

INFINITELY MANY HOMOCLINIC ORBITS FOR SUPERLINEAR HAMILTONIAN SYSTEMS

JUN WANG — JUNXIANG XU — FUBAO ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the first order nonautonomous Hamiltonian system

$$\dot{z} = \mathcal{J}H_z(t, z),$$

where $H(t, z)$ depends periodically on t . By using a generalized linking theorem for strongly indefinite functionals, we prove that the system has infinitely many homoclinic orbits for weak superlinear cases.

1. Introduction and main results

In this paper we are interested in the existence of homoclinic orbits of the Hamiltonian system

$$(HS) \quad \dot{z} = \mathcal{J}H_z(t, z),$$

where $z = (p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N = \mathbb{R}^{2N}$, $\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_N \\ -I_N & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $H \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2N}, \mathbb{R})$ is of the form

$$(1.1) \quad H(t, z) = \frac{1}{2}B(t)z \cdot z + R(t, z),$$

Key words and phrases. Homoclinic orbits, Hamiltonian systems, $(C)_c$ -condition, variational methods.

The work supported by Jiangsu University fund grant (11JDG117), NNSFC (11071038) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK2010420).

with $B(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{4N^2})$ being a $2N \times 2N$ symmetric matrix valued function, and $R \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2N}, \mathbb{R})$ is superlinear in z . Here by a homoclinic orbit of (HS) we mean a solution of the equation satisfying $z(t) \not\equiv 0$ and $z(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$.

Establishing the existence of homoclinic orbits for system like (HS) is a classical problem. Up to the year of 1990, there are few isolated results. In very recent years, many authors considered the existence of homoclinic orbits for Hamiltonian systems via critical point theory. For example, see [1], [8], [9], [12], [21], [22] for the second order systems, and [3], [7], [10], [13]–[16], [18], [23]–[27], [29] for the first order systems. Usually, for superlinear problem, one needs the following condition due to Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz [2];

$$(1.2) \quad \exists \nu > 2, \quad 0 < \nu R(t, z) \leq R_z(t, z)z, \quad \forall z \neq 0.$$

Generally speaking, the role of (1.2) is to ensure the boundedness of all $(PS)_c$ -sequences for the corresponding functional. Without (1.2), it is very difficult to get the boundedness of $(PS)_c$ -sequences. However, it is easy to see that (1.2) does not include some superlinear nonlinearities like

$$(1.3) \quad R(t, z) = a(t) \left(|z|^\nu + (\nu - 2)|z|^{\nu-\varepsilon} \sin^2 \left(\frac{|z|^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right) \right), \\ \nu > 2, \quad 0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\nu - 2, \nu - \nu^*\},$$

where $a(t) > 0$ is 1-periodic in t and $\nu^* := \nu(\nu - 2)/(\nu - 1)$. In this paper, we shall study the existence of infinitely many homoclinic orbits for the system (HS) under some superlinear conditions which cover the cases like (1.3).

Let $A := -(\mathcal{J}(d/dt) + B(t))$ be the selfadjoint operator acting in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2N})$ and $\sigma(A)$ denote the spectrum of A . As we all know, the information of $\sigma(A)$ are very important in finding the homoclinic orbits for the system (HS). For example, if 0 is in the essential spectrum of the operator A , then the operator A can not lead the behavior at 0 of the equation, which brings difficulty in the usual variational arguments. So in the early results [3], [7], [18], [24], [25], [27], they assume

$$(\mathcal{R}) \quad B(t) \equiv \tilde{B} \text{ is independent of } t \text{ such that } \text{sp}(\mathcal{J}\tilde{B}) \cap i\mathbb{R} = \emptyset,$$

where $\text{sp}(\mathcal{J}\tilde{B})$ denotes the set of all eigenvalues of $\mathcal{J}\tilde{B}$. Clearly, the condition (\mathcal{R}) means that there exists $\zeta > 0$ such that $\sigma(A) \cap (-\zeta, \zeta) = \emptyset$. That is, 0 is not in the spectrum of A , which is important for variational arguments. Recently, the above condition (\mathcal{R}) was relaxed by Ding and Willem [3], they handled the case when 0 may be in the essential spectrum of A , and assumed that

$$(\mathcal{R}_0) \quad B(t) \text{ depends periodically on } t \text{ with period } 1, \text{ and there is } \alpha > 0 \text{ such} \\ \text{that } \sigma(A) \cap (0, \alpha) = \emptyset.$$

Under the superlinear condition (1.2) and some additional conditions, [16] showed that the system (HS) has at least one homoclinic orbit. Here we point

out that in the present case, 0 may be in the essential spectrum of A which brings difficulty in handling such case by variational methods. To overcome this difficulty, the authors proved an embedding theorem as a compensation (see the following Lemma 2.1). Later, under the superlinear condition (1.2), [13] also considered the case when 0 may be in the essential spectrum of A . If $H(t, z)$ is even in z , the authors proved that the system (HS) has infinitely many homoclinic orbits. In [16], [13], the condition (1.2) is important for them to get the boundedness of the $(PS)_c$ -sequences. We emphasize that under the condition (\mathcal{R}_0) , the superlinear case without (1.2) is quite different and tough to be dealt with. Nearly, under the condition (\mathcal{R}_0) , [29] considered the superlinear case without (1.2). The authors obtained that (HS) has at least one homoclinic orbit. Motivated by present works of [16], [13], [29], in the present, we continue our work of [29] to prove that the system (HS) has infinitely many homoclinic orbits for the superlinear case. In order to state our main results, we assume that $R(t, z)$ satisfies the following conditions.

(\mathcal{R}_1) $R(t, z) \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2N}, \mathbb{R})$ is 1-periodic in t , and there exist positive constants c_1, c_2 and $\nu > 2$ such that

$$c_1|z|^\nu \leq R_z(t, z)z \leq |R_z(t, z)||z| \leq c_2|z|^\nu, \quad \text{for all } (t, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2N}.$$

(\mathcal{R}_2) $R_z(t, z)z - 2R(t, z) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus \{0\}$.

(\mathcal{R}_3) There exists $\mu_0 > 2$ such that

$$\liminf_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{R_z(t, z)z}{R(t, z)} \geq \mu_0$$

uniformly for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

(\mathcal{R}_4) There exists $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_z(t, z)z - 2R(t, z)}{|z|^\beta} \geq c_0$$

uniformly for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\nu > \beta > \nu^* = \nu(\nu - 2)/(\nu - 1)$.

(\mathcal{R}_5) There exist c_3 and $\delta > 0$ such that for all (t, z) and $v \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$ with $|v| \leq \delta$

$$|R_z(t, z + v) - R_z(t, z)| \leq c_3(|v|^{\nu-1} + |z|^{\nu-2}|v| + |v|^{\nu-2}|z|).$$

(\mathcal{R}_6) $R(t, -z) = R(t, z)$ for all $(t, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2N}$.

REMARK 1.1. In [31], [32], the conditions (\mathcal{R}_2) – (\mathcal{R}_5) have been used to weaken the Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz superlinear growth condition (1.2) for Schrödinger equations.

REMARK 1.2. Let $\mu_0 = \nu$ and $\beta = \nu - \varepsilon$. It is easy to see that the nonlinearity (1.3) satisfies (\mathcal{R}_1) – (\mathcal{R}_6) . However, similar to [31]. Let $z_n =$

$(\varepsilon(n\pi + 3\pi/4))^{1/\varepsilon} L_{2N}$, where $L_{2N} = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. For any $\gamma > 2$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} R_z(t, z_n)z_n - \gamma R(t, z_n) \\ &= a(t) \left[(\nu - \gamma)|z_n|^\nu + (\nu - 2)(\nu - \varepsilon - \gamma)|z_n|^{\nu-\varepsilon} \sin^2 \left(\frac{|z_n|^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\nu - 2)|z_n|^\nu \sin 2 \left(\frac{|z_n|^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right) \right] \\ &= a(t)|z_n|^\nu \left[2 - \gamma + \frac{(\nu - 2)(\nu - \varepsilon - \gamma) \sin^2(|z_n|^\varepsilon/\varepsilon)}{|z_n|^\varepsilon} \right] \rightarrow -\infty \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, we know that the nonlinearity (1.3) can not satisfy the Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz condition (1.2) for $\gamma > 2$.

Recall that, based on the periodicity condition, if z is a homoclinic orbit then for any $\iota \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\iota * z := z(\cdot + \iota)$ is also a homoclinic orbit. Let $\mathcal{O}(z) := \{\iota * z; \iota \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ denote the orbit of z with respect to the \mathbb{Z} -action $*$, two homoclinic orbits z_1 and z_2 of (HS) are said to be geometrically distinct if $\mathcal{O}(z_1) \neq \mathcal{O}(z_2)$.

Now we have the following result.

THEOREM 1.3. *Let (\mathcal{R}_0) – (\mathcal{R}_6) be satisfied. Then (HS) has infinitely many geometrically distinct homoclinic orbits.*

REMARK 1.4. If there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $(-\alpha, 0) \cap \sigma(A) = \emptyset$ and $\bar{R}(t, z) := -R(t, z)$ satisfies the the assumptions (\mathcal{R}_1) – (\mathcal{R}_6) , then the same conclusion of Theorem 1.1 remains valid.

Throughout the paper we shall denote by $c > 0$ various positive constants which may vary from lines to lines and are not essential to the problem.

2. The embedding theorem

In order to establish a variational setting for the system (HS), in this section we shall study the spectrum of a Hamiltonian operator.

Recall that $A := -(\mathcal{J}(d/dt) + B(t))$ is a self-adjoint operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2N})$ with domain $\mathcal{D}(A) = H^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2N})$. Let $\sigma_d(A)$ and $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(A)$ be, respectively, the discrete spectrum of A and the essential spectrum of A . By Proposition 2.2 of [16], at most 0 is in the continuous spectrum of A , so we only need to consider the case $0 \in \sigma_{\text{ess}}(A)$. Let $|\cdot|_q$ denote the usual L^q -norm, and $(\cdot, \cdot)_2$ be the usual L^2 -inner product. Set $\mathcal{H} := L^2$.

Let $\{E(\lambda) : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the spectral family of A . We have $A = U|A|$, called the polar decomposition, where $U = I - E(0) - E(-0)$. Clearly, \mathcal{H} has orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^+ \oplus \mathcal{H}^-,$$

where $\mathcal{H}^\pm = \{z \in \mathcal{H}; Uz = \pm z\}$. For each $z \in \mathcal{H}$, we will write $z = z^- + z^+$, where $z^\pm \in \mathcal{H}^\pm$.

Let E be the completion space of $\mathcal{D}(|A|^{1/2})$ under the norm

$$\|z\|_E = \||A|^{1/2}z\|_2.$$

E is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$(z_1, z_2)_E := (|A|^{1/2}z_1, |A|^{1/2}z_2)_2.$$

By Lemma 6.3 in Appendix, we have that for all $z \in \mathcal{D}(|A|^{1/2})$,

$$(2.1) \quad c_1 \|z\|_{H^{1/2}} \leq \|z\|_E + a|z|_2 \leq c_2 \|z\|_{H^{1/2}} + 2a|z|_2,$$

where $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and $a > 4 \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |B(t)|$.

Let $E^+ := \mathcal{H}^+ \cap \mathcal{D}(|A|^{1/2})$. Since the spectrum of A on E^+ is bounded away from 0, thus we have

$$\|u\|_E^2 = (Au, u)_2 = \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \lambda d(E(\lambda)u, u)_2 \geq \alpha |u|_2^2, \quad \text{for all } u \in E^+.$$

Thus, it follows from (2.1) that E^+ is a closed set and

$$(2.2) \quad \|\cdot\|_E \sim \|\cdot\|_{H^{1/2}} \quad \text{on } E^+,$$

where the notation “ \sim ” denotes the equivalence. Then E has an orthogonal decomposition

$$E = E^+ \oplus E^-,$$

with

$$(2.3) \quad E^- \supseteq \mathcal{H}^- \cap \mathcal{D}(|A|^{1/2}).$$

However, since 0 may belong to a spectrum of A , then $\|\cdot\|_E$ may not be equivalent to $H^{1/2}$ -norm on E^- . Therefore, in the following we use the spectrum family of A to sperate $\sigma(A) \cap (-\infty, 0]$ into two segments. That is, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, set

$$\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^- := E(-\varepsilon)\mathcal{H},$$

and $E_{\varepsilon}^- = \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^- \cap \mathcal{D}(|A|^{1/2}) = \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^- \cap E^-$. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\varepsilon}^- := \mathcal{H}^- \cap (\text{cl}_{\mathcal{H}}(\bigcup_{\lambda < -\varepsilon} E(\lambda)\mathcal{H}))^{\perp}$, where $\text{cl}_{\mathcal{H}}(B)$ denotes the closure of the set B in \mathcal{H} . Similarly to E^+ , since the spectrum of A restrict to E_{ε}^- is bounded away from 0. Thus,

$$(2.4) \quad \|\cdot\|_E \sim \|\cdot\|_{H^{1/2}} \quad \text{on } E_{\varepsilon}^-.$$

However, $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\varepsilon}^-$ is not complete with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_E$, thus it is reasonable to introduce a new norm. Define

$$(2.5) \quad \|z\|_{\nu} = (\||A|^{1/2}z\|_2^2 + |z|_{\nu}^2)^{1/2}.$$

Let $E_{\varepsilon, \nu}^-$ be the completion of $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_{\varepsilon}^-$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\nu}$.

Now let E_{ν}^- denote the completion of $\mathcal{D}(A) \cap \mathcal{H}^-$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\nu}$. Since $H^{1/2}$ is continuously embedded in L^p for any $p \in [2, \infty)$, by (2.4), E_{ε}^-

is a closed subspace of E_ν^- . Moreover, noting that $E_{\varepsilon,\nu}^- \subset E^-$, it is orthogonal to E_ε^- with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)_E$, we have

$$(2.6) \quad E_\nu^- = E_\varepsilon^- \oplus E_{\varepsilon,\nu}^-.$$

LEMMA 2.1. $E_{\varepsilon,\nu}^- \subset H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ and is embedded compactly in L_{loc}^∞ , and continuously in L^p for all $\nu \leq p \leq \infty$.

PROOF. The proof was actually given in [16], we state it here for reader's convenience. By the spectral theory of self-adjoint operators, $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\varepsilon^- \subset \mathcal{D}(A) = H^1$. Let $\{z_n\} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{H}}_\varepsilon^-$ be Cauchy sequence with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\nu$. Then

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |A(z_n - z_m)|_2^2 &= \int_{-\varepsilon}^0 \lambda^2 d|E(\lambda)(z_n - z_m)|_2^2 \\ &\leq -\varepsilon \int_{-\varepsilon}^0 \lambda d|E(\lambda)(z_n - z_m)|_2^2 = \varepsilon \| |A|^{1/2}(z_n - z_m) \|_2^2 \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. For any finite interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, one has

$$\int_I |z_n - z_m|^2 dt \leq |I|^{1-2/\nu} \|z_n - z_m\|_\nu^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Together with (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I |\dot{z}_n - \dot{z}_m|^2 dt &= \int_I |A(z_n - z_m) + B(t)(z_n - z_m)|^2 dt \\ &\leq 2|A(z_n - z_m)|_2^2 + 2 \int_I |B(t)(z_n - z_m)|^2 dt \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore the limit z of $\{z_n\}$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\nu$ belongs to $H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, since $H^1(I)$ is compactly embedded in $L^\infty(I)$ for any finite interval I , one sees that $E_{\varepsilon,\nu}^-$ is compactly embedded in $L^\infty(I)$.

By (2.7), $\{Az_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in L^2 . Hence $Az_n \rightarrow w$ in L^2 . Since $Az_n \rightarrow Az$ in L_{loc}^2 , $w = Az$, i.e. $Az \in L^2$. Note that for any finite interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_I |\dot{z}|^2 dt &= \int_I |Az + Bz|^2 dt \leq 2 \int_I (|Az|^2 + |Bz|^2) dt \\ &\leq c \left(\int_I |Az|^2 + |I|^{1-2/\nu} \left(\int_I |z|^\nu \right)^{2/\nu} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, we have

$$z(\tau) = z(t) + \int_t^\tau \dot{z}(s) ds, \quad \text{for } \tau \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Integrating from $\tau - 1/2$ to $\tau + 1/2$ in the above equality, one has

$$(2.9) \quad |z(\tau)| \leq \left(\int_{\tau-1/2}^{\tau+1/2} |z|^\nu dt \right)^{1/\nu} + \left(\int_{\tau-1/2}^{\tau+1/2} |\dot{z}|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

Since $z \in \mathcal{H}$ and $Az \in \mathcal{H}$, (2.8) and (2.9) show that

$$|z(\tau)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |\tau| \rightarrow \infty.$$

That is, $z \in L^\infty$. Therefore $z \in L^\nu \cap L^\infty$ and so $z \in L^p$ for any $p \geq \nu$. Replacing z by $z_n - z$ in (2.8) and (2.9) one sees that $E_{\varepsilon, \nu}^-$ is continuously embedded in L^∞ and so is in L^p for any $p \geq \nu$. \square

Let E_ν denote the completion of the set $\mathcal{D}(A)$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_\nu$. It follows from (2.2), (2.4), (2.6) and Lemma 2.1 that E_ν^- and E^+ are closed sets. Moreover, since $E_\nu \subset E$, and using the decomposition of E , it is easy to check that $E_\nu^- \cap E^+ = \{0\}$, and so

$$(2.10) \quad E_\nu = E_\nu^- \oplus E^+.$$

We now come to the following embedding theorem.

THEOREM 2.2. *Suppose (\mathcal{J}_1) is satisfied, and E_ν is defined in (2.10). Then E_ν is embedded continuously in L^p for all $p \geq \nu$ and compactly in L_{loc}^q for any $q \geq 2$.*

PROOF. By (2.2), (2.4), (2.10) and Lemma 2.1, one can easily get the desired conclusion. \square

3. The abstract critical point theorems

Let $(\mathbb{E}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and $\Phi(z) \in C^1(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{R})$. In order to study the critical points of $\Phi(z)$, we now recall some abstract critical point theory developed recently in [5], see also [19], [4], [31] for earlier results on that direction.

Assume that \mathbb{E} has direct sum decomposition $\mathbb{E} = X \oplus Y$, let \mathcal{P}_X and \mathcal{P}_Y denote projections from \mathbb{E} onto X and Y , respectively. For a functional $\Phi(z)$, we write $\Phi_a := \{z \in \mathbb{E} : \Phi(z) \geq a\}$, $\Phi^b := \{z \in \mathbb{E} : \Phi(z) \leq b\}$ and $\Phi_a^b = \Phi_a \cap \Phi^b$. Next, let's us recall some definitions:

- (i) Φ is said to be weakly sequentially upper semi-continuous if $z_n \rightharpoonup z$ in \mathbb{E} implies $\Phi(z) \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(z_n)$;
- (ii) Φ' is said to be weakly sequentially continuous if $z_n \rightharpoonup z$ in \mathbb{E} implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi'(z_n)w = \Phi'(z)w$ for each $w \in \mathbb{E}$;
- (iii) A sequence $\{z_n\} \subset \mathbb{E}$ is said to be a $(C)_c$ -sequence if $\Phi(z_n) \rightarrow c$ and $(1 + \|z_n\|)\Phi'(z_n) \rightarrow 0$. Φ is said to satisfy the $(C)_c$ -condition if any $(C)_c$ -sequence has a convergent subsequence.

In what follows, a set $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathbb{E}$ is said to be a $(C)_c$ -attractor if for any $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ and any $(C)_c$ -sequence $\{z_n\}$ one has, along a subsequence $z_n \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(\mathcal{B} \cap \Phi_{c-\delta}^{c+\delta})$. Here (and in the sequel), $\mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(\mathcal{K}) := \{z \in \mathbb{E} : \|z - \mathcal{K}\| < \varepsilon\}$ for any subset $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{E}$. For any interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, a set \mathcal{B} is called a $(C)_I$ -attractor if it is a $(C)_c$ -attractor for any $c \in I$ (cf. [11], [5], [4]).

From now on we assume that X is separable and reflexive subspace. For a countable dense subset $B \subset X^*$ and $b \in B$, we define a semi-norm on \mathbb{E} by

$$P_b: \mathbb{E} = X \oplus Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad P_b(x + y) = q_b(x) + \|y\|, \quad \text{for } x + y \in X \oplus Y,$$

where $q_b(x) = |(x, b)_{X, X^*}| = |b(x)|$. We denote by \mathcal{T}_B the induced topology. Let w^* denote the weak*-topology on \mathbb{E}^* .

Assume:

- (\mathcal{A}_0) For any $c \in \mathbb{R}$, Φ_c is \mathcal{T}_B -closed, and $\Phi' : (\Phi_c, \mathcal{T}_B) \rightarrow (\mathbb{E}^*, w^*)$ is continuous.
- (\mathcal{A}_1) There exists $\varrho > 0$ with $\kappa := \inf \Phi(S_\varrho Y) > 0$ where $S_\varrho Y := \{z \in Y : \|z\| = \varrho\}$.
- (\mathcal{A}_2) There exists an increasing sequences of finite dimensional subspace $Y_n \subset Y$ and $R_n > \varrho$ such that $\sup \Phi(X \times Y_n) < \infty$ and $\sup \Phi(X \times Y_n \setminus K_n) < \gamma := \inf \Phi(\{z \in X : \|z\| \leq \varrho\})$, where $K_n := \{z \in X \times Y_n : \|z\| \leq R_n\}$.
- (\mathcal{A}_3) Φ has a (C) $_I$ -attractor \mathcal{F} with $\mathcal{P}_Y \mathcal{F} \subset Y \setminus \{0\}$ bounded and such that

$$\mu := \inf\{\|\mathcal{P}_Y u - \mathcal{P}_Y v\| : u, v \in \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}_Y u \neq \mathcal{P}_Y v\} > 0$$

and there exists $\tilde{\beta} > 0$ with

$$\|z\| \leq \tilde{\beta} \|\mathcal{P}_Y z\|, \quad \text{for all } u \in \Phi_a^b,$$

where $I := [a, b]$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then we have the following theorem:

THEOREM 3.1. *If Φ satisfies (\mathcal{A}_0)–(\mathcal{A}_2) and (\mathcal{A}_3) for any compact interval $I \subset (0, \infty)$, then Φ has unbounded sequence of critical values.*

The proof was given in Theorem 4.8 of [5] (see also [11]).

4. Some preliminary works

4.1. Properties of the functional. Set $\mathbb{E} := E_\nu = E_\nu^- \oplus E^+$, where $Y = E^+$, $X = E_\nu^-$. Let

$$\Psi(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} R(t, z(t)) dt.$$

By assumptions and Theorem 2.2, $\Psi(z) \in C^1(E_\nu, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\Psi'(z)v = \int_{\mathbb{R}} R_z(t, z(t))v(t) dt, \quad \text{for all } z, v \in E_\nu.$$

Now, let us consider the functional

$$\Phi(z) := \frac{1}{2}\|z^+\|_E^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|z^-\|_E^2 - \Psi(z), \quad \text{for } z = z^- + z^+ \in E_\nu.$$

Then $\Phi \in C^1(E_\nu, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, for $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$

$$\Phi'(z)\psi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-\mathcal{J}\dot{z} - Bz - R_z(t, z), \psi) dt.$$

It follows that critical points of $\Phi(z)$ are solutions of (HS). Moreover, if z is a solution of (HS), by Theorem 2.2, $R_z(t, z) \in L^s(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2N})$ for any $s \in [2, \infty)$. Thus $R_z(t, z) \in \mathcal{H}$. A standard argument shows that z is also a homoclinic orbit of (HS) (see [16]). So we have

PROPOSITION 4.1.1. *Assume that the conditions (\mathcal{R}_0) – (\mathcal{R}_6) hold. If $z(t) \neq 0$ is a solution of (HS), then z is a homoclinic orbit of (HS).*

In the following we will study the linking structure of Φ .

LEMMA 4.1.2. *Let (\mathcal{R}_0) – (\mathcal{R}_1) be satisfied. Then there exists $\varrho > 0$ such that $\kappa := \inf \Phi(S_\varrho^+) > 0$, where $S_\varrho^+ := \{z \in E^+ : \|z\|_\nu = \varrho\}$.*

PROOF. For all $z \in E^+$, by the Theorem 2.2 and (\mathcal{R}_1) , we have

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{1}{2}\|z\|_E^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} R(t, z) dt \geq \frac{1}{2}\|z\|_E^2 - c|z|_\nu^\nu \geq \frac{1}{2}\|z\|_E^2 - c\|z\|_E^\nu. \quad \square$$

Now we obtain the desired results.

LEMMA 4.1.3. *Let (\mathcal{R}_0) – (\mathcal{R}_1) be satisfied. Then, for any finite dimensional subspace $\mathcal{W} \subset E^+$, there exists $R_\mathcal{W} > \varrho$ such that $\sup \Phi(E_\mathcal{W}) < \infty$ and $\sup \Phi(E_\mathcal{W} \setminus B_\mathcal{W}) < \gamma := \inf \Phi(\{z \in E_\nu^- : \|z\|_\nu \leq \varrho\})$, where $B_\mathcal{W} := \{z \in E_\mathcal{W} : \|z\|_\nu \leq R_\mathcal{W}\}$ and $E_\mathcal{W} := E_\nu^- \oplus \mathcal{W}$.*

PROOF. It suffices to show that $\Phi(z) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $z \in E_\mathcal{W}$ and $\|z\|_\nu \rightarrow \infty$. For $z \in E_\mathcal{W}$, let $z = z_\mathcal{W}^+ + z^-$, where $z_\mathcal{W}^+ \in \mathcal{W}$ and $z^- \in E_\nu^-$. By Theorem 6.4 in Appendix, there exists a continuous projection from the closure of $E_\mathcal{W}$ in L^ν to \mathcal{W} . Thus $|z_\mathcal{W}^+|_\nu \leq c|z_\mathcal{W}^+ + z^-|_\nu$. Moreover, since \mathcal{W} is finite dimensional subspace, and from (\mathcal{R}_1) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z) &= \frac{1}{2}\|z_\mathcal{W}^+\|_E^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|z^-\|_E^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} R(t, z) dt \\ &\leq c_1|z_\mathcal{W}^+|_\nu^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|z^-\|_E^2 - c_3|z^- + z_\mathcal{W}^+|_\nu^\nu \\ &\leq c_2|z^- + z_\mathcal{W}^+|_\nu^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|z^-\|_E^2 - c_3|z^- + z_\mathcal{W}^+|_\nu^\nu, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$). It follows that $\Phi(z) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $\|z\|_\nu \rightarrow \infty$. \square

4.2. The $(C)_c$ -sequences. In this section we discuss the Cerami-sequences for the functional Φ .

LEMMA 4.2.1. *Let conditions (\mathcal{R}_0) – (\mathcal{R}_6) be satisfied. Then any $(C)_c$ -sequence is bounded.*

PROOF. Let $z_n \in E_\nu$ be such that

$$(4.1) \quad \Phi(z_n) \rightarrow c \quad \text{and} \quad (1 + \|z_n\|_\nu)\Phi'(z_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

By (\mathcal{R}_1) and (4.1), one sees

$$o(1) = \Phi'(z_n)z_n = \|z_n^+\|_E^2 - \|z_n^-\|_E^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} R_z(t, z_n)z_n dt.$$

Thus

$$(4.2) \quad o(1) + \|z_n^+\|_E^2 - \|z_n^-\|_E^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} R_z(t, z_n)z_n dt \geq c|z_n|_\nu^\nu.$$

Therefore, $\|z_n^-\|_E^2 \leq \|z_n^+\|_E^2 + o(1)$, $|z_n|_\nu^\nu \leq c\|z_n^+\|_E^2 + o(1)$, $|z_n|_\nu \leq c\|z_n^+\|_E^{2/\nu} + o(1)$. Clearly, it suffices to prove the boundedness of $\|z_n^+\|_E^2$.

By (\mathcal{R}_3) and (\mathcal{R}_4) , let $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\mu_0 - \varepsilon_0 > 2$, then there exist $R_1 \geq R_0 > 0$ such that

$$(4.3) \quad R_z(t, z)z \geq (\mu_0 - \varepsilon_0)R(t, z), \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, |z| \leq R_0,$$

and

$$R_z(t, z)z - 2R(t, z) \geq c_0|z|^\beta, \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, |z| \geq R_1.$$

Furthermore, by (\mathcal{R}_2) , we can choose $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough such that

$$(4.4) \quad R_z(t, z)z - 2R(t, z) \geq \varepsilon|z|^\beta, \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, |z| \geq R_0.$$

By (4.1), there exists $d > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} d \geq \Phi(z_n) - \frac{1}{\mu_0 - \varepsilon_0}\Phi'(z_n)z_n &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\mu_0 - \varepsilon_0}\right)(\|z_n^+\|_E^2 - \|z_n^-\|_E^2) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_0 - \varepsilon_0}R_z(t, z_n)z_n - R(t, z_n)\right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (4.3) and (\mathcal{R}_1) – (\mathcal{R}_2) , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.5) \quad \|z_n^+\|_E^2 - \|z_n^-\|_E^2 &\leq c + c \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(R(t, z_n) - \frac{1}{\mu_0 - \varepsilon_0}R_z(t, z_n)z_n\right) dt \\ &= c + c \left(\int_{|z_n| \geq R_0} + \int_{|z_n| \leq R_0}\right) \left(R(t, z_n) - \frac{1}{\mu_0 - \varepsilon_0}R_z(t, z_n)z_n\right) dt \\ &\leq c + c \int_{|z_n| \geq R_0} \left(R(t, z_n) - \frac{1}{\mu_0 - \varepsilon_0}R_z(t, z_n)z_n\right) dt \\ &\leq c + c \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\mu_0 - \varepsilon_0}\right) \int_{|z_n| \geq R_0} R_z(t, z_n)z_n dt \\ &\leq c + c \int_{|z_n| \geq R_0} |z_n|^\nu dt. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (4.1), there exists $d_1 > 0$ such that $\Phi(z_n) - (1/2)\Phi'(z_n)z_n \leq d_1$. (R₂) and (4.4) imply that

$$(4.6) \quad c \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{2} R_z(t, z_n) z_n - R(t, z_n) \right) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{|z_n| \geq R_0} |z_n|^\beta dt.$$

Choose $t \in ((\nu - 2)/\beta(\nu - 1), 1/\nu) \subset (0, 1)$, since $\nu(\nu - 2)/(\nu - 1) = \nu^* < \beta < \nu$, then by (4.6), Hölder inequality and Theorem 2.2, we have

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{|z_n| \geq R_0} |z_n|^\nu dt &= \int_{|z_n| \geq R_0} |z_n|^{\beta t \nu} |z_n|^{(1-\beta t)\nu} dt \\ &\leq \left(\int_{|z_n| \geq R_0} |z_n|^\beta dt \right)^{t\nu} \left(\int_{|z_n| \geq R_0} |z_n|^{(1-t\beta)\nu/(1-t\nu)} dt \right)^{1-t\nu} \\ &\leq c |z_n|_{p^*}^{(1-t\beta)\nu} \leq c \|z_n\|_\nu^{(1-t\beta)\nu} \\ &\leq c (\|z_n^+\|_E + \|z_n^-\|_E + |z_n|_\nu)^{(1-t\beta)\nu} \\ &\leq c \|z_n^+\|_E^{(1-t\beta)\nu} + c \|z_n^+\|_E^{2(1-t\beta)} + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

where $p^* = (1 - t\beta)\nu/(1 - t\nu) > \nu$. Consequently, (4.2), (4.5) and (4.6) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} c \int_{\mathbb{R}} |z_n|^\nu dt &\leq \|z_n^+\|_E^2 - \|z_n^-\|_E^2 + o(1) \leq c + c \int_{|z_n| \geq R_0} |z_n|^\nu dt + o(1) \\ &\leq c + c \|z_n^+\|_E^{(1-t\beta)\nu} + c \|z_n^+\|_E^{2(1-t\beta)} + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $|z_n|_\nu \leq c + c \|z_n^+\|_E^{(1-t\beta)} + c \|z_n^+\|_E^{(2/\nu)(1-t\beta)} + o(1)$. On the other hand, (4.1) and (R₁) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) + \|z_n^+\|_E^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} R_z(t, z_n) z_n^+ dt \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}} |z_n|^{\nu-1} |z_n^+| dt \\ &\leq c |z_n|_\nu^{\nu-1} |z_n^+|_\nu \leq c (c + c \|z_n^+\|_E^{1-t\beta} + c \|z_n^+\|_E^{(2/\nu)(1-t\beta)} + o(1))^{\nu-1} \|z_n^+\|_E \\ &\leq c \|z_n^+\|_E + c \|z_n^+\|_E^{(1-t\beta)(\nu-1)+1} + c \|z_n^+\|_E^{(2(\nu-1)/\nu)(1-t\beta)+1} + o(1) \|z_n^+\|_E. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(1 - t\beta)(\nu - 1) + 1 < 2$, we have that $\|z_n^+\|_E < \infty$. \square

Let $\{z_n\}$ be an arbitrary $(C)_c$ -sequence. By Lemma 4.2.1 it is bounded, hence, we may assume without loss of generality that $z_n \rightharpoonup z$ in E_ν , $z_n \rightarrow z$ in L_{loc}^q for $q \geq 2$ and $z_n(t) \rightarrow z(t)$ almost everywhere in t . Clearly, z is a critical point of Φ . Set $z_n^1 := z_n - z$.

LEMMA 4.2.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, along a subsequence:*

- (a) $\Phi(z_n^1) \rightarrow c - \Phi(z)$;
- (b) $\Phi'(z_n^1) \rightarrow 0$.

PROOF. Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.6 in [13], we sketch it here for reader's convenience.

(a) Observe that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(z_n^1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(z_n) - \Phi(z) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (R(t, z_n) - R(t, z_n^1) - R(t, z)) dt.$$

It suffices to check that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (R(t, z_n) - R(t, z_n^1) - R(t, z)) dt = 0.$$

Since z is a critical point of Φ , it follows from Proposition 4.1.1 that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \delta)$, where $\delta > 0$ is given in (\mathcal{R}_5) , choose $R > 0$ such that, letting $J_R := [-R, R]$ and $J_R^c = \mathbb{R} \setminus J_R$,

$$(4.8) \quad |z|_{L^\infty(J_R^c)} < \varepsilon, \quad |z|_{L^\nu(J_R^c)} < \varepsilon.$$

Then by (\mathcal{R}_1) ,

$$\int_{J_R^c} R(t, z) dt < c\varepsilon,$$

by mean value theorem and (\mathcal{R}_1)

$$\left| \int_{J_R^c} (R(t, z_n^1 + z) - R(t, z_n^1)) dt \right| \leq c \int_{J_R^c} |z|(|z_n^1|^{\nu-1} + |z|^{\nu-1}) dt \leq c\varepsilon.$$

Since $z_n^1 \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p(J_R)$ ($p \geq \nu$), we have

$$\left| \int_{J_R} (R(t, z_n) - R(t, z_n^1) - R(t, z)) dt \right| \leq \varepsilon,$$

for n large. Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (R(t, z_n) - R(t, z_n^1) - R(t, z)) dt \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

(b) Let $\varphi \in E_\nu$ with $\|\varphi\|_\nu \leq 1$. Using the equation (4.8) and (\mathcal{R}_1) , we deduce that

$$\left| \int_{J_R^c} R_z(t, z) \varphi dt \right| \leq c |z|_{L^\nu(J_R^c)}^{\nu-1} \|\varphi\|_\nu \leq c\varepsilon,$$

and, by (\mathcal{R}_5) ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{J_R^c} (R_z(t, z_n^1 + z) - R_z(t, z_n^1)) \varphi dt \right| \\ & \leq c \int_{J_R^c} |z|(|z_n^1|^{\nu-2} + |z|^{\nu-2} + |z|^{\nu-2}|z_n^1|) |\varphi| dt \leq c\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\left| \int_{J_R^c} (R_z(t, z_n^1 + z) - R_z(t, z_n^1) - R_z(t, z)) \varphi dt \right| \leq c\varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, since $z_n^1 \rightarrow 0$ and $z_n \rightarrow z$ in $L^p(J_R)$ ($p \geq \nu$),

$$(4.9) \quad \left| \int_{J_R} (R_z(t, z_n^1 + z) - R_z(t, z_n^1) - R_z(t, z)) \varphi dt \right| \leq c\varepsilon,$$

for n large. So

$$\sup_{\|\varphi\|_\nu \leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (R_z(t, z_n^1 + z) - R_z(t, z_n^1) - R_z(t, z)) \varphi dt \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, the conclusion (b) follows from

$$\Phi'(z_n^1)\varphi = \Phi'(z_n)\varphi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (R_z(t, z_n^1 + z) - R_z(t, z_n^1) - R_z(t, z)) \varphi dt$$

and (4.9). \square

5. Infinite number of homoclinics

In this section we are going to show that if the function Φ is even, then (HS) has infinitely many geometrically distinct homoclinic orbits. Let $\mathcal{K} := \{z \in E_\nu : \Phi'(z) = 0\}$ and $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{K}/\mathbb{Z}$, the set \mathcal{F} consisting of arbitrarily chosen representative of the orbits of \mathcal{K} . By (\mathcal{R}_6) , we may assume that $\mathcal{F} = -\mathcal{F}$. In view of the invariance of Φ under the shift $*$,

$$\mathcal{O}(z_1) \neq \mathcal{O}(z_2) \quad \text{if } z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{K} \text{ with } \Phi(z_1) \neq \Phi(z_2).$$

By virtue of (\mathcal{R}_2) ,

$$\Phi(z) = \Phi(z) - \frac{1}{2} \Phi'(z)z = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{2} R_z(t, z)z - R(t, z) \right) dt > 0,$$

for all $z \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}$. Theorem 1.1 will be proved by showing that \mathcal{F} is an infinite set. That is, \mathcal{K} is an infinite set. To this purpose, arguing by contradiction, we suppose that

$$(\mathcal{A}^*) \quad \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\} \text{ is a finite set.}$$

Then there are $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta} > 0$ such that

$$(5.1) \quad \hat{\alpha} < \min_{\mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}} \Phi = \min_{\mathcal{K} \setminus \{0\}} \Phi \leq \max_{\mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}} \Phi = \max_{\mathcal{K} \setminus \{0\}} \Phi < \hat{\beta}.$$

In the following we are going to apply Theorem 3.1 to Φ .

DEFINITION 5.1. Let $\{z_n\} \subset E_\nu$ be a bounded sequence. Then, up to a subsequence, either

- (a) there exist $\gamma > 0$, $R > 0$ and $y_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{y_n - R}^{y_n + R} |z_n|^2 dt \geq \gamma > 0,$$

or

- (b) for all $0 < R < \infty$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{y - R}^{y + R} |z_n|^2 dt = 0.$$

In the first case we say that $\{z_n\}$ is non-vanishing, and in the second case that it is vanishing (see [26]).

LEMMA 5.2. *Let $a > 0$ and $\{z_n\} \subset H^{1/2}$ be bounded. If*

$$(5.2) \quad \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{B(y,a)} |z_n|^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $B(y, a)$ is the interval $(y - a, y + a)$, then $z_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^t(\mathbb{R})$ for $2 < t < \infty$. Particularly, if $\{z_n\} \subset E^+$ is bounded and satisfies (5.2), then $z_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^t(\mathbb{R})$ for $2 < t < \infty$.

PROOF. Usually, this lemma is stated for $z_n \subset H^1$ (see [30], [20]). However, a simple modification of the argument of Lemma 1.21 in [30] shows that the conclusion remains valid in $H^{1/2}$. Since the norms $\|\cdot\|_\nu$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^{1/2}}$ are equivalent in E^+ , one sees that the second conclusion follows. \square

LEMMA 5.3. *Suppose that \mathcal{F} is a finite set, and the conditions of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied. Let $\{z_n\} \subset E_\nu$ be a $(C)_c$ -sequence. Then either*

- (a) $z_n \rightarrow 0$ (corresponding to $c = 0$), or
- (b) $c \geq \hat{\alpha}$ and there exists a positive integer $\ell \leq [c/\hat{\alpha}]$, points $\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_\ell \in \mathcal{F} \setminus \{0\}$ (not necessarily distinct), a subsequence of denote again by $\{z_n\}$ and sequence $\{k_n^i\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ ($i = 1, \dots, \ell$) such that

$$\left\| z_n - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} k_n^i * \bar{z}_i \right\|_\nu \rightarrow 0, \quad |k_n^i - k_n^j| \rightarrow \infty \quad (i \neq j), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \Phi(\bar{z}_i) = c.$$

PROOF. From Lemma 4.2.1, we know that the sequence is bounded. It follows from (4.4) that

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(z_n) - \frac{1}{2} \Phi'(z_n) z_n &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{1}{2} R_z(t, z_n) z_n - R(t, z_n) \right) dt \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_{|z_n| \geq R_0} |z_n|^\beta dt \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $c \geq 0$. Moreover, we infer from

$$\Phi(z_n) = \frac{1}{2} \|z_n^+\|_E^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|z_n^-\|_E^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} R(t, z_n) dt \leq \|z_n\|_\nu^2$$

that $c = 0$ if $z_n \rightarrow 0$. Conversely, if $c = 0$, using the arguments as in the proof Lemma 4.2.1, one can easily get that

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} c|z_n|_\nu^\nu &\leq o(1) + \|z_n^+\|_E^2 - \|z_n^-\|_E^2 \leq o(1) + c \int_{|z_n| \geq R_0} |z_n|^\nu dt \\ &\leq \left(\int_{|z_n| \geq R_0} |z_n|^\beta dt \right)^{t\nu} \left(\int_{|z_n| \geq R_0} |z_n|^{(1-t\beta)\nu/(1-t\nu)} dt \right)^{1-t\nu} + o(1) \\ &\leq c \left(\int_{|z_n| \geq R_0} |z_n|^\beta dt \right)^{t\nu} + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

where $(1-t\beta)\nu/(1-t\nu) > \nu$. On the other hand, by (5.3), we know that $\int_{|z_n| \geq R_0} |z_n|^\beta dt \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, it follows from (5.4) that $|z_n|_\nu \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\Phi'(z_n)(1 + \|z_n\|_\nu) \rightarrow 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n^+\|_E^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} R_z(t, z_n) z_n^+ dt + o(1) \\ &\leq c|z_n|_\nu^{\nu-1} |z_n^+|_\nu + o(1) \leq c|z_n|_\nu^{\nu-1} + o(1) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, by (4.2), one has

$$c|z_n|_\nu^\nu + \|z_n^-\|_E^2 \leq \|z_n^+\|_E^2 + o(1) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. That is, $\|z_n\|_\nu \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that $z_n \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $c = 0$.

If $c > 0$ and z_n^+ is vanishing, that is,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{B(y, a)} |z_n^+|^2 dt = 0.$$

Then, by Lemma 5.2, we have $z_n^+ \rightarrow 0$ in $L^t(\mathbb{R})$ for $t > 2$. Therefore, by (\mathcal{R}_1) and Hölder inequality, one has

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} R_z(t, z_n) z_n^+ dt \right| \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}} |z_n|^{\nu-1} |z_n^+| dt \leq c|z_n^+|_\nu |z_n|_\nu^{\nu-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\Phi'(z_n)z_n^+ \rightarrow 0$ and $\Phi'(z_n)z_n^+ = \|z_n^+\|_E^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} R_z(t, z_n) z_n^+ dt$, we know that $\|z_n^+\|_E \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\Phi(z_n) \leq \|z_n^+\|_E \rightarrow 0,$$

a contradiction. Thus z_n^+ is non-vanishing, that is, there exist $\gamma > 0$, $\iota > 0$ and $\hat{y}_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\hat{y}_n - \iota}^{\hat{y}_n + \iota} |z_n^+|^2 dt \geq \gamma > 0.$$

Hence we can find $k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that, setting $u_n := k_n * z_n(t) = z_n(t + k_n)$,

$$(5.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\iota-1}^{\iota+1} |u_n^+|^2 dt \geq \gamma > 0,$$

where $u_n^\pm := z_n^\pm(t + k_n)$. Since $\|z_n\|_\nu = \|u_n\|_\nu$ and $\Phi(z_n) = \Phi(u_n)$, then $\{u_n\}$ is still bounded, so a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ (still denoted by the same symbol) converges weakly to some $z^1 \in E_\nu$. That is, there exists $\{k_n^1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ such that $u_n = k_n^1 * z_n(t) \rightharpoonup z^1$. By (5.5), we know that $z^1 \in \mathcal{K} \setminus \{0\}$. Let \bar{z}_1 be the representative in which z^1 lies, and let $k^1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $k^1 * z^1 = \bar{z}_1$. Set $\bar{k}_n^1 := k^1 + k_n^1$ and $z_n^1 := \bar{k}_n^1 * z_n - \bar{z}_1$. By \mathbb{Z} -invariance of Φ (i.e. $\Phi(\bar{k}_n^1 * z_n) = \Phi(z_n)$) and Lemma 4.2.2, $\{z_n^1\}$ is Cerami sequence at level $c - \Phi(\bar{z}_1)$. By (5.1), (5.3), $\hat{\alpha} < \Phi(\bar{z}_1) \leq c$. There are two possibilities: $c = \Phi(\bar{z}_1)$ or $c > \Phi(\bar{z}_1)$.

If $c = \Phi(\bar{z}_1)$, repeating the arguments for the proof of the conclusion (a), we have that $z_n^1 \rightarrow 0$ in E_ν . Consequently, the conclusions of this lemma hold with $\ell = 1$ and $k_n^1 = -\bar{k}_n^1$.

If $c > \Phi(\bar{z}_1)$, then we argue again as in above with $\{z_n\}$ and c replaced by $\{z_n^1\}$ and $c - \Phi(\bar{z}_1)$, respectively, and obtain $\bar{z}_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\hat{\alpha} < \Phi(\bar{z}_2) \leq c - \Phi(\bar{z}_1)$. So, after at most $\lceil c/\hat{\alpha} \rceil$ steps, we get the desired results. \square

Given $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and a finite set $\mathcal{N} \subset E_\nu$, let

$$[\mathcal{N}, \ell] := \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^j (k_n * z_n) : 1 \leq j \leq \ell, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}, z_n \in \mathcal{N} \right\}.$$

LEMMA 5.4. *For any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$(5.6) \quad \inf\{\|z - z'\|_\nu : z, z' \in [\mathcal{N}, \ell], z \neq z'\} > 0.$$

The proof was given in Proposition 1.55 of [8] (see also [7]).

In view of Lemma 5.3, we have:

COROLLARY 5.5. *If $\{z_n\}$ is a $(C)_c$ -sequence, $c \geq \hat{\alpha}$, then one has*

$$\|z_n - [\mathcal{F}, \ell]\|_\nu \rightarrow 0$$

provided that $\ell \geq \lceil c/\hat{\alpha} \rceil$.

LEMMA 5.6. Φ *satisfies (\mathcal{A}_3) .*

PROOF. Recall that \mathcal{F} is a finite set. Since Φ' is odd, then we may assume \mathcal{F} is symmetric. For any compact interval $I \subset (0, \infty)$, denote $I := [a, b]$, set $\ell = \lceil b/\hat{\alpha} \rceil$ and take $\mathcal{B} = [\mathcal{F}, \ell]$. Then, $\mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{B} = [\mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{F}, \ell]$, where \mathcal{P}^+ stands for the projector onto E^+ . By (\mathcal{A}^*) , $\mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{F}$ is finite set and

$$\|z\|_\nu \leq \ell \max\{\|\bar{z}\|_\nu, \bar{z} \in \mathcal{F}\} \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathcal{B},$$

which implies that \mathcal{B} is bounded. In addition, By Corollary 5.5, \mathcal{B} is a $(C)_I$ -attractor, and by (5.5),

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf\{\|z^+ - v^+\|_\nu : z, v \in \mathcal{B}, z^+ \neq v^+\} \\ &= \inf\{\|z' - v'\|_\nu : z', v' \in \mathcal{P}^+\mathcal{B}, z' \neq v'\} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

For each $z \in \Phi_a^b$, one has

$$0 < a \leq \Phi(z) = \frac{1}{2}\|z^+\|_E^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|z^-\|_E^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} R(t, z) dt.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{2}\|z^-\|_E^2 + \|z\|_{\nu}^p \leq \frac{1}{2}\|z^+\|_E^2.$$

It follows that $\|z\|_{\nu} \leq \tilde{\beta}\|z^+\|_E$ for some $\tilde{\beta} > 0$. Then Φ satisfies (\mathcal{A}_3) for $I = [a, b]$ and $a > 0$. \square

LEMMA 5.7. Φ satisfies (\mathcal{A}_0) .

PROOF. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that $z_m \in \Phi_a$ with $z_m \rightarrow z$ in τ . Then $a \leq (1/2)\|z_m^+\|_E^2 - ((1/2)\|z_m^-\|_E^2 + \Psi(z))$. Since $z_m^+ \rightarrow z^+$, then $\|z_m^+\|_E$ is bounded. It follows from $\|z_m^-\|_E^2 \leq \|z_m^+\|_E^2 - 2a$ that $\|z_m^-\|_E$ is bounded. By (\mathcal{R}_1) one see further that $\|z_m\|_{\nu}^p$ is bounded and so is $\|z_m\|_{\nu}$. Therefore, $z_m \rightarrow z$ in E_{ν} , which implies $z_m \rightarrow z$ in L_{loc}^q ($q \geq 2$) and along a subsequence $z_m(t) \rightarrow z(t)$ for almost every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Consequently, by the weakly semi-continuous of norm and Fatou's lemma we get $a \leq \Phi(z)$. Now let $z_m \rightarrow z$ in $\tau(\text{in } \Phi_a)$. Similar to above arguments shows that $\|z_m\|_{\nu}$ is bounded, and so $z_m \rightarrow z$ in E_{ν} . Then $z_m \rightarrow z$ in L_{loc}^p and $R_z(t, z_m) \rightarrow R_z(t, z)$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^{p/(p-1)}$ ($p \geq 2$). Hence $\Phi'(z_m)\psi \rightarrow \Phi'(z)\psi$ for $\psi \in E_{\nu}$. It follows that the condition of (\mathcal{A}_0) is satisfied. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Assume that \mathcal{F} is finite set, i.e., (\mathcal{A}^*) holds. According to Lemmas 4.1.2–4.1.3 and Lemmas 5.6–5.7, we know that Φ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.1. Therefore Φ possesses a sequence of critical values, $c_n \rightarrow \infty$, a contradiction. The proof is completed. \square

COROLLARY 5.8. Let $H(t, z)$ be the form of (1.1). Assume that $A = -(\mathcal{J}d/dt + B(t))$ satisfies the conditions of Remark 1.2. Then (HS) has infinitely many geometrically distinct homoclinic orbits.

It follows from the Remark 1.2 and Theorem 1.1. \square

6. Appendix

Recalling that $A = -(\mathcal{J}d/dt + B(t))$ is a self-adjoint operator in \mathcal{H} . By (\mathcal{J}_1) , we have $\mathcal{D}(|A|^{1/2}) = H^{1/2}$, where $|A|^{1/2}$ denotes the square root of $|A|$. In this Appendix, we mainly refer to the paper [16]. For reader's convenience, some of the results, together with the proofs, will be provided here. Set $W^{1,s} := W^{1,s}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2N})$ for $s \geq 1$, $H^1 := W^{1,2}$ and $H^{1/2} := H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2N})$. For a self-adjoint operator A in \mathcal{H} , we denote by $|A|$ its absolute value.

DEFINITION 6.1. Let $S(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^{4N^2})$ be a symmetric matrix valued function, and let $F(t)$ be the fundamental matrix with $F(0) = I$ for the equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = \mathcal{J}S(t)x,$$

$S(t)$ is said to have an exponential dichotomy if there is a projector P and positive constants K, ξ such that

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{cases} |F(t)PF^{-1}(s)| \leq Ke^{-\xi(t-s)} & \text{if } s \leq t, \\ |F(t)(I-P)F^{-1}(s)| \leq Ke^{-\xi(s-t)} & \text{if } s \geq t, \end{cases}$$

see [6].

PROPOSITION 6.2. *Suppose that $S(t)$ has an exponential dichotomy and $s \geq 1$. Then the following conclusions hold:*

(a) *The operator*

$$B_s: L^s \supset W^{1,s} \rightarrow L^s, \quad u \mapsto -\left(\mathcal{J} \frac{d}{dt} + S(t)\right)u,$$

has a bounded inverse B_s^{-1} satisfying with some $d = d(s, \sigma) > 0$

$$|B_s^{-1}z|_\sigma \leq d|z|_s, \quad \text{for all } z \in L^s,$$

for all $\sigma \geq s$;

(b) *$B := B_2$ is s self-adjoint, and there are $b > 0, b_1 > 0, b_2 > 0$ such that $\sigma(B) \cap [-b, b] = \emptyset$ and*

$$b_1\|z\|_{H^1} \leq |Bz|_2 \leq b_2\|z\|_{H^1} \quad \text{for all } z \in H^1;$$

(c) *$\mathcal{D}(|B|^{1/2}) = H^{1/2}$, and there are $d_1, d_2 > 0$ such that*

$$d_1\|z\|_{H^{1/2}} \leq \||B|^{1/2}z\|_2 \leq d_2\|z\|_{H^{1/2}} \quad \text{for all } z \in H^{1/2}.$$

PROOF. For any $z \in L^s, s \geq 1$, there is a unique $u \in W^{1,s}$ satisfying

$$-\left(\mathcal{J} \frac{d}{dt} + S\right)u = z$$

given by

$$u(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t F(t)PF^{-1}(s)\mathcal{J}z \, ds - \int_t^\infty F(t)(I-P)F^{-1}(s)\mathcal{J}z \, ds.$$

Set

$$\lambda^+(s) = \lambda^-(-s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } s < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(t)PF^{-1}(s)\lambda^+(t-s)\mathcal{J}z \, ds \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(t)(I-P)F^{-1}(s)\lambda^-(t-s)\mathcal{J}z \, ds := u_1(t) + u_2(t), \end{aligned}$$

and by (6.1)

$$\begin{aligned} |u_1(t)| &\leq K \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\xi(t-s)} \lambda^+(t-s) |z| ds, \\ |u_2(t)| &\leq K \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\xi(s-t)} \lambda^-(t-s) |z| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $f^+(\tau) = e^{-\xi\tau} \lambda^+(\tau)$ and $f^-(\tau) = e^{\xi\tau} \lambda^-(\tau)$, one has

$$|u_1(t)| \leq K(f^+ * |z|)(t) \quad \text{and} \quad |u_2(t)| \leq K(f^- * |z|)(t),$$

where $*$ denotes the convolution. Observe that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f^\pm|^\sigma = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f^\pm|^\sigma = \frac{1}{\xi^\sigma} \quad \text{for all } \sigma \geq 1 \text{ and } |f^\pm|_\infty = 1.$$

By the convolution inequality, for any $\vartheta \geq 1$ satisfying $1/\vartheta = 1/s + 1/\sigma - 1$,

$$|u_j|_\vartheta \leq K(\xi\sigma)^{-1/\sigma} |z|_s, \quad j = 1, 2$$

and for $1/s + 1/s' = 1$, $s > 1$

$$|u_j|_\infty \leq K(\xi s')^{-1/s'} |z|_s, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

and also

$$|u_j|_\infty \leq K|z|_1, \quad \text{if } s = 1, j = 1, 2.$$

Therefore,

$$(6.2) \quad |u|_\vartheta \leq K(\xi\sigma)^{-1/\sigma} |z|_s, \quad \vartheta, s, \sigma \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\vartheta} = \frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{\sigma} - 1.$$

Now the conclusion (a) follows from equation (6.2).

It is easy to verify that $B = B_2$ is self-adjoint. Note that if there is a sequence of positive numbers $b_n \rightarrow 0$ such that $\sigma(B) \cap [-b_n, b_n] = \emptyset$, then there is a sequence $\{z_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}(A)$ with $|z_n|_2 = 1$ and $|Bz_n|_2 \rightarrow 0$, contradicting (6.2). That is, $0 \notin \sigma(B)$. The inequality of (b) is clear by (6.2).

We now verify (c). Let $\Gamma := -d^2/dt^2$. Then $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma) = H^2$. By an interpolation theory (see [16, p. 764] or [23, Section 2.5.2])

$$(\mathcal{D}(\Gamma^0), \mathcal{D}(\Gamma))_{\theta, 2} = (\mathcal{H}, H^2)_{\theta, 2} = H^{2\theta}, \quad 0 < \theta < 1.$$

On the other hand (see [16, p. 764] or [23, Theorem 1.18.10])

$$(\mathcal{D}(\Gamma^0), \mathcal{D}(\Gamma))_{\theta, 2} = \mathcal{D}(\Gamma^\theta).$$

Consequently,

$$\mathcal{D}(\Gamma^\theta) = H^{2\theta}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|z\|_{\mathcal{D}(\Gamma^\theta)}^2 = \int_0^\infty (1 + \lambda^{2\theta}) d|E_\lambda z|_2^2 = |z|_2^2 + |\Gamma^\theta z|_2^2,$$

where $\{E_\lambda; -\infty < \lambda < \infty\}$ is the spectral family of Γ . In particular, let $\theta = 1/4$,

$$H^{1/2} = \mathcal{D}(\Gamma^{1/4}), \quad \|u\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \leq |z|_2^2 + |\Gamma^{1/4}z|_2^2.$$

Since $|\Gamma^{1/2}z|_2 = |\dot{z}|_2 \leq c_1|Bz|_2$ for $z \in H^1$ by (b), one has $(\Gamma^{1/2}z, z)_2 \leq c_2(|B|z, z)_2$ (see [8, Theorem 4.12]), and so $|\Gamma^{1/4}z|_2 \leq c_2\|B|^{1/2}z|_2$. Together with equation (6.2), it follows that the first inequality of (c) holds. Similarly, considering the operator $\tilde{\Gamma} := d^2/dt^2 + 1$, one can check the second one of (c). \square

LEMMA 6.3. *Under the assumption of (\mathcal{J}_1) , we have*

$$c_1\|z\|_{H^{1/2}} \leq \|A|^{1/2}z|_2 + a|z|_2 \leq c_2\|z\|_{H^{1/2}} + 2a|z|_2, \quad \text{for } z \in H^{1/2},$$

where $c_i > 0$, ($i = 1, 2$) and $a > 4 \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |B(t)|$.

PROOF. Now we consider the matrix $B_a := B(t) + a\tilde{B}$, where $a > 0$, $B(t)$ satisfies (\mathcal{J}_1) and $\tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Clearly $a\mathcal{J}\tilde{B}$ has the eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_N = a$ and $\lambda_{N+1} = \dots = \lambda_{2N} = -a$, and its fundamental matrix is $F_a = \exp\left(at \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$. Therefore $a\tilde{B}$ has an exponential dichotomy. By the roughness of the exponential dichotomy, for any

$$(6.3) \quad a > 4 \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |B(t)|,$$

B_a also have an exponential dichotomy(see [6]). In (6.2), we fix an a . Consider the self-adjoint operator

$$A_a = -\left(\mathcal{J} \frac{d}{dt} + B_a\right) = A - a\tilde{B}.$$

Since for $z \in \mathcal{D}(A)$

$$|A_a z|_2 = |(A - a\tilde{B})z|_2 \leq |Az|_2 + a|z|_2,$$

by Proposition 6.2,

$$c_1\|z\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \leq (|A_a z|, z)_2 \leq (|Az|, z)_2 + a|z|_2^2 \leq c_2\|z\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + a|z|_2^2.$$

By Proposition III 8.12 of [17], we have

$$c_1\|z\|_{H^{1/2}} \leq \|A|^{1/2}z|_2 + a|z|_2 \leq c_2\|z\|_{H^{1/2}} + 2a|z|_2,$$

for all $z \in H^{1/2} = \mathcal{D}(|A|^{1/2})$, where $c_i > 0$, ($i = 1, 2$). \square

THEOREM 6.4. *Suppose that $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space with $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$, where X_1 and X_2 are close subset. Set $\|x\| := \|x_1\| + \|x_2\|$. Then for $x = x_1 + x_2 \in X$, $x_i \in X_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) we have that*

- (a) $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|$ are equivalent norms;
- (b) The projector $P: X \rightarrow X_1$ is continuous.

PROOF. (a) Since $\|\cdot\|$ is also a complete norm on the X , and for each $x \in X$

$$\|x\| \leq c_1 \|\|x\|\|,$$

where $c_1 > 0$. From Functional Analysis, we know that the result of (a) holds.

(b) For each $x = x_1 + x_2 \in X$, by (a)

$$\|Px\| = \|x_1\| \leq c_2 \|\|x\|\| \leq c_3 \|x\|,$$

where c_2, c_3 are positive constants. Since P is a linear operator, we know that the conclusion (b) of this lemma follows. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the referee for giving valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. AMBROSETTI AND V. COTI-ZELATI, *Multiple homoclinic orbits for a class of conservative systems*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univi. Padova. **89** (1993), 177–194.
- [2] A. AMBROSETTI AND P. RABINOWITZ, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal. **14** (1973), 349–381.
- [3] G. ARIOLI AND A. SZULKIN, *Homoclinic solutions of Hamiltonian systems with symmetry*, J. Differential Equations **158** (1999), 291–313.
- [4] T. BARTSCH AND Y.H. DING, *On a nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Math. Ann. **313** (1999), 15–37.
- [5] ———, *Deformation theorems on non-metrizable vector spaces and applications to critical point theory*, Math. Nachr. **279** (2006), 1267–1288.
- [6] W.A. COPPEL, *Dichotomics in Stability Theory*, Lecture Notes in Maths., vol. 629, Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [7] V. COTI-ZELATI, I. EKELAND AND E. SÉRÉ, *A variational approach to homoclinic orbits in Hamiltonian systems*, Math. Ann. **228** (1990), 133–160.
- [8] V. COTI-ZELATI AND P.H. RABINOWITZ, *Homoclinic orbits for second order Hamiltonian systems possessing superquadratic potentials*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), 693–742.
- [9] Y.H. DING, *Existence and multiplicity results for homoclinic solutions to a class of Hamiltonian systems*, Nonlinear Anal. T.M.A. **25** (1995), 1095–1113.
- [10] ———, *Multiple homoclinics in a Hamiltonian system with asymptotically or superlinear terms*, Comm. Contemp. Math. **4** (2006), 453–480.
- [11] ———, *Variational Methods for Strongly Indefinite Problems*, World Scientific Press, 2008.
- [12] Y.H. DING AND M. GIRARDI, *Periodic and homoclinic solutions to a class of Hamiltonian systems with the potentials changing sign*, Dynam. Systems Appl. vol 2 (1993), 131–145.
- [13] ———, *Infinitely many homoclinic orbits of a Hamiltonian system with symmetry*, Nonlinear Anal. **38** (1999), 391–415.
- [14] Y.H. DING AND L. JEANJEAN, *Homoclinic orbits for non periodic Hamiltonian system*, J. Differential Equations **237** (2007), 473–490.
- [15] Y.H. DING AND S.J. LI, *Homoclinic orbits for first order Hamiltonian systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **189** (1995), 585–601.

- [16] Y.H. DING AND M. WILLEM, *Homoclinic orbits of a Hamiltonian system*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **50** (1999), 759–778.
- [17] D.E. EDMUNDS AND W.D. EVANS, *Spectral Theory and Differential Operators*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1987.
- [18] H. HOFER AND K. WYSOCKI, *First order elliptic systems and the existence of homoclinic orbits in Hamiltonian systems*, Math. Ann. **228** (1990), 483–503.
- [19] W. KRYSZEWSKI AND A. SZULKIN, *Generalized linking theorem with an application to semilinear Schrödinger equation*, Adv. Differential Equation **3** (1998), 441–472.
- [20] P.L. LIONS, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **1** (1984), 109–145, 223–283.
- [21] W. OMANA AND M. WILLEM, *Homoclinic orbits for a class of Hamiltonian systems*, Differential Integral Equations **5** (1992), 1115–1120.
- [22] P.H. RABINOWITZ, *Homoclinic orbits for a class of Hamiltonian systems*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh **114** (1990), 33–38.
- [23] P.H. RABINOWITZ AND K. TANAKA, *Some results on connecting orbits for a class of Hamiltonian systems*, Math. Z. **206** (1991), 473–499.
- [24] E. SÉRÉ, *Existence of infinitely many homoclinic orbits in Hamiltonian systems*, Math. Z. **209** (1992), 27–42.
- [25] ———, *Looking for the Bernoulli shift*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **10** (1993), 561–590.
- [26] A. SZULKIN AND W. ZOU, *Homoclinic orbits for asymptotically linear Hamiltonian systems*, J. Funct. Anal. **187** (2001), 25–41.
- [27] K. TANAKA, *Homoclinic orbits in a first order superquadratic Hamiltonian system: convergence of subharmonic orbits*, J. Differential Equations **94** (1991), 315–339.
- [28] H. TRIEBEL, *Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [29] J. WANG, J.X. XU AND F.B. ZHANG, *Homoclinic orbits for superlinear Hamiltonian systems without Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz growth condition*, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems A **27** (2010), 1241–1257.
- [30] M. WILLEM, *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.
- [31] M. WILLEM AND W. ZOU, *On a Schrödinger equation with periodic potential and spectrum point zero*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **52** (2003), 109–132.
- [32] W.M. ZOU AND M. SCHECHTER, *Critical Point Theory and its Applications*, Springer, New York, 2006.

Manuscript received September 15, 2010

JUN WANG
 Department of Mathematics
 Jiangsu University
 Zhenjiang, Jiangsu, 212013, P.R. CHINA
E-mail address: wangjdn2006@21cn.com

JUNXIANG XU AND FUBAO ZHANG
 Department of Mathematics
 Southeast University
 Nanjing, Jiangsu, 210096, P.R. CHINA
E-mail address: xujun@seu.edu.cn, zhangfubao@seu.edu.cn