problem (1.1) has been studied extensively. Let us recall some typical results among them.

In [1], Anh and Bao proved that under assumptions (C1)–(C3), there exists an $(L^2(\Omega), L^2(\Omega))$ pullback \mathscr{D}_{λ} -attractor for the process generated by the weak solutions of (1.1), and then, they also proved that such attractor can attract in $\mathscr{D}_0^1(\Omega, \sigma) \cap L^p(\Omega)$ -norm (where the power p comes from (1.2)) if

512